1	
2	
3	
4	LOUISIANA TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
5	Held on September 10, 2025
6	At the Louisiana State Capitol Building
7	House Committee Room 3
8	900 North Third Street
9	Baton Rouge, Louisiana
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	REPORTED BY: KELLY J. DOMIANO, C.C.R.
15	
16	**********
17	
18	COURT REPORTERS OF LOUISIANA - A VERITEXT COMPANY
19	9522 BROOKLINE AVENUE, SUITE 217
20	BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70809
21	E-MAIL: calendar-louisiana@veritext.com
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	·	
1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	CAPTION	1
4	APPEARANCES	3
5	ROLL CALL	4,5
6	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	63
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	APPEARANCES:
2	MEMBERS:
3	CHAIRMAN REPRESENTATIVE RYAN BOURRIAQUE
4	SECRETARY GLENN P. LEDET, JR.
5	REPRESENTATIVE JACK MCFARLAND
6	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR BARRAS (ABSENT)
7	CHRISTOPHER MATTHEW JOHNS (ABSENT)
8	CHALIN O. "COP" PEREZ, JR.
9	SENATOR MARK ABRAHAM
LO	SENATOR JEREMY STINE (ABSENT)
11	MICHAEL TEPPER
L2	ALSO APPEARING:
L3	MORGAN KELLEY, INNOVATIVE PROCUREMENT ATTORNEY,
L4	DOTD
15	CHRISTINA LEWIS, BOARD SECRETARY, DOTD
L6	PETER LABORDE, MANAGING MEMBER AND FOUNDING FATHER
L7	OF LABORDE MARINE MANAGEMENT, LLC, HOLDING
18	COMPANY FOR LABMAR FERRY SERVICES
L9	RICHARD HEAUSLER, GENERAL MANAGER, LABMAR FERRY
20	SERVICES, LLC
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported by:
25	Kelly J. Domiano, Certified Court Reporter

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
3	Good afternoon, everyone. We're going to
4	go ahead and get the Louisiana Transportation
5	Authority called to order. Today is
6	Wednesday, September 10th, 2:00, meeting of
7	the Louisiana Transportation Authority.
8	Ms. Lewis, please call the roll.
9	MS. LEWIS:
10	Chairman Bourriaque?
11	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
12	Here.
13	MS. LEWIS:
14	Present.
15	Senator Abraham?
16	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
17	Here.
18	MS. LEWIS:
19	Present.
20	Commissioner Barras?
21	(No response.)
22	Representative oh, Secretary Ledet?
23	SECRETARY LEDET:
24	Present.
25	MS. LEWIS:

```
Representative McFarland?
 1
 2
               (No response.)
 3
              Mr. Perez?
         MR. PEREZ:
 4
 5
              Here.
         MS. LEWIS:
 6
 7
              Senator Stine?
 8
               (No response.)
 9
              Mr. Tepper?
10
         MR. TEPPER:
11
              Present.
12
         MS. LEWIS:
13
              We have a quorum.
14
         CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
15
              Thank you, Ms. Lewis. If you don't mind,
16
         please silence your cell phones. If you must
17
         take a call, please step outside of the
18
         Committee room. And with that, we're going to
19
         go ahead and get straight into the agenda.
20
         Item 3 --
21
         MS. LEWIS:
22
              Before we do that, can I --
23
         CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
24
              Oh, Ms. Lewis?
25
         MS. LEWIS:
```

-- can I walk you through your meeting 1 2 materials real quick --CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: Thank you. 4 MS. LEWIS: 5 -- because it is rather quick. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: 8 Yes. MS. LEWIS: 9 10 You have a large white binder that has a bunch of dividers, that has three sections. 11 12 The first section is your seating chart, your 13 agenda, and your meeting minutes from our last 14 meeting in November of 2024. Section 2 is SB 15 492 and its resolution. It also includes the 16 DOTD meeting presentation slides, the 17 unsolicited proposal presentation slides, and 18 the two Cameron Ferry resolutions that will be 19 discussed today. 20 And then all of the letters, Section 3 21 and all of the letters, which is the rest of 22 the binder, is the full version of the 23 confidential unsolicited proposal. And then 24 in the front part of your white binder, you

have a black folder with a clear cover.

25

that is the public copy of the unsolicited 1 proposal, along with a handout from LabMar, and that's located in the back cover, the handout is. And that's for your reference 4 during this meeting's discussion because it's 5 6 the public copy. 7 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: 8 Thank you very much. And for the record, Ms. Lewis asked me to be sure and get her to 9 10 put that on the record before we started, and 11 I completely forgot, so my apologizes. 12 First thing, if you move to the proper 13 tab, I'll entertain a motion to approve the 14 minutes from the November 19th, 2024 meeting. 15 Moved by Secretary Ledet. Are there any 16 objections? 17 Seeing none, those minutes stand 18 approved. 19 Item No. 4, New Business, we're going to 20 vote on a change in the LA 1 Toll Schedule. 21 Ms. Kelley, you're handling that. 22 MS. KELLEY: 23 Yeah. 24 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAOUE:

Please introduce yourself for the record.

25

MS. KELLEY:

Sure. My name is Morgan Kelley. I am a DOTD Innovative Procurement Attorney. I'm here on behalf of Joe today. So our first item is the Senate Bill 492 Resolution, which is the toll reduction from Class 3, Class 2 toll rates for vehicles towing recreational vessels for LA 1. That was initiated by Senate Bill 492 and enacted last August 2024.

And so we have here an updated Toll
Schedule, but I pulled the language from the
statute just for reference here. It says:
For purposes of proper classification in the
LA1 Toll Schedule, any vehicle towing a
recreational vessel that exceeds the Class 1
specifications shall pay the applicable Class
2 toll rate.

So this is the proposed schedule.

Really, it's very similar to our last

Schedule, except there's a Footnote F. And

Footnote F is the language exactly from the

statute that I just quoted. So it just allows

for that exception to be made.

So that's pretty much it. There's not a whole lot. One more slide. And then if we

want to talk about the effective date of the Toll Schedule change, LA1, as you know, is financed with TIFIA Loans, so and our toll ratings are part of the Pledge for the Loans, so we are required to get approval from Build America Bureau before any Toll Schedule change can take effect.

At this time, we have notified Build
America Bureau that this change is happening,
that this meeting is today. And they know
that as soon as we receive the approved
schedule from LTA, we'll be expediting that
review. In order for this to take effect, we
have to have an updated Loan Agreement and an
updated Pledge Agreement with that.

CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:

Okay. Senator Abraham?
SENATOR ABRAHAM:

I think the question was answered. So to be clear, if the boat length is less than 20 feet -- if the car length is less than 20 feet, you pay the \$4.50, right, under Class 1, I'm assuming.

MS. KELLEY:

Yes, sir.

1 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 2 And then if it's less than 35 feet, that's where the Class 2 comes in half price or whatever, \$10.50, right? 4 MS. KELLEY: 5 So what this effectively does, is 6 Right. 7 that if you have a vehicle towing a 8 recreational vessel with a length that is 35 feet or over, it will be reduced to the Class 9 10 2 toll rate. 11 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 12 Wait a minute. If it's under 35 Okay. 13 feet, I don't think it's over -- that's what 14 I -- did you just say over 35 feet? 15 MS. KELLEY: 16 Yes, sir. Yes, sir. So if you have a 17 vehicle that's long enough to qualify as Class 18 3 and pay the \$21 toll, instead, they'll be 19 paying the Class 2 rate, the \$10.50 toll. 20 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 21 If it's less than 35 feet. 22 MS. KELLEY: 23 Any vehicle that's over 35 feet that 24 would otherwise qualify as a Class 3 will now 25 automatically be reduced to a Class 2 rate.

1 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 2 So you see under Class 2, it says length greater than 20 feet and less than 35 feet, that's the Class 2, but you're telling me that 4 the Class 3 over 35 feet will go back down to 5 \$10.50? 6 7 MS. KELLEY: 8 Yeah, only for vehicles that are towing recreational vessels. Any other vehicle 9 10 that's 35 feet or over will continue to pay 11 the Class 3 rate. So this is just a carve out 12 for basically vehicles that are going down 13 pulling their boats down to, you know, Grand 14 Isle. 15 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 16 So a vehicle that has a vehicle plus the 17 boat is greater than 35 feet is going to pay 18 the \$10.50? MS. KELLEY: 19 20 That's correct. Yeah, where they 21 normally would have been paying the \$21. 22 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: 23 If it's a recreation vessel. 24 MS. KELLEY: 25 That's correct.

1	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
2	Recreational/pleasure vessel.
3	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
4	Right, it goes down to 10.50.
5	MS. KELLEY:
6	Yes, sir.
7	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
8	And automatic, if it's less than 35 feet,
9	it's going to also pay the \$10.50?
LO	MS. KELLEY:
L1	That's right.
L2	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
L3	The total package?
L4	MS. KELLEY:
L5	Yes, sir. So whether it's a Class 1 or 2
L6	depending on the length of the vessel, they
L7	will continue to pay the same rate that they
L8	were paying before.
L9	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
20	Okay. Because when I was reading this,
21	it was very confusing on the length of
22	MS. KELLEY:
23	Yes.
24	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
25	So, again, you're saying greater than

1	35 feet, car or truck pulling a recreational
2	vehicle greater than 35 feet total going to
3	pay the \$10.50.
4	MS. KELLEY:
5	That's correct.
6	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
7	Okay. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
9	Is that a motion?
10	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
11	Yeah, I'll make that motion.
12	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
13	Senator Abraham has voted to authorize
14	the change in the Toll Schedule per Act 361 of
15	Senator Fesi's legislative instrument. Are
16	there any objections to that?
17	Seeing none, that motion stands adopted.
18	Item No. 5, New Business, Cameron Ferry
19	Operations Unsolicited Proposal.
20	MS. KELLEY:
21	Okay. It's me again.
22	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
23	Ms. Kelley.
24	MS. KELLEY:
25	All right. So the Department on behalf

of LTA received an unsolicited proposal for operations and maintenance of the Cameron Ferry service on August 25th, 2025. And so based on that, we have some slides to talk about the current operations, procedurally what we would do next for an unsolicited proposal, and then we'll have LabMar come up and do a presentation as well.

So for the first -- the Cameron Ferry Service at the Calcasieu Ship Channel is currently operated and maintained by DOTD, which is a public owner, of course.

Currently, there is one vessel in service.

It's the Cameron No. II that's been in operation since 1964.

While operating with the Cameron II, we've faced some operational challenges with reliable and consistent service due to required maintenance and dry-docking of the Cameron II, a lack of available end-loading and spare boats, and staffing challenges as well with our staff that operate the Ferry. Currently, when we have the Cameron II down, we have a temporary side-loading vessel.

And you can see a picture here of the M/V

Acadia on the left. The picture on the right shows you the side-loading. The Acadia is on the left right there in parking. And on the right side of the image, you'll see Cameron II, which is an end-loading vessel right there. That is for, you know, extended periods of outage that we can predict. Currently, for short-term outages, we do not have a backup ferry.

So just some information about how the Cameron II currently operates: It does operate 24 hours a day. It goes down for service nightly for routine maintenance for about an hour. Over the last three years, our downtime for operations has averaged about 11.7 percent, which includes 4.2 percent for the one-hour nightly maintenance.

And, crucially, without the operation of the Cameron Ferry Service, the only other option is a 107-mile detour via I10 to cross the Calcasieu Ship Channel. We also have some downtime that we experience because of the 60-year old infrastructure. The landing terminals are aging and they need a lot of maintenance and repair.

Currently, the cost of the ferry to ride set by our Administrative Code, it is \$1 for cars. For pedestrians, it's 50 cents per ride. And it's only charged one way.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we are expecting to have two new vessels delivered in Fiscal Year 2026. Those are the M/V Holly Beach and the M/V Cameron. The pictures right there are just pictures of their current status as of September, but we aren't expecting delivery of the vessels for another few months. And they are on slightly different schedules, just a few months apart.

So this is a discussion of the unsolicited proposal, kind of how the process And I have that same confidentiality works. note that Ms. Lewis provided at the beginning of the meeting, which is we have a public copy which we can discuss on the record. We also have the full unsolicited proposal that we sent the Board. However, we have under LTA statutes, a confidentiality provision that allows for them to mark confidential trade secret proprietary information while we're in the process of potentially procuring their So just note that our discussions services.

are going to be limited to the public copy.

Okay. So here's the unsolicited proposal. Again, on August 25th, 2025, we received, DOTD on behalf of LTA, an unsolicited proposal for the Cameron Ferry Service Operations from LabMar Ferry Services. They also submitted a \$10,000 fee. They also submitted concurrently a copy of their full unsolicited proposal to the affected local jurisdiction, which is the Cameron Parish Police Jury and the local port, which is the Cameron Parish Port, Harbor, and Terminal District.

Just by way of information, LFS LabMar is currently the operator of the New Orleans Regional Transit Authority's ferry systems, the New Orleans Ferries. They operate and maintain that system. And they also assisted with delivery of two new passenger ferries for that system in 2020.

So DOTD did an initial review for potential feasibility and for conformance with the requirements of the statute. The LTA provisions in Title 48 require certain information to be submitted with an

unsolicited proposal for it to be considered.

DOTD did that check, along with our Financial

Advisor, Ernst Young EY. And we did find that

it was in conformance with all of the

provisions of the statute. And that's just a

chart showing the various provisions and where

they're located in the unsolicited proposal.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So process and timeline, that is going to be -- yeah. LTA, of course, as you know, the purpose of the LTA, I'm just going to read it for the record, is to promote development, construction, improvement, expansion and maintenance of an efficient, safe and well-maintained intermodal transportation system for Louisiana's economic growth and the ability of business and industry to compete in regional, national, and global markets, and to provide a high quality of life for the people The legislature made a finding of the State. at inception of LTA, that authorizing LTA to contract with private entities for the development or operation of transportation facilities will provide significant public benefit for the citizens of Louisiana. Finally, the public need for timely and

cost-efficient development or operation of transportation facilities within the State may not be wholly satisfied by existing methods utilized by public entities to develop and operate qualifying transportation facilities.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the process, so this is just a broad overview of how the unsolicited proposal process works in the statute. A private entity will submit an unsolicited proposal for a qualifying transportation facility and a fee to DOTD on behalf of LTA. DOTD staff reviews the unsolicited proposal for conformance, which we just mentioned. Once the conformance of the unsolicited proposal is determined, a public meeting is held for LTA to determine whether it wishes to proceed with competitive solicitation for other potentially interested proposers. And that is a requirement of the statute, we have to bid it out for competitive solicitation if we want to go forward.

If LTA votes to proceed with the competitive solicitation, DOTD prepares and issues a request for competitive proposals on behalf of LTA with a legally required 90-day solicitation period. So, for example, if we

-- if LTA approved it today and we advertised it next week, we would be looking at the end of December or mid December that we would have to run that 90-day period.

At the end of the 90-day solicitation period, DOTD will then evaluate all the proposals received, including the unsolicited one and we can make feasibility findings about the proposals. We are permitted by statute and our guidelines on behalf of LTA to request provisions and clarifications and engage in competitive negotiations with multiple proposers simultaneously if it's desired. So we have a lot of flexibility.

At that point, LTA will reconvene and deliberate whether to award the project to a proposer subject to the Department's approval, because this is a Department Ferry Service, and the express written concurrence of the Secretary. Prior to the final approval by LTA, the Authority will submit the proposal to the House and Senate Committees on Transportation, Highways, and Public Works, which shall call a hearing within 30 days. After those public hearings, to receive

information and public comments, an award is 1 made to the selected proposer. And then LTA and DOTD, as the responsible public entity, will enter into the final agreement with the 4 5 selected proposer. So that's procedural. Now, we can have 6 7 LabMar come up and present. They have some 8 slides and a video for everyone to take a look 9 at. 10 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: 11 Ms. Kelley, one second. 12 MS. KELLEY: 13 Oh, I'm so sorry. Yes, sir, I'm sorry. 14 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: 15 Senator Abraham? 16 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 17 So I can make sure I understand 18 everything we're doing here, so we have 19 unsolicited proposal, we're on Item No. 5, and 20 it's -- and LabMar is getting ready to present 21 that proposal to us. 22 MS. KELLEY: 23 Yes, sir. 24 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 25 And then Item 6, we're going to Okay.

1	vote on whether to accept what they just
2	MS. KELLEY:
3	Yes.
4	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
5	presented?
6	MS. KELLEY:
7	We'll have two votes related to Cameron
8	Ferry; one, do we accept the proposal; and one
9	to bid it out competitively for the 90-day
10	period; and two, are we going to be voting on
11	an amended fee, proposer fee, for this
12	particular project.
13	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
14	Okay. But this was unsolicited. If we
15	move forward, we're voting whether to have a
16	solicited proposal, right?
17	MS. KELLEY:
18	That's right. We're voting on whether or
19	not to bid out the proposal for the service
20	competitively to see if there are any other
21	interested proposers.
22	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
23	That would be Item 7.
24	MS. KELLEY:
25	Yeah, it's a little bit I have all the

slides together. 1 2 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 3 Okay. MS. KELLEY: 4 Yeah. 5 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 6 7 And that's what I was trying to figure 8 out, with Item 6, we're still going to have to, after they present, we're going to vote 9 10 whether we want this to be part of the 11 process, I guess, the LabMar proposal? 12 MS. KELLEY: 13 Well, so they will -- we will consider 14 the unsolicited proposal regardless. 15 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 16 Regardless. 17 MS. KELLEY: 18 But we want to see if LTA is interested 19 in pursuing the idea of privatizing the 20 service, and we're going to bid it out so that 21 we can see if other ferry service providers, marine providers would be interested in 22 23 putting in a proposal. At the end of the 24 90-day period, we would consider all of the

proposals, including LabMar's.

25

1	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
2	Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr.
3	Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
5	Thank you, Senator.
6	Members, if you have any questions, just
7	push the left button on your microphone.
8	Okay. So we have the housekeeping in order.
9	We're good on procedure. And now, we're
10	moving on to the presentation from LabMar.
11	Please introduce yourself for the record.
12	MR. LABORDE:
13	Apparently, we're going to show a video
14	first, but first, let me introduce myself.
15	I'm Peter Laborde. I'm the Managing Member
16	and founding father for Laborde Marine
17	Management, which is the holding company for
18	LabMar Ferries.
19	MR. HEAUSLER:
20	And I'm Richard Heausler, I'm the General
21	Manager of LabMar Ferries.
22	MR. LABORDE:
23	Behind me is Ginny Hootsel our CFO, who
24	is very instrumental in our activity and
25	probably the frontrunner for the success of

our business. She's a terrific employee and is very capable of continuing our success.

(WHEREUPON, THE PRESENTATION VIDEO PLAYED)

MR. HEAUSLER:

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This video highlights our New Orleans operations, which is Chalmette to Lower Algiers Ferry and also our Algiers Point to Canal Street Ferry.

MR. LABORDE:

Okav. Let me -- this may come off a little bit kind of confusing in the beginning, but they've asked me to consolidate about 40 years of experience in the maritime industry in about five minutes. So if I appear to be a little bit jumping around, it's only because I'm trying to highlight that many years of doing this. But just to give you a little bit of background on myself and my family, in that my uncle, who was Alvin Doc Laborde, and my father, John P. Laborde were the forefathers for developing the offshore oil and gas industry. And then Doc Laborde designed the first offshore movable drilling rig, at the same time, designed the first offshore supply

boat, which was at this Ebb Tide which is up there at the moment, and decided to split the companies up in starting, which he started ODECO which is an offshore drilling contractor.

And my father was given the supply boat business which he created under the name of Tidewater. And they were the two of the, probably the leading forefathers for the offshore oil and gas service industry because of these two particular designs and equipment for the industry. I went to work for Tidewater in late '70, early '80s, after graduating from -- now, don't beat me up here -- from Tulane University, and also received my MBA from Tulane, but worked for Tidewater for around 17 years and left then in 1995 and decided to start Laborde Marine.

And, you know, it was a challenge, but I had a number of years of experience in the industry and in different facets while at Tidewater. And so I decided that I felt like this was as good a time as any to do it. I had three babies in diapers and a wife who I had to move to Morgan City from Santa Barbara,

California, who was really not very happy about that, but so I ended up starting our company.

And today, we consist of about 28 or so vessels, both that we own and operate for other boat owners. But about six years ago, when the RTA had already ordered two new aluminum hull ferries to operate in the Mississippi River, once the boats were completed, the U.S. Coast Guard would not -- am I stealing some of your thunder here?

MR. HEAUSLER:

No, it's okay.

MR. LABORDE:

But, honestly, I watched this scenario going for a number of years. And once the boats got built, they ended up sitting idle for about two years waiting for the Coast Guard to issue the COI. Coast Guard was very uncomfortable giving the COI to Transdev, which was operating entity or vendor for RTA for operating both the buses, streetcars, and ferries. And the fringe group had no understanding of ferries whatsoever, but these two ferries were somewhat sophisticated for

the river activity in that they were very skinned, thin-lined aluminum hulls.

And I watched the scenario for a couple of years. And then, I saw on national news that these two ferries were built and not delivered due to the fact that the Coast Guard wouldn't submit the COI. So I began to realize this was somewhat of a black eye for New Orleans and felt very uncomfortable about the situation.

So then, I began to pursue the opportunity of operating those particular boats. And we, eventually in going through all the processes, ended up becoming the successful winner. And so we have been operating those now for five years.

And, you know, what we brought to the -one thing that we developed through the years
was a very strong safety culture. That is
probably the number one entity that you would
get with our particular program, along with
accountability, transparency, anything along
these particular elements of operating a
business. Let me just quickly add, I brought
with us is Brandon Harris, who is our Manager

of Safety for the RTA program. I also have my other son, who is part of the ferry program, who is a naval architect marine engineer and an MBA, as well as Richard, who is a naval architect marine engineer.

So with that, I'm going to turn it over to the pros and I'll step back.

MR. HEAUSLER:

All right. So a little bit to echo what Peter has said here, in early 2019, LabMar Ferry responded to an RFP by the New Orleans Regional Transit Authority and we were awarded an operations and maintenance contract for the ferry operations in New Orleans. At that time, there was no functioning ferry at Algiers Point for over 75 days. There were two brand new boats that had been delivered from Metal Shark and they sat for over two years and could not get a COI on those boats.

And Coast Guard was very angry with the operator and very angry with the RTA about this situation. There was also a large public outcry on the lack of service at Algiers

Point. There were several public hearings that got very heated, very ugly for RTA.

So LabMar was awarded the O&M contract and we assembled a team to right these wrongs. We implemented a temporary crew boat to establish service in Algiers Point so that we had some degree of service. And then we navigated the warranty and certification processes of Metal Shark boats, and they're now performing satisfactorily today.

We also implemented a preventative maintenance program and shifted to a safety and performance base culture for our mariners. Several of our boats would average seven days between major service disruptions between with extreme unreliability of service. So we had a fleet that was just poorly maintained and lack of maintenance and lack of care that was given to these boats. And so this is what we walked in to and we've corrected it.

So to give a little history on, or an overview of our operation here, we run two ferry routes, Algiers Point to Canal Street, and we run Lower Algiers to Chalmette, which is a vehicle-only route. Right now, our fleet consists of one car ferry and two small passenger vessels. In the beginning, we also

initiated some cost saving measures of paring down obsolete and uneconomical and unreliable vessels and barges.

We also helped usher in the new Canal Street terminal design and oversaw the new barge construction at Canal Street. We're getting ready to break ground on Algiers Point renovation and start construction on two new barges to serve Algiers Point and also Lower Algiers. As you see in these pictures here, we believe strongly in professional development. All our mariners have a career path inside of our operations.

In these photos here, you'll see this is our summer cadet program for New Orleans
Military Marine Academy. And each summer, we onboard a handful of cadets and teach them the maritime industry. And then, each new hire has a robust training and on-boarding phase for even the most seasoned mariners to assimilate into our culture. And having a nimble workforce allows us to ramp up for special events such as Mardi Gras or French Quarter Fest, Essence, or Gretna Fest to manage the high volume of crowds.

So one thing we pride ourselves on is our reliability. We boast a 99.56 percent reliability factor. In a typical year, we'll complete over 44,000 voyages with less than 200 missed trips due to mechanical failure. In our best year, we've moved over 973,000 passengers in the New Orleans system. And our hope is that in 2025, we'll surpass 1.1 million passengers carried.

So and since the inception of LabMar, you know, we've carried 3.7 million passengers on our route here. This graph shows you how the City of New Orleans was coming out of COVID and our passenger throughput increased and also we established reliability and consistency with the ferry service. And you can see our numbers have risen dramatically.

Our Chalmette route is typically 1500 vehicles a day. Our Canal Street route is anywhere from 1900 and 1500 passengers a day with much higher numbers on the weekends. And then, when we get to festival season, we can carry 10,000 to 15,000 passengers a day during Mardi Gras and we'll run two ferries simultaneously doing touch and goes.

We pride ourselves in our on-time performance. Our service begins at 6:00 A.M. with departures every 15 minutes. The Chalmette route has 60 scheduled departures, and our Canal Street route has 74.

Depending upon the month, we can have anywhere from 1800 to 2200 scheduled departures in a month. We have a set schedule with departures at the top and bottom of the hour from the Westbank from the 15 and 45 from the Eastbank.

So going through some of the challenges we've identified for DOTD, we want to create a strong performance-based culture inside this operation. With our safety culture, we empower each crew member with the ability to stop the job for any unsafe act through our Stop Work Authority Program. For us, each job begins with a JSA, a Job Safety Analysis, as a method for pre-task planning to ensure that any task can be done safely.

We also have a video-based training program and a monthly all-hands safety meeting for all employees. In our preventive maintenance program, it provides a road map

for the daily tasks and checks for our crew members. We employ all manufacturers' recommendations as well as our industry-best practices in our approach to maintenance.

2.3

We also believe in not deferring any maintenances, as this leads to potential downtime and higher cost during dry dockings. We schedule our vendors to arrive after shutdown to not impact service. Our regulatory dry dockings are closely managed by our team with on-site supervision. We recently completed a five-year dry docking of our Thomas Jefferson successfully in approximately 90 days.

We also see challenges with cash management by the deckhands. Right now, your deck hands are putting cash in their pockets and we have concerns that you may not actually know what cash is properly received. And we intend to implement a strong cash management process.

Right now with our New Orleans ferries, we have counter performance standards for our deckhands where they must come in between 5 and 10 percent triggering a warning or

termination if they are out of variance on cash expected. We also intend to have a fixed departure schedule in lieu of our random schedule for the Cameron Ferry.

So as we look at this in our conversion into, as we say, the PPP, Public Private Partnership, our method of accomplishing this is that we will have a boots-on-the-ground approach. We will have our management teams physically present in Cameron. And I want to stress this carefully that we will invite every employee to join LFS. There is job for everyone and we need everyone to join us.

So I want to make sure that, as everyone starts hearing of this, they understand that change can be very fearful. And we're here to navigate them through this process and make sure that there's -- ease any concerns about the transition to a private contractor.

We intend to normalize compensation and benefits to maritime standards and schedules. In reviewing the current DOTD pay schedules, we feel that the mariners are fairly underpaid compared to industry standards, which is causing recruitment and retention issues in

the mariners. We also are looking at future planning for the new vessels coming out and the ability to crew multiple vessels and to have spare crews. We intend to implement our safety culture, our new hire orientation training, our learning management system, our moxie media video-based training system and will hold monthly safety meetings with our crews.

2.3

We'll also have a team to help manage the delivery of the new builds and to smooth that out and to work with the vendors to make sure that new boats come out. I've delivered in my course of my career approximately two dozen new vessels. And year one of a new boat can be a little bit challenging. Years 2 through 25 are a lot easier. New boats tend to have a few teething pains along the way.

We'll also implement our helm connect maintenance system. We'll develop a robust maintenance plan, our startup checks, and we'll work with the regulatory partners for making sure our Coast Guard inspections go as planned. We'll also be implementing our vessel technology program with computers,

cameras, and Starlink and vessel tracking 1 systems as well. We also hope to implement -we also will be implementing our robust fare collection process and collecting ridership 4 data in real time to be able to compile our 5 NTD and FTA data tracking. That concludes our 6 7 presentation. 8 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: Thank you, Mr. Laborde and Mr. Heausler. 9 10 MR. HEAUSLER: Heausler? 11 12 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: 13 When you've got Bourriaque, you try to do as best you can at times. 14 15 (Senator Jack McFarland, member of the 16 LTA Board, joins the meeting.) 17 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: 18 Let the record show, Chairman McFarland 19 is also in attendance. Thank you, sir. Ι 20 appreciate it. In Transportation, we 21 typically start committees on time. I don't 22 know what y'all do in Appropriations, but 23 y'all have a lot more authority than the 24 little people at Transportation.

But a brief question. Thank you for the

presentation. As I'm sure most are aware,
Senator Abraham and myself both represent
Cameron Parish, and so very familiar with the
operation. Have lived it ourselves for quite
some time, but I only have one question
because I'm scared to death about asking
anything that's in any of these are not
covered by the CA (Confidential Agreement).

So the fares from prior to your operation at RTA, has there been a drastic change? I mean, clearly, the riderships have increased according to data, but have fare rates changed?

MR. HEAUSLER:

No, fares have been very consistent in New Orleans. It's \$2 each way, and then we do offer a variety of discounts for priority riders or senior riders; there's disability. There's children, those are \$1 fares. And like we mentioned, the LA1 tolls, if you're carrying a boat, it's a \$3 fee for the boat; \$2 for the car.

We charge a dollar for each additional passenger, but do understand that those fares are set by RTA and approved by the New Orleans

City Council. So we implement the fare 1 structure that they tell us to collect upon. CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: Thank you. 4 Members? 5 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 6 7 I have a question. 8 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: Senator Abraham? 9 10 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 11 Just to -- I think I heard you right, and 12 I know people have met -- I don't know if 13 y'all met, but others have met with some of 14 the employees down in Cameron on the ferry. 15 Did you say that the hourly rate that you were 16 paying is much greater than what they're 17 getting now? Did I hear that? 18 MR. HEAUSLER: 19 We intend to bring them up to industry 20 standard rates. What they receive right now 21 is much lower than a typical, let's say, tug 22 or even supply boat captain with the 23 equivalent license. Most of the captains are 24 1600-ton license guys and they are well under

paid of what they could make if they drove a

supply boat or a crew boat or something larger. So we feel that's a detriment to attracting top talent to run these boats.

SENATOR ABRAHAM:

Right now, they probably have retirement through the state or parish, I think the state.

MR. HEAUSLER:

Yeah, they get very generous benefits through the DOTD policies. We will convert them to our benefits, our health plans, and our retirement 401ks, pretty topnotch. The retirement will have to, that transition will have to follow the DOTD manuals on how that conversion goes, but they will be well-compensated for anything we take away. SENATOR ABRAHAM:

So just the overall 30,000-foot level, you believe that they will be, even though they might not have the retirement package that might not be as good as the state, but based on the pay other things, 401k, you believe to be far superior for the most part? MR. HEAUSLER:

Absolutely. Their take-home pay is going

to increase quite a bit to get them to industry levels. We don't want to be the highest payer and we don't want to be the lowest payer. We monitor our competitors very carefully. And we want to make sure that they're middle of the road in their compensation packages. But, yes, they will receive a generous increase.

SENATOR ABRAHAM:

Okay. And this is just another question came to mind when I was listening. Why would y'all present an unsolicited proposal? Why wouldn't you just wait to do a solicited proposal? What's -- is there any reasoning behind that? Just kind of curiosity.

MR. HEAUSLER:

We feel it's an opportunity to help the community. As Peter mentioned, he saw his views of New Orleans watching them, I don't want to say, fumble, but watching a brand new vessel sit idle that couldn't get certified. We don't want to see those same mistakes. And I'm not implying that at all, but we feel like we have the ability to help with our knowledge and what we've been doing in New Orleans.

1	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
2	Okay. Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
4	Thank you, Senator Abraham.
5	Secretary Ledet?
6	SECRETARY LEDET:
7	Following Senator Abraham's comments, Mr.
8	Laborde and Mr. Heausler, thank you for your
9	presentation today. Very informative. Just
10	really a comment. Just want to state, you
11	know, the comment that you made, all employees
12	invited to join the program, the pay there as
13	well as the safety culture is obviously very
14	important to us. We have a really good team
15	there, and they do a great job, as you know.
16	And so those two things are very important and
17	we appreciate y'all saying that. And thank
18	you for this informative presentation and the
19	proposal.
20	MR. HEAUSLER:
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
23	Thank you, Secretary Ledet. The Board is
24	clear. Appreciate your presentation and your
25	presence here today.

MR. LABORDE:

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:

Before we move on to Item 6 and 7,
Senator Abraham made a motion on Item 4 on the
toll issue. Chairman McFarland is going to
second that motion. Any objections?

Seeing none, that stands adopted. I'm rusty and haven't been in session since June, so my apologizes.

Okay. So we're back on Item 6.
MS. KELLEY:

As you might expect, I have some more slides for you. Okay. So this is next steps:
Now that we've heard from Laborde Marine, this is -- LTA has a vote to make. You discuss the unsolicited proposal with Laborde.

The next step would be voting on whether or not to proceed with a 90-day solicitation, basically authorizing DOTD on behalf of LTA to send out a request for competitive proposals to allow other interested parties to submit proposals for the same fee. In that, LTA would be required to provide reasons to have a yes vote, which is contained in the Board

resolution that we have in your binders. 1 2 I just want to emphasize that a yes vote does not mean we are going with a privatization of the ferry service. It simply 4 means that the LTA is interested in receiving 5 competitive bids from other proposers and that 6 7 we will be advertising for 90 days. end of that 90 days, while we will consider 8 all proposals unsolicited and solicited, we 9 10 are under no obligation, LTA, to move forward 11 with any of them. 12 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: 13 One second, Ms. Kelley. 14 MS. KELLEY: 15 Sure. 16 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: 17 Senator Abraham? 18 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 19 I would like to kind of talk about all of 20 that housekeeping. So I thought we have to 21 vote on whether to include LabMar on -- we 22 don't have to vote for that? 23 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: 24 I think what Ms. Kelley just said on Item

6 is that the fee paid by LabMar for the

1	unsolicited proposal will remain consistent
2	for subsequent
3	MS. KELLEY:
4	Right.
5	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
6	proposers.
7	MS. KELLEY:
8	The Board has the authority to set a fee;
9	it's a requirement for unsolicited proposals.
10	For solicited proposals and response, we have
11	the option. We are recommending, just for
12	fairness sake, the Department is recommending
13	the same fee that we had LabMar pay to submit
14	their unsolicited proposal, which is \$10,000.
15	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
16	Okay. But to proceed forward with a
17	solicitation, that's another vote, isn't it or
18	you do it all in one?
19	MS. KELLEY:
20	Yes, sir. We're going to do one vote on
21	whether or not to move forward with the
22	solicitation
23	SENATOR ABRAHAM:
24	That's what I was trying to get at.
25	Okay.

1 MS. KELLEY: 2 -- and then a second on the fee. 3 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 4 I just want to make sure the Chairman is in order here. 5 MS. KELLEY: 6 7 Okay. SENATOR ABRAHAM: 8 9 I understand now. All right. Might need 10 to correct him again. 11 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: 12 I appreciate you, sir. Thank you, 13 Senator Abraham. 14 Ms. Kelley? Okay. 15 MS. KELLEY: 16 So if you do decide to move Okay. 17 forward, we would post the request for 18 competitive proposals on the DOTD LTA Innovative Procurement website for interested 19 20 proposers to access. We'll publish twice in 21 the State Journal. We've decided to do the 22 Cameron Pilot and the Advocate for the 23 advertisement. And then, it will be published 24 in the Potpourri section of the Louisiana 25 Register, similar to how we do our other

innovative Procurement Notices of Intent.

Any interested proposers who do reach out to us in response to those advertisements will receive a log-in and password to access the materials they would need, similar to what LabMar received when they made inquiries about operational asset staffing information of the current Cameron Ferry service that will also be provided with the public copy that you have of LabMar's proposal. So I kind of mentioned this early, but the estimated timeline, we have our meeting today, it's September 10th. Should the Board vote to proceed with the competitive solicitation period, that would run September through December 2025.

And then we would expect for the LTA, the Department, and our advisors, like our financial advisors to review any proposals received to analyze feasibility, economic feasibility of the funding structures that we receive in the proposals. At that time and throughout, we will have the opportunity to request revisions, clarifications, or additional information because, again, the way we're structuring this is a competitive

negotiation.

And this is just -- I'm sorry, one more. This is just lagniappe for now, but prior to approving any proposals, LTA would be required to make an official finding that the project proposed serves a public purpose. And there, I've just listed for you the reasons and the statute that must be considered when we're thinking about whether or not it meets a public purpose.

So this would be the agenda item for consideration of the unsolicited proposal and whether or not to vote to bid it out competitively. There should be a resolution in your binders related to that. Ms. Lewis can show you if you want.

CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:

Ms. Lewis?

MS. LEWIS:

It's just after the LabMar presentation in Section 2.

CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:

So for Item No. 6 --

MS. KELLEY:

Yes, sir.

1	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
2	Senator Abraham is rubbing off on me
3	now, we do need a motion that's going to
4	establish the fee to keep it consistent?
5	MS. KELLEY:
6	Okay. I'm sorry. It's actually Item 7.
7	I reversed it on the agenda.
8	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
9	Okay. No problem.
10	MS. KELLEY:
11	My fault, sorry.
12	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
13	But Item 6 is what we were
14	MS. KELLEY:
15	No, we were discussing Item 7.
16	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
17	We were on 7, okay.
18	MS. KELLEY:
19	And this is my fault. I wrote up this
20	agenda in this order, but it makes sense, I
21	think, to vote on the solicitation first, and
22	then if you do vote yes to talk about the fee.
23	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
24	Okay. So before we entertain that
25	motion, I would be remiss if I didn't at least

in some shape, form, or fashion address the amount of effort that has taken place in keeping this asset running to date. And I want the record to show that I and Senator Abraham are both very appreciative that Secretary Ledet and Mr. Sibley, Mr. East on behalf of District Administrator Woods actually came to Cameron and visited with staff face to face and heard concerns. And so I want to first commend them for communicating what the process would be early on, not what was decided in Baton Rouge and handed down. And so for the record, I wanted to acknowledge that.

Cameron Ferry No. II, 1964, I believe it was commissioned, I think that's the date.

And if my South Cameron math is right, it's around 61 years, almost as old as Senator

Abraham, two or three years. That's not bad.

He's a good looking 63.

SENATOR ABRAHAM:

Not really.

CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:

We have seen the limitations, let's say, of the temporary ferry, which is the Acadia.

It is a side-loading ferry. Okay. And we have Mr. Tepper here with LED and Mr. Stelly, so we're well aware of the amount of economic development and investment going on both sides of the Channel.

For the record, I'd also say that we have quite a bit of nature and eco-based tourism in the parish, so it's not only an industrial discussion. At times, this is not going to make sense, but in my time in local government, the Cameron Ferry was part of the state DOTD directed alternate route for a disaster, if that makes any sense. So the August 2016 Flood, I10 shut down. You had two options, you went across the Cameron Ferry or you drove to Shreveport.

So this asset is not only for locals and it's not only for local industry, it is a state asset. And I will say that the individuals, many of whom are locals, who are piecing this together, as the Governor says sometimes, with bubble gum and duct tape, I want to commend them for their efforts up until this point. That's only fair. And I, again, I applaud the Secretary for allowing us

to have that visit with staff one on one.

I think in moving -- I'm going to stop there for a second in case anyone has any -everybody is good? Because I can keep going.

In deliberating my own personal position on this, I think we need to know what we don't know. Okay. And so what this, in my mind, what this 90-day period will do, will allow Members of the LTA to understand the thought process on moving to privatization on other assets that the Department has already done. What precedent has already taken place in this state on similarly-situated assets and how has that presented itself to the public and to the Department? And so that's one item for me.

A subsequent item is, can we improve on what we are trying to provide now? And I think that the proposals from any eventual proposer will address a lot of those concerns. If there is a way for us to get an understanding of what criteria are going to go into that review, I think that's helpful for us to have an understanding of also.

Senator Abraham?
SENATOR ABRAHAM:

Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chairman. Along those same lines, I know there's people that are going to ask, well, when you do privatization, is that going to increase the cost or not? Now, you have efficiency and all those sorts of things, which you can pay a little bit more for that.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I guess my question is, when we finally go to review all this after the proposals come in, that Secretary Ledet, I'm assuming, you will be providing us what, I quess, would be an average cost yearly to run that currently, right, so that we can compare what it's costing from the state side and then what these new proposals are presenting and whether it's going to be less expensive or more expensive? And then we choose the more expensive because it's more efficient. So I want the public to know, whoever is listening, that we're very aware and a lot of people might think that we're giving something so some contractor can make extra money, and that's not the situation.

We're hoping that maybe we can actually save dollars with privatization. And so

that's what we're going to be about in that. 1 2 And we're going to analyze that whole proposal with that thought in mind. So anyway, thank 4 you. SECRETARY LEDET: 5 Thank you, Senator Abraham. 6 Yeah. 7 as you said, we'll have a financial review 8 both internally at the Department as well as our financial consultant, Ernst & Young, and 9 10 they will look at those costs as well as the 11 average cost to operate this and provide that feedback after this solicitation period is 12 13 closed. So that's correct. 14 SENATOR ABRAHAM: 15 Okay. Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: 17 Thank you, Secretary Ledet. 18 Okay. Members? 19 Mr. Tepper? 20 MR. TEPPER: 21 And this may be for Secretary Ledet, is 22 there a regulatory body that would oversee any 23 potential changes in the tolling fee like RTA 24 does in the New Orleans area in Cameron?

CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:

Secretary Ledet? 1 SECRETARY LEDET: I'm going to actually kick back to Ms. Kelley and ask her the same question. 4 MS. KELLEY: 5 I would anticipate that it would Okay. 6 7 be part of our agreement that we would 8 eventually make with the tolling operator -excuse me, the ferry operator that DOTD will 9 10 have discretion in setting rates or approving 11 It wouldn't just be solely to the 12 discretion of the operator. MR. TEPPER: 13 I was really wondering, is there one in 14 15 place now? 16 MS. KELLEY: 17 Currently, there is an Administrative 18 Code Article for ferries owned and operated by 19 the State, so that's in Title 70 of the 20 Louisiana Administrative Code and it does set 21 the current fare, the dollar for vehicles and 22 the 50 cents for pedestrians. But that is, 23 again, for ferries that are owned and operated

by a public authority.

MR. TEPPER:

24

1	Thank you.
2	MS. KELLEY:
3	Uh-huh.
4	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
5	Secretary Ledet?
6	SECRETARY LEDET:
7	Yeah, so that's the fare for all of our
8	ferries across the state.
9	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
10	Okay.
11	MS. KELLEY:
12	Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
14	Ms. Kelley, the Board is clear. So we
15	are entertaining a vote on whether to proceed
16	with the 90-day competitive solicitation.
17	MS. KELLEY:
18	Yes, Item 7.
19	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
20	Item 7, no problem. Just making sure
21	because I didn't get a second earlier.
22	Senator Abraham makes the motion. Seconded by
23	Secretary Ledet to proceed with the 90-day
24	competitive solicitation. Are there any
25	objections?

Seeing none, the solicitation will proceed.

Now to the next item, vote on project specific guidelines and unsolicited proposal fee.

MS. KELLEY:

Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:

So just for the record -- Ms. Kelley?
MS. KELLEY:

Okay. I've got a slide, maybe a few. Go ahead. So, typically, LTA has a procedure in its guidelines that LTA annually would typically set an unsolicited proposal fee. Because we haven't received many of those, we haven't annually set it. The last one set was in 2014 for \$50,000 per unsolicited proposal fee.

Now those fees are set, you know, keeping in mind what the review cost, the complexity of the project, you know, what kind of advisors we'll need to bring in. So because this is simply an operations and maintenance proposal, not a design, build, finance, operate and maintain, like a full P3 would be,

we're recommending that we charge a \$10,000 proposal fee. And we kind of set that parameter in concert with EY. We talked through what we would need for them as far as the financial feasibility analysis. So that's one of the things.

And so in order to do that, we have a resolution and we have, like, some supplemental guidelines that we would kind of append onto our standard guidelines. Oh, okay. That was just the one. So that's really what this next agenda item is about. It's just approving the \$10,000 fee retroactive to August 25th, the date that LabMar submitted their unsolicited proposal, to allow for them -- for that fee to be in place for them and also going forward for the proposers that respond to the competitive solicitation.

CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:

On the last bullet --

MS. KELLEY:

Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BOURRIAOUE:

-- the supplemental project specific

guidelines -- that's good. I'm going to read 1 it. MS. KELLEY: 4 Okay. 5 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: By resolution, it says these were last 6 7 approved in 2009. So I'm assuming --8 MS. KELLEY: 9 That's correct. 10 CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE: 11 -- that there is some adjustments in what 12 that guideline constituted versus what we're 13 looking at today. 14 MS. KELLEY: 15 Really the only adjustment for today 16 would be the proposal fee and then would be --17 typically, the guidelines require a cashier's 18 check written out to LTA. We've never done 19 Even for Jimmie Davis unsolicited that. 20 proposal a few years ago, the check was 21 written to LADOTD since we're incurring the 22 costs. So the other piece other than the fee 23 itself is to allow for proposers to submit the 24 cashier's check to LADOTD. That's really the

only deviation from the guidelines.

1	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
2	So no other substantive
3	MS. KELLEY:
4	Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
6	item that we need to be aware of?
7	MS. KELLEY:
8	That's correct.
9	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
10	Okay. Members?
11	Okay. The Board is clear. Senator
12	Abraham has moved to authorize the project
13	specific guidelines as well as the unsolicited
14	proposal fee. Seconded by Secretary Ledet.
15	Any objections?
16	Seeing none, those guidelines and the
17	proposal fee stand approved.
18	MS. KELLEY:
19	Okay.
20	CHAIRMAN BOURRIAQUE:
21	Item 8, we have public comment. We do
22	have cards present. Will provide information
23	if requested, Mr. Kevin Reed, Steven Sibley,
24	we've heard from Ms. Kelley, Scott Rundell,
25	Meredith Hathorn. Did we get everybody?

1			Anyone	else?					
2			Okay.	Senator	Abraham	has	moved	to	
3	ad	ljou	ırn.						
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, KELLY J. DOMIANO, a Certified Court
Reporter, Certificate #23035, in good standing with
the State of Louisiana, as the officer before whom
this meeting was taken, do hereby certify that the
foregoing 61 pages;

That this testimony was reported by me in stenographic machine shorthand by Computer-Aided Transcription, transcribed by me or under my personal direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding;

That the transcript has been prepared in compliance with transcript format guidelines required by statute or by rules of the Board, that I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions of the Board; that I am not of counsel nor related to any person participating in this cause and am in no way interested in the outcome of this event.

2.

This certification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my handwritten or digital signature and the image of my State-authorized seal on this page. Kelly J. Domians Signed: KELLY J. DOMIANO, CCR