



# Proposal for Pointe-A-La-Hache Ferry Landing Replacement

CONTRACT No. 4400026585

**STATE PROJECT No.** H.006226.5

FEDERAL AID PROJECT No. H006226

SUBMITTED BY: Hardesty & Hanover, LLC

May 17<sup>th</sup>, 2023





3850 N. Causeway Boulevard Suite 1625 Metairie, LA 70002 T: 504.962.9212 www.hardestyhanover.com

May 12, 2023

Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development Consultant Contracts Services Electronic Submission to: DOTDConsultantAds80@la.gov

#### RE: Contract No. 4400026585 POINTE-A-LA-HACHE FERRY LANDING REPLACEMENT, PLAQUEMINES PARISH

Dear Selection Committee Members:

Hardesty & Hanover (H&H) is pleased to submit our qualifications to LADOTD to provide Engineering Design services for the Replacement of the Pointe-A-La-Hache Ferry Landing in Plaquemines Parish. We are proud of our long history working in Louisiana, dating back to 1896 with the historic Waddell A-Truss Bridge over Cross Bayou in Shreveport, and our continued partnership on a variety of challenging projects. As leader in bridge engineering, our firm brings a legacy of providing engineering excellence for over 136 years for marine infrastructure such as ferries, piers, seawalls, and docks as well as bridges of all types. Ranked as one of **ENR's Top 10 Bridge Firms** in the country, H&H has the full capability, available capacity, and extensive bridge engineering experience to perform all contract services required. We have identified a large pool of qualified bridge engineers within our company that have relevant experience to meet your schedule and achieve your goals that will result in a safe, dependable, and sustainable infrastructure for our fellow Louisiana residents and stakeholders.

**Our Staff:** H&H's Project Manager, Babak Naghavi, PE, Ph.D., brings extensive experience successfully managing cross-discipline, multi-year engineering project contracts. His knowledge of design and construction, combined with his dedication, project management capabilities, and reputation with LADOTD make him an ideal candidate for this position. H&H's team of Technical Discipline Leads includes Ray Mankbadi, PE (Geotechnical Engineering), Steve Mikucki, PE (Mechanical Engineering), Andrew Barthle, PE (Electrical Engineering), Rob Viciedo, PE (Structural Engineering), Robb Hideck, PE (Roadway Design), and Fred Wetekamm, PE (Constructability).

**Our Project Team:** Hardesty & Hanover has strong working relationships with our subconsultants Moffatt & Nichol, APS Engineering and Testing, Ardaman & Associates, Chustz Surveying, and Urban Systems working on similar previous projects. To round out our capabilities we have added Pelican Marine Design, LLC who is well-qualified to perform marine design of the pontoon barges. Together, we bring proven engineering design and pre-construction services; successful delivery of numerous other LADOTD projects on time and on budget; resources that meet and exceed your Minimum Personnel Requirements; and a roster of qualified professionals in all required disciplines with availability to begin work immediately.

Firm	Role
Hardesty & Hanover, LLC	Project Management; Geotechnical, Structural, Mechanical, Electrical, and Roadway Design; Constructability
Ardaman & Associates, Inc.	Geotechnical Investigations
APS Engineering & Testing, LLC	Geotechnical Investigations
Chustz Surveying, Inc.	Land and Hydrographic Surveys
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Coastal Design and Scour Analysis
Pelican Marine Design, Inc.	Design of Pontoon Barges
Urban Systems, Inc.	Traffic Services including TCPs, and TMPs

**DBE Participation:** We will meet the 4% DBE participation goal of this contract with the inclusion of APS Engineering & Testing for geotechnical investigations and Urban Systems for traffic Services. We have worked with both firms successfully in the past.

LADOTD Experience: Our team assigned to these projects have comprehensive experience using LADOTD standards and specifications featuring staff that has previously worked at LADOTD for over 25 years and/or working on prior LADOTD projects. Because we are thoroughly familiar with LADOTD procedures and design standards, we will be able to work at accurately and swiftly from the beginning of the contract. Our staff from H&H meet and exceed all the MPRs required under this contract.

We appreciate your consideration and would sincerely appreciate the opportunity to work with LADOTD on this important project. Do not hesitate to contact me at 504-962-9212 or <u>BNaghavi@hardestyhanover.com</u> if you need clarification or additional information about our qualifications.

Sincerely, Hardesty & Hanover

Babak Nayhari

Babak Naghavi, PE, PhD, PH – H&H Regional Manager Project Manager and Point of Contact

# **DOTD FORM: 24-102**

(Revised January 1, 2023)

#### PROPOSAL TO PROVIDE CONSULTANT SERVICES

Prime consultant shall complete the DOTD Form 24-102 without altering the Form's text; however, the instruction and/or guidance for Sections 12 through 23 can be removed but do not remove Section title and number.

ANY CONSULTANT FAILING TO SUBMIT ANY OF THE INFORMATION REQUIRED ON THE DOTD FORM 24-102, OR PROVIDING INACCURATE INFORMATION ON THE DOTD FORM 24-102, MAY BE CONSIDERED NON-RESPONSIVE.

1.	Contract Name as shown in the advertisement	Pointe-A-La-Hache Ferry Landing Replacement Plaquemines Parish
2.	Contract Number(s) as shown in the advertisement	Contract No. 4400026585 Federal Aid Project No. H006226
3.	State Project Number(s), if shown in the advertisement	State Project No. H.006226.5
4.	Prime consultant name (name must match as registered with the Louisiana Secretary of State where such registration is required by law)	Hardesty & Hanover, LLC
5.	Prime consultant license number (as registered with the Louisiana Professional Engineering and Land Surveying Board (LAPELS) if registration is required under Louisiana law)	E.F. 0005124
6.	Prime consultant mailing address	3850 N. Causeway Boulevard, Ste. 1625 Metairie, LA 70002
7.	Prime consultant physical address (existing or to be established, if location is used as an evaluation criteria)	3850 N. Causeway Boulevard, Ste. 1625 Metairie, LA 70002
8.	Name, title, phone number, and email address of prime consultant's contract point of contact	Babak "Bobby" Naghavi, PhD, PE, PH, Regional Manager 504.605.7940 <u>bnaghavi@hardestyhanover.com</u>
9.	Name, title, phone number, and email address of the official with signing authority for this proposal	Babak "Bobby" Naghavi, PhD, PE, PH, Regional Manager 504.605.7940 <u>bnaghavi@hardestyhanover.com</u>

Prime consultant should enter the firm name in the footer at the bottom of this page. (It will carry over to subsequent pages.)

10. This is to certify that all information contained herein is accurate and true, and	
that the team presently has sufficient staff to perform these services within the	
designated time frame. By submitting this proposal, proposer certifies that it is	
not engaged in a boycott of Israel and it will, for the duration of its contract	
obligations, refrain from a boycott of Israel. Proposer also certifies and agrees	Rahar Maghari
that the following information is correct: In preparing its response, the proposer	J
has considered all proposals submitted from qualified, potential subcontractors	Signature above shall be the same person listed
and suppliers, and has not, in the solicitation, selection, or commercial treatment	in Section 9:
of any subcontractor or supplier, refused to transact or terminated business	
activities, or taken other actions intended to limit commercial relations, with a	
person or entity that is engaging in commercial transactions in Israel or Israeli-	Date: 5/17/2023
controlled territories, with the specific intent to accomplish a boycott or	
divestment of Israel. The proposer also has not retaliated against any person or	
other entity for reporting such refusal, termination, or commercially limiting	
actions. DOTD reserves the right to reject the response of the bidder or proposer	
if this certification is subsequently determined to be false, and to terminate any	
contract awarded based on such a false response.	
11. If a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal has been set for this	<u>Firm(s):</u>
advertisement, indicate which firm(s) will be used to meet the DBE goal and	APS Engineering and Testing, LLC 3.5%
each firm(s)' percentage.	Urban Systems, Inc. 0.5%

#### **<u>12. Past Performance Evaluation Discipline Table:</u>**

As indicated in the advertisement, insert the completed table here. The percentages for the prime and sub-consultants must total 100% for each past performance evaluation discipline, as well as the overall total percent of the contract.

The **only** past performance evaluation disciplines to be used are: Road, Bridge, Traffic, CE&I/OV, Geotech, Survey, Environmental, Data Collection, Planning, Right-of-Way, CPM, ITS, Appraiser and Other (please specify).

Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)	% of Overall Contract	Prime Hardesty & Hanover, LLC	Ardaman & Associates Inc.	APS Engineering and Testing, LLC	Chustz Surveying Inc.	Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Pelican Marine Design, Inc.	Urban Systems, Inc.	Each Discipline must total to 100%
Bridge	86%	88.5%				7.5%	4%		100%
Road	2%	100%							100%
Geotech	8%		56%	44%					100%
Survey	3.5%				100%				100%
Traffic	0.5%							100%	100%
	Identify the percentage of work for the <b>overall contract</b> to be performed by the prime consultant and each sub-consultant.								
Percent of Contract	100%	78%	4.5%	3.5%	3.5%	6.5%	3.5%	0.5%	100%

#### 13. Firm Size:

Firm name	DOTD Job Classification	Number of personnel committed to this contract	Total number of personnel available in this DOTD Job Classification (if needed)
Hardesty & Hanover, LLC	Principal	2	4
	Supervisor – Eng	5	12
	Engineer	10	24
	Engineer - Other	4	32
	Engineer Intern	1	24
	Administrative	1	2
Ardaman & Associates, Inc.	Administrative	1	1
	Clerical	1	1
	Engineer	2	4
	Engineer Intern	3	6
	Principal	2	2
	Senior Technician	7	9
	Supervisor – Eng	3	3
	Supervisor – Other	3	3
	Technician	10	14
APS Engineering & Testing	Engineer	3	3
	Driller	8	8
	Technician	12	12
Chustz Surveying, LLC	Administrative	1	2
	CADD Operator	1	3
	GIS Analyst	1	3
	Instrument Man	2	5
	Party Chief	2	5
	Principal	1	1
	Rodman	2	5
	Supervisor - Other	3	4
	Surveyor	2	3
Moffatt & Nichol	Supervisor- Eng	2	10
	Engineer- Other	2	10
	Professional	1	6
	Principal	1	2
Pelican Marine Design, LLC	Supervisor- Eng	2	2

Urban Systems, Inc.	Supervisor- Eng	2	2
	Engineer	2	2
	Engineer Intern	1	1
	Senior Technician	1	1
	CADD Technician	1	1
	Engineering - Aide	2	3

#### 14. Organizational Chart:

Peter Johnson, PE

Sairam Eddanpudi, PE<sup>6</sup>..... Surendra Raj Pathak, PE<sup>6</sup>..... Van George<sup>7</sup> .....



#### **<u>15. Minimum Personnel Requirements:</u>**

MPR No. Do not insert wording from ad	Personnel being used to meet the MPR (Individual(s) may not satisfy more than one MPR unless specifically allowed by Attachment B of the advertisement)	Firm employed by	Type of license and discipline meeting MPR/ certification & number (Ex. PE # - Civil)	State of license	License / certification expiration date
1	Babak Naghavi	Hardesty & Hanover	PE# 20745 - Civil	LA	9/30/2024
2	Babak Naghavi	Hardesty & Hanover	PE# 20745 - Civil	LA	9/30/2024
3	Timothy Noles	Hardesty & Hanover	PE# 31675 – Civil	LA	9/30/2023
3	Robert Viciedo	Hardesty & Hanover	PE# 36533 – Civil	LA	3/31/2024
3	James Newberry	Hardesty & Hanover	PE# 45742 – Civil	LA	9/30/2023
3	Benjamin Hawthorne	Hardesty & Hanover	PE# 44620 – Civil	LA	9/30/2024
3	Steve Mikucki	Hardesty & Hanover	PE# 44849 – Mechanical	LA	3/31/2025
3	Travis Kimmins	Hardesty & Hanover	PE# 43676 – Mechanical	LA	3/31/2024
3	Andrew Barthle	Hardesty & Hanover	PE# 34062 – Electrical	LA	3/31/2025
3	Christopher Svara	Hardesty & Hanover	PE# 44080 – Electrical	LA	3/31/2024
3	Marco Lara	Hardesty & Hanover	PE# 44115 - Electrical	LA	3/31/2024
4	Robert Hideck	Hardesty & Hanover	PE# 41953 – Civil	LA	3/31/2024
5	James H. Chustz, Jr.	Chustz Surveying, LLC	PLS #4657 - Survey	LA	3/31/2024
5	Julian Chustz	Chustz Surveying, LLC	PLS # 5251 - Survey	LA	9/30/2023
6	Ray Mankabadi	Hardesty & Hanover	PE# 41609 – Civil	LA	9/30/2023
6	Robert Jewell	Ardaman & Associates, Inc.	PE# 38579 - Civil	LA	9/30/2024
6	Albert Ayenu-Prah, Jr	Ardaman & Associates, Inc.	PE# 37402 – Civil	LA	3/31/2025
6	Megan Bourgeois	Ardaman & Associates, Inc.	PE# 36725 - Civil	LA	3/31/2024
6	Robert Jewell	Ardaman & Associates, Inc.	PE# 38579 - Civil	LA	9/30/2024
6	Robert Rousset	Ardaman & Associates, Inc.	PE# 38637 - Civil	LA	9/30/2024
6	Sergio Aviles	APS Engineering and Testing	PE# 33571 – Civil	LA	3/31/2024
6	Sairam Eddanapudi	APS Engineering and Testing	PE #35129 – Civil	LA	3/31/2024
6	Surendra Raj Pathak	APS Engineering and Testing	PE #43487 – Civil	LA	9/30/2023
7	Jim Porter	Ardaman & Associates, Inc.	N/A		
7	Van George	APS Engineering and Testing	N/A		

Firm Employed by       Hardesty & Hanover         Name       Babak Naghavi, Phi         Title       Regional Manager		Employed by	Hardesty & Hanover	r			
		e	Babak Naghavi, Ph	D, PE, PH	Years of relevant experience with this employer	5	
			Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	35			
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization			1	PhD / 1993 / Civil Engineering / Louisiana State University MS / 1982 / Civil Engineering / Louisiana State University BS / 1979 / Civil Engineering / Louisiana State University			
Active registration number / state / expiration date			/ expiration date	Professional En NEPA Transpor ATSSA Traffic Highway Safety	gineer: 20745 / LA / 9/30/2024 rtation Decision Making Workshop Control Supervisor Refresher – ATSSA Flagger Manual Workshop		
Year registered 1983 Discipline			Discipline	Civil and Envir	onmental Engineering		
Contract role(s) / brief description of responsibilities		<b>Project Manag</b>	er Meets - MPR 1, 2				
Experience dates (mm/yy-mm/yy)Experience and qualifications relevan intersection", etc. Experience dates sh			qualifications relevant tc. Experience dates sh	t to the proposed c hould cover the ye	ontract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed girders", "de ars of experience specified in the applicable MPR(s).	signed	
01/17 – Present Bayou Teche Movable Bridge at Oaklawn system for this movable bridge located in St slewing (push-pull) cylinders. The project wa			vable Bridge at Oaklawr responsible for the desigr ovable bridge located in St I) cylinders. The project wa	n   St. Mary Parish, n, calculations, and p t. Mary Parish, LA. T as on hold; now pres	LA   LADOTD lan preparation of the bridge power distribution and relay-based co he new through girder swing-span rotates with hydraulically-actuat ently in the design phase.	ontrol ted	
01/11 - 12/12 S.P. 700-38-0110: Belle Chasse Tunnel-Electrical, Mechanical & Structural Rehab. Design   Plaqumenines Parish, LA   LADOT Project Manager provided design support and construction engineering & inspection services for the repair/rehabilitation of the Belle Chasse Tunnel. Scope of work included leak sealing by injection of grout/resin materials with associated sealing of joints; repair of existing gratings and supporting concrete; replacement of the existing lighting system; installation of tunnel height detection system at tunnel entrances; repair or refurbishment of all discharge piping; installation of the generator and automatic transfer switch; replacement of louvers for ventilation rooms; replacement of ventilation fan motors; and the repair/replacement of pumps and motors.					DOTD elle n at ement		
08/20 - PresentL H.001498.6; LA 24 and LA 16 Company Project Manager delivering construction en include daily monitoring of all construction a government, and utilities; performing field te preparing final estimate packages; conduction			A 24 and LA 16 Company delivering construction er itoring of all construction a utilities; performing field te timate packages; conducti	Canal Vertical Lift agineering and inspe- activities; maintaining esting; maintaining re ng construction prog	Bridge   Bourge, LA   LADOTD ction services for a new vertical lift bridge and operator's house. So all construction field records; coordinating with DOTD, contractor, cords of contractual operations, pay estimates and progress repor ress meetings; and construction close-out.	ervices parish ts;	

01/19 – Present	Lapalco Boulevard Movable Bridge over Harvey Canal   Jefferson Parish, LA   Jefferson Parish DPW Project Manager for the pre-design inspection and design of a new three-lane double bascule movable bridge crossing of Harvey Canal and the widening of the existing four-lane Lapalco Boulevard to provide a facility carrying three lanes of traffic in each direction. The new bridge is constructed as an independent structure immediately adjacent and north of the existing bridge with a new operator house. The project includes rehabilitation to the existing four-lane bridge with three lanes of traffic and a new pedestrian/bike lane. The scope of services also includes improvements to bridge and roadway approaches for eastbound and westbound traffic as well as the development of a Traffic Control Plan. The project is designed in accordance to LADOTD Standards and Specifications.
01/20 – Present	Almonaster Avenue Railroad Bridge of the Industrial Canal Rehabilitation   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans Project Manager for the bridge assessment, rehabilitative engineering design, and construction inspection services required for the partial replacement of the Almonaster Avenue Bridge, a movable Strauss-heel trunnion bridge. H&H's 2019 assessment of the circa- 1920, National Register of Historic Places eligible bridge revealed that improvements to the electrical and mechanical systems, superstructure, and counterweight were required to return this bridge to its full operating capability. Although the existing substructure could remain, modifications to other bridge elements were deemed necessary to accommodate the rehabilitated superstructure. H&H developed necessary design plans to replace the span drive and span lock machinery, operating strut, guide assembly, live load bearings, counterweight trunnion pin, and bushing. The main trunnion bearings were rehabilitated and repositioned. The project is designed in accordance to LADOTD Standards and Specifications.
06/18 - 06/21	SR-605 Movable Bascule Bridge over Industrial Waterway   Harrison County, MS   MDOT Project Manager responsible for design, plan review, and quality control for full rehabilitation design of the SR-605 bascule bridge as a task-order to the IDIQ Master Bridge Contract. The scope included engineering assessment; mechanical, electrical and structural designs; and preparation of Traffic Control Plans. All designs were completed in accordance with AASHTO, FHWA, and MDOT guidelines and specifications.
03/18 - 06/20	SR-609 Movable Bascule Bridge over Old Fort Bayou IDIQ Master Bridge Design Contract   Ocean Springs, MS   MDOT Project Manager responsible for full rehabilitation of SR 609 bascule bridge as a task-order to the IDIQ Master Bridge Contract which includes developing standard and special bridge services statewide for MDOT. The scope of work included inspection and rehabilitation of structural, mechanical, and electrical bridge components, roadway approaches, and development of maintenance and repair plans. All designs are in accordance with AASHTO, FHWA, and MDOT guidelines and specifications.
06/11 - 06/16	S.P. No. 064-05-0085 (CE&I), Bayou Lafourche Bridge at Larose   Lafourche Parish, LA   LADOTD Project Manager responsible for construction engineering and inspection services for an on-system vertical lift bridge. This new bridge replaces the former LA 310 pontoon bridge at LA 657 extension to LA 308. This is the largest span lift bridge in the State of Louisiana. Scope of work included concrete piers, installation of structural steel members, welding, bolted connections, anchor bolts, field painting, and concrete approaches. Work involved the structural steel paint inspection of all bolted connections. This \$30 million project is the 3rd largest ARAA funded transportation project in the state. Responsibilities included overall supervision; coordination with LADOTD, Parish, and Coast Guard; and QA/QC of project documentation.

	Firm Employed by		Hardesty & Hanover	r			
	Name	ne Stephen Mikuck		ЪЕ	Years of relevant experience with this employer	27	
Title			Principal Associate/ Mechanical Enginee	Chief r	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	1	
Degree(s) / Y	Years / Spe	ecialization		B.E. / 1990 / M	ech. Engineering / Manhattan College		
Active regist	tration num	nber / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	gineer: 44849 / LA / 3/31/2025		
Year register	red 2	2020	Discipline	Mechanical Eng	gineering		
Contract role	e(s) / brief o	description	n of responsibilities	Quality Contro	ol – Mechanical - Meets MPR 3		
Experience d	ates Exp	perience an	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gi	rders",	
(mm/yy–mm	/yy) "des	signed inter	rsection", etc. Experier	nce dates should c	over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	IPR(s).	
02/14 – Present 02/14 – Pres				tion and innial			
01/11 – 06/ <sup>-</sup>	2010 NYC Ferry Ops-Transfer Bridge Inspection at Staten Island Ferry Term   Staten Island & Manhattan, NY   New York DO Lead Mechanical Engineer for the mechanical & electrical inspections and reports for 7 transfer slips (3 on the Manhattan Side / Whitehall Terminal, and 4 on the Staten Island Side / St. George Terminal). Each slip has two upper pedestrian bridges, and one low vehicular bridge. The lower bridge is used to moor the Ferry into position during docking operations.				DOT / e lower		
08/18 – 12/	19 Melle newl supp	Keller Ferry Landings Replacement   Keller, WA   Washington State DOT Ferries Lead Mechanical Engineer for electrical and mechanical system design implementation. This project involved developing electrical and mechanical plans, specifications, and cost estimates to relocate the operating equipment for two existing floating ferry landings onto two newly fabricated floating ferry landing. Designed improvements to the winch operating systems and developed a new vessel roller support cradle system. Construction support services were also provided.					
10/21 – Pres	ent of the RFI r	ner Island Fe d Mechanica ctural, mecha ne older, cable chanical and e response, fie	rry Landing Ramps Repl Il Engineer responsible fo inical, and electrical inspect e pulley ramps. The new in electrical systems for these eld visits, shop inspections	lacement   Miami-D ir the mechanical dee ction of the ramps, H ramps will operate h e ramps as well as p , and tests.	ade County, FL   Fisher Island Community Association, Inc. sign of two ferry landing ramps on Fisher Island. Following an in- I&H recommended a course of action for rehabilitation and replace ydraulically and be rated for AASHTO HS20. H&H is designing th roviding construction support services including shop drawing rev	depth ement ie iew,	

	Almonaster Avenue Railroad Bridge over the Industrial Canal   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans
	Mechanical Systems Expert contributed to the bridge assessment, complete rehabilitative engineering design, and construction
	inspection services required for the partial replacement of the Almonaster Avenue Bridge, a movable Strauss-heel trunnion bridge.
06/18 – 12/18	H&H's 2019 assessment revealed that improvements to the electrical and mechanical systems, superstructure, and counterweight were
00/10 12/10	required to return this bridge to its full operating capability. Modifications were deemed necessary to accommodate the rehabilitated
	superstructure. H&H developed necessary design plans to replace the span drive and span lock machinery, operating strut, guide
	assembly, live load bearings, counterweight trunnion pin, and bushing. The main trunnion bearings were rehabilitated and repositioned.
	St. George Station Slip Bridge Rehab at Ferry Terminal   Staten Island, NY   New York City DOT
	Project Manager for the development of contract plans and specifications for the machinery systems that operate three movable
12/94 - 08/00	bridges per ferry slip. Also managed shop and field inspection of work related to emergency reconstruction of one slip damaged by a
12/34 - 00/00	ferry accident. \$90 million mechanical and electrical rehabilitation design for slip bridges 3, 5, 4 and 6. Also provided emergency
	inspection, report, and construction support services for slip 4, which suffered damage during a ferry accident on April 17, 1995.
	Developed contract plans and specifications.
	Ferry Street Bascule Bridge   New Haven, CT   City of New Haven
	Project Mechanical Engineer responsible for mechanical work items included in bridge rehabilitation for this \$11 million deck
06/00 – 08/08	replacement design for double leaf bascule bridge which involves replacement of a purlin-stringer-floor beam-girder span that opens to a
	101-foot-wide channel clearance with center-to-center trunnion spacing at 124 feet. Mechanical work included the replacement of the
	span center locks and machinery, as well as a rehabilitation of the trunnion and span drive machinery
	Lea Joyner/Ouachita River Bridge Rehabilitation   Monroe, LA   LADOTD
	Mechanical Systems Expert responsible for the design, calculations, development of contract plans, cost estimate, construction
	support services, review of shop drawings, project submittals and installation procedures, and responding to RFI's submitted by the
01/13 – 08/13	contractor for a counterweight trunnion replacement and rehabilitation at the Louisville Bascule Bridge. The Ouachita River Bridge is a
	double-leaf Strauss Bascule bridge constructed in the early 1930s. H&H provided structural and mechanical support in the replacement
	of the counterweight trunnion and hanger plates, developed a jacking procedure and specifications for the project. Coordinated with
	contractor to determine field conditions and construction limitations. Reviewed all structural steel shop drawings.
	Ouachita Bascule Bridge   Monroe, LA   LADOID Meyer la Bridge Load for this among any starts the hornest relates and countervision to union horizon for this underdeck. Chouse
40/40 07/44	<b>Movable Bridge Lead</b> for this emergency repair to the hanger plates and counterweight trunnion bearings for this underdeck Straus
12/12 - 07/14	double leaf bascule bridge. As part of a commissioning task including strain gaging of equipment for contractor PCL, H&H discovered a
	significant operational resistance in the counterweight bearings. This lead to the replacement of the bearings, and structural hanger
	plate located between the tail end of the bascule leaf, and articulated counterweight frame.
	Inird Avenue Swing Over the Harlem River   New York, NY   New York City DOI Meyer la Bridge Load assessmentials for Overline and Construction Devices of Machinese Devices for this \$440.0 million on line bridge
	<b>Movable Bridge Lead</b> responsible for Quality and Construction Review of Machinery Design for this \$118.8 million on-line bridge
01/97 – 11/06	replacement which includes a temporary bridge and float-in of fully-assembled, 350-π through truss swing span. I otal project length is
	3500 feet. Included in-depth inspection, complete substructure and superstructure replacement of ramps, 18 approach spans, swing
1	
	span's mechanical and electrical systems, control nouse, seismic analysis and design, traffic studies, and complex staged construction.

0	Firm En	nployed by	Hardesty & Hanover			
	Name	e Alexander Noble, P		E	Years of relevant experience with this employer	26
	Title		Lead Electrical Engi	neer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	7
Degree(s) /	Years / S	pecialization	L	B.E. / 1989 / El	ectrical Engineering / Manhattan College	
Active regis	stration nu	umber / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	gineer: 80143 / FL / 08/28/2023	
Year registe	ered	2015	Discipline	Electrical Engir	neering	
Contract rol	le(s) / brie	ef description	n of responsibilities	<b>Quality Control</b>	ol - Electrical	
Experience of	dates Ex	xperience an	nd qualifications relev	ant to the propo	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gi	rders",
(mm/yy–mm	n/yy) ("c	lesigned inter	rsection", etc. Experier	nce dates should c	over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	APR(s)
01/11 – 06/12 2010 NYC Ferry Ops-Transfer Bridge Inspection at Staten Island Ferry Term   Staten Island & Manhattan, NY   New York DOT Lead Electrical Engineer for the mechanical & electrical inspections and reports for 7 transfer slips (3 on the Manhattan Side / Whitehall Terminal, and 4 on the Staten Island Side / St. George Terminal). Each slip has two upper pedestrian bridges, and one lower vehicular bridge. The lower bridge is used to moor the Ferry into position during docking operations.					DOT e lower	
05/18 - 10/19         Keller Ferry Landings Replacement   Keller, WA   Washington State DOT Ferries           05/18 - 10/19         Lead Electrical Engineer for electrical and mechanical system design implementation. This project involved developing electrical and mechanical system design implementation. This project involved developing electrical mechanical plans, specifications, and cost estimates to relocate the operating equipment for two existing floating ferry landings onto newly fabricated floating ferry landing. Designed improvements to the winch operating systems and developed a new vessel roller support cradle system. Construction support services were also provided.					al and nto two r	
11/21 – Pres	sent of Rf	<b>Fisher Island Ferry Landing Ramps Replacement   Miami-Dade County, FL   Fisher Island Community Association, Inc.</b> <b>Lead Electrical Engineer</b> responsible for the electrical design of two ferry landing ramps on Fisher Island. Following an in-depth structural, mechanical, and electrical inspection of the ramps, H&H recommended a course of action for rehabilitation and replacement of the older, cable pulley ramps. The new ramps will operate hydraulically and be rated for AASHTO HS20. H&H is designing the mechanical and electrical systems for these ramps as well as providing construction support services including shop drawing review, RFI response, field visits, shop inspections, and tests.				
08/13 – 06,	/14 Ha sy ind Pt	atteras Ferry F ead Electrical I rstem, motor co cluding calculat nase provided c	Ramp Rehabilitation   Date Engineer provided a rehal ontrollers, control stations, tions, design drawings, and construction support service	re County, NC   Nor bilitation design that receptacles, lights, a d specifications. Foll es, including shop d	<b>th Carolina Division of Highways</b> included a new control system, a new distributed power distribution and hydraulic controls for the ramp. Provided the electrical design, owing the completion of the Design Phase, during the Construction rawing review, and Requests for Information.	n n

01/18 – 08/19	Southport and Fort Fisher Ferry Ramp Rehabilitation   Fort Fisher, NC   North Carolina DOT Electrical Engineer for the rehabilitation design of the of the Southport and Fort Fisher Ferry Ramps. The design focused on simplified and streamlined structural, mechanical, and electrical details that would provide reliable operation while decreasing the Ferry Division's annual maintenance costs. Innovative cylinder and float mechanism details allowed the elimination of the high maintenance existing counterweight system. A new lift bent crossbeam and structural modifications to the lift bent improved the structural integrity while enabling the bent to behave as a structural frame. Access platform modifications allowed for safe access by maintenance personnel to the new mechanical and electrical equipment.
04/04 – 02/09	State Street Bridge   Milwaukee, WI   City of Milwaukee Electrical Engineer responsible for design of the new electrical system for the bascule span of a historic, 120-foot, double-leaf bascule span excluding bascule girders. Performed inspection and rehabilitation of trunnion assemblies. Replaced span drive and span lock machinery and electrical system. Complete electrical system replacement included power system, DC drives, relay logic and PLC remote control and CCTV via fiber-optic communications.
04/11 – Present	Bridge Inspection Task Order Contract   Statewide, CT   Connecticut DOT Lead Electrical Engineer responsible for annual inspection and reporting for the movable bridge electrical systems for ConnDOT owned movable bridges on the Metro-North Railroad line, including the Cos Cob, Saugatuck, Peck and Devon Bridges. Inspections included data acquisition and analysis of motor currents, verification of relay and PLC based logic systems, insulation resistance testing of motors and submarine cables and testing of limit switches and encoders/resolvers.
2019 – Present	Welland Canal Bridge #6 Major Rehabilitation Design   St. Catherines, Ontario   St. Lawrence Seaway Corporation Electrical Engineer for major rehabilitation of Bridge #6. Work includes complete drive machinery rehabilitation, span brake replacement, span lock replacement (per the SLSMCs Bridge Lock Strategy Report), toe bearing de-icing system, replacement of power distribution & electrical equipment, replacement of interior and exterior lighting, replacement of movable span festoon cable systems that provide power to the moving span, replacement of bridge span lock starters and brake timing delay to wind up machinery, rebalance the spans using dynamic strain gaging, and all span brake testing work.
05/05 – 04/14	On-Call Movable (BCS 2008-10B; 2005-01A)   Statewide, MD   Maryland State Highway Administration (MDSHA) Lead Electrical Engineer for the multiple cycles of this on-call contract. Work included performing inspection of the electrical systems on all 18 MDSHA-owned movable bridges including data acquisition and analysis of span and auxiliary motor currents, analyzing parameters for inverter type AC drives, insulation resistance testing of motors and submarine cables, and verification and adjustment of span, lock and gate limit switches. Also, led the engineering for the replacement of an electrical control system including the design of relay logic and the implementation of inverter drives, and the replacement of the entire electrical system including power distribution, motor controls, span control logic and auxiliary devices on another movable bridge. Comprehensive reports were generated identifying any deficiencies and provide repair details and cost estimates.

	Firm E	mployed by	Hardesty & Hanover	:		
Name			Timothy Noles, PE		Years of relevant experience with this employer	38
	Title		Principal		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	0
Degree(s) /	Years / S	Specialization		B.S. / 1984 / Ci	vil Engineering / University of Tennessee	
Active regis	stration r	number / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	gineer: 31675 / LA / 9/30/2023	
Year registe	ered	2005	Discipline	Civil Engineerin	ng	
Contract rol	le(s) / bri	ief description	n of responsibilities	<b>Quality Control</b>	ol – Structural - Meets MPR 3	
Experience of (mm/yy-mm	dates I n/yy) '	Experience an 'designed inter	d qualifications relev rsection", etc. Experier	ant to the properties of the p	osed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gin over the years of experience specified in the applicable N	rders", IPR(s)
07/21 – Pre:	resent Fisher Island Ferry Landing Ramps Replacement   Miami-Dade County, FL   Fisher Island Community Association, Inc. Quality Control Engineer responsible for the electrical design of two ferry landing ramps on Fisher Island. Following an in-depth structural, mechanical, and electrical inspection of the ramps, H&H recommended a course of action for rehabilitation and replacen of the older, cable pulley ramps. The new ramps will operate hydraulically and be rated for AASHTO HS20. H&H is designing the mechanical and electrical systems for these ramps as well as providing construction support services including shop drawing review RFI response, field visits, shop inspections, and tests.					ו ≱ment e ew,
01/18 – 07,	7/19 [ v v F	Southport and Fort Fisher Ferry Ramp Rehabilitation   Fort Fisher, NC   North Carolina DOT Quality Control Engineer for the rehabilitation design of the of the Southport and Fort Fisher Ferry Ramps. The design focused on simplified and streamlined structural, mechanical, and electrical details that would provide reliable operation while decreasing the Ferry Division's annual maintenance costs. Innovative cylinder and float mechanism details allowed the elimination of the high maintenance existing counterweight system. A new lift bent crossbeam and structural modifications to the lift bent improved the structural integrity while enabling the bent to behave as a structural frame. Access platform modifications allowed for safe access by maintenance personnel to the new mechanical and electrical equipment.				
06/18 – Pre	sent s	Almonaster Ave Technical Lead Dartial replacement 1920, National R superstructure, a could remain, mo developed neces Dearings, counte designed in acco	nue Railroad Bridge Over for the bridge assessment ent of the Almonaster Aver egister of Historic Places end counterweight were read odifications to other bridge sary design plans to repla rweight trunnion pin, and be rdance to LADOTD Stand	er the Industrial Ca t, rehabilitative engin nue Bridge, a movab eligible bridge reveal quired to return this l elements were deer ce the span drive an pushing. The main tr ards and Specificatio	nal   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans eering design, and construction inspection services required for th le Strauss-heel trunnion bridge. H&H's 2019 assessment of the cir ed that improvements to the electrical and mechanical systems, bridge to its full operating capability. Although the existing substruc- ned necessary to accommodate the rehabilitated superstructure. H d span lock machinery, operating strut, guide assembly, live load unnion bearings were rehabilitated and repositioned. The project is bons.	e 'ca- ture 1&H s

10/07 – Present	Oaklawn Swing Bridge Over Bayou Teche   Oaklawn, LA   LADOTD Principal-in-Charge responsible for engineering design and post-design services for the new Bayou Teche Bridge at Oaklawn project. Built in 1941 to carry LA Route 323 over Bayou Teche, the original bridge is being replaced with a new hydraulically-operated swing bridge. H&H provided the electrical design for the bascule bridge in line with LADOTD's design requirements and standard design details and coordinated closely with the other design disciplines to assure success. All design deliverables were made in accordance with project schedule. Due to permitting issues, design activities were placed on hold for several years extending the schedule, now in design phase.
08/08 – 08/13	Judge Seeber Vertical Lift Bridge   New Orleans, LA   LADOTD Principal-in-Charge overseeing the task order involving the replacement of the vertical life bridge's entire electrical system, counterweight ropes, counterweight guides, and span locks in addition to miscellaneous structural repairs. The design contract required the completion of the design in three months to meet the FEMA funding deadline. The electrical system was replaced in-kind using secondary resistance control operated with a drum switch in accordance with LADOTD preference.
10/12 – 03/14	SR-A1A / Hillsboro Inlet Bridge Over ICWW   Pompano Beach, FL   Florida DOT Principal-in-Charge / Lead Design Engineer for the structural, electrical and mechanical rehabilitation, aesthetic enhancements and control house 2nd story addition of a single-leaf bascule span The new, two level tender house consisted of two levels to be cooled and heated by means of a split-type AC system. The span locks were replaced and relocated to the rest pier. Structural rehabilitation included grating replacement, bridge and pedestrian railing replacement, and marine mattress scour mitigation of the substructure located in a severe scour environment. Electrical work included modification of the electrical system including new control desk, multiplexor to prevent subcable replacement and pedestrian gate. Mechanical rehabilitation included new secondary reducer and span locks. The need for an additional submarine cable originally included in the scope was eliminated and replaced with the first use of a multiplexor on a Florida movable bridge. The project was also funded with a \$1 million JPA with the City of Pompano Beach that included sidewalk improvements and control house renovation.
01/00 – 04/02	Broward County Bridges over New River   Ft. Lauderdale, FL   Broward County Project Manager / Lead Design Engineer responsible for inspection report, rehabilitation, and construction inspection of structural and machinery repairs for two rolling-lift-span bridges. The project involved inspection and rehabilitation which included minor structural repairs and painting of three movable bridges (3rd Avenue, 7th Avenue and Andrews Avenue) and mechanical rehabilitation of drive machinery on two double-leaf rolling-lift-span bridges. Strain gage testing and balance calculations were provided on 3rd Ave Bridge.
11/12 – 06/14	Districtwide Bridge Inspections Contract   Florida DOT Principal-in-Charge responsible for overseeing the inspection of electrical/mechanical systems/components and detailed inspection report for the on-call inspection of bridge structures located throughout District 2 in compliance of federal and state regulations. Work included routine and interim inspections and non-destructive testing services on 35 fixed and movable bridges as well as inspection reports outlining detailed inspection findings and prioritized repair recommendations for noted deficiencies.

	Firm Employed by		Hardesty & Hanover					
	Name	e	Fred Wetekamm, I	PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer	5		
	Title		Senior Bridge Engin	ieer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	30		
Degree(s) /	Years	/ Specialization	1	B.S. / 1984 /Civ	il Engineering / Louisiana State University			
		-		MEng. / Constr	uction Engineering / 2018 / Univ. of Alabama Birming	ham		
Active regis	tration	number / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	gineer: 25369 / LA / 3/31/2024			
				Maintenance &	Rehabilitation of Historic Bridges (LADOTD)			
				FHWA NHI Co	urse #139005, Driven Pile Foundations - Construction	ι		
				Monitoring				
				ATSSA Traffic	Control Supervisor and Flagger			
Year registe	red	1983	Discipline	Civil Engineeri	ng			
Contract rol	e(s) / t	orief description	n of responsibilities	Senior Structu	ral Engineer			
Experience of	lates	Experience an	nd qualifications relev	vant to the prop	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gi	rders",		
(mm/yy–mm	ı/yy)	"designed inte	rsection", etc. Experier	nce dates should c	over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	PR(s).		
		L H.001498.6; L	A 24 and LA 16 Compan	y Canal Vertical Lif	t Bridge   Bourge, LA   LADOTD			
		Construction Engineer/Inspector responsible for delivering construction engineering and inspection services for a new vertical lift						
8/20 – Pres	ent	bridge and operator's house. Services include daily monitoring of all construction activities; maintaining all construction field records;						
		coordinating with DOTD, contractor, parish government, and utilities; performing field testing; maintaining records of contractual						
		operations, pay estimates and progress reports, preparing initial estimate packages, conducting construction progress meetings, construction and close-out						
		Bayou La Loutr	e Vertical I ift Bridge Rel	habilitation (SP 002	562)   St. Bernard Parish   A     ADOTD			
		Senior Project Engineer and CEI Inspector in responsible charge. Contributed to the rehabilitation design to aid designers in						
07/40 00	40	understanding the bridge operation and maintenance preferences for the LADOTD and provided construction engineering and						
07/16 - 09	/18	inspection services during construction. The Bayou La Loutre Bridge Rehabilitation Project scope consisted of bridge structural repairs.						
		cleaning and pai	nting of the bridge structur	re, installation of a ne	ew fender system, and replacement of the bridge operator house u	itilizing		
the current LADOTD BDEM and LSSRB. Built in 1957, this project was the first major rehabilitation to the bridge					ect was the first major rehabilitation to the bridge.			
		Danziger Vertic	al Lift Bridge Rehabilitat	ion (SP 000303.6)	Orleans Parish, LA   LADOTD			
		Project Area En	gineer in responsible cha	rge of contract admin	nistration and supervising the Project Engineer and LADOTD Certi	fied		
11/15 – 03	/18	Inspectors for co	nstruction inspection. This	s project scope involv	red the replacement of the asphaltic concrete roadway on the lift s	pan		
		(310-lt X /2-lt) Wi	th a latex modified concre	te, replace the lifting	ropes, replace most of the mechanical operating components, and	a		
		renabilitation of t	ne operator nouse.					

06/12 – 12/13	US 90 Judge Seeber (Claiborne Ave) Vertical Lift Bridge (SP 001200)   New Orleans, LA   LADOTD Project Area Engineer in responsible charge of contract administration and supervising the Project Engineer and LADOTD Certified Inspectors for construction inspection. This project scope involved the repainting of the bridge while maintaining marine and vehicular traffic, replacing deteriorated structural components, and repairing lift span guide rails.
01/20 – Present	Almonaster Avenue Railroad Bridge of the Industrial Canal Rehabilitation   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans Senior Structural Engineer for the bridge assessment, rehabilitative engineering design, and construction inspection services required for the partial replacement of the Almonaster Avenue Bridge, a movable Strauss-heel trunnion bridge. H&H's 2019 assessment of the circa-1920, National Register of Historic Places eligible bridge revealed that improvements to the electrical and mechanical systems, superstructure, and counterweight were required to return this bridge to its full operating capability. Although the existing substructure could remain, modifications to other bridge elements were deemed necessary to accommodate the rehabilitated superstructure. H&H developed necessary design plans to replace the span drive and span lock machinery, operating strut, guide assembly, live load bearings, counterweight trunnion pin, and bushing. The main trunnion bearings were rehabilitated and repositioned.
04/08 – 08/19	US 11 Over Lake Pontchartrain Bascule Draw Bridge   New Orleans, LA   LADOTD Engineer/Inspector responsible for contract administration, supervision of the Project Engineer and LADOTD Certified Inspectors for construction inspection. This project scope involved removing / re-machining of the trunnions, replacing locking bars, and rehabilitating electrical operating components in the control cabinets, limit switches, and replacing the generator.
07/07 – 11/10	LADOTD Construction Project   New Orleans, LA   LADOTD LA DOTD Construction Project Engineer in responsible charge providing construction engineering and inspection services for the South Louisiana Submerged Roads Program which provided repairs and resurfacing of 56 roads in Orleans, Jefferson, and St Bernard Parishes that were damaged from Hurricane Katrina. The project was funded by FHWA's Emergency Relief Program. The project cost was approximately \$100M.
07/12 – 05/16	LADOTD Construction Project   New Orleans, LA   LADOTD LA DOTD Construction Project Engineer in responsible charge providing construction engineering and inspection services for the Paths to Progress (P2P) Program which provided repairs and resurfacing of 60 roads in Orleans and Jefferson Parishes that were damaged from Hurricane Katrina. The project was funded by FHWA's Emergency Relief Program. This project required a coordinated effort between FHWA, LADOTD, Regional Planning Commission, and local entities. The project cost was approximately \$90M.
07/07 – 08/18	LADOTD Construction Project   New Orleans, LA   LADOTD LA DOTD Construction Project Engineer in responsible charge providing construction engineering inspection services for the Fleur De Lis Roadway Rehabilitation Projects Phases 1, 2, and 3 Program which were complete reconstruction of the roadway and drainage. The project cost was approximately \$25.2M.
11/09 – 12/12	LADOTD Construction Project   New Orleans, LA   LADOTD LA DOTD Construction Project Engineer in responsible charge providing construction engineering inspection services for Earhart Blvd. Rehabilitation Project. Directed all aspects of the program which was a complete reconstruction of the roadway and drainage from Hamilton to Fern. The project cost was approximately \$11.2 M.

	Firm E	mployed by	Hardesty & Hanover						
Name		Erik Diaz, PE			Years of relevant experience with this employer	3			
	Title		Structural Engineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	11			
Degree(s) /	Years / S	Specialization	L	B.S. / 2008 / Ci	vil Engineering / Louisiana State University				
Active regis	stration n	number / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	gineer: 37712 / LA / 09/30/2023				
				FHWA-NHI-13	0055 Safety Inspection of In-Service Bridges				
				Maintenance &	Rehabilitation of Historic Bridges (LADOTD)				
Year registe	ered	2013	Discipline	Civil Engineerin	ng				
Contract rol	le(s) / bri	ief descriptior	n of responsibilities	Structural Eng	ineer				
Experience of	dates I	Experience an	nd qualifications relev	ant to the prope	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gin	rders",			
(mm/yy–mn	n/yy) "	'designed inter	rsection", etc. Experier	nce dates should c	over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	PR(s).			
	Т	Fwo US-11 Base	cule Bridges over Lake P	ontchartrain Reha	bilitation   Jefferson and St. Tammany Parishes, LA   LADOTD	)			
07/16 - 07	/17	Senior Movable Bridge Structural Engineer for the comprehensive rehabilitation of one bascule and replacement of another bascule							
	b	bridge over Lake Pontchartrain. Work on this project included the inspection of old spans, the rehabilitation design development for the							
	n	north bascule sp	an and fender, as well as t	ne design of constru	Iction plans for a new south bascule span.				
	A C	Almonaster Avenue Railroad Bridge of the Industrial Canal Rehabilitation   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans							
	5 5	Senior Structural Engineer for the bridge assessment, renabilitative engineering design, and construction inspection services required							
		ior me partial replacement of the Amonaster Avenue Dhuye, a movable Strauss-neer furnition bhuye. IIAIT's 2019 assessment of the electrical and mechanical systems							
01/20 – Pre	sent s	superstructure and counterweight were required to return this bridge to its full operating capability. Although the existing substructure							
01/20 110		could remain, modifications to other bridge elements were deemed necessary to accommodate the rehabilitated superstructure. H&H							
	d	developed necessary design plans to replace the span drive and span lock machinery, operating strut, guide assembly, live load							
	b	bearings, counterweight trunnion pin, and bushing. The main trunnion bearings were rehabilitated and repositioned. The project is							
	d	designed in acco	rdance to LADOTD Stand	ards and Specification	ons.				
	S	SR-605 Bascule	Bridge Over Industrial V	Vaterway   Harrisoi	n County, MS   MDOT				
	N	Novable Bridge	Senior Structural Engin	eer performed the b	ridge load rating for movable bridge and fixed bridge approaches.				
08/19 – 08	8/20 C	Contributed to st	ructural design for the com	prehensive rehabilit	ation of this bascule bridge over the Industrial Waterway. Work on	i this			
		oroject included (	design and detailing of a n	ew PPC pile-suppor	ted reinforced concrete generator platform as well as the design a	nd			
	0	Pridao Potinao	access improvements. All		rdance with AASHTO, FHWA and MDOT guidelines and specifica	tions.			
10/1/ 12		Movable Bridge	Structural Engineer rest	ue   LADUID	ng spreadsheats and processes for rating bridge substructures. A	lso			
10/14 - 12/15		performed rating	s for bridge superstructure	s and substructures	using AASHTOWare and Excel. Wrote bridge rating reports.	100,			

	Houma Navigation Canal Bridge Rehabilitation   Houma, LA   LADOTD
12/12 – 10/15	Movable Bridge Structural Engineer responsible for performing bridge inspections to identify repairs for rehabilitation as well as
	providing bridge rating to identify areas for strengthening. Also, designed and detailed various elements for bridge rehabilitation.
	Lapalco Boulevard Movable Bridge over Harvey Canal   Jefferson Parish, Louisiana   Jefferson Parish DPW
	Movable Bridge Structural Engineer for the pre-design inspection and design of a new three-lane double bascule movable bridge
08/19 - Present	crossing of Harvey Canal and the widening of the existing four-lane Lapalco Boulevard to provide a facility carrying three lanes of traffic
00/10 - 1103011	in each direction. The new bridge is constructed as an independent structure immediately adjacent and north of the existing bridge with
	a new operator house. Project includes rehabilitation to the existing four-lane bridge with three lanes of traffic and a new pedestrian/bike
	lane, improvements to bridge and roadway approaches, and development of a Traffic Control Plan.
	SR 609 Movable Bascule Bridge Rehabilitation   Ocean Springs, MS   MDOT
	Movable Bridge Senior Structural Engineer for full rehabilitation of SR 609 bascule bridge, as a task-order to the IDIQ Master Bridge
08/19 – 02/20	Contract which included developing standard and special bridge services, statewide for MDOT. Scope of work included inspection and
	renabilitation of structural, mechanical, and electrical components of the bridge, as well as the roadway approaches and development of
	maintenance and repair plans. All designs are in accordance with AASHTO, FHWA and MDOT guidelines and specifications.
	Seabrook Bascule Bridge Bearing Repairs   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans
08/19 – 10/19	included design of best one strengthening due to creaking at bridge bearing, tracking contractor progress and construction compliance
	with design plans. Proparation of final accordance report upon completion of construction
	Deple server to of Quine Dridge with New Verticel Lift   Queense TV   UDDD
	Replacement of Swing Bridge with New Vertical Lift   Sweeny, IX   UPKR
12/15 08/10	Senior wovable bridge Structural Engineer for the design and construction of a new through plate girder vertical lift, bridge protection cell
12/13 - 00/19	(dolphin), approach spans and construction management. This project also included emergency bridge repairs due to failure of bridge
	pier from scour produced by Hurricane Harvey flooding
	Comite River Diversion East   Baton Rouge Parish, LA   UPRR & USACE
11/10 00/10	Senior Structural Engineer Representative for KCS Railroad bridge portion of the project that provided flood relief for the Comite
11/18 - 08/19	River through the construction of a diversion canal connected to the Mississippi River. The project included peer review of plans,
	calculations and constructability, using AREMA requirements, for a new railroad bridge that intersects with the diversion canal.
	Vermillion River Vertical Lift Bridges Rehabilitation   Vermillion Parish, LA   LADOTD
	Senior Structural Engineer for the inspection, rating, and final rehabilitation recommendations report for two steel vertical lift bridges
08/15 – 02/19	over the Vermillion River. Work on this project included inspection and load rating to identify components of the bridge to be
	rehabilitated. Evaluation of various alternatives for strengthening the bridge and increasing vehicular vertical clearance. Produced
	engineers cost estimate for repairs, and prepared final report of recommendations.
10/00 01/10	Huey P. Long Bridge Over The Mississippi River   Bridge City, LA   New Orleans Public Belt Railroad And LADOTD
10/08 – 04/13	<b>Novable Bridge Structural Engineer</b> responsible for checking and approving snop drawings as well as performing various
	construction support calculations. The project was a major widening of the bridge including HPL trusses and approaches.

	Firm I	Employed by	Hardesty & Hanover	r				
25	Name		Linh-Thien Kim, P	E	Years of relevant experience with this employer	2		
	Title		Civil Engineer Inter	n	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	2		
Degree(s) /	Years /	Specialization	l	BS / 2017 / Civ	il Engineering			
Active regis	stration	number / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	gineer/ 0047527 / LA / 09/30/2023			
				Safety Inspection	on of In-Service Bridges, NHI # 130055			
				NHI Course 13	0092. Fundamentals of LRFR and Applications of LRF	FR For		
			<b>D</b> • • •	Bridge Superstr	ructures			
Year registe	ered	2023	Discipline	Civil Engineeri	ng			
Contract rol	$\frac{le(s)}{b}$	rief description	n of responsibilities	Structural Eng	gineer	1		
Experience of	dates	Experience an	nd qualifications relev	ant to the prop	osed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gr	rders",		
(mm/yy–mn	n/yy)	"designed inter	rsection", etc. Experier	nce dates should c	over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	PR(s).		
		Almonaster Avenue Railroad Bascule Bridge over the Industrial Canal Rehabilitation   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans						
07/20 Bro	cont	services required for the partial replacement of the Almonaster Avenue Bridge, a movable Strauss-beel truppion bridge, H&H's						
07720 - Fie	sent	assessment revealed that improvements to the electrical and mechanical systems, superstructure, and counterweight were required to						
		return this bridge to its full operating capability. All design work is in accordance with Louisiana DOTD Standard and Specifications.						
		SR 605 Movable	Bascule Bridge Rehabi	litation   Ocean Sp	ings MS   MDOT			
		Structural Engin	neer performed the bridge	load rating for mova	ble bridge and fixed bridge approaches. Contributing to the civil de	esian		
		for full rehabilitation of SR 605 double-leaf bascule bridge. Scope of work includes inspection and rehabilitation of structural.						
07/20 – Pre	esent	mechanical, and electrical components of the bridge, as well as the roadway approaches and development of maintenance and repair						
		plans. All designs are in accordance with AASHTO, FHWA and MDOT guidelines and specifications. Load rating was performed using						
		AASHTOWare B	rDR load rating software.					
		H.009498.5: LA	121: Calcasieu River Bri	dge   Lake Charles	LA   LADOTD			
		Civil Engineer I	ntern. Designed and detai	iled an LG-36 (I-Bea	m) Concrete Prestressed Girder Bridge using continuous deck spa	ans on		
01/10 04	/10	a horizontal curve	e with a 5% slope. The co	ntinuous deck spans	were 240-foot- long using four 60-foot-long deck spans with a brid	dge		
01/13-04/	113	width of 42.5' wid	le. The superstructure and	d girders were design	hed using Bentley's Conspan software and DOTD's Bridge Design			
				ists of plie bents that	were designed using STAAD wodeling software and EXCel			
		posiprocessing.						

08/20 – Present	Lapalco Boulevard Movable Bridge over Harvey Canal   Westwego, LA   Jefferson Parish DPW Civil Engineer for the pre-design inspection, the rehabilitation and widening of the existing four-lane Lapalco Boulevard to provide a facility carrying three lanes of traffic in each direction, and the design of a new three-lane double bascule movable bridge crossing of Harvey Canal. project includes rehabilitation to the existing four-lane bridge with three lanes of traffic and a new pedestrian/bike lane. The scope of services also includes the design of a new bridge to be constructed as an independent structure immediately adjacent and north of the existing bridge with a new operator house. Load rating was performed using AASHTOWare BrDR load rating software. All design work is according to Louisiana DOTD Standard and Specifications and reviewed by LADOTD.
06/22 – Present	SR 609 Movable Bascule Bridge Rehabilitation   Ocean Springs, MS   MDOT Movable Bridge Senior Structural Engineer for full rehabilitation of SR 609 bascule bridge, as a task-order to the IDIQ Master Bridge Contract which included developing standard and special bridge services, statewide for MDOT. Scope of work included inspection and rehabilitation of structural, mechanical, and electrical components of the bridge, as well as the roadway approaches and development of maintenance and repair plans. All designs are in accordance with AASHTO, FHWA and MDOT guidelines and specifications. Load rating was performed using AASHTOWare BrR load rating software. The project is currently in the construction phase.
09/21 – 07/22	Tennessee Bridge Inspection and Load Rating   Decatur, AL   Norfolk Southern Corporation Structural Engineer Intern provided inspection, load rating, and engineering design services under the Systemwide Engineering and Design Services contract. The Steel repairs at Gulf Division MP 362.60-A Decatur, AL task was awarded to Hardesty & Hanover through this contract. The task involved the performance of an on-site inspection, the preparation of load rating calculations and the development of repair plans for the structure in accordance with the scope of work. The structure consists of three superstructure types: vertical lift span, deck plate girder span and seven through truss spans.
11/20 – Present	Annual Inspection of Almonaster Railroad Bascule Bridge over the Industrial Canal   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans Movable Bridge Engineer for the annual inspection of the Almonaster Avenue Railroad Bascule, which involves a structural inspection of the fracture critical steel, primary and secondary steel members, an electrical inspection of the electrical systems and controls, and a mechanical inspection of the machinery.
06/19 – 09/19	Annual Inspection of Seabrook Railroad Bascule Bridge   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans Movable Bridge Engineer Intern for the annual inspection of the Seabrook Trunnion Bascule Bridge. This inspection included a structural inspection of the fracture critical steel and primary and secondary steel members, an electrical inspection of the electrical systems and controls, and an inspection of the mechanical systems and machinery.
05/19 – 07/19	H.003184.5: I-10: Texas State Line - East of Coone Gully   LADOTD Civil Engineer Intern. Designed and detailed an LG-36 (I-beam) Concrete Prestressed Girder Bridge using continuous deck spans with a 2.5% slope. The continuous deck spans were 240 and 300 feet long using four 60-long and five 60-long deck spans, respectively. The bridge width was 72.5-foot-wide. Superstructure and girders were designed using Bentley's Conspan software and DOTD's Bridge Design Evaluation Manual. Substructure pile bents were designed using STAAD Modeling software/Excel postprocessing.

	Firm F	Employed by	Hardesty & Hanover				
	Name		Roberto Viciedo, P	E	Years of relevant experience with this employer	24	
	Title		Senior Structural En	gineer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	1	
Degree(s) /	Years /	Specialization	l	B.S. / 1995 / Ci	vil Engineering / Florida International University		
Active regis	stration	number / state	/ expiration date	xpiration date Professional Engineer: 0036533 / LA / 03/31/2024			
Year registe	ered	2011	Discipline	Structural Engin	neering		
Contract rol	le(s) / bi	rief description	n of responsibilities	Senior Structu	ral Engineer - Meets MPR 3		
Experience of (mm/yy-mn	dates n/yy)	Experience an "designed inter	nd qualifications relever resection", etc. Experier	ant to the properties to the properties of the p	osed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gi over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	rders", IPR(s).	
07/21 – Pre	sent	<b>Fisher Island Ferry Landing Ramps Replacement   Miami-Dade County, FL   Fisher Island Community Association, Inc.</b> <b>Senior Structural Engineer</b> responsible for the structural design of two ferry landing ramps on Fisher Island. Following an in-depth structural, mechanical, and electrical inspection of the ramps, H&H recommended a course of action for rehabilitation and replacement of the older, cable pulley ramps. The new ramps will operate hydraulically and be rated for AASHTO HS20. H&H is designing the mechanical and electrical systems for these ramps as well as providing construction support services including shop drawing review, RFI response, field visits, shop inspections, and tests.					
03/18 – 06	/19	SR 609 Bascule Bridge over Old Fort Bayou Rehabilitation   Ocean Springs, MS   MDOT Senior Structural Engineer for development of the structural rehabilitation design and construction phase services for SR 609 bascule bridge as a task-order to the IDIQ Master Bridge Contract which included developing standard and special bridge services statewide for MDOT. The scope of work included inspection and rehabilitation of structural, mechanical, and electrical bridge components, roadway approaches, and development of maintenance and repair plans.					
01/08 – 08	/16	Gasparilla Island Swing Bridge over ICWW   Placida, FL   Gasparilla Island Bridge Authority Structural Task Leader responsible for the final design and load rating for the replacement of a 220-foot swing span bridge. Also provided construction support services for this \$20-million project consisting of 678 feet of the new bridge including a 250-foot deck girder swing span and approach spans utilizing Florida I-Beams. Embankments, supported by MSE walls, were protected by new bulkheads and revetment. In addition to the bridge structures, a new pile-supported tender house was included.					
01/11 – 03	/17	SR-A1A Flagler Structural Task construction supp the existing bridg completed in 201 twelve 150-foot p	Memorial Bridge over IC Leader responsible for the port services for the \$95 m to maintain traffic for this 8, included a twin double- pre-stressed concrete appr	WW   Palm Beach, e design of twin doul nillion design-build pr s busy causeway co leaf rolling lift bascu roach spans; and ap	FL   Florida DOT ole-leaf rolling lift bascule span superstructures, load rating, and oject that included replacement of the entire bridge off-line and pa nnecting West Palm Beach to Palm Beach. The replacement bridg e span bridge with a 150-foot rolling-lift-span over the navigable c proach roadway work.	arallel to je, hannel;	

03/15 – 12/18	WAVE Streetcar/SE 3 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue Bridge over New River   Fort Lauderdale, FL   Florida DOT Structural Engineer responsible for the preliminary design and load rating analysis of the bascule span main girders as part of the engineering design (90% drawings) for the bridge improvements to accommodate the proposed WAVE Streetcar. H&H's scope included all necessary design modifications to the rolling-lift-span, pier, and associated mechanical/electrical systems and load rating to accommodate the new embedded rail while providing sufficient strength, stiffness, and ride-ability for the new mode of transportation. The goal was to replace the leaf with a new bascule span which is 61-feet-long between centers of roll and features tracks and treads on a 6-foot, 2-inch roll radius, mechanical drive train with enclosed gearing driving the rack pinion, and tail lock mechanism.
10/07 – Present	NW South River Drive Bridge over Tamiami River Canal   Miami, FL   Miami Dade County Public Works Structural Engineer responsible for design and detailing of the main girders and floor beams and managing construction support services phase for this \$35 million bridge replacement project. Scope includes preliminary design of movable bridge alternatives during the PD&E, final design, and construction support services to replace the historical Tamiami Canal Swing Bridge with a 123-foot simple trunnion single-leaf through-girder bascule span bridge. The project includes providing a four-lane-wide bridge with 6-foot and 12-foot shared sidewalks and control house within a 50-foot limited right-of-way and low bridge profile which result in the need to use through- bascule girders. The floor system consists of floor beams and an Exodermic bridge deck with no steel stringers and lateral bracing.
01/16 – 12/16	Raritan River Drawbridge   Perth Amboy/South Amboy, NJ   New Jersey Transit Structural Engineer responsible for quality control review check of design calculations of truss and floor members of the movable span for the \$425 million replacement of this bridge on North Jersey Coast Line. The project included a half-mile-long structure, with a movable swing span at the navigational channel and 3000 + linear feet of approaches between the Perth Amboy and South Amboy stations in NJ. The replacement was done off-line while maintaining full rail operations during construction. NJT implemented feasible navigational improvements without delaying the project delivery. The scope included a feasibility study of various alignments and bridge replacement alternatives. The new vertical lift bridge has a minimum 110-foot vertical clearance and a 300-foot navigational channel.
2017 – Present	US-1 SR-5 Jupiter Federal Bridge   Jupiter, FL   Florida DOT Senior Structural Engineer contributing to the preliminary, final, and post-design phases of this bascule bridge replacement project. As the Engineer of Record, H&H will address the structural and functional deficiencies of the existing US-1 / SR-5 Jupiter Federal Bridge from CR-A1A (Ocean Boulevard) to Beach Road. Scope includes the development of vertical and horizontal alignment for bridge replacement alternatives and the study of the resulting impacts. The design incorporates intersection improvements and improves traffic functions at both ends of the approximately 2,960-foot long (0.56 mile) project corridor into the bridge replacement design. The project will include ADA access ramps to the 8-foot sidewalks and a new 7-foot buffered bike lane for additional safety.
05/10 – 12/16	SFRTA Railroad Bridge over New River   Fort Lauderdale, FL   Florida DOT Task Leader responsible for the design of rolling-lift bascule span superstructure and also served as project manager of construction support services. Overall scope included preliminary PD&E study and final design for the off-line replacement of a single-leaf heavy rail bridge owned and operated by SFRTA and used by CSX Freight and Tri-Rail as an alternate route. The project included inspection of the structural, mechanical, and electrical systems and the development of rehabilitation and replacement options (swing and bascule span) with conceptual drawings, alignments, and cost estimates. The preferred alternative consisted of three 41-foot prestressed concrete approach spans and a 103-foot rolling-lift-span designed using the AREMA code.

	Firm Empl	oyed by	Hardesty & Hanover	:		
650	Name		<b>Benjamin Hawthor</b>	ne, PE, SE	Years of relevant experience with this employer	17
<u>e</u>	Title		Bridge Structural En	gineer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	0
Degree(s) /	Years / Spec	cialization		B.S. / 2005 / Ci	vil Engineering	
Active regis	tration num	ber / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	gineer: 44620 / LA / 09/30/2024	
Year register	red 2	2011	Discipline	Structural Engin	neering	
Contract role	e(s) / brief d	lescription	n of responsibilities	Structural Eng	ineer – Meets MPR 3	
Experience d	ates Expe	erience an	d qualifications relev	vant to the propo	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gi	rders",
(mm/yy–mm	/yy) "des:	igned inter	rsection", etc. Experier	nce dates should c	over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	PR(s).
03/20 – Pres	Project Manager for the rehabilitation of a through girder span. The structure is serve details are based on results of an in-depth repairs target primary members in poor co upgrades to the access system and fender torque high-speed mechanical equipment			300-foot, single-trac s Providence & Word structural, mechanic ndition and any elem system repairs. Swi and upgrades to the	k swing span, four 200-foot through truss approach spans, and a cester Railroad customers in Portland, CT. Rehabilitation and reparal, and electrical inspection and load rating of the structure. Struct ents that do not rate for a 286K carload. Additional work includes and span operating system rehabilitation includes replacement of load customers.	30-foot iir ural safety w-
02/19 – 12/	22 Brore spans	Brorein Street Bascule Bridge Rehabilitation   Tampa, FL   City of Tampa Structural Engineer responsible for design services, including a load rating analysis, for the rehabilitation of the movable span of the Brorein Street bascule bridge over the Hillsborough River in downtown Tampa, Florida. The project included widening of the approach spans and the bascule leaves to provide a 10-foot shared use path on each side.				
07/13 – 05/	19 Bridg box g prelin and d U.S F accor	Sarah M. Long Vertical Lift Bridge   Kittery, ME   Maine DOT Bridge Structural Engineer on joint-venture team for a complete vertical lift bridge replacement. Responsible for design of the steel box girder lift span and associated structural elements. Ben was involved in all phases of the project, providing design services for the preliminary design, final design, and design support during construction, including providing a preliminary design report, plans, design and design check computations, ratings, specifications, and estimates, for the replacement of the Sarah Mildred Long Bridge located on U.S Route 1 Bypass between Kittery and Portsmouth. The bridge carries Pan Am Railway and highway traffic and was designed in accordance with AREMA and AASHTO specifications.				

08/17 – 07/19	Rehabilitation of Route 202 Bridge Over Housatonic River   New Milford, CT   Connecticut DOT Team Leader/Structural Engineer responsible for condition inspection of the New Milford truss bridge over the Housatonic River. This single-span, steel, through-truss bridge rehabilitation project addressed deficiencies on the steel truss superstructure and post- tensioned concrete deck and included sidewalk repairs and new bridge rail. The project included inspection, load rating, preliminary and final design as well as environmental permitting and public involvement. Ben provided technical oversight and peer review of the truss load rating procedures with the use of AASHTOWare as well as the structural repair details developed from the inspection information.
11/14 – 06/20	Rehabilitation of AETNA Viaduct (I-84) Bridges/Phase 2   Hartford County, CT   Connecticut DOT Project Engineer for the design and construction support phases of the rehabilitation of bridges carrying I-84 over Amtrak's Hartford Line, Fastrack busway, parking areas, and local streets. Preliminary design phases of the project included condition inspection and load rating of the approximately 5,000-foot-long multi-girder viaduct structure to determine rehabilitation and repair strategies. Rehabilitation included: deck patching and overlay, deck end reconstruction and joint replacement, structural steel repairs primarily at beam ends, elastomeric bearing replacement, parapet safety upgrades including reconstructed median barrier with new deck overhangs, illumination upgrades, IMS facilities upgrades, and concrete substructure repairs. During construction, led the effort to design new high-load multi- rotational (HLMR) disc bearings and developed concrete column top reconstruction details to facilitate installation and improve long term durability of the column.
01/19 – Present	East Haddam Swing Bridge over the Connecticut River Rehabilitation   Haddam, CT   Connecticut DOT Bridge Project Engineer responsible for the preparation of load ratings and feasibility study reports in support of a rehabilitation of this 4-span truss swing bridge. The bridge, which opened in 1913 and has been posted on the National Register of Historic Places, carries two lanes of Route 82 traffic over the Connecticut River and includes a deck truss span, through truss span, and a 465-foot-long through truss swing span. Rated elements included gusset plates, pins, tension and compression members, truss chord box members subject to bending, floor beams, and stringers. The structural feasibility study evaluated the addition of an external sidewalk to allow pedestrian access across the bridge, which has a narrow 24.5-foot roadway. Load rating and feasibility analysis included the use of AASHTOWare Bridge Rating software and the creation of a 3D computer model using Midas Civil finite element analysis software. Ben has remained involved in the rehabilitation project through preliminary and final design as a Senior Structural Engineer providing technical expertise and review of truss strengthening, floor system replacement, and cantilever sidewalk development.
12/11 – 01/13	Saugus Drawbridge Rehabilitation   Saugus, MA   Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority Bridge Structural Engineer responsible for analysis and design for this project involving comprehensive modeling, ratings analysis of as inspected conditions, real time structural monitoring, and strengthening of an existing pier compromised by extensive structural deterioration. Responsibilities included development of load rating methods to determine existing substructure capacity and design of an interim strengthening concept including a temporary pier to allow the bridge to remain in service at full capacity until a comprehensive replacement project can be undertaken. Temporary pier design included an integrated fender design that incorporated energy absorbing elements to provide adequate protection of the existing pier in a narrow footprint and in an area of poor soil conditions. As a sub- consultant on this project, it required cooperation of multiple parties to balance existing structural capacities, emphasis on rapid construction, and the need to maintain traffic prior to and during construction of the interim strengthening.

0	Firm Employed	y Hardesty & Hanov	Hardesty & Hanover			
(20)	Name	Robert Plocica, Pl	E	Years of relevant experience with this employer	19	
	Title	Mechanical Engine	er	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	4	
Degree(s) /	Years / Specializa	ion	ME, Structural E BS, Mechanical	Engineering and Mechanics, 2003, City College of New Engineering, 1995, Binghamton University	y York	
Active regis	tration number / s	ate / expiration date	Professional Eng NBIS Team Lea	gineer / 87298 / FL / 2/28/2025 der, 2008		
Year registe	red 2019	Discipline	Mechanical Eng	ineering		
Contract rol	e(s) / brief descrip	tion of responsibilities	Mechanical En	gineer		
Experience of (mm/yy-mm	lates Experience (/yy) "designed	and qualifications rele ntersection", etc. Experie	evant to the prop ence dates should c	osed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gi over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	rders", IPR(s).	
01/11 – 06/12 01/11 – 06/12 01/11 – 06/12 01/11 – 06/12 01/11 – 06/12 01/11 – 06/12		erry Ops-Transfer Bridge I nical Engineer for the mech minal, and 4 on the Staten I lge. The lower bridge is use	nspection at Staten I nanical & electrical ins sland Side / St. Georg ed to moor the Ferry in	sland Ferry Term   Staten Island & Manhattan, NY   New York pections and reports for 7 transfer slips (3 on the Manhattan Side ge Terminal). Each slip has two upper pedestrian bridges, and one to position during docking operations.	DOT / e lower	
11/15 – Pres	sent Wire Rope S Mechanical evaluate diff	Wire Rope Study at the Staten Island F Mechanical Engineer responsible for mo evaluate difficulty mooring ferry during hig		New York City DOT ry slip operating elevation limits. Performed statistical tidal analysis	s to	
05/15 – 10,	15 <b>Transfer Br</b> <b>Mechanical</b> slip has one assemblies,	dge Inspection at the City Team Leader responsible for transfer bridge used to moor ifting chain falls, counterweig	and Hart Island Ferry or performing mechanic the Ferry into position ght wire ropes and sho	y Terminals   New York, NY   New York City DOT ical inspection work for the transfer bridges at City and Hart Island n during the docking procedure. The machinery includes winch eave assemblies and counterweights.	. Each	
02/11 – 06,	19 Transfer Br Mechanical Manhattan S bridges, and	dge Inspection at the State Engineer responsible for pe de / Whitehall Terminal, and one lower vehicular bridge.	en Island Ferry Term rforming the in-depth d 4 on the Staten Islan The lower bridge is us	inals   New York, NY   New York City DOT mechanical inspection and report work for the 7 transfer slips (3 or d Side / St. George Terminal). Each slip has two upper pedestrian sed to moor the Ferry into position during the docking procedure.	n the	
12/08 – 11,	709 Rehabilitati Mechanical ropes, motor	on of the Judge Seeber Lift Engineer design for the rehabition of the rehabition of the second	t Bridge   New Orlean abilitation of the Judge nterweight guides.	ns, LA   LADOTD e Seeber lift bridge. Design included replacement of the existing w	ire	

10/20 – 10/20	Construction Engineering & Inspection Services for Rehabilitation of the Broadway Bridge (Vertical Lift)   New York DOT Mechanical Construction Engineer for the rehabilitation of the Broadway Bridge over the Harlem River. Project mechanical construction inspection work included clean and inspect all the ropes and replace select ropes; replacement of primary reducers and provide shaft for auxiliary power; replacement of all pillow block sleeve bearing bushings; replacement of motor and machinery brakes; removal of abandoned rope oiling system; replacement of upper and lower air buffers; replacement of span lock machinery; replacement of elevators; balancing the lift span; repair of centering device. Responsible for reducer testing witnessing and performed thermal photography to aid in inspection/reporting effort.
08/19 – 01/21	Construction Engineering Inspection Services for Madison Ave Bridge (Swing Bridge) Over Harlem River   New York DOT Mechanical Construction Engineer for the replacement of span drive machinery, primary and secondary reducers and bearings; replacement of rack and pinions, center pin rehabilitation; replacement of end lifts at rest piers; replacement of centering locks, machinery, and receiving sockets at rest piers; new hydraulic auxiliary drive diesel powered by HPU and generator, removal of non- operational machinery; new electrically operated brakes; rehabilitation of machinery supports; new shafts and couplings; and cleaning, lubrication and adjustment of drum girder roller assembly. Performed shop and field construction inspections including observation of field surveys, electrical demolition and installation of temporary electrical items, and general demolition of existing structural and mechanical components slated for replacement under contract. Inspection reports were created to track shop work progress and MURK 1 DWRs were produced for field work tracking. Work also included reviewing and providing comments to change orders and coordinating with client, contractor, and designer to address field conditions to aid in streamlining work.
06/12 – Present	Construction Support Services, Design & Options Study for Monroe St Bascule Bridge Over Portage River, Muskingum County, OH   Ohio DOT Mechanical Engineer for study to determine bascule span replacement/rehabilitation options for the Port Clinton Bascule Bridge over the Portage River, and final design of the selected alternative. The three-span bridge includes a 99-foot double-leaf bascule main span flanked by steel girder approach spans was built in 1933. Examined movable span superstructure rehabilitation and replacement options. Performed the final design for the selected movable span replacement option and prepared the contract plans and special provisions. New machinery included Trunnion Bearing Assemblies, Operating Machinery and Span Lock Machinery. During construction, provided the DOT with review of Shop Drawings, Certified Prints, catalog cuts and shop and field procedure submittals for contract and design requirement compliance.
02/21 – 02/22	Districtwide State In-Depth Bridge Inspections   Florida DOT Mechanical Engineer Inspector assisted on this on-call District 6 Master Work Order Agreement. Services included providing routine and interim inspections of the mechanical and electrical systems on state-owned movable bridges in accordance with federal and state regulations. Inspection reports, outlining detailed inspection findings, and prioritized repair recommendations were provided to the prime consultant for entry into the statewide database system. Emergency inspection support was provided on request.
07/08 – 07/09	Construction Inspection for the Hamilton Avenue Skew Bascule Bridge   Brooklyn, NY   New York City DOT Structural Engineer Inspector provided structural construction inspection services during installation of new north bascule span, flanking span, and trunnion towers. Generated daily work reports for Resident Engineer. This bridge reconstruction project included the replacement of the two movable-span superstructures, replacement of the mechanical and electrical systems, and replacement of the existing pier-protection system.

	Firm Employed by		Hardesty & Hanover	r		
1	Name		James Gentile, PE		Years of relevant experience with this employer	5
	Title		Mechanical Enginee	r	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	0
Degree(s) /	Years /	Specialization	l	B.S. / 2017 / M	ech. Engineering / University of Connecticut	
Active regis	tration	number / state	expiration date Professional Engineer / 105612 / New York / 01/31/2025			
Year registe	ered	2022	Discipline	Mechanical Eng	gineering	
Contract rol	e(s) / b	rief description	n of responsibilities	Mechanical En	igineer	
Experience of (mm/yy-mn	lates 1/yy)	Experience ar "designed inter	nd qualifications releves rection", etc. Experier	ant to the properties of the p	osed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gi over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	rders", PR(s).
05/18 – 12/19 05/18 – 12/19 05/18 – 12/19 05/18 – 12/19 Mechanical plan newly fabricated support cradle sy		idings Replacement   Ke igner for electrical and me s, specifications, and cost floating ferry landing. Des /stem. Construction suppo	Iler, WA   Washingt echanical system de estimates to relocate igned improvements rt services were also	ton State DOT Ferries sign implementation. This project involved developing electrical and the operating equipment for two existing floating ferry landings of to the winch operating systems and developed a new vessel rolle oprovided.	d nto two r	
11/21 – Pre	esent <b>Fisher Island Ferry Landing Ramps Rep</b> <b>Mechanical Engineer</b> responsible for the structural, mechanical, and electrical inspe of the older, cable pulley ramps. The new mechanical and electrical systems for thes RFI response, field visits, shop inspections			lacement   Miami-D mechanical design o ction of the ramps, H ramps will operate h e ramps as well as p , and tests.	ade County, FL   Fisher Island Community Association, Inc. f two ferry landing ramps on Fisher Island. Following an in-depth I&H recommended a course of action for rehabilitation and replace ydraulically and be rated for AASHTO HS20. H&H is designing th roviding construction support services including shop drawing revi	e e w,
02/18 – 06/19 <b>Southport and Fort Fisher Ferry Ramp R</b> <b>Mechanical Engineer</b> for the rehabilitation simplified and streamlined structural, mech Division's annual maintenance costs. Innov existing counterweight system. A new lift be while enabling the bent to behave as a stru personnel to the new mechanical and elect		Rehabilitation   Fort a design of the of the anical, and electrica vative cylinder and fluent ent crossbeam and s actural frame. Access trical equipment.	Fisher, NC   North Carolina DOT Southport and Fort Fisher Ferry Ramps. The design focused on I details that would provide reliable operation while decreasing the pat mechanism details allowed the elimination of the high mainten- structural modifications to the lift bent improved the structural integ s platform modifications allowed for safe access by maintenance	Ferry ance rity		
Bruckner Expressway Over West           12/17 – 11/19         Mechanical Designer for the comp movable bascule span, flanking spa		ssway Over Westcheste igner for the complete rep span, flanking span, and	r Creek (Unionport blacement of the mov all approaches and a	Bridge) Replacement   New York, NY   New York DOT veable bridge over Westchester Creek and approach ramps, includ approach ramps. He reviewed trunnion machinery shop drawings.	ding a	

08/17 – 10/17	Ferry Division – Preparation of Detailed Life Cycle   North Carolina   North Carolina DOT Mechanical Designer for the analysis, design, and contract engineering inspection of moveable bridges. Work included structural, electrical, and mechanical type work. Work was associated with troubleshooting and repair projects to NCDOT-owned moveable bridges, i.e. bascule, swing span, and vertical lift bridges, on an "as-needed" basis. Plans for the work listed were prepared in electronic (Microstation) format. Mr. Gentile inspected all 14 of NCDOTs ferry slips, which included written mechanical condition overviews and life cycle analysis.
10/18 – Present	In-Depth Inspection and Rehabilitation Plans for Five Movable Bridges   San Joaquin County, CA   San Joaquin County DPW Mechanical Designer for a project that includes detailed mechanical and electrical inspection; preparation of inspection reports, including prioritized repair list and preliminary cost estimates; and final design documents for repairs to five movable bridges over various waterways in the San Joaquin Delta area of California. The bridges include one double leaf bascule bridge and four swing bridges, varying in age from 20 to 80 years old. Mr. Gentile prepared the rehabilitation plan set for all five bridges.
11/18 – 01/19	Mercer Island Tunnel (No 99/26 LID) In-Depth Mechanical and Electrical Inspection   Mercer Island, WA   Washington State DOT Mechanical Designer for an in-depth electrical and mechanical inspection of the electrical and mechanical systems. The tunnel is a 2.5-mile-long single bore tunnel with two southbound lanes in the upper roadway, two northbound lanes in the lower roadway, and a lower section utilidor for the pumping equipment. There is a north and a south operations building each with four 500HP extraction ventilation fans and two maintenance air fans. Each roadway is equipped with multiple 75HP jet fans and roadway dampers evenly spaced through the tunnels for the extraction fans. The tunnel has a fire pipe deluge system and pumping system to remover the water. The tunnel has a communication system-based control system with PLC controllers, hundreds of cameras with DVR controllers, a fire detection system, an air monitoring system, and a complete security system. Each piece of equipment is remotely accessible and operable from the control system, with centers in each operations building. Most of the electrical and mechanical equipment was visually inspected and operationally tested during the inspection. Mr. Gentile inspected much of the fire suppression system, including dampers, fire sprinkler piping, and standpipes.
07/17 – Present	AGR. #181 Of Bridge Design, Task 01   Delaware   Delaware DOT Mechanical Designer for a task-order agreement for consulting services over a four year period. H&H is providing full-service design on an as needed basis for the Department's Bridge Management Section to meet the FHWA mandated NBIS inspections of required bridges. H&H is a sub to AECOM and performing mechanical and electrical inspections of the movable bridges. Mr. Gentile prepared preliminary cost estimates for maintenance and repair contract items.
07/17 – 12/21	AGR. #1810F Bridge Design, Task 01   Statewide, DE   Delaware DOT Mechanical Designer for a task-order agreement for consulting services over a four-year period. H&H is providing full-service design on an as needed basis for the Department's Bridge Management Section to meet the FHWA mandated NBIS inspections of required bridges. H&H is a sub to AECOM and performing mechanical and electrical inspections of the movable bridges. Mr. Gentile prepared preliminary cost estimates for maintenance and repair contract items.

	Firm Empl	oyed by	Hardesty & Hanover	r		
(25)	Name		Travis Kimmins, PE		Years of relevant experience with this employer	3
	Title		Senior Mechanical E	Engineer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	15
Degree(s) /	Years / Spec	cializatior	1	M.S., Mechanic B.E., Mechanic	al Engineering, 2003, University of Tennessee, Knoxv al Engineering, 2001, University of Tennessee, Knoxv	ille ille
Active regis	stration num	ber / state	e / expiration date	Professional En	gineer: 43676 / LA / 3/31/2024	
Year registe	ered 2	2019	Discipline	Mechanical Eng	gineering	
Contract rol	le(s) / brief d	lescription	n of responsibilities	Senior Mechan	ical Engineer - Meets MPR 3	
Experience	dates Expe	erience ar	nd qualifications relev	ant to the prope	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gi	rders",
(mm/yy–mn	n/yy) "desi	igned inte	rsection", etc. Experier	nce dates should c	over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	IPR(s).
	Senic struct of the mech RFI re	or Mechani tural, mecha e older, cabl nanical and esponse, fi∉	cal Engineer responsible anical, and electrical inspe- le pulley ramps. The new electrical systems for these eld visits, shop inspections	for the mechanical c ction of the ramps, H ramps will operate h e ramps as well as p , and tests.	lesign of two ferry landing ramps on Fisher Island. Following an ir I&H recommended a course of action for rehabilitation and replace ydraulically and be rated for AASHTO HS20. H&H is designing th roviding construction support services including shop drawing revi	n-depth ement e iew,
01/20 – Pre	sent Almo Mech requir of the system subst neces count accor	naster Ave nanical Eng red for the p circa-1920 ms, supersi tructure cou ssary desig terweight tru rdance with	enue Railroad Bridge over gineer for the bridge asses partial replacement of the A National Register of Histo tructure, and counterweigh Id remain, modifications we n plans to replace the spar unnion pin, and bushing. T Louisiana DOTD Standard	er the Industrial Can soment, complete reh Almonaster Avenue I pric Places eligible br at were required to re- ere deemed necessan drive and span lock he main trunnion be- d and Specifications.	hal Rehabilitation   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans habilitative engineering design, and construction inspection service Bridge, a movable Strauss-heel trunnion bridge. H&H's 2019 asses hidge revealed that improvements to the electrical and mechanical eturn this bridge to its full operating capability. Although the existing ary to accommodate the rehabilitated superstructure. H&H develop c machinery, operating strut, guide assembly, live load bearings, arings were rehabilitated and repositioned. All design work is in	es ssment g ped
09/19 – Pre	sent South Senic system overs and ra	hport Fort or Mechani m redesign sight. Perfor amp/lift stru	Fisher Ferry Ramps   Sou cal Engineer serving on a , lifting structure redesign, med work across Divisions ictures.	uthport, NC   North a task of H&H's Struc and upgrade. Also p s 1, 2, and 3, as well	Carolina DOT etures Management Unit Limited Services contract. Led mechanica rovided construction support services for mechanical tasks and te as for the Ferry Division, on a variety of bridges, mechanical syste	al chnical ems,

	Jamestown Scotland Ferry Hydraulic System Rehabilitation   Jamestown, VA   Virginia DOT
08/12 04/18	Senior Movable Bridge Mechanical Engineer responsible for the design of the hydraulic system to replace the existing hydraulic
00/12 - 04/10	system. Provided construction services responsible including shop drawing reviews, responses to RFI's, witnessing shop testing, and
	field support during key construction events.
03/19 – Present	SR 605 Bascule Bridge over Industrial Waterway Rehabilitation   Harrison County, MS   Mississippi DOT
	Mechanical Engineer leading the design of the mechanical rehabilitation and providing construction services during construction of the second services during construction
	Contract included engineering assessment mechanical electrical and structural design in addition to the preparation of Traffic Control
	Plans. All designs will be completed in accordance with AASHTO. FHWA, and MDOT guidelines and specifications. H&H is currently
	performing construction phase services for the project.
11/20 – Present	SR 609 Bascule Bridge Rehabilitation   Ocean Springs, MS   Mississippi DOT
	Lead Mechanical Engineer responsible for conducting plans review of mechanical rehabilitation plans involving a full mechanical
	rehabilitation of the operating machinery as well as the HVAC and plumbing systems for the control house. Also provided construction
	support services as part of the full renabilitation of the SR 609 bascule bridge. Issued as a task-order to the IDIQ Master Bridge
	includes inspection and rehabilitation of structural mechanical and electrical components of the bridge as well as the roadway
	approaches and development of maintenance and repair plans. All designs are in accordance with AASHTO, FHWA, and MDOT
	guidelines and specifications. H&H is currently performing construction phase services for the project.
	Terengganu Bridge, Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia – PJSI Consultants
	Senior Movable Bridge Mechanical Engineer responsible for leading the hydraulic system design for Malaysia's first movable bridge,
03/11 – 06/18	a double-leaf bascule to connect the peninsulas of Maura North and Maura South. The bridge has become Terengganu's signature
	landmark as it lures tourists to this oil-rich state. The bridge controls included programmable logic controller and relay circuits to control
02/19 11/20	a rightaulic span drive system. Flowded construction services support.
03/10 - 11/20	Jupiter Federal Bruge Replacement   Jupiter, FL   Florida DOT Lead Machanical Engineer responsible for mechanical systems design for this bascule bridge replacement project. H&H served as
	Engineer of Record for the project, which addressed the structural and functional deficiencies of the existing US-1/ SR-5 Jupiter
	Federal Bridge from CR-A1A (Ocean Boulevard) to Beach Road. Scope included the development of vertical and horizontal alignment
	for bridge replacement alternatives and study of the resulting impacts. The design incorporated intersection improvements and improved
	traffic functions at both ends of the approximately 2,960-foot long (0.56 mile) project corridor into the bridge replacement design. The
	project included ADA access ramps to the eight-foot sidewalks and a new seven-foot buffered bike lane for additional safety. Performed
	the quality control reviews on the machinery, HVAC and plumbing systems.

	Firm Employed by		Hardesty & Hanover			
	Name		Frank Marzella, PE		Years of relevant experience with this employer	23
	Title		Principal Associate		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	11
Degree(s) /	Years / Spe	cialization	Ļ	B.E. / 1988 / M	ech. Engineering / State University of NY at Stony Bro	ook
Active regis	tration num	nber / state	/ expiration date Professional Engineer: 78201 / FL / 02/28/2023			
Year registe	red	2014	Discipline	Mechanical Eng	gineering	
Contract rol	e(s) / brief	description	n of responsibilities	Mechanical En	gineer	
Experience of (mm/yy–mm	dates Exp n/yy) "des	erience an ar	nd qualifications relever rsection", etc. Experier	ant to the properties to the properties of the p	osed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed ginover the years of experience specified in the applicable M	rders", PR(s).
05/18 – 10, 01/18 – 07,	/19 deve float deve float deve Sour Mec simp /19 Divis exist while pers	er Ferry Lan ect Manage eloping electring ferry land eloped a new thport and F hanical Eng oblified and strision's annual ting countervie e enabling the	r/Lead Mechanical Engine rical and mechanical plans dings onto two newly fabric vessel roller support crad Fort Fisher Ferry Ramp R ineer for the rehabilitation reamlined structural, mech maintenance costs. Innov veight system. A new lift be bent to behave as a structural new mechanical and elect	ther, wA   washingt teer for electrical and a specifications, and cated floating ferry la le system. Construct Rehabilitation   Fort design of the of the anical, and electrical vative cylinder and flo ent crossbeam and s ctural frame. Access rical equipment.	<ul> <li><b>on State DOT Ferries</b> <ul> <li>d mechanical system design implementation. This project involved cost estimates to relocate the operating equipment for two existing nding. Designed improvements to the winch operating systems antion support services were also provided.</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>Fisher, NC   North Carolina DOT</b> <ul> <li>Southport and Fort Fisher Ferry Ramps. The design focused on I details that would provide reliable operation while decreasing the pat mechanism details allowed the elimination of the high maintenastructural modifications to the lift bent improved the structural integral platform modifications allowed for safe access by maintenance</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	g id Ferry ance irity
03/15 – 12/	/15 Pass Proj elect swite	senger Ferry ect Manage trical safety i ches and me	y Ramp, Safety Interlock r/Project Mechanical Eng nterlocks to prevent inadvo chanical tripping devices.	Upgrade   Bellingh gineer for upgrading ertent operation of th	am, WA   Port of Bellingham the movable passenger ferry ramp system to provide redundant e passenger ramp. Design work included the addition of safety int	erlock
01/20 – Pres	sent of th supe plan	onaster Ave hanical QC le Almonaste erstructure, a ned to replac	enue Railroad Bridge over Engineer for the bridge as r Avenue Bridge. H&H's a nd counterweight were rea the span drive machine	er the Industrial Car ssessment and comp ssessment revealed quired to return this b ry, span lock machin	hal   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans blete rehabilitative engineering design required for the partial repla that improvements to the electrical and mechanical systems, bridge to its full operating capability. The mechanical rehabilitation ery, main trunnion, counterweight, and link pins and bushings.	cement is

03/10 – 06/16	SR 520 Evergreen Point Bridge and Landing Project   Seattle WA   Washington State DOT QC Engineer on this design-build project to replace the SR 520 Floating Bridge with a new parallel bridge and maintenance facility. Prepared a design-build RFQ and RFP for the replacement of this bridge and bridge maintenance facility. The floating bridge work included preliminary design and complete technical requirements for a specialized structure. The Maintenance Facility included preliminary design and complete technical requirements for LEED compliant facility and is listed above as a separate project. The work also included support during the bidding and selection process as well as reviewing the design-build team's design submittals, attending task force meetings with the design-build team to keep the project requirements clear, and reviewing construction submittals.							
09/15 – 12/18	Broadway Bridge Rall Wheel Replacement   Portland, OR   Multnomah County Project Manager for a major complex movable bridge project that included replacing the 8 - foot 4 - inch diameter Rall wheels at each corner of the bridge; replacing the 33-foot-long track; trunnion axle replacement and line boring of structure; and, associated control strut rehabilitation. Located in downtown Portland, the bridge spans the Willamette River. The bridge is a rare double-leaf Rall-type bascule structure that is more than 100 years old. The Rall wheels are part of the bridge operating mechanism and two Rall wheels support the entire weight of each of the bridge's bascule leaves.							
04/18 – 11/18	Alameda County Bascule Bridge Mechanical System Inspections   Alameda, CA   Alameda County Public Works Agency Project Manager/Lead Engineer for mechanical and electrical inspection and condition assessment of the bridge machinery systems on the Park Street, High Street, and Fruitvale Avenue bascule bridges. The inspections were conducted conformance with the AASHTO Movable Bridge Inspection, Evaluation, and Maintenance Manual. H&H inspected and evaluated the bridge machinery systems and provided recommendations/cost estimated for long and short term rehabilitation.							
06/18 – 10/18	SR 529/20E Steamboat Slough Swing Bridges In-Depth Mechanical & Electrical Inspections   Everett, WA   Washington DOT Project Manager/Lead Mechanical Engineer for the In-Depth mechanical & electrical system inspection on two through truss swing bridges over Steamboat Slough. The inspection was conducted conformance with the AASHTO Movable Bridge Inspection, Evaluation, and Maintenance Manual. Mechanical condition reports were developed that included maintenance, as well as short- and long-term rehabilitation recommendations							
01/12 – 05/13	Movable Bridge Condition Assessment Study   San Joaquin County, CA   San Joaquin County Department of Public Works Mechanical Engineer for mechanical and electrical inspections on five movable bridges owned and operated by the San Joaquin County Department of Public Works. Finding reports were prepared including short- and long-term rehabilitation recommendations and cost estimates. These reports were utilized by San Joaquin County to secure BPMP funding from Caltrans.							
08/17 - Present	East Link Extension Sound Transit Expansion   Seattle, WA   Washington State DOT Project Manager responsible for providing construction support and design review to fully integrate the Sound Transit Light Rail expansion on the I90 floating bridges and associated access tunnels. Work includes coordinating work with Seattle City Light, medium voltage power distribution, low voltage power distribution, cathodic and stray current mitigation, and remote control and monitoring of the bridges. Floating bridges include a highly specialized electrical system and the addition of light rail onto a floating bridge had never been performed before this project. Work includes attending design and construction meetings, on-site construction inspection, show drawing and testing review, and Request for Information submittals.							
-	Firm Employed by		Hardesty & Hanover	Hardesty & Hanover				
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------	--	--
Name		Andrew Barthle, P	E	Years of relevant experience with this employer	18			
	Title		Electrical Engineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	1		
Degree(s) /	Years	/ Specialization	1	B.S. / 2003 / El	ectrical Engineering / Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute			
Active regis	stration	n number / state	/ expiration date	expiration date Professional Engineer: 34062 / LA / 3/31/2025				
Year registe	ered	2008	Discipline	Electrical Engir	neering			
Contract rol	le(s)/1	brief description	n of responsibilities	<b>Electrical Engi</b>	ineer - Meets MPR 3			
Experience (mm/yy-mn	dates n/yy)	Experience ar "designed inte	nd qualifications relev rsection", etc. Experier	ant to the properties of the p	osed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gi over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	rders", PR(s).		
11/21 – 08/22 Fisher Island F Electrical Engin mechanical, and older, cable pull and electrical sy field visits, shop		erry Landing Ramps Rep leer responsible for the ele electrical inspection of the ey ramps. The new ramps stems for these ramps as w inspections, and tests.	lacement   Miami-D ectrical design of two e ramps, H&H recom will operate hydraul well as providing cor	ade County, FL   Fisher Island Community Association, Inc. ferry landing ramps on Fisher Island. Following an in-depth struc mended a course of action for rehabilitation and replacement of th ically and be rated for AASHTO HS20. H&H is designing the mec istruction support services including shop drawing review, RFI res	tural, e hanical ponse,			
08/08 – 08/13 08/08 – 08/13 08/08 – 08/13 Use on the initial sector of the initial se		<b>Vertical Lift Bridge (SP 70</b> <b>Ieer</b> responsible for overse stem, and essentially the i pared the initial scoping in: a 250-foot tower-drive verti- eadline.	00-99-0430)   New O being the replacement n-kind replacement of spection report and cal lift span. The cor	rleans, LA   LADOTD nt of the vertical lift bridge's entire electrical system including the re of the switched secondary resistance motor drive with synchro-ties coordinated post-design services for the electrical and machinery ntract required the completion of the design in three months to me	əplay- skew et the			
08/08 – Pre	sent	Bayou Teche M Project Electric: the bridge power Teche, the origin bascule bridge ir disciplines to ass placed on hold for	ovable Bridge at Oaklaw al Engineer responsible for distribution and relay-bas al bridge is being replaced h line with LADOTD's design sure success. All design de pr several years, extending	n (H.002798.6)   St. or providing electrica ed control system fo d with a new hydrauli gn requirements and eliverables adhered to the schedule.	Mary Parish, LA   LADOTD I design calculations, and plan preparations, and post-design serv r this movable bridge. Built in 1941 to carry LA Route 323 over Ba ically-operated swing bridge. H&H provided the electrical design for standard design details and coordinated closely with the other design to the project schedule. Due to permitting issues, design activities	ices for you ir the sign were		
04/16 – 06/17 Mayport Ferry Bridge Inspections   Jacl Mayport Ferry Bridge Inspections   Jacl Electrical Engineer responsible for the inst Mayport Ferry movable bridge.		spection of the ferry o	a DOT electrical systems as well as the detailed inspection reports for the					

10/19 – 10/21	Seabrook Railroad Bascule Bridge Annual Inspection   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans Electrical Engineer for the annual inspection of the Seabrook Trunnion Bascule Bridge crossing the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal in New Orleans. Services included routine and fracture critical inspection involving the structural, mechanical, and electrical inspection of all components of the bascule, counterweight, and tower spans per the Bridge Safety Management Program. Also conducted NBIS and element inspection for the entire bridge.
03/18 – Present	Saint Johns River Ferry   Duval County   Florida DOT Electrical Engineer – D2 Local Government Bridge Inspection - responsible for conducting the inspection of electrical systems and the preparation of detailed inspection reports.
03/18 – Present	Mayport Ferry Landing   Mayport, FL   Florida DOT Electrical Engineer – D2 Local Government Bridge Inspection - responsible for conducting the inspection of electrical systems and the preparation of detailed inspection reports.
04/14 - Present	Fort George Ferry Landing   Jacksonville, FL   Florida DOT Electrical Engineer – D2 Local Government Bridge Inspection - responsible for conducting the inspection of electrical systems and the preparation of detailed inspection reports.
05/18 – Present	SR-609 Movable Bascule Bridge Rehabilitation   Ocean Springs, MS   Mississippi DOT Lead Electrical Engineer responsible for the electrical design for the full rehabilitation of the SR 609 bascule bridge as a task-order to the IDIQ Master Bridge Contract which includes developing standard and special bridge services, statewide for MDOT. The scope of work includes inspection and rehabilitation of structural, mechanical, and electrical bridge components, roadway approaches, and development of maintenance and repair plans. All designs are in accordance with AASHTO, FHWA, and MDOT guidelines and specs.
01/19 – 04/20	SR-605 Movable Bascule Bridge Rehabilitation   Gulfport, MS   Mississippi DOT Quality Control Electrical Engineer responsible for the quality control review for design assessment, design, plan review, and quality control of electrical systems for the SR 605 double-leaf bascule bridge, as a task-order to the IDIQ Master Bridge Contract which includes developing standard and special bridge services, statewide for MDOT. The scope of work included the inspection and rehabilitation of structural, mechanical, and electrical components of the bridge, as well as the roadway approaches and development of maintenance and repair plans. All designs were prepared in accordance with AASHTO, FHWA, and MDOT guidelines and specs.
01/20 – Present	Almonaster Avenue Railroad Bridge of the Industrial Canal Rehabilitation   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans Lead Electrical Engineer for the bridge assessment, rehabilitative engineering design, and construction inspection services required for the partial replacement of the Almonaster Avenue Bridge, a movable Strauss-heel trunnion bridge. H&H's 2019 assessment of the circa-1920, National Register of Historic Places eligible bridge revealed that improvements to the electrical and mechanical systems, superstructure, and counterweight were required to return this bridge to its full operating capability. Although the existing substructure could remain, modifications to other bridge elements were deemed necessary to accommodate the rehabilitated superstructure. H&H developed necessary design plans to replace the span drive and span lock machinery, operating strut, guide assembly, live load bearings, counterweight trunnion pin, and bushing. The main trunnion bearings were rehabilitated and repositioned. All design work is in accordance with Louisiana DOTD Standard and Specifications.

	Firm Employed by		Hardesty & Hanover	Hardesty & Hanover				
	Name		Kenneth Pecquet, H	PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer	3		
S	Title		Electrical Engineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	11		
Degree(s) /	Years /	Specialization		BS / 2012 / Electrical Engineering / University of New Orleans				
Active regis	stration r	number / state	/ expiration date	Electrical Engin	neer: 41471 / LA / 9/30/2023			
Year registe	ered	2023	Discipline	Electrical Engin	neering			
Contract rol	le(s) / br	ief description	n of responsibilities	<b>Electrical Eng</b>	ineer			
Experience	dates ]	Experience and	l qualifications relevan	t to the proposed of	contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed girders", "de	signed		
(mm/yy–mn	n/yy) i	intersection", e	etc. Experience dates sl	hould cover the y	ears of experience specified in the applicable MPR(s).			
12/19 – 01/21 12/19 – 01/21 Movable Bridge Electrical En the bridge power distribution ar bridge was replaced with a new LADOTD's design requirement success. All design deliverable extending the schedule.		Electrical Engineer Inter distribution and relay-bas ced with a new hydraulica n requirements and standa gn deliverables adhered to nedule.	rn responsible for pr ed control system fo lly operated swing b ard design details ar o the schedule. Due	oviding post-design electrical design calculations and plan revisior r this movable bridge. Built in 1941, the original historically signific ridge. H&H provided the electrical design for the bridge in line with nd coordinated closely with the other design disciplines to assure to permitting issues, design was were placed on hold for several y	is for ant rears			
10/21 – Pre	esent (	Fisher Island Fe Electrical El resp mechanical, and older, cable pulle and electrical sys field visits, shop i	erry Landing Ramps Rep ponsible for the electrical of electrical inspection of the y ramps. The new ramps stems for these ramps as w nspections, and tests.	lacement   Miami-C design of two ferry la e ramps, H&H recom will operate hydraul well as providing cor	Pade County, FL   Fisher Island Community Association, Inc. Inding ramps on Fisher Island. Following an in-depth structural, mended a course of action for rehabilitation and replacement of th ically and be rated for AASHTO HS20. H&H is designing the mec istruction support services including shop drawing review, RFI resp	⊧e hanical ponse,		
01/20 – Pre	esent	Almonaster Ave Movable Bridge required for the p H&H's assessme electrical and me Although the exis superstructure. H live load bearings	nue Railroad Bridge over Electrical Engineer for the partial replacement of the A ent of the circa-1920, eligi chanical systems, supersisting substructure could re t&H developed necessary s, counterweight trunnion	er the Industrial Car he bridge assessme Almonaster Avenue ble for the National F tructure, and counte main, modifications design plans to repl pin, and bushing. Th	nal Rehabilitation   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans nt, rehabilitative engineering design, and construction inspection s Bridge, a movable Strauss-heel trunnion bridge's electrical system Register of Historic Places bridge revealed that improvements to the rweight were required to return this bridge to its full operating capa were deemed necessary to accommodate the rehabilitated ace the span drive/span lock machinery, operating strut, guide ass e main trunnion bearings were rehabilitated and repositioned.	ervices s. ie ibility. sembly,		

10/19 – 12/20	SR 609 Movable Bascule Bridge over Old Fort Bayou Rehabilitation   Ocean Springs, MS   Mississippi DOT Movable Bridge Electrical Engineer Intern contributed to the electrical design services for the full rehabilitation of SR 609 bascule bridge, as a task-order to the IDIQ Master Bridge Contract which included developing standard and special bridge services, statewide for MDOT. Scope of work included inspection and rehabilitation of structural, mechanical, and electrical components of the bridge, as well as the roadway approaches and development of maintenance and repair plans.
03/19 – 01/20	SR 605 Movable Bascule Bridge Rehabilitation   Harrison County, MS   Mississippi DOT Movable Bridge Electrical Engineer Intern contributed to the electrical design for the full rehabilitation of SR-605 bascule bridge as a task-order to the IDIQ Master Bridge Contract which included engineering assessment, mechanical, electrical, and structural design in addition to the Traffic Control Plans.
10/19 – 01/20	Annual Inspection of Almonaster Railroad Bascule Bridge over the Industrial Canal   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans Movable Bridge Electrical Engineer Intern for an annual inspection of the Almonaster Avenue Railroad Bascule, which involved a structural inspection of the fracture critical steel, primary and secondary steel members, an electrical inspection of the electrical systems and controls, and a mechanical inspection of the machinery.
06/19 – 09/19	Annual Inspection of Seabrook Railroad Bascule Bridge   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans Movable Bridge Electrical Engineer Intern for the annual inspection of the Seabrook Trunnion Bascule Bridge. This inspection included a structural inspection of the fracture critical steel and primary and secondary steel members, an electrical inspection of the electrical systems and controls, and an inspection of the mechanical systems and machinery.
07/18 – Present	Districtwide State In-depth Bridge Inspections Contract   District 2 (Jacksonville Area, FL)   Florida DOT Movable Bridge Electrical Engineer Intern for the on-call inspection of movable bridge structures located throughout District 2 under the Master Work Order Agreement. Services include the mechanical and electrical system routine and interim inspections of nine assigned movable bridges in accordance with federal and state regulations. Inspection reports outlining detailed inspection findings and prioritized repair recommendations were provided to the prime consultant.
04/17 – 05/17	US-1 over Snake Creek Canal Bascule Bridge Post-Irma Inspection   Monroe County, FL   Florida DOT Movable Bridge Electrical Engineer Intern responsible for conducting inspection and preparing rehabilitative designs for Bridge Number 900077 after damage was inflicted by Hurricane Irma. Scope called for detailed mechanical, electrical, and structural field reviews to collect and compare current data with previous project data to verify any existing deficiencies which occurred prior to the storm. Inspection focused on control house, signage and associated assemblies, gate lights, generator, lighting, clearance gauges. An Inspection Report was submitted summarizing the findings. Repair plans, design details and associated cost estimates were performed.
04/19 – Present	Jupiter Federal Bascule Bridge Replacement   Jupiter, FL   Florida DOT Movable Bridge Electrical Engineer Intern contributing to the design of this bascule bridge replacement project. The SWAT process of overlapping the design phase with the PD&E phase requires that the preliminary design phase includes coordination and support of the NEPA process in developing the Type 2 Categorical Exclusion documentation. H&H will serve as Engineer of Record for the project which addresses the structural and functional deficiencies of the existing US-1 / SR-5 Jupiter Federal Bridge from CR-A1A (Ocean Boulevard) to Beach Road. Work includes the development of vertical and horizontal alignment for bridge replacement alternatives and the study of the resulting impacts. The design incorporates intersection improvements and improves traffic functions at both ends of the approximately 2,960-foot long (0.56 mile) project corridor into the bridge replacement design.

	Firm Employed by	Hardesty & Hanover	r		
6	Name	Christopher Svara,	, PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer	27
A CAN	Title	Senior Electrical En	gineer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	2
Degree(s) /	Years / Specialization	1	BS / 1993 / App	olied Physics / Jacksonville University	
			BS / 1993 / Elec	ctrical Engineering / Columbia University	
Active regis	tration number / state	e / expiration date	expiration date Professional Engineer: 44080 / LA / 3/31/2024		
Year registe	red 2019	Discipline	Electrical Engir	leering	
Contract rol	e(s) / brief description	n of responsibilities	Senior Electric	al Engineer - Meets MPR 3	
Experience	lates Experience an	nd qualifications relev	ant to the propo	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gin	rders",
(mm/yy–mn	n/yy) "designed inte	rsection", etc. Experier	nce dates should c	over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	PR(s).
05/18 – 10	/19 plans, specificati fabricated floatin Performed site v	Engines Replacement   Ke Engineer for the electrical ions, and cost estimates to g ferry landing. Supported isits and commissioning ad	I system design and relocate the operati the WSDOT during ctivities on the project	vashington DOT construction support services. This project involved developing ele ng equipment for two existing floating ferry landings onto two newl bidding, bid selection, and provided construction support services. t.	ectrical y
08/13 – 06	Hatteras Ferry I Lead Electrical system, motor co including calcula Phase provided	Ramp Rehabilitation   Da Engineer provided a reha ontrollers, control stations, tions, design drawings, an construction support service	re County, NC   Nor bilitation design that receptacles, lights, a d specifications. Foll ces, including shop d	th Carolina Division of Highways included a new control system, a new distributed power distributio and hydraulic controls for the ramp. Provided the electrical design, owing the completion of the Design Phase, during the Constructio rawing review, and Requests for Information.	n n
08/08 – 08	/13 Judge Seeber V Lead Movable E 250-foot tower-d elements includin Provided the ele construction sup	Vertical Lift Bridge over In Bridge Electrical Enginee rive vertical lift span. The r ng a new control system, r ctrical design, including ca port services for the const	nner Harbor Naviga er provided inspection rehabilitation design new distributed powe lculations, design dra ruction, including sho	tional Canal (SP 700-99-0430)   New Orleans, LA   LADOTD n and rehabilitative design services for the electrical rehabilitation of of this Preservation Priority Bridge encompassed various electrica r distribution system, motor controllers, control desk, and limit swit awings, and specifications. During the construction phase, provide op drawing review, and responded to various Requests for Informa	of a I tches. d ation.
01/19 – Pre	sent SR 605 Movable Movable Bridge as a task-order t MDOT. Work inc approaches and	<b>Bascule Bridge Rehabi</b> Electrical Engineer for the IDIQ Master Bridge ( Studes inspection and reha development of maintenal	litation   Ocean Spr he assessment, desi Contract which incluc bilitation of bridge str nce and repair plans	ings, MS   Mississippi DOT gn, plan review, and quality control of SR 605 double-leaf bascule les developing standard and special bridge services, statewide for uctural, mechanical, and electrical components, as well as the roa	bridge, adway

08/10 – 07/13	Murray Morgan Vertical Lift Bridge Rehabilitation   Tacoma, WA   City of Tacoma Lead Movable Bridge Electrical Engineer responsible for developing the electrical design required to replace the complete electrical system on a span drive lift bridge. Scope for this National Register of Historic Plans listed bridge included providing the electrical design, including calculations, design drawings, and specifications as well as providing construction support services for the construction, including shop drawing review, Requests for Information, meetings, on-site testing, and start up. This rehabilitation design included a new programmable logic control system, a radio communication system to reduce cables from the movable span to the fixed piers, control desk, new distributed power distribution system consisting of a movable span, near side, and far side electrical equipment locations, motor drives, utility service, and power distribution.
08/17 – 07/19	I-90 Lacey V Murrow Pontoon Bridge Rehabilitation   Seattle, WA   Washington DOT Bridge Preservation Office Movable Bridge Electrical Engineer for an I-90 Lacey V Murrow Bridge electrical inspection and rehabilitative design project. H&H's electrical inspection of eastbound I-90 revealed that the electrical switchgears and five pairs of transformers needed to be replaced and the neutral and ground conductors needed to be separated. H&H's rehabilitative recommendations also required that submersible fuses in three pontoons be reconfigured and reinstalled, and that a fault current and arc flash hazard analyses be performed on all medium voltage equipment. H&H was responsible for the in-depth inspection, associated inspection report, subsequent electrical system design, and construction support services.
07/10 – 04/16	SR 520 Evergreen Point Floating Bridge and Landings Project   Seattle, WA   Washington DOT Bridge Preservation Office Bridge Electrical Engineer on this design-build project to replace the SR 520 Floating Bridge with a new parallel bridge and maintenance facility. Prepared a design-build Request for Qualifications and Request for Proposal for the replacement SR 520 Evergreen Point Floating Bridge and Bridge Maintenance Facility. The floating bridge work included preliminary design and complete technical requirements for a specialized structure. The Maintenance Facility included preliminary design and complete technical requirements for LEED compliant facility. Work also included support during the bidding and selection process as well as reviewing the design-build team's design submittals, attending task force meetings with the design-build team to keep the project requirements clear, and reviewing construction submittals.
06/17 – 04/18	Centerville Swing Bridge over the Chesapeake & Albemarle Canal Rehabilitation   Chesapeake, MA   City of Chesapeake Lead Movable Bridge Electrical Engineer for the rehabilitation of the Centerville Swing Bridge's bascule span. The project consisted of a site inspection to verify the condition of the electrical systems. After the site visit, a detailed scope of work was developed to identify the rehabilitation work that was required. Once the scope was defined and approved by the City, then construction plans, specifications, calculations, and cost estimates were prepared for a complete electrical rehabilitation of the bridge electrical system. The planned scope of work also included construction support services.
06/18 – 10/18	SR 529 / 20 E and 20 W Steamboat Slough Bridge In-Depth Inspection   Everett, WA   Washington DOT Lead Electrical Engineer for the in-depth electrical system inspection on this through truss swing bridge over Steamboat Slough. The inspection was conducted conformance with the AASHTO Movable Bridge Inspection, Evaluation and Maintenance Manual. Electrical condition reports were developed that included maintenance, short-term, and long-term rehabilitation recommendations.

Firm Employed		Employed by	Hardesty & Hanover				
	Name	e	Marco Lara, PE		Years of relevant experience with this employer	4	
	Title		Electrical Engineer	:	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	13	
Degree(s) / Y	ears / S	Specialization		B. S. / 2004 / E	lectrical Engineering		
Active registra	ation r	number / state / e	expiration date	Professional Er	ngineer: 44115 / LA / 3/31/2024		
Year registere	ed	2019	Discipline	Electrical Engin	neering		
Contract role(	(s) / bri	ief description o	f responsibilities	<b>Electrical Eng</b>	ineer - Meets MPR 3		
Experience dat	tes	Experience and	qualifications relev	ant to the propo	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gin	rders",	
(mm/yy–mm/y	yy)	"designed inters	ection", etc. Experien	ce dates should c	over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	PR(s).	
01/19 – Prese 05/17 – 07/1	ənt 7	Lapalco Boulevar Electrical Engine and widening of the of traffic and a new Canal to be constru- Improvements to b Arthur Kill Vertica Electrical Engine termination cabine and a 558-foot-long	rd Movable Bridge over er contributing to the pre e existing four-lane Lapa / pedestrian/bike lanes a ucted as an independent ridge and roadway appro al Rail Lift Bridge   Eliza er responsible for perforr ts, control console, and to g span, this bridge has the period pedeor	Harvey Canal   We design electrical ins loo Boulevard proje s well as the design structure immediate baches and develop abethport, NJ / Stat ning in-depth inspect oridge electrical system the longest lift span of the longest lift span of	estwego, LA   Jefferson Parish DPW spection and resulting Bridge Design Report (BDR) for the rehabi ct includes rehabilitation to the existing four-lane bridge with three of a new three-lane double bascule movable bridge crossing of H ely adjacent and north of the existing bridge with a new operator I ment of a Traffic Control Plan was also included. ten Island, NY   New York City Economic Development Corp. ctions of the existing span drive, limit switches, motor control cent tem on the Arthur Kill Vertical Lift Bridge. With a single-track towe of any bridge of its type in the world. Observed bridge operations is also fridge operations in the state brakes, and each lack.	litation a lanes larvey house. ter, ter, er drive and	
		visually evaluated aerial cables. Performed electrical testing of electrical service, motors, motor brakes, and span locks. Reviewed previous bridge inspection reports and prepared checklist for field evaluation of corrected and uncorrected deficiencies.					
02/13 – 06/1	Marine Parkway Vertical Lift Bridge Ins Electrical Engineer responsible for in-de motors, warning gates, limit switches, mot voltage, current, and RPM chart recording recommendations to the TBTA. Other res drive motors. Inspection was performed in Movable Bridges), NYSDOT TA 87-007, a		ection   New York, th electrical inspection or control center, terr of all the main motor consibilities included accordance with the red the AASHTO Mov	NY   MTA Bridges and Tunnels on of the existing span drive and synchro-tie motors, auxiliary drive mination cabinets, and control console. Also witnessed and overs rs in the North and South Towers to provide analysis and insulation resistance (megger) testing and inspection of the main requirements of FHWA IP 77-10 (Bridge Inspection Manual for vable Bridge, Inspection, Evaluation, and Maintenance Manual.	ve aw span		
1/11 – 12/12 Center Electri bridge. upgrad		Center Street Swi Electrical Inspect bridge. A partial ele upgrading existing	ng Bridge   Cleveland, or responsible for engine ectrical system replacem motor controls and wirin	OH   Ohio DOT eering support and c ent was performed, g for traffic gates, lo	construction inspection of the electrical rehabilitation of a bob-tail which included new solid-state drives and motors while retaining ocks, and wedges.	swing and	

	Cass Street Bascule Bridge over Hillsborough River   Tampa, FL   Hillsborough County Government
	Electrical Engineer responsibilities included producing and developing calculations and design plans for the rehabilitation of this
	historic double-leaf bascule bridge. The major rehabilitation involved replacing obsolete and aging electrical equipment such as the
	programmable Logic Controller (PLC), motor control panels and cabinets; conduit and wiring associated with a generator, automatic
03/18 – 07/19	transfer switch, safety interlock, etc.; auxiliary drive bevel gear bushing, span drive motor, span lock & pinion, span lock brake &
	bushings; and emergency Drive bevel gear, shaft bushing, bearing &couplings, live load shoe, and lighting. H&H provided design plans
	for structural rehabilitation and controller system replacement. Services included inspections of the structural, electrical, and
	mechanical components; a bridge development report; structural, electrical, and mechanical construction plans; temporary traffic
	control plans (TTC); specifications; engineer's estimate of probable construction cost; and post-design services.
	Brorein Street Bascule Bridge over Hillsborough River   Tampa, FL   Hillsborough County Government
	Electrical Engineer responsibilities included producing and developing calculations and design plans for this double-leaf bascule
03/18 _ 07/19	bridge rehabilitation. This rehabilitation involved replacing aging electrical equipment, such as the main drive motors, brakes, motor
03/10 - 07/13	control panels, span drive system and lock motor, limit switches, lighting, and upgrading the electrical service. H&H provided designs
	for the National Register of Historic Places eligible bridge. Services included inspections of the structural, electrical, and mechanical
	components; a BDR; structural, electrical, and mechanical construction plans; TCP; specifications; and engineer's cost estimate.
	Crescent Beach Bridge Rehabilitation (SR 206)   St. Johns County, FL   Florida DOT
	Electrical Engineer responsible for rehabilitation of existing double-leaf, trunnion bascule bridge. Rehabilitation consisted of
04/00 06/13	replacement of electrical power and controls with new Motor Control Center (MCC) and programmable logic controller (PLC) and
04/03 - 00/13	replacement of drum switches and wound rotor motors with flux vector motors, drives, and brakes. Also included replacement of traffic
	gates, new open grid decking, and tender house improvements. Permit application was created for submarine cable replacement.
	Duties included shop inspections, witness testing, field inspection, and estimate of completion.
	Sargent Barge Swinging Barge (Platoon) Bridge Rehabilitation   Matagorda County, TX   TexDOT
	Electrical Designer. Drafted electrical repair plans for power distribution system, panel schedules, control schematics, equipment
	layouts and conduit and cable schedules. Design includes a programmable logic controller (PLC) control-based system using wireless
07/10 - 01/13	modems to eliminate the submarine cable. Operating system included two winches on vector controlled variable speed drives,
07/10 - 01/13	integrated to control back tension on the payout winch in each direction, to maintain absolute control of the barge in tidal currents. The
	center span is a 125-foot cable operated swinging barge with motor operated leveling spans and aprons on each end. Project included
	replacing timber leveling spans with steel framed open grid decks and the operating machinery, replacing the bridge winch machinery
	and controls, structural repairs, and replacing the traffic gates and miscellaneous roadway modifications.
	OSARC NBIS Inspection of Three Movable Bridges   Statewide, MS   Mississippi DOT
	Electrical Engineer. H&H conducted in-depth inspections of the mechanical and electrical components of three movable bridges for
10/21 – 12/22	Mississippi Office of State Aid Road Construction. These include the FAS 104/Wittman Road Bridge over Bayou Portage, the Popp's
····=·	Ferry Road Bridge over Back Bay Biloxi, and the Cedar Lake Road Bridge over the Tchoutacaboutta River. Upon conclusion of each
	Inspection, H&H delivered detailed inspection reports outlining the condition of the bridge and made recommendations for rehabilitation
	or replacement of deficient bridge machinery components.

	Firm	Employed by	Hardesty & Hanover				
120	Name	e	Raymond Mankba	di, PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer	16	
	Title		Senior Geotechnical	Engineer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	28	
Degree(s) /	Years	/ Specialization	1	M.S. / 1985 / C B.S. / 1978 / Ci	ivil Engineering vil Engineering		
Active regis	stration	n number / state	/ expiration date	xpiration date Professional Engineer: 41609 / LA / 09/30/2023			
Year registe	ered	2017	Discipline	Civil Engineeri	ng		
Contract rol	le(s) / l	prief description	n of responsibilities	Quality Contro	ol Geotechnical – Meets MPR 6		
Experience of (mm/yy-mm	dates n/yy)	Experience an "designed inter	nd qualifications releves reserves releves releves the section", etc. Experies	vant to the proper nce dates should c	osed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gi over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	rders", PR(s).	
03/18 – 06	/19	SR-609 Bascule Lead Geotechni Bridge Contract f structural, mecha	Bridge Rehabilitation, I ical Engineer responsible for standard and special b anical, and electrical bridge	DIQ Master Bridge for generator foundaridge services statew e components, road	Design Contract   Ocean Springs, MS   Mississippi DOT ation design of SR-609 bascule bridge as a task-order to the IDIQ vide. The scope of work included inspection and rehabilitation of vay approaches, and development of maintenance and repair plar	Master 1s.	
02/14 – 12	/16	Rehabilitation of Lead Geotechni box beam, cross substructures wh engineering serv management and mechanical and Geotechnical En	of Swing Bridge (BNSF # ical Engineer for a 90-foo es the Des Allemands Bay nich were reinforced by ad ices for the development of d construction support for electrical components as y gineer involved in the desi	<b>32.06) over Bayou I</b> t single track swing s you in Des Allemand ding micropiles. Two of final bridge and tra the rehabilitation of t well as evaluation, ra ign, construction sup	Des Allemands   Des Allemands, LA   BNSF Railway Company span, two jump spans, and ten approach spans of prestressed con s, Louisiana. The 90-foot swing span was replaced on the existing jump spans were rehabilitated also. H&H provided professional teck designs, permitting, construction contract documents, construct he bridge. The project included the replacement of spans all associ- ting and improvement of swing span substructure and foundations port, and testing of micropiles.	tion ciated Lead	
04/12 – 02	/19	Reconstruction Lead Geotechni the entrance ram activities, creatin design and final geotechnical dra	of Harlem River Drive at ical Engineer on a \$160 r op at East 127th Street in I g final bore logs, creating design of pier foundations wings (plans), specificatio	t East 127 <sup>th</sup> Street   nillion project which i Manhattan. Respons subsurface profile, a (drilled shafts) and a ns, and quantity estin	Manhattan, NY   New York City DOT nvolved a full replacement of 10-span Harlem River Drive Viaduct ible for subsurface (boring) investigation, supervising general drilli nd developing geotechnical report. Engineer in charge of prelimina approach embankments. Engineer in charge of preparing final mates as well as general project management for geotechnical tas	over ng ary ks.	

	Gasparilla Island Swing Bridge Replacement   Placida, FL   Gasparilla Island Bridge Authority
08/12 – 02/13	Geotechnical Engineer reviewed geotechnical work performed by sub-consultant, performed foundation analyses and design, provided
	geotechnical recommendation and QC and QA Services for the design of a new highway swing bridge. H&H implemented FDOT
	navigation vessel collision loads to develop the substructure. Project consists of 678-ft of new bridge including a 250-foot deck girder
	swing span and approach spans utilizing Florida I-Beams. Embankments are supported by MSE walls and protected by new bulkheads.
	In addition to the bridge structures and new pile supports, a tender house is part of the scope.
	Replacement of Route 52 Causeway, Somers Point Bridge   Somers Point & Ocean City, NJ   New Jersey DOT
	Lead Geotechnical Engineer for \$350 million bridge replacement project. Includes preliminary and final structural and geotechnical
	design for a 2.2-mile-long segmental concrete causeway, which replaces four bridges on Route 52. Geotechnical task leader
04/06 - 12/12	responsible for all geotechnical aspects of construction including pile driving cofferdam in deep water, soil improvement, reinforcement
	embankment on soft soils, and instrumentation. Vibro Concrete Columns were utilized to improve the compressible soils. Prestressed
	concrete piles were utilized in the foundation. The scope of work included pavement design, subsurface/subsoil exploration program,
	foundation design, and preparation of foundation report.
	SR-605 Bascule Bridge Rehabilitation, IDIQ Master Bridge Design Contract   Ocean Springs, MS   Mississippi DOT
02/10 06/10	Lead Movable Bridge Geotechnical Engineer responsible for generator foundation design of SR-609 bascule bridge as a task-order
03/18 - 06/19	to the IDIQ Master Bridge Contract for bridge services statewide. The scope of work includes inspection and rehabilitation of structural,
	mechanical, and electrical bridge components, roadway approaches, and development of maintenance and repair plans.
	Lapalco Boulevard Movable Bridge over Harvey Canal   Westwego, LA   Jefferson Parish DPW
	Lead Movable Bridge Geotechnical Engineer for the pre-design inspection, the rehabilitation and widening of the existing four-lane
01/10 Dresont	Lapalco Boulevard to provide a facility carrying three lanes of traffic in each direction, and the design of a new three-lane double
01/19 - Flesell	bascule movable bridge crossing of Harvey Canal. The scope also includes the design of a new bridge to be constructed as an
	independent structure immediately adjacent and north of the existing bridge with a new operator house. Improvements to bridge and
	roadway approaches for eastbound and westbound traffic as well as the development of a Traffic Control Plan is also included in scope.
	Construction Support Services for Willis Avenue Bridge over the Harlem River   New York, NY   New York DOT
	Lead Geotechnical Engineer for the \$612 million off-line replacement of a major 345-foot-long, swing bridge and 3,000 feet of highway
04/06 - 04/10	approaches. Responsible for all geotechnical aspects of the foundation design including piles driving, cofferdam in deep water, soil
	improvement, reinforcement embankment on soft soils and instrumentation. Drilled shafts, steel H-piles, and mini piles were utilized in
	the foundations. The scope included subsurface/subsoil exploration program, foundation design, and preparation of foundation report.
	Lapalco Boulevard Bridge over Harvey Canal   Harvey, LA   Jefferson Parish Department of Public Works
	Lead Geotechnical Engineer during the design phase to replace the existing bridge. Responsible for developing subsurface soil
	exploration program consisting of Standard Penetration Test borings and coordinating soil lab testing program to characterize
	subsurface conditions, develop soil subsurface profile, and determine engineering index properties. Performing foundation analyses to
01/20 – Present	determine suitable deep foundation alternatives for the support of the proposed bridge and summarized findings in a Geotechnical
	Engineering and Foundation Report. The project is being undertaken to eliminate traffic bottlenecks that occur when six lanes of traffic
	meet the four-lane bridge. The existing bridge will be reconfigured and improved and a new bascule bridge and associated approaches
	parallel and adjacent to the existing bridge will be constructed. Each double-leaf bascule bridge will ultimately carry three lanes of traffic
	and provide pedestrian and bicycle accommodations.

	Firm Employed by	Hardesty & Han	& Hanover			
	Name	Peter Johnson,	PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer	4	
	Title	Geotechnical En	gineer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	1	
Degree(s) / Ye	ars / Specialization	M.S. / 2020 / C B.S. / 2017 / Ci		ivil Engineering vil Engineering		
Active registra	tion number / state / ex	xpiration date	Professional En	gineer: 24GE05851700 / NJ / 4/30/2024		
Year registered	2022	Discipline	Civil Engineeri	ng		
Contract role(s	) / brief description of	responsibilities	Geotechnical H	Engineer		
Experience date	es Experience and o	qualifications relev	ant to the prop	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gi	rders",	
(mm/yy-mm/yy	<i>i</i> ) "designed intersec	tion", etc. Experier	nce dates should c	over the years of experience specified in the applicable M	PR(s).	
04/19 - Present	Lapalco Boulevard Geotechnical Desig program consisting o conditions, develop s suitable deep founda and Foundation Rep lane bridge. The exist adjacent to the existi pedestrian and bicyc	Bridge over Harvey ner during the design f Standard Penetratio coil subsurface profile, tion alternatives for th port. The project is bein ting bridge will be recons ng bridge will be cons le accommodations.	Canal   Harvey, LA phase to replace the n Test borings and c and determine engine e support of the prop og undertaken to elim onfigured and improver tructed. Each double	Jefferson Parish Department of Public Works e existing bridge. Responsible for developing subsurface soil explo oordinating soil lab testing program to characterize subsurface neering index properties. Performing foundation analyses to deterr bosed bridge and summarized findings in a Geotechnical Engineer ninate traffic bottlenecks that occur when six lanes of traffic meet th ved and a new bascule bridge and associated approaches parallel e-leaf bascule bridge will ultimately carry three lanes of traffic and p	vration nine ing ne four- and provide	
08/17 – 12/17	Reconstruction of H Geotechnical Desig entrance ramp at E.	Reconstruction of Harlem River Drive at East 127 <sup>th</sup> St   New York, NY   New York DOT Geotechnical Designer during the construction phase for the full replacement of the 10-span Harlem River Drive Viaduct over the entrance ramp at E. 127th Street in the Borough of Manhattan. Provided field inspections.			e	
07/17 – 10/17	Van Wyck Expressy Geotechnical Desig fourth lane from the H four-span temporary shaft foundation come bridges that carry the the project was appro- to reduce congestion	way Widening between ner during the prelimination Kew Gardens Interchat bypass structure for L cepts. The project, for a LIRR over the Van W poximately 4.3 miles. The and delays. The add	en Kew Gardens In nary design phase to inge to JFK Airport. I IRR mainline tracks which H&H was a m Vyck Expressway, ar he VWE was widene ed lanes are operate	terchange and JFK Airport   Queens, NY   New York City DOT o widen the Van Wyck Expressway (I-678) (VWE) to accommodate Responsibilities included aiding in determining foundation concepts two and four. Performed analysis of spread footing, micropile, and agor subconsultant, involved approximately 20 bridges including for ad the evaluation of operations of the various on/off ramps. The ler of by adding a fourth lane (fifth lane at some locations) in both dire d as Managed Use Lanes that can be dynamically managed.	a s for a drilled our ngth of ctions	

04/19 - Present	Replacement of Route 42 Bridges over Blackwood Railroad Trail   Haddon Township, NJ   New Jersey DOT Geotechnical Designer during the preliminary engineering and final design phases for replacement of the EB and WB Route 42 bridges. Responsibilities include field inspection of borings, creating boring logs, creating a subsurface profile, performing foundation analyses, and assisting in the preparation of the foundation report. This project involves replacing two structurally deficient bridges and operational and safety improvements on a highly congested highway over a health and fitness trail.
06/20 – 12/20	Bonnet Carre Spillway Bridge (L-845.6) Geotechnical Engineering Study   St. Rose, LA   Kansas City Southern Railroad Co. Geotechnical Designer for a study that will be used to aid in the evaluation of alternatives to replace this freight rail bridge. Responsible for developing subsurface soil exploration program consisting of Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and Cone Penetration Test (CPT) borings and coordinating soil lab testing program to characterize subsurface conditions, develop soil subsurface profile, and determine soil engineering index properties. Performed foundation analyses to determine suitable deep foundation alternatives for the support of the proposed replacement bridge as well as settlement analyses for approach embankment construction recommendations. Summarized findings in a Geotechnical Engineering and Foundation report.
07/18 - Present	Replacement of Connecticut River Bridge   Old Saybrook/Old Lyme, CT   Amtrak Geotechnical Designer during the design phase to replace the existing truss approach spans and rolling lift movable span with a new structure on a new alignment. Responsible for preparing subsurface exploration program, field inspection of borings, creating boring logs and a subsurface profile, performing preliminary foundation analyses, and assisting in the preparation of geotechnical data and foundation reports. During the final design, both bascule and vertical lift main span alternatives are being developed.
06/17 – Present	Replacement of F.R.E.C. Access Road Bridge over Toms River   Jackson, NJ   Kansas City Southern Railroad Company Geotechnical Designer during the preliminary engineering and final design for the replacement of the Forest Resource Education Center (F.R.E.C.) Access Road Bridge. Responsible for preparing a subsurface investigation, creating bore logs, creating a subsurface profile, and field inspection of borings to characterize subsurface conditions, subsurface profile, and soil engineering index properties. Performed foundation design and analyses to determine abutment and wingwall pile foundation recommendations, designed gabion walls and permanent sheeting to provide grade separation for roadway widening and improve global stability, respectively. Involved in preparation of foundation plans and details. The bridge is being replaced to address substandard deck geometry, substandard sight distances, and aging substructure units to improve safety for motorists and pedestrians.
07/17 – 06/19	Replacement of the Perquimans River Bridge   Hertford, NC   North Carolina DOT Geotechnical Designer on this Design/Build project to replace the existing swing bridge. Responsible for pile foundation design and analyses as well as drafting foundation plans and notes. The existing bridge was replaced with a new off-line bridge as well as a new control house. H&H designed the new swing span, including structural, mechanical, electrical, and geotechnical engineering. The swing span structure consists of a center-pivot Warren through truss supporting the concrete deck. The new span improves geometrics, increases load carrying capacity and vertical clearance, and includes all the conveniences of a modern operating system.

	Firm	Employed by	Hardesty & Hanover	esty & Hanover				
GR	Name	e	Robert Hideck, PE		Years of relevant experience with this employer	7		
	Title		Senior Roadway Eng	gineer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	11		
Degree(s) /	Years /	<sup>/</sup> Specialization	l	B.S. / 2002 / Civil Engineering				
Active regis	stration	number / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	gineer: 41953 / LA / 3/31/2024			
Year registe	ered	2017	Discipline	Roadway Engin	neering			
Contract rol	.e(s) / b	orief description	n of responsibilities	<b>Roadway Desig</b>	gn Engineer – Meets MPR 4			
Experience of (mm/yy-mm	dates n/yy)	Experience and intersection", e	d qualifications relevan etc. Experience dates sl	nt to the proposed hould cover the ye	contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed girders", "designed specified in the applicable MPR(s).	lesigned		
Almonaster Avenue Bridge Rehabilit Roadway Design Engineer for the brid the partial replacement of the Almonast electrical and mechanical systems, sup capability. The road design services inc developed a hydraulic study and a site environmental, geotechnical, and pave			enue Bridge Rehabilitation n Engineer for the bridge ement of the Almonaster A echanical systems, supersi- bad design services includer raulic study and a site plar eotechnical, and pavemer	on and New Connect assessment, comple Ave Bridge and a new tructure, and the con- ed a new alignment in that included sever in the sign.	<b>ctor Road   New Orleans, LA   Port of New Orleans</b> ete rehabilitative engineering design, and road design services req <i>w</i> connector road. H&H's assessment revealed that improvements necting roads were required to return this bridge to its full operatin for the connecting road including all drainage structures. H&H also al retention ponds for drainage improvements. Other services incl	uired for to the g luded		
01/14-pres	resent Districtwide Interstate Program Manage Project Engineer assisting with the develor as-needed services to support the Florida I region. The geographic limits include over			r (IPM)   Florida DO opment and review o DOT work program f 150 miles of I-275, I-	<b>T</b> f concept designs. This multi-discipline, indefinite quantity contract or all interstate highway improvements in the five-county FDOT Dis 4, and I-75 and key contributing arterials.	: provided strict		
04/17-05/2	21	SR 75 (US 231) Reconstruction, SR 30A (US 98) to South Pipeline Road   Panama City, FL   Florida DOT Roadway, Signing and Pavement Markings, and Traffic Control Plans/Engineer of Record responsible for design and prepa roadway, signing and pavement marking, and temporary traffic control plans. H&H is providing design services for the single point interchange (SPUI) at SR 77 over US 231 and CSX RR improvement project. Work includes design for roadway and drainage design the intersection, lighting design for the entire project, and bridge design for a new 840-foot steel bridge.			iration of t urban sign of			
03/07-10/13 Veterans Expres Project Engineer design services, a collection method Airport, aviation p also included the		<b>Sway (SR 589) Widening from Memorial Highway to Gunn Highway   Tampa, FL  Florida's Turnpike Enterprise</b> r responsible for roadway design, preparation of plans, coordination of subconsultants and multiple disciplines, post- and civil/site design for six toll sites. The existing conventional cash toll collection method was converted to an AET d. The project included two full interchanges and four partial interchanges. Due to the proximity to the Tampa International permits were required throughout the project corridor and extensive coordination was required with the FAA The project milling and resurfacing of the existing roadway, as well as widening and reconstruction.						

12/13-05/19	Homestead Extension of Florida's Turnpike (SR 821) - S of Killian Parkway to N of Sunset Drive   Miami, FL   Florida's Turnpike Roadway Engineer responsible for roadway and TTC design for Kendall Drive and Sunset Drive and the mainline HEFT. This design- build project comprised the widening of the mainline HEFT (SR 821) from south of Killian Parkway to just north of Sunset Drive. The project also included development of express lanes, relocation of ramp tolling, and operational improvements to the Kendall Drive interchange as well as resurfacing and other minor improvements.
03/15-03/17	Fort Hamer Bridge Approaches, Upper Manatee River Road to Fort Hammer Road   Manatee County, FL   Manatee County Project Roadway Engineer responsible for roadway and temporary traffic control plans. This project included the design of over a mile of approach roadway for a new bridge over the Manatee River, connecting Upper Manatee River Road with Fort Hamer Road. The project was designed for stage construction with the two lanes being delivered with initial construction.
04/17-03/20	SR 75 (US 231) from SR 30A (US 98) to Pipeline Road   Panama City, FL   Florida DOT Roadway, Signing and Pavement Markings, and TTCP Engineer of Record responsible for design and preparation of roadway, signing and pavement marking, and traffic control plans for the single point urban interchange at SR 77 over US 231 and CSX RR improvement project. Work includes bridge, roadway, drainage, and lighting design.
09/15-12/18	44th Avenue E from 45th Street E to 44th Avenue Plaza E, Braden River Segment   Manatee County, FL   Manatee County Roadway Engineer of Record/Senior Roadway Engineer responsible for roadway and traffic control design and plans preparation. Project included the design for the reconstruction and extension of 44th Avenue East from 45th Street East to 44th Avenue Plaza East. The design plans include reconstruction from a two-lane roadway to a four-lane divided urban roadway. A new bridge was designed to cross over the Braden River, as well as a realignment of Morgan Johnson Road and Caruso Road to provide route continuity.
01/16-05/18	I-75 SB Off-Ramp from S of Bypass Canal to EB/WB I-4   Hillsborough County, FL   Florida DOT Project Engineer responsible for the roadway and temporary traffic control (TTC) design and plans preparation for this two-mile roadway improvement project that included ramp widening, an extension of the ramp to provide off-line queueing, and an extended auxiliary lane on I-75. A unique aspect of the design team's approach was incorporation of operational improvements into a long-term buildout. This project was expedited for construction based on no right-of-way acquisition or impact to Florida Gas Transmission lines.
04/22-present	Orlando South Ultimate Interchange Improvements   Orlando, FL   Florida's Turnpike Enterprise Project Engineer responsible for concept development, coordination, and project oversight of a complex interchange, including system and service movements. This project includes an evaluation of a complex interchange that provides both direct and indirect ramping between Orange Blossom Trail and the adjacent two limited access highways. Project goal included: construction of direct connection ramps between freeways, an ultimate 10-lane typical section of the turnpike, implementation of AET, consideration of express direct connections, and improvement of surface street operations with two new reliever interchanges. The recommended configuration included improvements to the systems interchange, modification to two adjoining interchanges, and new proposed service interchanges.
03/06-12/07	Starkey Road Final Design   Pinellas County, FL   Pinellas County Government Engineering Intern responsible for design and development of plans and development of cross sections. This project included the widening of three segments of Starkey Road from a four-lane rural section roadway to a six-lane urban section roadway for a total length of 10.7 miles.

	irm Employed by	Hardesty & Har	nover			
N	Jame	Dalton Hunt, EI		Years of relevant experience with this employer	1	
	<b>Title</b>	Civil Designer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	1	
Degree(s) / Year	s / Specialization		B.S. / 2021 / Ci	vil Engineering / Louisiana State University		
Active registration	on number / state / exp	piration date	EI 35118 / Loui	isiana / 09/30/2024		
Year registered	2022	Discipline	Civil Engineering			
Contract role(s)	brief description of 1	responsibilities	<b>Roadway Desig</b>	gn		
Experience dates	Experience and qua	lifications relevan	nt to the proposed	contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed girders", "designed drainage", "designed girders", "designed drainage", "designed draina	designed	
(mm/yy–mm/yy)	intersection", etc. E	Experience dates s	hould cover the ye	ears of experience specified in the applicable MPR(s).		
02/22 – Present	Almonaster Avenue I Engineer Intern for th replacement of the Alr connecting road incluc ponds for drainage im	Almonaster Avenue Bridge Rehabilitation and New Connector Road, New Orleans, LA – Port of New Orleans Engineer Intern for the bridge assessment, complete rehabilitative engineering design, and road design services required for the partial replacement of the Almonaster Avenue Bridge and a new connector road. The road design services include a new alignment for the connecting road including all drainage structures. H&H also developed a hydraulic study and a site plan that includes several retention ponds for drainage improvements. All design work is according to LADOTD Standard and Specifications and reviewed by LADOTD.				
04/22 – 09/22	SR-605 Bascule Brid Engineer Intern for th detailing of a new PPC MDOT guidelines and	SR-605 Bascule Bridge over Industrial Waterway, Harrison County, MS – Mississippi DOT Engineer Intern for the comprehensive rehabilitation of this bascule bridge over the Industrial Waterway. Work also included design and detailing of a new PPC pile-supported reinforced concrete generator platform. All designs are in accordance with AASHTO, FHWA and MDOT guidelines and specifications. Load rating was performed using AASHTOWare BrDR load rating software.				
04/22 - Present	IDIQ Contract for AD Engineer Intern Resp were designed and rev areas along the roadw	IDIQ Contract for ADA Design Projects Statewide – LADOTD Engineer Intern Responsible for the evaluation and consideration of current sidewalk features of Robert Blvd. in Slidell Louisiana. Plans were designed and revised in house in preparation of the overhaul of sidewalks and adding of ADA compliant handicap ramps and landing areas along the roadway to bring the sidewalks up to current LADOTD standards.				
02/22 – Present	Lapalco Boulevard N Engineer Intern for the facility carrying three la Harvey Canal. project scope of services also of the existing bridge v reviewed by LADOTD.	lovable Bridge over e pre-design inspect anes of traffic in each includes rehabilitatic includes the design vith a new operator h Load rating was per	r Harvey Canal, We tion, the rehabilitation in direction, and the control to the existing four of a new bridge to b nouse. All design wo formed using AASH	stwego, LA – Jefferson Parish DPW n and widening of the existing four-lane Lapalco Boulevard to provide design of a new three-lane double bascule movable bridge crossing r-lane bridge with three lanes of traffic and a new pedestrian/bike la e constructed as an independent structure immediately adjacent a rk is according to Louisiana DOTD Standard and Specifications an TOWare BrDR load rating software.	ide a g of ane. The nd north id	

0	Firm Employe	d by	Ardaman & Associa	Ardaman & Associates, Inc.		
	Name		Albert Ayenu-Prah	ı, Jr., PhD, PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer	7
	Title		Project Engineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	7
				PhD / 2007 / Ci	vil Engineering	
Degree(s) /	Years / Speciali	zatio	ı	MS / 2004 / Civ	vil Engineering	
	, . <b>.</b> 1		/ • .• 1 .	BS / 2001 / Civ	il Engineering	
Active regis	tration number	/ state	e / expiration date	Professional En	gineer: 3/402 / LA / 3/31/2025	
Y ear registe	$\frac{red}{red} = \frac{2012}{2012}$		Discipline	Civil Engineer	Ing Design Engineer Mosta MBD (	
Experience	Experience		n of responsibilities	Geolechnical P	roject Engineer - Meets MPR o	rdors"
dates (mm/s	"designe	d inte	respection" etc. Exper	ience dates shou	Id cover the years of experience specified in the appl	licable
mm/vv	y- designe MPR(s)	u mu	iscenon, etc. Exper	Tenee dates shou	in cover the years of experience specified in the appl	leable
07/21 - Present 07/21 - Present 07/21 - Present SP No. H.004100.5 / I-10: LA 415 to Est Project Engineer Leads technical review retaining structures, slope stability, soil-s Construction Management at Risk (CMAl interchanges, and ramps along I-10 from Parish spanning approximately 2.5 miles		r Leads technical reviews es, slope stability, soil-stru nagement at Risk (CMAR) d ramps along I-10 from LA approximately 2.5 miles.	pertaining to selectic cture interaction with project which include A 415 in West Baton	n of design reaches, geotechnical design of deep foundations, ear existing structures and load testing recommendations. This is a es widening of the east and westbound lanes, elevated structures, Rouge Parish to Essen Lane on I-10 and I-12 in East Baton Roug	th e	
04/21 - Pres	ent SP Nos. 7 Bridge Ini Project Er slope stabi multiple sn mainly ove	SP Nos. 700-29-0112, 700-29-0130, H.012565, H.012891, H.014251, H.014252, H.014253, H.014254, H.014256, H.014257 / Rura Bridge Initiative Phase II, West Feliciana, East Feliciana, Livingston, St. Bernard Parishes, LA Project Engineer Leads technical reviews pertaining to selection of design reaches, geotechnical design of pile foundations, drivab slope stability, settlement analyses and construction testing program recommendations. This project consists of the replacement of multiple small two-lane bridges throughout rural areas of Southeast Louisiana which generally ranged in length from 100 to 400 feet mainly over small rivers and creeks.				r <b>al</b> ıbility, ıf et,
12/20 - Pres	nt SP No. H.013897 / College Drive Flyover Ramp I-10/I-12 West, East Baton Rouge Parish, LA Project Manager Leads technical reviews pertaining to Owner Verification (OV) of geotechnical design pavement design along the project alignment. This is a Design-Build project which includes modifying into separate I-12 West and I-10 West exits., and Ardaman's scope consists of OV services.			t, East Baton Rouge Parish, LA /erification (OV) of geotechnical design for various structures, as v Build project which includes modifying the I-10 West/College Drive ope consists of OV services.	vell as exit	
into separate I-12 West and I-10 West exits.,         SP No. H.004100.5-2 / I-10 WIDENING (LA4         Project Engineer Evaluated the laboratory te and Westbound lanes, elevated structures, a and Howard Street spanning approximately 1 (CPT) soundings, associated laboratory testi		4415 TO HOWARD stest results, includin and construction of i 1 mile. The geotech ting and the prepara	ST), East Baton Rouge Parish, LA g consolidation testing, and produced logs for the widening of the nterchange and ramps on Westbound lanes along I-10 between L nical investigation included 58 deep borings and 11 cone penetror tion of a geotechnical data report.	East A 415 neter		

06/18 – Present	SP No. H.004791 / LA 23 Belle Chasse Bridge and Tunnel, Plaquemine Parish, LA Project Manager Leads geotechnical and pavement engineering design reviews pertaining to Owner Verification (OV) during design and construction phases. This is a P3 Project, consisting of replacing the Belle Chasse bridge and tunnel, and Ardaman's scope consists of OV services.
05/19 – Present	SP No. H.003370 / I-220/I-20 Interchange Improvement and Barksdale Access Road, Bossier Parish, LA Project Engineer Responsible for pavement design for all roadways. This Design-Build project consists of construction of an interchange and direct access road to I-20 from the Barksdale Air Force Base just outside of Bossier City. Structures include twin overpass bridges and access ramps.
09/17 – 06/18	Roddy Road Safety Widening, Ascension Parish, LA Project Engineer Responsible for pavement and deep foundation design for the safety widening along Roddy Road from LA 935 to LA 621. The project consisted of providing two 12-foot lanes, 4-foot shoulders, and a defined side ditch for roadside drainage, as well as the design of various turn lanes at the intersection locations.
01/15 – Present	Pecue Lane / I-10 Interchange, East Baton Rouge Parish, LA Project Engineer Performed geotechnical engineering analyses, including embankment settlement and slope stability of earth retaining structures, and deep foundations. The project consists of the construction of an interchange with multiple through and turn lanes, entrance and exit ramps in a congested area, replacing two existing overpass bridges, as well as roadway widening and extension. Mr. Ayenu-Prah is currently involved with the construction phase services including review of the pile driving system and high-strain dynamic testing.
07/15 – Present	SP No. H.004273.5 / I-49 Connector (Lafayette Regional Airport), Lafayette Parish, LA Project Engineer Responsibility includes geotechnical design and technical review for various structures along the project alignment. The project includes frontage roads, and elevated mainline viaduct structure, interchanges with associated ramps, and bridges over a total length of 5 miles. Mr. Ayenu-Prah helped characterize all of the soil borings and CPT soundings into various design reaches using an Ardaman created database across the 5-mile alignment and oversaw the pile foundation design, earth retained structures, slope stability, and embankment settlement. He is also helping to develop the preliminary geotechnical report.
11/15 – Present	SP No. H.011309 / McArthur Interchange Completion Phase II, US 90Z, Jefferson Parish, LA Project Engineer Responsible for geotechnical analyses and recommendations pertaining to elevated ramps and associated at-grade approaches. Performed design recommendations including deep foundations, pile group analyses, embankment settlement, and pile supported approach slab design for the construction of ramps entering and exiting Westbank Expressway. Project consists of horizontal and vertical design for widening the south frontage road from Peters Road and east bound Harvey Tunnel to Manhattan Boulevard, including four eastbound on and off ramps of the Westbank Expressway.

Firm		Employed by	Ardaman & Associates, Inc.			
And	Name	e	Megan Bourgeois,	PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer	16
	Title		Project Engineer / A Manager	ssistant Branch	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	0
Degree(s) /	Years	/ Specialization	l	BS / 2006 / Civ	il Engineering	
Active regis	stration	number / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	gineer: 36725 / LA / 03/31/2024	
				Traffic Control	Supervisor Refresher / LA / 08/07/2024	
				DOTD Flagger	/ LA / 08/08/2024	
				Certified NHI I	Drilled Shaft Inspector	
Year registe	ered	2011	Discipline	Civil Engineer	ng	
Contract rol	le(s) / l	orief description	n of responsibilities	Geotechnical P	Project Manager - Meets MPR 6	
Experience		Experience and	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	sed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gin	ders",
dates (mm/y	уу-	"designed inter	rsection", etc. Exper	ience dates shou	ld cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable
mm/yy)		MPR(s).				
10/09 – Pres	sent	SP NO. H.004646.5 / I-20 Mississippi River Bridge Review, Vicksburg, MS Project Manager Ms. Bourgeois manages this multi-million dollar, high risk, high technical needs, high visibility project consisting of investigating the movement of the I-20 Bridge in Vicksburg, Mississippi. She managed a highly technical team including academia, outside experts, including internationally recognized geotechnical engineers, geohydrologists, instrumentation specialists, and 3-D geotechnical modeling experts. She managed and personally oversaw a comprehensive laboratory testing program and was involved in refining the geotechnical site characterization for the bank/bluff where there was evidence of shifting creating movement in the bridge structure. The specialized testing, she personally performed or managed included x-ray diffraction for the determination of mineralogy, x- ray scanning of unextruded samples to identify existing shearing planes, stress-reversal direct shear tests to determine true residual angles of critical strata. She was instrumental in designing the geotechnical instrumentation for this project including vibrating wire piezometers, Casagrande type piezometers, In-place inclinometers, SAA inclinometers, and traditional inclinometers. In addition, Ms. Bourgeois performed seepage and drawdown analyses, slope stability analyses, evaluation of remedial measures, and developed technically feasible solutions. She co-authored the geotechnical analysis and design report. Currently, she is managing a phase of the project that included upgrading the entire instrumentation communication system and will be monitoring this system continuously.				
03/19 – 07/	/20	SP No. H.004100 Project Manager elevated structure spanning approxir electrical resistivit	.5-2 / I-10 Widening (LA Managed all aspects of the s, and construction of inten mately 1 mile. The geotech y imaging along the entire	415 To Howard St), ne geotechnical invest rchange and ramps nnical investigation in alignment, laborator	East Baton Rouge Parish, LA stigation in support of the widening of the East and Westbound lan on Westbound lanes along I-10 between LA 415 and Howard Stre included 58 deep borings and 11 cone penetrometer (CPT) soundir by testing and the preparation of a geotechnical data report.	es, et ngs,

	SP No. H.004100.5 / I-10: LA 415 To Essen Lane On I-10 & I-12 (CMAR) Baton Rouge Parish, LA
	Project Engineer Leads technical reviews for the selection of design reaches, geotechnical design of deep foundations, earth retaining
07/21 - Present	structures, slope stability, soil-structure interaction with existing structures and load testing recommendations. This Construction
	Management at Risk (CMAR) project includes widening of the east and westbound lanes, elevated structures, interchanges, and ramps
	along I-10 from LA 415 in West Baton Rouge Parish to Essen on I-10 and I-12 in East Baton Rouge Parish spanning about 2.5 miles.
	SP Nos. 700-29-0112, 700-29-0130, H.012565, H.012891, H.014251, H.014252, H.014253, H.014254, H.014256, H.014257 / Rural
	Bridge Initiative Phase II, West Feliciana, East Feliciana, Livingston, St. Bernard Parishes, LA
04/21 - Present	Project Engineer Leads technical reviews for the selection of design reaches, geotechnical design of pile foundations, drivability, slope
	stability, settlement analyses and construction testing program recommendations. This consists of the replacement of multiple small two-
	lane bridges throughout rural areas of Southeast LA which ranged in length from 100 to 400 ft, mainly over small rivers and creeks.
	SP No. H.003931 / I-10 Calcasieu River Bridge, Calcasieu Parish, LA
	Project Manager Managed all aspects of this project pertaining to coordination of fieldwork including 37 deep soil borings, 39 ECPTs
	and 13 electrical resistivity (ER) geophysical survey transects. Most of the soil borings were completed from a barge, some over a
07/01 01/00	considerable amount of water. Some soil borings were completed from a marsh buggy over shallow water and thick marsh grass. She
07/21 - 01/22	managed the laboratory testing program, processing and analyzing of the ECPT and ER data. She assisted with development of a
	geotechnical database and submittal of a geotechnical data report. This project obtained preliminary geotechnical data under a strict
	deadline for use in the design phase of a project that will consist of replacing the existing I-10 Calcasieu River Bridge with a new
	structure and improvements to I-10 near I-210 interchange and various other interchanges including entrances, exits and service roads.
	SP No. H.000263 / Chef Menteur Pass Bridge & Approach, Orleans Parish, LA
10/18 01/20	Project Manager Managed all aspects of an extensive field investigation program which included 37 deep soil borings, including borings
10/10 - 01/20	over 200 ft in over 80 ft deep of high flow water. She managed laboratory testing program to provide geotechnical characterization data
	for use in design of deep foundations and embankments, oversaw the field resistivity testing program, and developed the data report.
	SP No. H.013579 / Pecue Lane I-10 Interchange I-10, East Baton Rouge Parish, LA
	Project Manager Managed all aspects of the project that included field investigations, laboratory testing, and engineering design. This
10/15 Present	interchange consists of twin bridges with MSE wall abutments for both bridges crossing Interstate I-10 and on/off-ramps in south Baton
10/13 - Fleseni	Rouge. Ms. Bourgeois performed analyses including settlement estimates with recommendations for monitoring, driven pile design
	including down drag considerations, MSE Wall design, slope stability and pavement section recommendations; all completed according
	to DOTD standards. She is currently assisting with the field construction monitoring.
	SP No. H.009266 / I-10 Widening LA 73 to LA 30, Ascension Parish, LA
	Project Manager Managing all aspects of the project that include field investigations consisting of 13 deep soil borings and 26 shallow
12/12 Procent	soil borings, laboratory testing, and engineering design in support of the widening of the East and Westbound lanes and elevated
	structures along I-10 between LA 73 and LA 30 spanning approximately 5 miles. She performed analyses including settlement estimates
	with recommendations for monitoring, driven pile design including down drag considerations, and pavement section recommendations;
	all completed according to DOTD standards.

	Firm Employ	yed by	Ardaman & Associa	ates, Inc.		
127	Name		Robert Jewell, PE		Years of relevant experience with this employer	15
	Title		Project Engineer / B	Branch Manager	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	0
Degree(s) /	Years / Specia	lizatior	1	BS / 2009 / Civ	il Engineering	
Active regi	stration numbe	er / state	e / expiration date	Professional Engineer: 38579 / LA / 9/30/2024 Traffic Control Supervisor / LA / 09/25/2024		
Year registe	ered 20	13	Discipline	Civil Engineering		
Contract ro	le(s) / brief des	scription	n of responsibilities	Geotechnical F	Project Engineer - Meets MPR 6	
Experience dates (mm/y mm/yy)	yy– Experie MPR(s	ence an ned inte ).	d qualifications relev rsection", etc. Exper	ant to the proportion of the p	sed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gir ld cover the years of experience specified in the appl	ders", icable
10/18 – 01/20 SP No. H.000263.5-1 / Chef Menteur Pass Project Engineer Helped manage and ove borings, including borings over 200 feet in o preparation of the data report.		Bridge & Approac rsee all aspects of an over 80 feet deep of I	h, Orleans Parish, LA n extensive field investigation program which included 37 deep soil nigh flow water. Mr. Jewell also helped develop the soil boring logs	and		
10/18 – Pre	SP No. H.003370 / I-220 / I-20 Interchange10/18 – PresentSP No. H.003370 / I-220 / I-20 InterchangeProject ManagerPrepared the preliminary20 from the Barksdale Air Force Base (BAF)He oversaw the field construction services construction			e Improvement And design and planning B) and constructing consisting of PDA mo	Barksdale Air Force Base Access Road, Bossier Parish, LA report for this Design Build project which provides access to Inters an interchange and access road from Interstate 20 in Bossier City, ponitoring, bi-directional load cell load tests, and settlement monitori	state I- LA. ng.
03/19 – 07	/20 SP No. H Project elevated spanning field resi	SP No. H.004100.5-2 / I-10 Widening (LA 415 To Howard St), East Baton Rouge Parish, LA Project Engineer Comanaged all aspects of the geotechnical investigation in support of the widening of the East and Westbound Ian elevated structures, and construction of interchange and ramps on westbound Ianes along I-10 between LA 415 and Howard Street spanning approximately 1 mile. The geotechnical investigation will include 58 deep borings and 11 cone penetrometer (CPT) soundin field resistivity testing, and associated Iaboratory testing and the preparation of a geotechnical data report.				lanes, ∍t dings,
07/21 – Pre	sent SP No. H Project foundation recommendation lanes, elevit in East E	H.004100 Manager ons, earth endations evated si Baton Rot	<b>0.5 / I-10: LA 415 To Esse</b> Leads all aspects of engine retaining structures, slop s. This is a Construction M tructures, interchanges, an uge Parish spanning appro-	n Lane On I-10 & I- neering analyses per e stability, soil-struct anagement at Risk ( nd ramps along I-10 f eximately 2.5 miles.	<b>12 (CMAR), Baton Rouge Parish, LA</b> taining to selection of design reaches, geotechnical design of dee ure interaction with existing structures and load testing CMAR) project which includes widening of the east and westbound rom LA 415 in West Baton Rouge Parish to Essen Lane on I-10 ar	ว 1 1d I-12

04/21 – Present	SP Nos. 700-29-0112, 700-29-0130, H.012565, H.012891, H.014251, H.014252, H.014253, H.014254, H.014256, H.014257 / Rural Bridge Initiative Phase II, West Feliciana, East Feliciana, Livingston, St. Bernard Parishes, LA Project Manager Leads all aspects of engineering analyses pertaining to selection of design reaches, geotechnical design of pile foundations, drivability, slope stability, settlement analyses and construction testing program recommendations. This project consists of
	the replacement of multiple small two-lane bridges throughout rural areas of Southeast Louisiana which generally ranged in length from 100 to 400 feet, mainly over small rivers and creeks.
07/21 – 01/22	SP No. H.003931 / I-10 Calcasieu River Bridge, Calcasieu Parish, LA Project Engineer Lead technical review of all aspects of this project pertaining to coordination of fieldwork including 37 deep soil borings, 39 ECPTs and 13 electrical resistivity (ER) geophysical survey transects. A majority of the soil borings were completed from a barge, some over a considerable amount of water. Some soil borings were completed from a marsh buggy over shallow water and thick marsh grass. Mr. Jewell also assisted with review of the laboratory testing program, processing and analyzing of the ECPT and ER data. He also assisted with development of a geotechnical database and preparation and submittal of a geotechnical data report. This project consisted of obtaining preliminary geotechnical data under an extremely strict deadline to be used in the design phase of a project that will consist of replacing the existing I-10 Calcasieu River Bridge with a new structure and improvements to I-10 near the I-210 interchange and various other interchanges including entrances, exits and service roads.
10/15 – Present	SP No. H.013579 / Pecue Lane I-10 Interchange I-10, East Baton Rouge Parish, LA Project Engineer This interchange consists of twin bridges with MSE wall abutments for both bridges crossing Interstate I-10, and on/off-ramps in south Baton Rouge. Mr. Jewell helped perform analyses including settlement estimates with recommendations for monitoring, driven pile and drilled shaft design including down drag considerations, MSE Wall design, slope stability and pavement section recommendations; all completed according to DOTD standards. Mr. Jewell is currently overseeing the construction phase which includes dynamic testing and settlement monitoring.
04/14 – Present	SP No. H.004435 / I-12 To Bush Segment 2, LA 3241 (LA 36-LA435), St. Tammany Parish, LA Project Manager Oversaw and coordinated the geotechnical investigation which included drilling 32 deep soil borings, 10 culvert borings, and 88 shallow roadway borings, sampling, and laboratory testing along the alignment which includes two bridges: LA 435 over Bayou Lacombe Tributary and LA 36 over Bayou Lacombe Tributary 2. Assisted in developing the geotechnical analyses and design recommendation report which included pile foundations for the bridge structures and shallow foundation design for the culverts. Mr. Jewell is currently overseeing the construction phase which includes dynamic testing and settlement monitoring.
10/14 – 12/16	SP No. H.010601.5 / I-10 Widening (E. JET. I-49 TO LA 328), St. Martin Parish, LA Project Engineer Oversaw and coordinated the geotechnical investigation which included 44 deep borings and 25 cone penetrometer (CPT) soundings, associated laboratory testing, and preparation of a geotechnical data report for the widening of the nine existing structures along I-10 between I-49 to LA 328 spanning approximately 7 miles.
07/09 – 08/11	SP No. 700-29-0112 / LA-1- Phase 1, Lafourche Parish, LA Assistant Project Engineer Served in the field as on-site geotechnical engineer during construction for this project in southeast Louisiana. He conducted dynamic monitoring using the Pile Driving Analyzer, performed CAPWAP analyses, reviewed drive logs, and supervised field technicians.

all and	Firm Employed by	Ardaman & Associa	Ardaman & Associates, Inc.				
(03)	Name	Julian "Jim" Porte	r	Years of relevant experience with this employer	48		
	Title	Drilling Supervisor		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	4		
Degree(s) / Y	ears / Specialization	1	Attended LSU	' USL 1969-1970			
Active regist	ration number / state	/ expiration date	Water Well Dri	ller's License No. WWC-212 / LA / 06/30/2023			
			Traffic Control	Supervisor Refresher / LA / 09/06/2023			
	•		DOTD Flagger	/ LA / 03/10/2024			
Year register	ed	Discipline					
Contract role	(s) / brief description	n of responsibilities	Drilling Super	visor - Meets MPR 7			
Experience	Experience an	d qualifications relev	ant to the proper	sed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gin	ders",		
dates (mm/y	/- "designed inte	rsection", etc. Exper	rience dates shou	ld cover the years of experience specified in the appl	Incable		
mm/yy)	$\frac{MPR(s)}{000000000000000000000000000000000000$	5 / 1 / 0 O		ing Lafrantia Davish LA			
07/15 – Prese	7/15 – Present SP No. H.004273.5 / I-49 Connector, Geo Drilling Supervisor Supervised the complete penetrometer test (CPT) soundings.			ion, Larayette Parish, LA eld investigation consisting of 116 deep and shallow borings and 1	5 cone		
04/14 – Prese	ent SP No. H.004435 Drilling Supervise along the alignment	SP No. H.004435 / I-12 TO Bush Segment 2, LA 3241, St. Tammany Parish, LA Drilling Supervisor Oversaw the completion of 32 deep soil borings, 10 culvert borings, and 88 shallow roadway borings and along the alignment which includes two bridges: LA 435 over Bayou Lacombe Tributary and LA 36 over Bayou Lacombe Tribu					
08/08 – 02/1	2 SP No. 700-09-0 Drilling Supervis shallow borings. (	SP No. 700-09-0166 & H.003886.5 / I-49 Segments E-J, Caddo, LA Drilling Supervisor Conducted field reconnaissance, which included rights of entry, utility locations, access and locating all deep ar shallow borings. Oversaw completion of numerous deep and shallow borings in accordance with LADOTD standards.					
02/12 – 11/1	3 SP No. H.003495 Drilling Supervis shallow borings. (	SP No. H.003495.5 / I-49 Segment K (I-220 to MLK), Caddo Parish, LA Drilling Supervisor Conducted field reconnaissance, which included rights of entry, utility locations, access and locating all deep and shallow borings. Oversaw completion of numerous deep and shallow borings in accordance with LADOTD standards.					
10/18 – 01/2	SP No. H.000263 Drilling Supervis borings, including geotechnical data	SP No. H.000263.5-1 / Chef Menteur Pass Bridge & Approach, Orleans Parish, LA Drilling Supervisor Helped manage and oversee all aspects of an extensive field investigation program which included 37 deep soil borings, including borings over 200 feet in over 80 feet deep of high flow water. Ardaman also developed soil boring logs and prepare geotechnical data report.					

10/09 – Present	SP No. H.004646.5 / I-20 Mississippi River Bridge Review, Vicksburg, MS Drilling Supervisor Mr. Porter assisted with many aspects of this multi-million-dollar, high risk, high technical needs, high visibility project consisting of investigating the movement of the I-20 Bridge in Vicksburg, Mississippi. He was instrumental in designing and installing the geotechnical instrumentation for this project including vibrating wire piezometers, Casagrande type piezometers, In-place inclinometers, SAA inclinometers, and traditional inclinometers. Currently, he is assisting with a phase of the project that includes upgrading the entire instrumentation communication system and will be monitoring this system continuously.
04/21 – Present	SP Nos. 700-29-0112, 700-29-0130, H.012565, H.012891, H.014251, H.014252, H.014253, H.014254, H.014256, H.014257 / Rural Bridge Initiative Phase II, West Feliciana, East Feliciana, Livingston, St. Bernard Parishes, LA Drilling Supervisor Assisted with all aspects of this project pertaining to coordination of fieldwork including 31 deep soil borings. Some of these borings were performed through the middle of bridges and at hard access locations. This project consists of the replacement of multiple small two-lane bridges throughout rural areas of Southeast Louisiana which generally ranged in length from 100 to 400 feet, mainly over small rivers and creeks.
	SP No. H.003931 / I-10 Calcasieu River Bridge, Calcasieu Parish, LA Drilling Supervisor Assisted with all aspects of this project pertaining to coordination of fieldwork including 37 deep soil borings, 39 ECPTs and 13 electrical resistivity (ER) geophysical survey transacts. A majority of the soil borings were completed from a barge, some
07/21 – 01/22	over a considerable amount of water. Some soil borings were completed from a marsh buggy over shallow water and thick marsh grass. This project consisted of obtaining preliminary geotechnical data under an extremely strict deadline to be used in the design phase of a project that will consist of replacing the existing I-10 Calcasieu River Bridge with a new structure and improvements to I-10 near the I-210 interchange and various other interchanges including entrances, exits and service roads.

Firm	n Employed by	Ardaman & Associates, Inc.			
Nan	ne	Robert Rousset, PE	E	Years of relevant experience with this employer	16
Title	2	Project Engineer / N Branch Manager	ew Orleans	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	0
Degree(s) / Years	s / Specialization	l	BS / 2008 / Civ	il Engineering	
Active registration	on number / state	/ expiration date	Professional Engineer: 38637 / LA / 9/30/2024		
Year registered	2014	Discipline	Civil Engineeri	ng	
Contract role(s) /	brief description	n of responsibilities	<b>Geotechnical F</b>	Project Engineer - Meets MPR 6	
Experience	Experience an	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	sed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gin	ders",
dates (mm/yy-	"designed inte	rsection", etc. Exper	ience dates shou	ld cover the years of experience specified in the appl	licable
mm/yy)	MPR(s).				
07/14 – 05/18	SP No. H.004113 / I-12 to Bush Segment 3, LA Highway 3241 (LA 435 TO LA 40/LA 41), St. Tammany Parish, LA Project Manager Oversaw and coordinated the geotechnical investigation which included 26 soil borings, sampling, and laboratory testing along the alignment that included one bridge, LA 435 over Talisheek Creek. Oversaw geotechnical analyses and preparation of design recommendation report which included pile supported approach slabs and pile foundations for the bridge structures and shallow foundation design for the culverts.				
07/09 – 08/11	SP No. 700-29-01 Assistant Projec project consisted fixed high-level br drive logs, and su	112 / LA 1 – Phase 1, Lafe t Engineer Served in the of 17 miles of elevated roa idges over navigable wate pervised field technicians.	ourche Parish, LA field as onsite engine adway with low-level erways. Conducted d	eer for Phase 1A of this project in southeast Louisiana. The compl bridges and medium-level bridges, two elevated interchanges, an ynamic monitoring using PDA, performing CAPWAP analyses, rev	eted d two ⁄iewed
03/19 – 07/20	SP No. H.004100.5-2 / I-10 Widening (LA 415 to Howard St), East Baton Rouge Parish, LA Project Engineer Ardaman's scope of work for this project consisted of evaluating laboratory test results, including consolidation testing, and producing soil boring logs for the widening of the East and Westbound lanes, elevated structures, and construction of interchange and ramps on Westbound lanes along I-10 between LA 415 and Howard Street spanning approximately 1 mile. The geotechnical investigation included 58 deep borings and 11 cone penetrometer (CPT) soundings, electrical resistivity geophysical surveys, associated laboratory testing and the preparation of a geotechnical data report. Mr. Rousset assisted with the fieldwork portion of this project.				
2020 – Present	0 – Present Rural Bridges Replacement Initiative (Mu Project Engineer This project consists of re field investigation, lab testing, and engineer drivability, settlement, and slope stability an			oyelles and Webster Parishes, LA all rural bridges throughout Central and North Louisiana. He overs project. Engineering analyses consisting of axial pile capacities, pi	ees the le

Firm Employed by		A P S Engineering	and Testing, LLC	2	
Nan	Name			Years of relevant experience with this employer	11
Title	Title President			Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	10
Degree(s) / Year	s / Specialization	1	BS / 2001 / Civ	ril Engineering / Geotechnical	
Active registrati	on number / state	/ expiration date	Professional Er	ngineer: 33571 / LA / 3/31/2024	
Year registered	2007	Discipline	Civil Engineeri	ng	
Contract role(s)	/ brief description	n of responsibilities	Geotechnical l	Project Manager - Meets MPR 6	
Experience	Experience an	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gin	ders",
dates (mm/yy– mm/yy)	"designed inte MPR(s).	rsection", etc. Exper	rience dates shou	Ild cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable
09/19-06/20	Project No. H.00 Project Manager	4100: I-10 Widening LA 4 to the Geotechnical inves	<b>415 to Essen LN   L</b> stigations for the DO	ADOTD TD geotechnical retainer to drill and sample a total of 52 deep borir	ıgs
	starting at the Washington Exit and ending at the LSU lakes. Along with this drillingand sampling APS will also test for strength and engineering characteristics of the soils with. A total of eight (8) over the waterborings and 44 land borings with approximate 1000 Tompression, Unconsolidated Drained Or Undrained (UU) and Atterberg Limits. CMAR project				riaxial
08/16-10/19	<ul> <li>Project No. H.012422: I-10/I-110 Interchange Modification at Terrace Ave   LADOTD</li> <li>Project Manager to the Geotechnical Investigations for the DOTD geotechnical retainer to drill and sample a total of six (6) deep borings for the design of the Terrace Ave exit. APS tested for strength and engineering characteristics of the soils with approximate 100 Triaxial Compression, Unconsolidated Drained Or Undrained (UU) and Atterberg Limits by A P S Laboratory.</li> </ul>				ie 100
11/17-2/18	Project No. H.013 Project manager borings for the rep soils.	<b>3193 US 61 Thompson C</b> r to the Geotechnical Involacement bridge at US 61	reek Bridge Replace vestigations for the D over Thompson Cro	<b>cement   LADOTD</b> OTD geotechnical retainer to drill and sample a total of eight (8) de eek. APS tested for strength and engineering characteristics of	ep the
11/19-Present	Project No. H.00 Project manager	01352 and H.002273 Con for the Geotechnical desig	nite River Diversion of the diversion Cl	Bridge at LA 67, LA 19 and LA 19 Railroad Bridge LA 67 and I MAR project	_A 19-
03/19-05/19	Project No. H.001 Project Manager f tested for the found	344 US 190 over Bogue I for the Geotechnical Invest dation recommendation. M	Falaya River   LADO tigation and Design Ir. Aviles is the proje	<b>DTD</b> of the proposed new bridge. A total of 19 deep borings were drilled ct manager for the project design team.	and
12/19-3/20	Project No. H.010 Project Manager f drilled and tested for	155 US 90 Railroad Over for the Geotechnical Invest or Geotechnical recommen	pass SE of LA 85 tigation and Design ndation.	for the proposed new overpass. A total of six (6) deep borings were	)

02/17-10/17	Project No. H.002861 Earhart Expressway/Causeway Boulevard Project manager to the Geotechnical investigations and analysis assigned to help calculating the resistance factors. APS was tasked with developing the LRFD factors for both existing structures and the new elevated sections to connect to Causeway Blvd. Per the task order APS drill and tested 85 borings to 120 feet near the proposed and existing structures. APS engineering staff provides designer with pile tip elevations for five elevated ramps to connect Earhart to Causeway Blvd. Provided boring logs, information on site conditions, site preparation recommendations, and load- length curves.
07/14-08/14	Project No. 700-51-0110: US 90 elevated portion for the future I-49 corridor. Project Manager to the Geotechnical investigations and analysis as assigned for roads and bridges design. APS performed all the preliminary drilling, testing, and CPT for US 90 and Highway 318 Intersection. A total of 46 boring and 11 CPT along with all the testing required by LADOTD.
2001-2005	<ul> <li>The following lists consist of projects that Mr. Aviles did the design or assisted on the design while at LADOTD. These projects include pile design, slope stability, settlement analysis, and construction services (PDA, CAPWAP, and WEAP).</li> <li><b>ON SYSTEM PROJECTS LIST:</b></li> <li>Mr. Aviles served as the staff geotechnical engineer while at the Pavement and Geotechnical Section for the following projects below: Below projects varies from Embank Design, Pile Design, Drilled Shaft design, MSE wall design, and construction supervision. Major projects cost estimated over one million dollars:</li> <li>015-04-0037 LA524-LA123 Route US165, 015-05-0035 LaSalle, 015-07-0044 (Route 165 Cadwell, 276-03-0016 Tangipahoa River Bridge, 3132 Innerloop 427-01-0029, 362-01-0009 Rat Bois, 452-01-0039 I-55 CrossOvers, 742-07- 0098 Susek Drive, Bayou Perrie and Sand Beach Bayou 103-01-0025, Broadway Ave.700-40-0127, Cameron Route La. 27 193-02-0042, Causeway Boulevard interchange Route I-10 450-15-0098, Clayton-Greenville 026-03-0025, Crescent City Connection 283-08-0143(46), Cross Bayou Bridge 090-01-0020, Flannery at Florida 742-17-0008.</li> </ul>

	Firm Employed	by A P S Engineerin	A P S Engineering and Testing, LLC			
	Name	Sairam Eddana	oudi, PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer	11	
	Title Chief Engineer			Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	8	
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization		ME / 2002 / C	ME / 2002 / Civil Engineering			
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization		BE / 1999 / Ci	vil Engineering			
Active regis	tration number /	tate / expiration date	Professional E	ngineer: 35129 / LA / 3/31/2024		
Year register	red 2009	Discipline	Civil Engineer	ring		
Contract role	e(s) / brief descri	otion of responsibilitie	Geotechnical	Engineer and QA Manager - Meets MPR 6		
Experience	Experience	e and qualifications re	levant to the prop	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gir	ders",	
dates (mm/y	y– ("designed	intersection", etc. Ex	perience dates sho	uld cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable	
mm/yy)	MPR(s).					
09/19-Prese	ent Project No. Project QA to drill and sa sampling AP land borings	H.004100: I-10 Widening I Manager to the Geotechnic Imple a total of 52 deep boo S will also test for strength a with approximate 1000 Tri	A 415 to Essen LN   al Investigations of this ings starting at the Wa and engineering charac axial Compression, U	LADOTD S CMAR project. A P S was tasked thru our DOTD geotechnical re shington Exit and ending at the LSU lakes. Along with this drillingand cteristics of the soils with. A total of eight (8) over the waterborings a neonsolidated Drained Or Undrained (UU) and Atterberg Limits.	etainer d and 44	
08/16-10/19	S-10/19 Project No. H.012422: I-110 Interchange Modification at Terrace Ave   LADOTD Quality Assurance Manager for Geotechnical Investigations for this project. APS was tasked thru our DOTD geotechnical retainer drill and sample a total of six (6) deep borings for the design of the Terrace Ave exit. APS tested for strength and engineering characteristics of the soils with approximate 100 Triaxial Compression, Unconsolidated Drained Or Undrained (UU) and Atterberg Limit APS Laboratory			er to nits by		
11/17-2/18	Project No. Quality Ass to drill and so and enginee	Project No. H.013193: US 61 Thompson Creek Bridge Replacement- Quality Assurance Manager to the Geotechnical investigations for this project. A P S was tasked thru our DOTD geotechnical ret to drill and sample a total of eight (8) deep borings for the replacement bridge at US 61 over Thompson Creek. APS tested for streng and engineering characteristics of the soils. Mr. Sai was QA to the Geotechnical Investigations.			etainer ngth	
11/19-Present	sent Project No. H.001352 and H.002273: Comite F Senior Design Engineer for the geotechnical des design of the diversion CMAR project.			on Bridge at LA 67, LA 19 and LA 19 Railroad Bridge LA 67 and his CMAR project. A P S was selected with the winning team for the	LA 19 ,	
03/19-05/19	Project No. Senior Desi the propose	H.001344: US 190 over Bo gn Engineer for this project I new bridge. A total of 19	<b>ogue Falaya River</b> . A P S was selected deep borings were d	with the winning team for the Geotechnical Investigation and Desig rilled and tested for the foundationrecommendation.	n of	

	Firm I	Employed by	A P S Engineering and Testing, LLC				
	Name	;	Surendra Raj Path	ak, PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer	7	
	Title	e Chief Engineer			Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	10	
				MS / 2013 / Civ	vil Engineering		
Degree(s) /	Years /	Specialization		BE / 1998 / Civ	vil Engineering		
Active regis	stration	number / state	/ expiration date	Professional Er	ngineer: 43487 / LA / 9/30/2023		
Year registe	ered	2019	Discipline	Civil Engineeri	ng		
Contract rol	$le(s) / b_1$	rief description	of responsibilities	Geotechnical I	Engineer - Meets MPR 6		
Experience	]	Experience and	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gir	ders",	
dates (mm/y	уу-  '	"designed inter	rsection", etc. Exper	ience dates shou	Id cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable	
mm/yy)	I	MPR(s).					
		Project No. H.004	1100: I-10 Widening LA	115 to Essen LN   L	ADOTD		
09/19-Present	t I	Project Quality C	ontrol to the geotechnica	I investigations for the	nis project. A P S was tasked thru our DOTD geotechnical retain	ner to	
	(	drill and sample a	total of 52 deep borings s	tarting at the Washir	ngton Exit and ending at the LSU lakes. Along with this drillingand		
	5	sampling APS Will	also test for strength and	engineering charact	eristics of the soils with. A total of eight (8) over the waterborings a	ina 44	
		Project No. H 0	12422. 1-110 Interchange	Modification at Te			
08/16-10/19		Quality Control to	o geotechnical investigation	ons for this project	A P S was tasked thru our DOTD geotechnicalretainer to drill an	d	
00,10,10,10	5	sample a total of	six (6) deep borings for th	the design of the Terrace Ave exit. APS tested for strength and engineering characteristics of			
	t	the soils with appro	oximate 100 Triaxial Comp	pression, Unconsolid	ated Drained Or Undrained (UU)and Atterberg Limits by A P S Labo	oratory.	
	I	Project No. H.002	2273, H.000710, and H.0	01352 Comite River	Diversion Bridge at LA 67, LA 19 and LA 19 Railroad Bridge L	A 67	
11/17-2/18	á	and LA 19   LADO	DTD				
	(	Quality Control to	o the geotechnical investig	gations for this proje	ct. A P S was tasked thru our DOTD geotechnical retainer to drill a	and	
	5	sample a total of 1	2 deep borings for the ne	w and replacement b	ridges at Highway 19, 67, and 964. APS tested for strength and		
	6	engineering chara	CTERISTICS OF THE SOIIS.		n Dridge at LA 67, LA 40 and LA 40 Deilroad Dridge LA 67 and	1 4 40	
11/10 Procont	+ I	Project No. H.U.	for the decign of the diver	mite River Diversio	A D S will be the Gesteebnicel designers for the project	LA 19	
11/13-1163611		Project No. H 001	1344. US 190 over Bogu	e Falava River			
03/19-05/19		Desian Engineer	for the Geotechnical Inve	stigation and Design	of the proposed new bridge. A total of 19 deep borings were drille	d and	
	t	tested for the foun	dation recommendation.				
		Project No. H.01	0155: US 90 Railroad Ove	erpass SE of LA 85			
12/19-3/20	[	Design Engineer	for the project design tear	n. APS was selecte	ed with the winning team for the GeotechnicalInvestigation and Desig	n for	
	t	the proposed new	overpass. A total of six (6	) deep borings were	drilled and tested for Geotechnical recommendation.		

	Firm Em	ployed by	A P S Engineering	A P S Engineering and Testing, LLC		
	Name		Van George		Years of relevant experience with this employer	8
	Title		Senior Driller		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	10
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization		l				
Active registration number / stat			/ expiration date			
Year registe	ered		Discipline			
Contract rol	le(s) / brief	description	n of responsibilities	Drill Manager	- Meets MPR 7	
Experience	Exp	perience an	d qualifications relev	ant to the proper	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gir	ders",
dates (mm/y mm/yy)	yy– "de MP	signed inte R(s).	rsection", etc. Exper	rience dates shou	ld cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable
	Proj	ect No. H.0	04100: I-10 Widening LA	415 to Essen LN-	LADOTD	
09/19-Pres	ent Hea	d Driller for t	he geotechnical field inves	stigations. A P S wa	is tasked thru our DOTD geotechnical retainer to drill and sample	a total
	of 85	o deep boring	s that included land (//) a	ind over water boring	gs (8) starting at the Washington Exit and ending at the Acadia Exit	t. APS
	moisture contents. Unconsolidated Undrained, liquid and plastic limits, unit weight, grain-size analyses, consolidations, and s				imits unit weight grain-size analyses consolidations and specific	aturai aravity
	were performed. All laboratory testing was performed at our accredited Laboratory. Additionally, 1000 Triaxial Compression test					tests
	(Und	consolidated l	Jndrained) were performe	d to determine the se	oil strength. All testing was performed at our accredited Laboratory	1.
	Proj	ect No. H.0'	12422: I-110 Interchange	e Modification at Te	errace Ave   LADOTD	
08/16-10/1	16 <b>Hea</b>	d Driller for t	he Geotechnical Field Inve	estigations. APS wa	as tasked thru our DOTD geotechnical retainer to drill and sample	a total
	of si	x (6) deep bo	orings for the design of the	e Terrace Ave exit	ramp. APS performed all the laboratory testing per ASTM standa	irds to
	limit	itate the geote	arain-size analyses, cons	solidations and spec	s, natural moisture contents, unconsolidated undrained, liquid and ific gravity were performed. All testing was at our accredited Labor	plastic
	Droi		12102: US 61 Thompson	Creek Bridge Beel	acoment	atory.
11/17-2/1	8 Hea	d driller for th	ne Geotechnical Field Inve	estigations APS wa	is tasked thru our DOTD geotechnical retainer to drill and sample	a total
11/11/2/1	of ei	aht (8) deep t	porings for the replacement	nt bridge at US 61 ov	ver Thompson Creek. APS performed all the laboratory testing per	ASTM
	stan	dards to facili	itate the geotechnical des	ign. Soil classificatio	on tests such as, natural moisture contents, Unconsolidated Undr	ained,
	liqui	d and plastic	limits, unit weight, grain-s	ize analyses, consol	lidations, and specific gravity were performed. All laboratory testin	ig was
	perfo	ormed at our a	accredited Laboratory.			
	H.00	2273, H.0007	710, and H.001352 Comit	e River Diversion E	Bridge at LA 67, LA 19 and LA 19 Railroad Bridge LA 67 and LA	<b>\ 19</b>
11/17-2/1	8 Hea	d Driller for t	he geotechnical field inve	Stigations. APS was	s tasked thru a DOTD geotechnical retainer to drill and sample 12	2 deep
	facili	tate the deote	echnical design Soil classi	fication tests such as	s natural moisture contents Unconsolidated Undrained liquid and	nlastic
	limit	s, unit weight.	grain-size analyses and s	specific gravity were	performed. All testing was performed at our accredited Laboratory	
					, <u> </u>	

	Project No. H.001344: US 190 over Bogue Falaya River
03/19-05/19	Head Driller for the Geotechnical Field Investigations. APS was selected with the winning team for the Geotechnical Investigation and
	Design of the proposed new bridge. A total of 19 deep borings were drilled and tested for the foundation recommendation. A P S performed
	all the laboratory testing per ASTM standards to facilitate the geotechnical design. Soil classification tests such as, natural moisture
	contents, Unconsolidated Undrained, liquid and plastic limits, unit weight, grain-size analyses, consolidations, and specific gravity were
	performed. All laboratory testing was performed at our accredited Laboratory.
	Project No. H.010155: US 90 Railroad Overpass SE of LA 85
12/19-1/20	Head Driller for the geotechnical field investigations. A P S was selected with the winning team for the Geotechnical Investigation
	and Design for the proposed new overpass. A total of six (6) deep borings were drilled and tested for Geotechnical recommendation. A P
	S performed all the laboratory testing per ASTM standards to facilitate the geotechnical design. Soil classification tests such as, natural
	moisture contents, Unconsolidated Undrained, liquid and plastic limits, unit weight, grain-size analyses, consolidations, and specific gravity
	were performed. All laboratory testing was performed at our accredited Laboratory.
	Project No. 700-51-0110: US 90 elevated portion for the future I-49 corridor.
07/14-08/14	Head Driller for the geotechnical field investigations. A P S performed all the preliminary drilling, testing, and CPT for US 90 and Highway
	318 Intersection. A total of 46 boring and 11 CPT along with all the testing required by LADOTD. A P S performed all the laboratory testing
	per ASTM standards to facilitate the geotechnical design. Soil classification tests such as, natural moisture contents, Unconsolidated
	Undrained, liquid and plastic limits, unit weight, grain-size analyses, consolidations, and specific gravity were performed. All laboratory
	testing was performed at our accredited Laboratory.
	Project No. H.002861: Earhart Expressway/Causeway Boulevard
	Head Driller for the geotechnical field investigations. A P S was tasked with developing the LRFD factors for both existing structures and the new
02/17-10/17	elevated sections to connect to Causeway Blvd. Per the task order APS drill and tested 85 borings to 120 feet near the proposed and existing
	structures. A P S performed all the laboratory testing per ASTM standards to facilitate the geotechnical design. Soil classification tests such as, natural
	moisture contents, Unconsolidated Undrained, liquid and plastic limits, unit weight, grain-size analyses, consolidations, and specific gravity were
	performed. All laboratory testing was performed at our accredited Laboratory. A P S engineering staff provides designer with pile tip elevations for
	tive elevated ramps to connect Earhart to Causeway Blvd. Provided boring logs, information on site conditions, site preparation recommendations,
	and load-length curves.
	Private Jobs: Drilling for warehouses, chemical plants, and private land development projects.
01/04-05/12	Project No. N/A: Levees (Kenner) – New Orleans, LA: Drill and sample with 5" Shelby tubes, 80' to 100' holes.
	Project No. N/A: New Orleans East Levee – New Orleans, LA: Drill and sample with 5" Shelby tubes, 80'.

	Firm Emp	loyed by	Chustz Surveying, I	LLC		
	Name	ne James H. Chustz, J		r., PLS	Years of relevant experience with this employer	28
	Title		Chief Engineer	_	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	20
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization		LSU / 1983/ Bo	oundary Surveying Classes			
Active registration number / state / expirat		/ expiration date	Professional La	nd Surveyor: 4657 / LA / 3/31/2024		
Year registe	red	1992	Discipline	Professional La	nd Surveyor	
Contract rol	e(s) / brief o	description	n of responsibilities	<b>Professional L</b>	and Surveyor - Meets MPR 5	
Experience	Expe	erience an	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gir	ders",
dates (mm/y mm/yy)	/y– "desi MPR	igned inte R(s).	rsection", etc. Exper	ience dates shou	ld cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable
01/22-prese	ent Autor Contr Multib Gaug	Automated Revetment Surveys on the Mississippi, Atchafalaya, and Red Rivers, USACE, New Orleans District, LA, MVN Contract W912P8-20-C-0057. Contract Role: Principal/Surveyor – Mr. Chustz was responsible for the overall management of this job. Chustz provided Automated Multibeam Underwater Imaging surveys for 456 miles on the Mississippi, Atchafalaya and Red Rivers. DGPS and Automated River Gauges were used for control. Deliverables included ASCII XYZ Files and QA/QC Reports.				
11/21-06/2	22 H.012 Contr Chust DGN,	H.012563.5, LA 73 Bayou Manchac Bridge, Prairieville, LADOTD Contract Role: Principal/Surveyor – Mr. Chustz was responsible for the overall management of this job. The types of surveys that Chustz provided were Topographic, Hydrographic, Aerial LiDAR, Static GPS, and RTK. Deliverables included MicroStation InRoads DGN, DTM, and ALG files, Utility Forms, GPS Photos, and ASCII Files.				at Is
08/21-11/2	Post W912 Contr Hydro hurric	Ida Emerge P8-20-D-00 ract Role: P ographic Unc ane Ida. Del	ncy Services for Multibe 01. rincipal/Surveyor – Mr. C derwater Imaging, Aerial Li liverables included Obstrue	<b>am and LiDAR, Do</b> Chustz was responsi iDAR, and Aerial Im- ction Forms, an Orth	naldsonville to Venice, USACE, New Orleans District, MVN Con ble for the overall management of this job. Chustz provided Multibe agery surveys of the Mississippi River, locating obstructions after nomosaic, XYZ ASCII Files, and a Final Survey Report.	n <b>tract</b> eam
11/21-12/2	21 Post Contr Single Delive	Ida Grand Is ract Role: P e Beam and erables inclu	<b>sle Surveys, Grand Isle,</b> <b>rincipal/Surveyor</b> – Mr. C Multibeam Hydrographic I ded Static GPS Network F	USACE, New Orlea Chustz was responsi Jnderwater Imaging Reports, an Orthomo	ns District, MVN Contract W912P8-20-D-0001. ble for the overall management of this job. Chustz provided Static ( , Aerial LiDAR, and Aerial Imagery surveys of the Grand Isle jetty s saic, XYZ ASCII Files, and a Final Survey Report.	ЭРЅ, ystem.
05/16-09/1	I7 I-10 C Contr surve	cable Barrie ract Role: P ys that Chus	e <mark>r, Lafayette to Jennings</mark> , <b>rincipal/Surveyor</b> – Mr. C stz provided were Aerial Li	, <b>LADOTD, H.01096</b> Chustz was responsi DAR, RTK Control a	<b>2.</b> ble for the overall project management of this contract. The types o nd Ground Truthing, and Static GPS.	of

11/19 – 12/20	2020 Automated Revetment Surveys on the Mississippi, Atchafalaya and Red Rivers, New Orleans District   MVN Contract W912P8-15-C-005 Contract Role: Principal/Surveyor responsible for the overall project management of this contract. Chustz provided multibeam surveys for 320 miles of riverbank on the Mississippi River, 130 on the Atchafalaya River and Red River.
1/19 – 10/19	2019 Mississippi River General Hydrographic Surveys, Vicksburg District   MVK Contract W912EE-17-D-0008 Contract Role: Principal/Surveyor responsible for the overall project management of this contract. Chustz provided automated hydrographic surveys utilizing single beam and multibeam technology positioned by DGPS for 297 miles along the banks of the Mississippi River. Deliverables included Gridded XYZ files and Benchmark Description Forms.
10/17 – 12/18	H.013193, 2017 Thompson Creek Bridge Replacement   DOTD Contract Role: Principal/Surveyor responsible for the overall project management of this contract. Chustz provided Conventional Hydrographic and Topographic surveys of the Little Natalbany River bridge crossing at US61. Deliverables included a GPS Sketch, ASCHJ files, MicroStation DGN and DTM files, Utility Reports, and a Location Report.
08/16 – 12/16	2016 Bridge Surveys, Southern Louisiana   DOTD Contract 4400006382, Task Order 05 Contract Role: Principal/Surveyor responsible for the overall project management of this contract. Chustz provided Hydrographic Single Beam Surveys for 17 bridges across Southern Louisiana. Deliverables included Survey Reports, Sounding Charts, Field Notes, Annotated Photos, and Bridge Data Charts.
12/20-10/21	H.014633.5, LA 29 Bayou Cocodrie Bridge Scour Repair, LADOTD Contract Role: Principal/Surveyor responsible for the overall management of this job. The types of surveys that Chustz provided were Topographic, Aerial LiDAR and Photogrammetry, Static GPS, and RTK. Deliverables included Microstation InRoads DGN, DTM, and ALG files, Utility Forms, GPS Photos, and ASCII Files repositioned.

	Firm Employed	by Chustz Surveying	, LLC					
	Name	Julian A. Chustz,	PLS	Years of relevant experience with this employer	15			
	Title	e Surveyor		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	0			
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization		BS / 2012 / Ge	omatics					
Active registration number / state / expiration date		Professional L	and Surveyor / 5251 / LA / 9/30/2023					
Year registe	ered 2021	Discipline	Professional L	and Surveyor				
Contract ro	le(s) / brief descri	ption of responsibilities	Professional I	Land Surveyor - Meets MPR 5				
Experience	Experience	e and qualifications rele	evant to the prop	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gin	ders",			
dates (mm/	yy– ("designed	intersection", etc. Exp	erience dates show	uld cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable			
mm/yy)	MPR(s).							
	LA 73 Bayo	u Manchac Bridge, Prairiev	ille, LADOTD, H.012	563.5.				
11/21-06/2	22 Contract Ro	ole: Surveyor – Mr. Chustz w	as responsible for da	ta coordination and deliverables. The types of surveys that Chustz				
	provided we	provided were Topographic, Hydrographic, Aerial LiDAR, Static GPS, and RTK. Deliverables included Microstation InRoads DGN, DTM,						
	and ALG file	s, Utility Forms, GPS Photos, Crand Jala Deat Hurrisona	and ASUI Files.	ammatry Surveya Grand Iala New Orleans District MVN Cart				
	Wight 208-20	Emergency Grand Isle Post Hurricane Zeta LIDAR/Photogrammetry Surveys, Grand Isle, New Orleans District, MVN Contract						
09/21-11/2	Contract Ro	Wy IZF0-ZU-D-UUUI.						
09/21-11/21	provided we	provided were Topographic Aerial LiDAR and Photogrammetry Static GPS and RTK Deliverables included a DTM File and an ASCII						
	Coordinate F	Coordinate File.						
	I-10 Cable E	I-10 Cable Barrier, Lafayette to Jennings, DOTD, H.010962.						
05/16-08/	17 Contract Ro	Contract Role: Surveyor – Mr. Chustz was responsible for data coordination and deliverables. The types of surveys that Chustz						
05/16-08/17	provided we	provided were Aerial LiDAR, RTK Control and Ground Truthing, and Static GPS. Deliverables included ASCII and LAS Files.						
	Impala Burr	iside Terminal Survey, Buri	nside, Project No. 16	i-514.				
09/16-01/	17 Contract Ro	Contract Role: Surveyor – Mr. Chustz was responsible for multibeam and aerial LiDAR data coordination and deliverables. The type of						
	surveys that	surveys that Chustz provided were RTK and Digital Level control surveys, Aerial 3D Laser Scan, Multibeam Hydrographic Underwater						
	Imaging and	io Bridge Hydro Surveys. Delive	rables included LAS I	riles and gridded Multibeam Data.				
	Contract Pr	Jimmie Davis Bridge Hydro Survey, Shreveport, DOTD Contract 440000532, Task Order 06.						
08/16-09/	16 contract RC	h Resolution Multibeam Lind	as responsible for Mic	and QAVQC of the limmie Davis Bridge in Shrevenort I.A. Deliverables inclu	e hahı			
	aridded XYZ	file of the Multibeam survey	data and a Detailed S	urvev Report.				
	2020 Autom	ated Revetment Surveys of	n the Mississippi, At	chafalaya and Red Rivers, New Orleans District   MVN Contrac	t			
11/10 _ 00	/20 W912P8-15-	C-005	•• •					
1779-09	Contract Ro	le: Surveyor responsible for	the overall project ma	anagement of this contract. Chustz provided multibeam surveys for	320			
	miles of rive	bank on the Mississippi Rive	r, 130 on the Atchafal	aya River and Red River.	ł			

02/19 – 10/19	2019 Mississippi River General Hydrographic Surveys, Vicksburg District   MVK Contract W912EE-17-D-0008 Contract Role: Surveyor responsible for the overall project management of this contract. Chustz provided automated hydrographic surveys utilizing single beam and multibeam technology positioned by DGPS for 297 miles along the banks of the Mississippi River. Deliverables included Gridded XYZ files and Benchmark Description Forms.
10/17 – 12/18	2017 Thompson Creek Bridge Replacement   DOTD H.013193 Contract Role: Surveyor responsible for the overall project management of this contract. Chustz provided Conventional Hydrographic and Topographic surveys of the Little Natalbany River bridge crossing at US61. Deliverables included a GPS Sketch, ASCHJ files, MicroStation DGN and DTM files, Utility Reports, and a Location Report.
08/16 – 12/16	2016 Bridge Surveys, Southern Louisiana   DOTD Contract 4400006382, Task Order 05 Contract Role: Surveyor responsible for the overall project management of this contract. Chustz provided Hydrographic Single Beam Surveys for 17 bridges across Southern Louisiana. Deliverables included Survey Reports, Sounding Charts, Field Notes, Annotated Photos, and Bridge Data Charts.
12/20-10/21	H.014633.5, LA 29 Bayou Cocodrie Bridge Scour Repair, LADOTD Contract Role: Surveyor responsible for the overall management of this job. The types of surveys that Chustz provided were Topographic, Aerial LiDAR and Photogrammetry, Static GPS, and RTK. Deliverables included Microstation InRoads DGN, DTM, and ALG files, Utility Forms, GPS Photos, and ASCII Files repositioned.

	Firm Employed	by Chustz Surveying, I	LLC		
	Name	Mark Huber, CH		Years of relevant experience with this employer	3
	Title	Supervisor-QA/QC Hydrographic Surve	& eys	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	43
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization					
Active regis	tration number / s	tate / expiration date	Certified Hydro	ographer #181 / National / 12/31/2024	
Year registe	red 1995	Discipline	Survey (Certifie	ed Hydrographer)	
Contract rol	e(s) / brief descrip	otion of responsibilities	Certified Hydr	rographer	
Experience	Experience	and qualifications releve	ant to the propo	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gin	ders",
dates (mm/y	vy– "designed	intersection", etc. Exper	rience dates shou	Id cover the years of experience specified in the appl	licable
mm/yy)	MPR(s).				
01/22-07/22	Automated Contract Ro deliverables. Rivers. DGP	Automated Revetment Surveys on the Mississippi, Atchafalaya, and Red Rivers, USACE, New Orleans District, LA, MVI Contract Role: Supervisor - QA/QC & Hydrographic Surveys – Responsible for the Hydrographic Surveys and the QA/QC o deliverables. Chustz provided Automated Multibeam Underwater Imaging surveys for 456 miles on the Mississippi, Atchafalaya Rivers, DGPS and Automated River Gauges were used for control. Deliverables included ASCII XXZ Files and QA/QC Reports.			
11/21-02/22	LA 73 Bayon Contract Ro deliverables. Deliverables	LA 73 Bayou Manchac Bridge, Prairieville, LADOTD, H.012563.5. Contract Role: Supervisor - QA/QC & Hydrographic Surveys – Responsible for the Hydrographic Surveys and the QA/QC of final deliverables. The types of surveys that Chustz provided were Topographic, Hydrographic, Aerial LiDAR, Static GPS, and RTK.			
08/21-09/21	Post Ida Em Contract Ro deliverables. locating obst	ergency Services for Multibe le: Supervisor - QA/QC & Hy Provided Multibeam Hydrogra ructions after Hurricane Ida. De	eam and LiDAR, Do drographic Surveys phic Underwater Ima eliverables: Obstruct	naldsonville to Venice, USACE, New Orleans District, MVN s – Responsible for the Hydrographic Surveys and the QA/QC of fi aging, Aerial LiDAR, and Aerial Imagery surveys of the Mississippi ion Forms, an Orthomosaic, XYZ ASCII Files, and Final Survey Re	nal River, eport.
07/21-10/21	LA 29 Bayon Contract Ro QA/QC of fin Photogramm Photos, and	I Cocodrie Bridge Scour Rep le: Supervisor - QA/QC & Hy al deliverables. The types of su etry, Static GPS, and RTK. De ASCII Files.	pair, Ville Platte, LA drographic Surveys urveys that Chustz p liverables included N	<b>DOTD, H.014633.5.</b> <b>s</b> – Mr. Huber was responsible for the Hydrographic Surveys and t rovided were Topographic, Hydrographic, Aerial LiDAR and <i>I</i> icrostation InRoads DGN, DTM, and ALG files, Utility Forms, GPS	he S
03/20-04/20	NOV NFL Mi Contract Ro QA/QC of fin Surveys utiliz Survey Repo	tigation Project Fritchie Mars le: Supervisor - QA/QC & Hy al deliverables. Mr. Huber was ing DGPS and a Temporary S rt, Description Forms, KMZ Fil-	sh (ED-20-030), Slic drographic Surveys responsible for the ( taff Gauge, and Top es, a Fully Constrain	<b>Iell, New Orleans District, MVN Contract W912P8-15-D-0009.</b> <b>s</b> – Mr. Huber was responsible for the Hydrographic Surveys and t QA/QC of final deliverables. Chustz provided Hydrographic Single ographic Surveys utilizing RTK GPS. Deliverables included a Deta ed GPS Network Report, and GIS Shape Files.	he Beam iled

	Firm Employe	d by	Chustz Surveying, l	LLC			
	Name	ne Robbie Benoit			Years of relevant experience with this employer	15	
	Title		Supervisor-CADD &	& Deliverables	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	20	
Degree(s) /	Years / Speciali	zatior	l	AS / 2003 / Ind	ustrial Engineering		
Active regis	tration number	/ state	/ expiration date				
Year registe	red		Discipline				
Contract rol	e(s) / brief desc	ription	n of responsibilities	CADD Superv	isor		
Experience	Experier	ce an	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gir	ders",	
dates (mm/y	ry– "designe	d inte	rsection", etc. Exper	rience dates shou	ild cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable	
mm/yy)	MPR(s).						
01/22-07/2	22 Automate Contract I Underwate used for co	Automated Revetment Surveys on the Mississippi, Atchafalaya, and Red Rivers, USACE, New Orleans District, LA, MVN Contract Role: Supervisor – Deliverables – Mr. Benoit was responsible for final deliverables. Chustz provided Automated Multibeam Underwater Imaging surveys for 456 miles on the Mississippi, Atchafalaya and Red Rivers. DGPS and Automated River Gauges were used for control. Deliverables included ASCII XYZ Files and QA/QC Reports.					
03/22-08/2	22 LA 20: LA Contract l of surveys Deliverable	LA 20: LA 304 – LA 307, Chackbay, LADOTD H.014728.5. Contract Role: Supervisor – CADD & Deliverables – Mr. Benoit was responsible for final CADD products and deliverables. The types of surveys that Chustz provided were Topographic, Hydrographic, Aerial LiDAR and Photogrammetry, Static GPS, and RTK. Deliverables included Microstation InRoads DGN, DTM, and ALG files, Utility Forms, GPS Photos, and ASCII Files.					
11/21-02/2	22 LA 73 Bay Contract I of surveys Microstatio	<b>You Ma</b> Role: S that Cl on InRo	nchac Bridge, Prairieville upervisor – CADD & Del nustz provided were Topoo ads DGN, DTM, and ALG	e, LADOTD, H.0125 iverables – Mr. Ber graphic, Hydrograph files, Utility Forms, (	<b>63.5.</b> ioit was responsible for final CADD products and deliverables. The ic, Aerial LiDAR, Static GPS, and RTK. Deliverables included GPS Photos, and ASCII Files.	types	
11/21-12/2	Post Ida C Contract I Beam and Deliverable	Frand I Role: S Multibe es inclu	sle Surveys, Grand Isle, upervisor – Deliverables eam Hydrographic Underw ded Static GPS Network F	USACE, New Orlea – Mr. Benoit was re- vater Imaging, Aerial Reports, an Orthomo	Ins District, MVN Contract W912P8-20-D-0001. Esponsible for final deliverables. Chustz provided Static GPS, Singl LiDAR, and Aerial Imagery surveys of the Grand Isle jetty system. Issaic, XYZ ASCII Files, and a Final Survey Report.	e	
08/21-12/2	21 LA 301 Pr Contract of surveys DGN, DTM	iest Ca Role: S that Cl 1, and A	<b>na Bridge, Crown Point,</b> <b>upervisor – CADD &amp; Del</b> nustz provided were Topo ALG files, Utility Forms, GF	LADOTD, H.01428 iverables – Mr. Ber graphic, Hydrograph PS Photos, and ASC	<b>4.5.</b> ioit was responsible for final CADD products and deliverables. The ic, Static GPS, and RTK. Deliverables included Microstation InRoa II Files.	types ds	
	Firm Employed by	Chustz Surveying, L	LC				
--------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------	--	--
	Name	Mark Coinche, CST		Years of relevant experience with this employer	22		
	Title	Party Chief		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	21		
Degree(s) /	Years / Specialization	1					
Active regis	tration number / state	e / expiration date					
Year registe	red	Discipline					
Contract rol	e(s) / brief description	n of responsibilities	OSHA Safety O	Officer and Field Supervisor			
Experience	Experience an	d qualifications relev	ant to the propos	ed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gir	ders",		
dates (mm/y	y– "designed inte	rsection", etc. Exper	rience dates shoul	d cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable		
mm/yy)	MPR(s).						
	LA 20: LA 304 –	LA 307, Chackbay, LAD	OTD H.014728.5.	anonable for field supervision and data collection. The types of a			
03/22-08/2	2 that Chustz provid	ded were Topographic Hy	drographic Aerial LiD	AR and Photogrammetry Static GPS and RTK Deliverables incl	urveys luded		
	Microstation InRo	Microstation InRoads DGN, DTM, and ALG files, Utility Forms, GPS Photos, and ASCII Files.					
	LA 73 Bayou Ma	nchac Bridge, Prairievill	e. LADOTD. H.01256	3.5.			
	Contract Role: S	Supervisor – Field Crews	<ul> <li>Mr. Voinche was re</li> </ul>	sponsible for field supervision and data collection. The types of si	urveys		
11/21-02/2	2 that Chustz provide	that Chustz provided were Topographic, Hydrographic, Aerial LiDAR, Static GPS, and RTK. Deliverables included Microstation InRoads					
	DGN, DTM, and A	ALG files, Utility Forms, GI	PS Photos, and ASCII	Files.			
	Post Ida Emerge	ency Services for Multibe	am and LiDAR, Don	aldsonville to Venice, USACE, New Orleans District, MVN			
00/01 00/0	Contract Role: S	Contract Role: Supervisor – Field Crews – Mr. Voinche was responsible for field supervision and data collection. Chustz provided					
08/21-09/2	Multibeam Hydrog	Multibeam Hydrographic Underwater Imaging, Aerial LiDAR, and Aerial Imagery surveys of the Mississippi River, locating obstructions					
	after hurricane Ida	after nurricane ida. Deliverables included Obstruction Forms, an Orthomosaic, XYZ ASCII Files, and a Final Survey Report.					
	LA 29 Bayou Co	codrie Bridge Scour Rep	oair, Ville Platte, LAD	OTD, H.014633.5.			
07/21-10/2	Contract Role: S	Contract Role: Supervisor – Field Crews – Mr. Voinche was responsible for field supervision and data collection. The types of surveys					
01121 1012	Microstation InRo	I that Chustz provided were Topographic, Hydrographic, Aerial LIDAR and Photogrammetry, Static GPS, and RTK. Deliverables included I Microstation InRoads DGN DTM and ALG files. Utility Forms, GPS Photos, and ASCII Files.					
	Jimmie Davis Br	idge Hydro Survey, Shre	eveport, DOTD Contr	act 4400006382, Task Order 06.	ad Lliab		
08/16-09/1	6 Resolution Multib	eam Underwater Imaging	surveys of the Jimmie	Davis Bridge in Shreveport 1 A Deliverables included a gridded	XY7		
	file of the Multibe	am survey data and a Deta	ailed Survey Report.				

	Firm Emplo	oyed by	Chustz Surveying, I	LLC		
	Name		Craig Villemarette		Years of relevant experience with this employer	24
	Title		Party Chief		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	4
Degree(s) /	Years / Speci	ialization				
Active regis	tration numb	er / state	/ expiration date			
Year registe	ered		Discipline			
Contract rol	e(s) / brief de	escriptior	n of responsibilities	Party Chief		
Experience	Exper	ience and	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gir	ders",
dates (mm/y	/y– ("desig	ned inter	rsection", etc. Exper	ience dates shou	ild cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable
mm/yy)	MPR(	MPR(s).				
01/22-07/2	22 Autom provide Automa	Automated Revetment Surveys on the Mississippi, Atchafalaya, and Red Rivers, USACE, New Orleans District, LA, MVN Contract Role: Party Chief – Mr. Villemarette was responsible for the supervision of his crew and hydrographic data collection. Chustz provided Automated Multibeam Underwater Imaging surveys for 456 miles on the Mississippi, Atchafalaya and Red Rivers. DGPS and Automated River Gauges were used for control. Deliverables included ASCII XYZ Files and QA/QC Reports.				
11/21-12/2	Post Id Contra provide Grand Report.	Post Ida Grand Isle Surveys, Grand Isle, USACE, New Orleans District, MVN Contract W912P8-20-D-0001. Contract Role: Party Chief – Mr. Villemarette was responsible for the supervision of his crew and hydrographic data collection. Chustz provided Static GPS, Single Beam and Multibeam Hydrographic Underwater Imaging, Aerial LiDAR, and Aerial Imagery surveys of the Grand Isle jetty system. Deliverables included Static GPS Network Reports, an Orthomosaic, XYZ ASCII Files, and a Final Survey Report.				
08/21-09/2	Post Id Contra provide obstruc	Post Ida Emergency Services for Multibeam and LiDAR, Donaldsonville to Venice, USACE, New Orleans District, MVN Contract Role: Party Chief – Mr. Villemarette was responsible for the supervision of his crew and hydrographic data collection. Chustz provided Multibeam Hydrographic Underwater Imaging, Aerial LiDAR, and Aerial Imagery surveys of the Mississippi River, locating obstructions after hurricane Ida. Deliverables included Obstruction Forms, an Orthomosaic, XYZ ASCII Files, and a Final Survey Report.				
09/16-01/1	7 Impala Contra of surve Imaging	Impala Burnside Terminal Survey, Burnside, Project No. 16-514. Contract Role: Party Chief – Mr. Villemarette was responsible for the supervision of his crew and hydrographic data collection. The type of surveys that Chustz provided were RTK and Digital Level control surveys, Aerial 3D Laser Scan, Multibeam Hydrographic Underwater Imaging and Topographic surveys. Deliverables included LAS Files and gridded Multibeam Data.				
08/16-09/1	6 Jimmie Contra provide gridded	e Davis Br ct Role: P d High Res I XYZ file o	idge Hydro Survey, Shre arty Chief – Mr. Villemare solution Multibeam Under f the Multibeam survey da	eveport, DOTD Con ette was responsible water Imaging surve ata and a Detailed S	tract 4400006382, Task Order 06. for the supervision of his crew and hydrographic data collection. C ys of the Jimmie Davis Bridge in Shreveport, LA. Deliverables inclu urvey Report.	hustz ided a

Firm Employed by		Moffatt & Nichol	Moffatt & Nichol					
	Name	Eric Smith, PE		Years of relevant experience with this employer	25			
	Title Vice Presi		oastal Engineer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	2			
Degree(s) /	Years / Specialization	1	MSE / 1997 / 1 BS / 1996 / Civ	MSE / 1997 / Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering BS / 1996 / Civil Engineering				
Active regis	tration number / state	/ expiration date	Professional E	ngineer: #34931 / MD / 09/2023				
Year registe	red 2007	Discipline	Civil Engineer	ing				
Contract rol	e(s) / brief description	n of responsibilities	Navigational S	tudy				
Experience dates (mm/y mm/yy)	Experience an "designed inte MPR(s).	d qualifications relev rsection", etc. Exper	ience dates shou	ild cover the years of experience specified in the appl	ders", licable			
	<b>Coastal engineer</b> who performed a static mooring analysis to establish the preliminary locations of the breasting a relative to the loading platform. M&N then performed dynamic mooring analyses to validate the berth geometry and mooring arrangement for the design basis tankers. The mooring analyses were extended to set the maximum envi that would cause the design maximum tanker to approach the limits of the operating envelope of the loading arms. performed berthing energy calculations using guidelines provided by the Permanent International Association of Na (PIANC) to establish the fender requirements for the breasting dolphins.				phins actical itions วI gress			
06/2011-07/20	14 Burnside Master Senior coastal e berth subject to w full mission bridge	Planning, Environmentan ngineer who provided provided provided and currents and pass e simulations of docking ar	al Permitting, and E ject engineering to s ing vessel impact and departure maneu	ingineering Services, Darrow, LA. upport pier design, including dynamic mooring analysis of bulkers nalysis for vessels transiting the Mississippi River. Provided oversig vers to the berth.	at ght of			
04/2005-05/20	08 Sabine Pass LN Navigation expe perform the inbou	Sabine Pass LNG Marine Terminal, Cameron Parish, LA. Navigation expert for oversight of real time LNG carrier maneuvering studies to ensure the design range of LNG carriers would safe perform the inbound and outbound transit maneuvers to the marine facilities.			afely			
08/2001-02/20	05 Paulus Hook Pie Coastal enginee Installed two subs reduction routines the site. Numerica panel model of flo	r and Ferry Terminal, Je r who developed operation surface instruments to colle s to post-process wave dat ally modeled wind-generate pating docks to calculate m	rsey City, NJ. nal and design wave ect wave and curren ta. Determined that p ed waves to calculat notions and forces up	conditions for pier and floating dock structures at the ferry termina t data at the proposed site of the new ferry terminal and coded dat bassing Hudson River ferry traffic generates operational wave ener e design wave conditions for structural design. Created a computa inder operational conditions.	l. a rgy at itional			

09/2014-10/2014	Icy Strait Point Cruise Terminal, Alaska.
	Senior marine engineer for mooring design of cruise terminal mooring. Responsible for technical oversight and QC for numerical
	mooring analysis of design cruise ships to fixed mooring structures with floating passenger loading platform.
8/2010-09/2014	Dundalk Marine Terminal Fender Upgrades Study and Final Design, Baltimore, MD.
	Project manager who performed condition inspection for fenders at Dundalk Marine Terminal (DMT), South Locust Point (SLP), and
	Fairfield Marine Terminal (FMT). Prepared an inspection report and recommendations for repair. Prepared construction documents to
	replace and repair fendering systems at DMT and FMT.
06/2015-11/2015	ADM Barge Mooring and Navigation Studies, Barcarena, Brazil.
	Technical lead for studies supporting the design and operation of a floating barge unloading facility. The analysis included AQWA
	dynamic mooring analysis of the unloader and barge subject to wind, wave, and currents to evaluate the performance of the mooring
	system, operational downtime, and the requirements for wave screens at the terminal. Real time maneuvering simulations modeled
	barge approach and departure using typical push boats.
04/2002-06/2002	U.S. Coast Guard Station Governors Island Inspection and Repair of Ferry Slips and Transfer Bridge System, New York, NY.
	Civil engineer and diver for inspection of two ferry berths and two transfer bridges, including timber piles and deck, steel girders,
	abutments, steel H piles, timber sheathing, and wales.
11/2018-12/2019	Repauno Port and Rail Terminal Dock 2 Design, Gibbstown, NJ.
	Project Manager for the design of a liquid bulk energy terminal on the Delaware River. The design includes two berths, 11 mooring
	dolphins, two loading platforms, an access trestle, and a dredge berth pocket. The design included subsurface investigation, vessel
	maneuvering studies, mooring analysis, and structural design to accommodate variable geotechnical and seismic conditions for liquified
	gas infrastructure.
01/2017-06/2017	Cape Fear River Channel Deepening, Wilmington, NC.
	Sr. Coastal Engineer overseeing recommended improvements to the federal channel, incorporating insights gained from studying
	existing snip traffic (AIS) data. Supervised real time vessel simulation testing program to validate concept channel designs for improving
10/0015 02/0016	the Cape Fear River channel and turning basin. Evaluated ship wake impacts from larger vessels transiting in the deepened channel.
12/2015-03/2016	Uak Point Terminal Maneuvering Studies, Bronx, New York.
	time simulations determined procedures for approach, landing, and securing barress at the terminal. Final maneuware included deploying
	meaning lines from barge winches and terminal winches to held the barge fast and welk the barge into the slip behind the unleading
	terminal. Provided recommendations on dredging area and operational limits at the terminal
01/2011-07/2011	Fraser River Navigation Simulations Vancouver British Colombia
	Project engineer who assessed the required channel widths denths, and turning areas to maneuver post-Panamax containerships to
	the proposed berth on Fraser River. The analysis included the annual prediction of available transit windows, given tide and river stage
	conditions. Provided recommendations on channel improvements and dredging to facilitate terminal development
L	

	Firm En	nployed by	Moffatt & Nichol	offatt & Nichol				
125	Name		Jeff Sheldon, PE		Years of relevant experience with this employer	36		
	Title	Fitle Vice President, Sr Co		oastal Engineer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	2		
Degree(s)/2	Vears / S	necialization		MS / 1985 / Civ	vil Engineering			
Degree(s)7		pecialization	•	BS / 1984 / Civ	il Engineering			
Active regis	stration nu	umber / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	gineer: #29462 / LA / 09/2023			
Year registe	ered	2001	Discipline	Civil Engineeri	ng			
Contract rol	e(s) / brie	ef description	t of responsibilities	Scour Analysis	1 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 22		
Experience		xperience and	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	sed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gir	ders",		
dates (mm/y	/y- a	lesigned inter	rsection", etc. Exper	ience dates shou	Id cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable		
		PK(S).	ou Hydraulio Analysis	lofforcon Darich L	٨			
01/2000-03/20	LA 45 Goose Bayou Hydraulic Analysis			reastudy to define the environmental conditions and calculate wave and current forces and				
	pot	tential scour im	pacting the design of a ne	acting the design of a new, two-lane bridge. Determined 100-year return interval water level, significant wave height.				
	an	d maximum wa	ve height. Used HEC-RAS	Jsed HEC-RAS to determine design flow velocities as input to determining scour potential.				
08/2020-01/20	)22 <b>SR</b>	R 25 MacKay Ri	iver Bridge Replacemen	t Study, Glynn Cou	nty, GA.			
	Se	enior coastal/hy	ydraulic engineer providi	ng QA/QC for an un	steady 2D tidal hydraulic numerical model study to determine pote	ntial		
	SCO	our, storm surge	e, and vessel impact veloc	sities to inform bridge	e foundation design.			
11/2009-12/20	09 US	6 80 Skidaway	Narrows Bridge Replace	ment Study, Chath	am County, GA.			
	As	sistant project	t manager and senior hy	draulic engineer pr	oviding QA/QC for an unsteady 1D tidal hydraulic numerical mode	l study		
	to	determine poter	ntial scour, storm surge, a	nd vessel impact vel	ocities to inform bridge foundation design.			
09/2009-10/20	010 NC	CDOT Scour St	udies for Bridges across	s Tidally Influenced	Water Bodies, Coastal Areas, NC.			
	Se	enior coastal er	ngineer who analyzed 36	coastal bridges to as	ssess their vulnerability to tidal-induced scour, which involved the r	eview		
	of	NCDOT data; e	stimation of drainage area	as and upstream wat	ershed flows; determination of tidal prism and bridge cross-section	1		
	op	ening; determin	tion of velocities, water of	t depths, and scour depths for controlling flow events; and preparation of Bridge Scour Reports				
	an							
04/2021-Ongo	ing US	5 64 Alligator R	River Bridge Replacemen	it, Tyrrell / Dare Co	unties, NC.	d a a i ava		
	Pro	rameters for ever	and senior coastal engli aluating scour and the low	neer for a hydrodyna , chord elevation for	amic study and a sea level rise probabilistic analysis to determine (	Jesign		
	his	storical hurrican	e events, statistical analys	is. and a Monte-Car	lo simulation of potential sea level rise scenarios to calculate appre	opriate		
	de	sign conditions.						
		-						

04/2019-12/2020	Mid-Currituck Bridge, Currituck County, NC.
	Project manager for the hydraulic design of the Mid-Currituck Bridge. The project included the development of a 2D hydrodynamic
	model of Currituck Sound. Completed model runs for several potential hurricane tracks and extracted current velocity and water level
	results. Performed scour analyses and calculated the wave and current loads for the proposed bridge foundations. Reviewed an HEC-
	RAS model for Maple Swamp to obtain the "no-rise" MOA for the Mid-Currituck project.
06/1999-08/2004	Route 234 Bridges over Occoquan River, Prince William and Fairfax Counties, VA.
	Senior hydraulic engineer for river and tidal mechanics and scour analysis required to replace two existing bridges. Performed HEC-
	2/HEC-RAS modeling of river flows to determine water levels and performed 2D modeling using RMA-2 to confirm water levels and
	determine flow velocities for input to scour analysis.
06/1999-12/2009	Route 123 Bridges over Occoquan River, Prince William and Fairfax Counties, VA.
	Senior hydraulic engineer for river and tidal mechanics and scour analysis required to replace two existing bridges. Performed HEC-
	2/HEC-RAS modeling of river flows to determine water levels and performed 2D modeling using RMA-2 to confirm water levels and
	determine flow velocities for input to scour analysis.
07/1998-12/2000	U-92A Smith Creek Parkway, Wilmington, NC
	Hydraulic engineer for the hydraulic analysis and design, including scour analysis for several structures that are part of the intersection
	of Smith Creek Parkway with NC 117-NC 133 on the Northeast Cape Fear River.
03/2019-03/2020	Southdock Ferry Terminal, Ocracoke, NC.
	Project manager and senior coastal engineer providing engineering, permitting, and construction services for the NCDOT Ferry
	Division for an emergency repair of the Southdock Ferry Terminal. As part of this effort, hydrodynamic, morphological, and wave models
	of Hatteras Inlet were developed to investigate the erosion pattern near the Southdock Hairpin Turn and verify potential structure
	alignments to provide shoreline protection.
08/2006-09/2008	AASHTO Guide Specifications for Bridges Vulnerable to Coastal Storms and Handbook of Retrofit Options for Bridges
	Vulnerable to Coastal Storms.
	Project team member that developed a multi-level, performance-based guide specification and commentary that provides design
	strategies for applying loads associated with waves, surges, currents, and/or winds to determine structure responses. The team
	developed a retrofit manual that guides the screening and prioritization process for structures requiring structural retrofit to withstand
	these forces. The manual also presents suggested retrofit techniques.

	Firm Employed by	Moffatt & Nichol	Aoffatt & Nichol			
125	Name	Paul Hoo, PE		Years of relevant experience with this employer	16	
	Title	Structural Engineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	19	
Degree(s) /	Vears / Specialization		MS / 1992 / Ad	vanced Civil and Structural Engineering		
Degree(s)	Tears / Specialization	1	BS / 1985 / Civ	il Engineering		
Active regis	tration number / state	/ expiration date	Professional Er	gineer: #58062 / FL / 02/28/2025		
Year registe	red 2002	Discipline	Civil Engineeri	ng		
Contract rol	e(s) / brief description	n of responsibilities	Pontoon Struc	ture Design	1	
Experience	Experience an	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	sed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gir	ders'',	
dates (mm/y	y- "designed inte	rsection", etc. Exper	nence dates shou	ld cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable	
$\frac{\text{mm}}{\text{yy}}$	$\frac{MPR(S)}{OQ}$	Public Market Marina   V	ancouver Britich (	Columbia   Conadian Housing & Mortgage Corn		
0112001-10/20	Project manager for the design and constru innovative semi-floating transition platform for submission of a permit application to the Va		for the handicap access. Other components of the project included preparation and ancouver Fraser Port Authority, and prepared detailed cost estimates for the work,			
	specifications, an	d construction supervision				
03/2007-04/20	07 Vancouver Conv	vention Centre Expansion	n – Mega Yacht Ma	rina and Float Plane Facilities   Vancouver British Columbia		
	Project manager in the water lot in	Harbour Navigation Ltd Project manager for preparation of concepts and preliminary design of the new float plane base facilities and 50-slip mega-yacht m in the water lot in front of Vancouver's new waterfront convention centre.				
02/2010-06/20	12 South Harbour E	xpansion   Powell River,	, British Columbia			
	Project manager two existing rubbl The harbour expa	<b>Project manager</b> responsible for the expansion of the south harbour, marina and waterfront improvements that included reconfiguring two existing rubble mound breakwaters to allow for an expanded harbour, supply and install new floats, pilings, gangway, and utilities. The harbour expansion accommodates an additional 35 - 40 vessels ranging in length from 30ft up to 60ft.			iring ies.	
03/2012-04/20	13 Fleet Diving Unit Project manager	t (Pacific)   Colwood, Brit	tish Columbia	eptual design for a replacement floating dock, and moorings at the		
	existing G-Jetty s shoreline interfact	existing G-Jetty site. Project involved interacting with a multi-discipline team to refine concepts and layouts for the floating dock and th shoreline interface.				
03/2008-05/20	15 Detailed Design	of Boat Ramp at Nakusp	BC Hydro, Britisl	n Columbia	. ,	
	Project manager involved demolition protection, and flo	for the detailed design of on of an existing timber treating walkway.	a replacement boat stle boat launch ram	launch ramp at Nakusp on the Upper Arrow Lakes Reservoir. The p, provided a replacement 30-metre-wide gravel filled ramp, scour	project	

07/2007-05/2011	Detailed Design of Boat Ramps at McDonald Creek, Burton, and Fauquier   British Columbia   BC Hydro
	Project manager for the detailed design of improvements to the existing boat launch ramps at McDonald Creek Provincial Park and
	Fauquier, and a new boat launch ramp at Burton including highway entry/exit design on the Upper Arrow Lakes Reservoir. The project
	involved providing turnarounds, ramp extensions, floating log breakwaters, floating walkways and abutments, new parking area and site
	access roads.
05/2008-04/2013	Detailed Design of Boat Ramps at Valemount, Bush Harbour and Centennial Park   British Columbia   BC Hydro
	Project manager for the detailed design of improvements to the existing boat launch ramps at Valemount, Bush Harbour on the
	Kinbasket Reservoir, and Centennial Park on the Mid-Columbia River. The project involved providing turnarounds, ramp extensions,
	floating log breakwaters, walkways and abutments, new parking area, and site access roads.
03/2005-12/2005	Penticton Marina   Penticton, British Columbia   Greyback Construction Ltd.
	Structural engineer responsible for the design of the timber breakwater, mooring piles for floats, and restaurant floor slab and support
	piles. Design incorporated a gap between the bottom of the timber panels and the lake bed to allow water to circulate into the enclosed
	area and fish to pass under the structure. The steel pipe piles supported the reinforced concrete floor suspended slab above the lakebed.
05/2004-07/2004	Queen Charlotte City Gangway Approach Design   Charlotte City, British Columbia   Department of Fisheries and Ocean Queen
	Structural Engineer who prepared design calculations and details for a steel walkway trestle and ramp for relocation of floats at the
	Queen Charlotte Marina, in accordance with CAN/CSA-S16.1-M89 Limit States Design of Steel Structures.
06/2005-09/2005	Design of Relocated Seaplane Floats, Barge and Walkway Supports   Vancouver, British Columbia   West Coast Air
	Structural engineer who designed the proposed relocation of the seaplane floats including piled moorings for floats, walkways, and
	coordination of the electric power supply for the terminal buildings.
04/1992-07/1992	FPL's 28 MW Floating Power Plant   Rockfort, FL   Florida Power and Light Company
	Engineer involved in the supervision of the dredging in front of the existing 40 MW power plant at Rockfort to accommodate FPL's 28
	MW power range.
08/2004-10/2004	Fuel Barge Relocation   Port Hardy, British Columbia   Petro Canada
	Engineer who investigated various options for a replacement floating breakwater for Port Hardy and provided cost estimates.
08/2004–10/2004	Pacific Marine Training Institute Mooring Design   N. Vancouver, British Columbia   Fraser River Pile & Dredge Ltd.
	Structural engineer who prepared the designs for the mooring anchors for the floating pontoon dock.

0	Firm Employed by	Moffatt & Nichol	loffatt & Nichol			
100	Name	Kevin Hanegan, PE	C	Years of relevant experience with this employer	11	
	Title	Coastal Engineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	2	
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization			PhD / 2020 / Coastal Hydrodynamics and Morphology MS / 2011 / Coastal and Marine Engineering and Management BS / 2009 / Civil Engineering			
Active regist	ration number / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	ngineer #41433 / LA / 9/2023		
Year register	red 2017	Discipline	Civil Engineer	ing		
Contract role	e(s) / brief description	n of responsibilities	Coastal model	ing		
Experience dates (mm/y mm/yy)	y- Experience an "designed inte MPR(s).	d qualifications relev rsection", etc. Exper	ant to the propo ience dates shou	sed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gined gined gined drainage", "designed gined gined gined gined gined drainage", "designed gined gine	ders", licable	
01/2014-01/20	<ul> <li>Increase Atchata</li> <li>Parish, LA.</li> <li>Assistant Projec</li> <li>Master Plan, inclu</li> <li>Lock to increase to the preferred projection</li> <li>advanced hydrody</li> <li>period in the future</li> <li>FM model of the Laccretion, marshow</li> <li>change for severation</li> <li>modeling was correalized while minima channel dimension</li> <li>structure elevation</li> <li>assessment.</li> </ul>	MPR(s). Increase Atchafalaya Flow to Eastern Terrebonne Marshes Project Feasibility and Schematic Design, St. Mary and Terrebonne Parish, LA. Assistant Project Manager and Hydraulic Engineer for the Increase Atchafalaya Flow (TE110) Project, part of the 2012 LA Coastal Master Plan, includes dredging of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (GIWW) and installation of a diversion structure at the Bayou Boeuf Lock to increase the amount of freshwater reaching the Central Terrebonne Marshes. A feasibility study was conducted to recommend the preferred project alternative to maximize benefits while reducing costs and adverse impacts. Assisted in the development of an advanced hydrodynamic, salinity, and ecologic model to predict the changes in vegetation community type and land loss for a 50-year period in the future for both the Future without Project and Future with project conditions. A first of its kind, the model couples a MIKE21- FM model of the Lower Atchafalaya River, the GIWW, and the Terrebonne marsh area to a wetland morphology module that predicts accretion, marsh collapse, and community switching. With this model, the project team analyzed the long-term land loss and community change for several project configurations, basing the alternative evaluation on the project goal of land loss prevention. Additional modeling was conducted to optimize project cost and diversion operation so that the project benefits of land loss prevention could be realized while minimizing flooding and navigation impacts. Also assisted in the preliminary design of project features, including diversion channel dimensions, bed protection, and dredging extents. Determined the optimal diversion channel geometry, established control structure elevations and operational regime, and developed conceptual design of navigation structures based on a detailed navigation assessment.				
08/2016-08/20	19 New Orleans Mu Coastal engineer system. Determin using a Business	nicipal Yacht Harbor Reh r who performed and supe ed offshore extreme and o model. Performed hydrolo	nabilitation, New O rvised the developm perational wave con gic modification imp	rleans, LA. ent of coastal and environmental design criteria for a floating dock ditions and simulated their propagation and transmission into the act assessment to obtain relevant coastal use permits.	: harbor	

11/2009-12/2016	San Francisco and Sausalito Ferry Terminal Improvements, San Francisco Bay, CA.
	Coastal engineer who developed MIKE-21 SW wind-wave model of the San Francisco Bay for determining extreme wave loads
	impacting the proposed new San Francisco and Sausalito ferry terminal boarding floats. As part of the modeling effort, a comprehensive
	extreme analysis of all wind measurements near the project area was performed to determine the most appropriate for use in the wave-
	generation modeling. Once the design wave conditions were determined, the floating body hydrodynamics modeling software ANSYS-
	AQWA was used to determine extreme mooring loads for design of float mooring piles. An innovative, MATLAB-based tool was
	developed to extract time-dependent wave-induced pressures from the AQWA results for direct use as load cases in structural modeling
	software, allowing more precise design of float structural components. An additional methodology was developed to calculate the wave
	induced pressure at float elements above the still water level which are not represented in AQWA.
10/2018-01/2019	Tensaw Bridge Replacement – Seawall Design, Mobile, AL.
	<b>Coastal engineer</b> responsible for determining environmental design criteria for the seawall to protect abutments for the proposed
	Tensaw Bridge replacement. An iterative design process analyzed protection options including a seawall, revetment and hybrid
	approaches.
11/2012-12/2016	Kaneohe Bay Marina Improvement, Marine Corps Base, HI.
	Coastal engineer who developed an ANSYS-AQWA model of the proposed floating wave attenuator for the Kaneohe Bay Marina
	Improvement Project. Analyzed motions and mooring forces under extreme wave loading for input into the mooring pile system design
	process.
10/2012-12/2012	Gibsons Landing Harbour – Modeling and Analysis of Dual Float, Gibsons, British Columbia, Canada.
	Coastal engineer who developed MIKE-21 SW wind-wave generation model of Howe Sound in British Columbia to determine design
	and operational wave conditions at Gibsons Landing Harbour. The wave parameters were then used to develop an ANSYS-AQWA
	model of a proposed berthing float at the harbor to calculate the expected float motions and mooring forces. The expected operability of
	the float was calculated from the motion results based on several operability criteria, and the mooring forces were determined so that the
	pile mooring system could be designed to withstand extreme storm conditions.

20-	Firm Employed by	Moffatt & Nichol	fatt & Nichol				
	Name	me Maged El-Mestkawy		Years of relevant experience with this employer	1		
	Title	Civil Engineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	23		
			PhD / 1998 / Ci	vil/Geotechnical Engineering			
Degree(s) /	Years / Specialization	L	MS / 1992 / Civ	vil/Structural Engineering			
			BS / 1984 / Civ	il/Structural Engineering			
Active regis	tration number / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	ngineer #200399 / MD / 3/2/2025			
Year registe	red 1999	Discipline	Civil Engineer	ing			
Contract rol	e(s) / brief description	n of responsibilities	Vessel impact	loads on mooring and fender structures			
Experience	Experience an	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	sed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gin	ders",		
dates (mm/y	ry– "designed inte	rsection", etc. Exper	ience dates shou	ld cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable		
mm/yy)	MPR(s).						
04/2010 - 07/2	010 Port Mann Bridg	e, British Columbia MOT	, Vancouver, BC.				
	Senior marine er	igineer for Level III desigr	in check of vessel collision loads on the proposed bridge pylons. Reviewed current and future				
	vessels fleet char	acteristics, passing under	the Port Man Bridge	. Performed independent evaluation of seasonal water level variation	on and		
10/2022 - Ong		tment of Transportation	8 Development (1 L	NOOTD) Underwater Bridge Inspections. Statewide			
10/2022 - Olig	Marine engineer	providing inspections of s	submerged elements performed in accordance with the FHWA. BIRM. AASHTO MBE, current				
	NBIS requirement	s and LADOTD engineering	ing and maintenance directives. Bridge types included movable swing span bridges, bascule				
	bridges, truss brid	ges, timber stringer bridge	es, cable-stayed brid	ges, single and multi-span bridges up to 8 miles in length.			
03/1998 - 01/1	999 Maryland Transi	Administration, Baltimo	ore, MD. Comprehe	nsive inspection of the subway structures.			
	Project engineer	/team leader for the struc	tural inspection of th	e subway including tunnels, stations, ventilation shafts and			
	miscellaneous str	uctures. The scope of wor	k included concrete	and steel sampling, testing, and preparing reports including inspec	tion		
	findings, recomme	endations, repair actions, o	cost estimates, and r	epair prioritization.			
03/1999 - 04/2	000 Biannual Safety	Inspection of On-Service	e Bridges, Cecil and	d Carroll Counties, MD.	1 1		
	inspection team	Inspection team leader of several concrete and steel bridges including field inspection, damage assessment, and reporting to federal,					
01/2009 - 08/2	010 Nunavut - Mary F	River Project Baffinland	Iron Mines Corpor	ation Canada			
01/2003 - 00/2	Manager/lead en	<b>aineer</b> for basic engineeri	na design of iron exi	port terminal in Steensby Inlet Baffinland. Offshore ore loading ber	th		
	Designed access	trestle and wharf deck sla	b, girders, and conci	ete caissons. Evaluated the floating ice impact load and designed	the		
	concrete ice defle	ctor.		5			

	Firm Employed by	Moffatt & Nichol	Ioffatt & Nichol				
	Name	Teodors Ribakovs		Years of relevant experience with this employer	18		
	Title	Coastal Engineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	0		
Degree(s) /	Years / Specialization	1	BS / 2005 / Oce	ean Engineering			
Active regis	tration number / state	/ expiration date					
Year registe	red	Discipline					
Contract rol	e(s) / brief description	n of responsibilities	Vessel impact	loads on mooring and fender structures			
Experience	Experience an	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	osed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gin	rders",		
dates (mm/y	y– ("designed inte	rsection", etc. Exper	ience dates shou	Ild cover the years of experience specified in the appl	licable		
	MPR(s).		<u> </u>				
03/2021-06/20	21 Port Everglades	General Planning Consu	iltant Services, Wo	rk Authorization #2 Cruise Vessel Mooring and Berthing Analy	ysis for		
	Berth 4 Slip 2, F	ort Lauderdale, FL.	a analysis for three	anasifia aruina vasasla at Clin 2. Darth 4 at Dart Evaraladaa Maar	ina		
	analysis was com	n benning and static mooning and static mooning and static mooning the OPTIMO(	OR program develo	specific ciulse vessels at Silp 2, Bertri 4 at Port Everglades. Moor	ing herav		
	calculation to det	ermine the limiting approac	ne the limiting approach velocity allowed for incoming cruise vessels to avoid exceeding the canacity of the existing				
	fender system at	Berth 4. Additionally, calcu	th 4. Additionally, calculated the berthing impact energy required to be absorbed by the fender system from PIANC's				
	"Guidelines for th	e Design of Fender System	ms 2002" for the largest draft and displacement cruise vessel at the loaded draft. The				
	feasibility of acco	mmodating the three speci	ific cruise vessels at	Slip 2 (Berth 4) was assessed by completing a static mooring ana	lysis,		
	which examined e	each cruise vessel in a por	tside side-to and a s	tarboard side-to configuration. Responsible for recommended Ber	th 4		
	upgrades, which	included the replacement of	of ten bollards as we	Il as two new high wind bollards and the addition of ten new fende	rs,		
	which spans the l	ength of anticipated paralle	el midbody contact v	vith new vessels. Oversaw the preparation of the Class 5 cost esti	mate.		
03/2018-08/20	18 ENLINK – Floati	ng Dock Facility Evaluati	on, Geismar, LA.				
	Marine lead for a	mooring/berthing analysis	and structural evalu	uation for the floating dock facility on the Mississippi River in Geisn	nar.		
	Project establishe	ed limitations in approach v	elocity, such that the	e capacity of the support piles of the floating dock is not exceeded			
	Berthing analyses	s were to be conducted for	an LPG tanker and	two rafted 25,000 BPD barges, including the accompanying tug.			
10/2016-02/20	18 TxDOT Galvesto	on-Bolivar Ferry Landing	Repairs, Galvestor	n-Bolivar, TX.			
	Mooring-lead in	charge of performing berth	ing energy calculation	ons related to the design of dolphins which accommodate Bolivar f	erry		
	landings. The cor	tract included line items to	repair and replace	existing ferry landing ramps, landing towers, landing a-frames, wo	rk		
	docks, monopiles	, marine fenders, wing wal	is, outer dolphins, g	angways, navigation lights, retro-reflective material, paint, rust rem	ioval,		
		s for fender systems	grade the presents		L		

11/2011-06/2012	Lake Charles Refinery Dock 1 and 2 Rehabilitation, Lake Charles, LA.
	Marine lead for marine engineering services to repair Docks 1 & 2 at ConocoPhillips' Lake Charles Refinery Westlake Marine Terminal.
	The project includes an analysis of the existing and new structures and the berthing and mooring capabilities. Studies included marine
	loading arm optimization, pipework optimization, and 100-year flood events, considering waves and sea-level rise. Moffatt & Nichol also
	developed working envelopes to ensure gangway access for barge personnel and provide miscellaneous mechanical and electrical
	design.
08/2016-Ongoing	Venture Global, Plaquemines Parish, LA.
	Marine engineer working with the owner for engineering, procurement, and construction (EPC) level design of an LNG facility in
	Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana. The work included supporting the development of marine facilities basis on design, MetOcean study,
	storm surge study, vessel maneuvering and navigation study, development of material offloading facilities, development of LNG marine
	facilities layout, preliminary structural design, and specifications.
01/2014-01/2015	American Styrenics, Deep Draft Dock Along Mississippi River.
	Marine lead for developing a new deep draft dock that accommodates chemical tankers and barges. Duties include the development of
	a new layout to accommodate the design range of vessels and barges, providing specifications for the fender system, which must
	accommodate ranging river level elevations of the Mississippi River, providing specifications for marine loading arms, oversight of
	mooring analyses to ensure layout satisfies OCIMF and PIANC recommendations.

Firm Employed by		Pelican Marine Des	ign, LLC			
Nar	ne	William D. Scherer, PE		Years of relevant experience with this employer 10		
Titl	e	Managing Partner		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	20	
Degree(s) / Year	s / Specialization	l	B.S. / 1993 / Na	aval Architecture & Marine Engineering /		
Active registration	on number / state	/ expiration date	Professional Er	igineer #30117 / LA / 9/30/2024		
Year registered	2002	Discipline	Naval Architec	ture & Marine Engineering		
Contract role(s) /	brief description	1 of responsibilities	Naval Archite	ct, Project Manager		
Experience	Experience and	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	sed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gir	ders",	
dates (mm/yy–	lates (mm/yy– "designed intersection", etc. Experience dates should cover the years of experience specified in the applic					
mm/yy)	MPR(s).					
02/13 - Present	Pelican Marine D Managing Partne Developed propos site support at fac Inspected barges, project scope and requisite certificat Performed intact a including: general Federal Regulatio analyzed extensiv like bitts, chocks, proper selection 8 ramp for dump tru	esign, LLC   St. Rose, Li er & Principal Naval Arch sals and cost estimates for ilities, shipyards and onbo ferries, pushboats, tugs a path. Routinely liaised w es. Performed inclining es and damage stability analy arrangements, lines plans ons, ABS and other classifi re structural modifications kevels and winches. Performed s ick access to a large mate	A nitect r numerous repair ar pard vessels with travand offshore supply v ith ABS, USCG and kperiments and dead reses on river ferries, s, piping diagrams, s ication societies. De to deck and tank ba ormed equipment si structural analysis of trial barge in Baton F	Ind modifications projects of riverine and offshore vessels. Provided vel primarily in Louisiana, Florida, Texas, Washington & California. vessels. Attended client meetings and provided guidance and advi- other classification societies to obtain drawing and stability approv dweight surveys on river ferries, barges and offshore supply vessel barges and offshore supply vessels. Developed engineering draw structural arrangements, etc. to meet the requirements of the US C eveloped weight estimates and material take-off lists. Designed an rges for mission systems. Designed installations of deck outfitting zing, selection and specification. Interacted with equipment vendo wheel loading on several deck barges. Designed and analyzed a Rouge, LA.	l on- ice on als and ls. <i>r</i> ings ode of d items rs for 45 ft	
09/13 – 12/13	M/V Pointe-A-La- Managing Partne shipyard on the M necessary submit drawings and doc USCG representa tank arrangement damaged stability analysis for subm	Hache   Harvey, LA   Pla that provided necessary V POINTE A LA HACHE tals to the USCG Marine S umentation so Pelican ger tive to take measurements drawing. Pelican perform analysis. Pelican also cre ittal to USCG Marine Safe	quemines Parish submittals to the US (150'x60'x10' ferry of Safety Center so that nerated various drav s of the hull. These ned an inclining expe- eated the stability ter- sty Center.	SCG Marine Safety Center for the modifications performed by the owned by Plaquemines Parish, LA). Pelican was retained to complet an updated stability letter could be obtained. The vessel had min vings so that the submittal could be completed. He worked with the measurements were used to create a hull lines plan, outboard pro- eriment to the satisfaction of the USCG and later performed the inta- st procedures, stability test report and intact and damaged stability	lete imal e local file and act and	

07/16 – 11/16	Center Point Barge Conversion   Port Allen, LA   Center Point Terminal Company Managing Partner who provided engineering support for the conversion of deck barge LOCKWOOD 2001 (200'-0 x 48'-0 x 12'-0") to a terminal dock barge. He translated the client's overall desired design parameters into a preliminary and then final design which included a 26 ft extension to the candidate deck barge among other items. Work primarily included: on site survey of both the existing terminal and the candidate deck barges, participation in project meetings at the client's office in Port Allen, structural design and calculations for the 26 ft stern extension, two small hose handling crane foundations, shell reinforcement for new fenders, various deck foundations, pipe supports, a deckhouse and special bow and stern piling brackets. He also engaged in configuration control of the design with the client's drafting contractor and provided production support Q&A for the shipyard where the conversion took place.
10/93 – 09/07	Northrop Grumman Ship Systems – Avondale Shipyard, New Orleans Ship Design Manager department manager and naval architect, managed design development of complex and large commercial and military vessels. Performed standard 'design spiral' evolutions in ship designs from initial concept to preliminary, then continued into contract then detail design and carried all the way to delivery and sea trials. Managed a department of 25 personnel, responsible for technical content of deliverables as well as schedule and manhours.
10/07 – 08/11	Elliott Bay Design Group, New Orleans Project Manager & Sr. Naval Architect Routinely interacted with shipyards, vessel operators and vendors to execute vessel projects up to \$1.6M in engineering fees. Responsible for schedule and budget on assigned projects. Project manager and lead naval architect for sponsoning project for the Crowley deck barge Julie B. (ex. 455-2). Managed structural and stability calculations and drawing generation and submittals for American Bureau of Shipping (ABS) review on behalf of the USCG. Also managed lofting and modeling of steel parts to be cut and assembled by shipyard. Interacted with client, ABS and shipyard. Approximate project cost \$250k in engineering fees. Also inspected several inland deck barges being used for British Petroleum (BP) well remediation efforts in the Atchafalaya Basin. Executed structural and stability checks for same barges.
08/11 – 02/13	Resolve Engineering Group, New Orleans Project Manager & Sr. Naval Architect Routinely interacted with shipyards, vessel operators and vendors to execute inland and ocean- going vessel projects. Responsible for schedule and budget on assigned projects. Executed structural & stability calculations for several inland deck barges, passenger vessels and offshore supply vessels.

	Firm Employed by	Pelican Marine Des	ign, LLC						
	Name	<b>Brandon Taravella</b>	, PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer	15				
	Title	Managing Partner	_	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	9				
			Ph.D., / 2009 /E	Engineering & Appl Sci (NA&ME)					
			M. S. / 2005/ Ei	ngineering (NA&ME)					
Degree(s) /	Years / Specialization	1	B.S. / 2003 /Na	val Architecture & Marine Engineering (NA&ME)					
Active regis	tration number / state	e / expiration date	Professional En	gineer #32494   Louisiana   9/30/2024					
Year registe	red 2006	Discipline	Naval Architect	ure & Marine Engineering					
Contract rol	e(s) / brief description	n of responsibilities	Naval Architec	et					
Experience	Experience an	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	sed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gin	ders",				
dates (mm/y	y– "designed inte	ersection", etc. Exper	ience dates shou	ld cover the years of experience specified in the appl	icable				
mm/yy)	MPR(s).								
	Pelican Marine	Design   St. Rose							
	Managing Partne	er & Principal Naval Arch	itect						
	Represented Boll	inger Quick Repair for mul	tiple State of LA and	Plaquemines Parish terry modifications. Reviewed engineering	<b>.</b>				
	drawings and call	culations for the USCG Ma	Irine Safety Center. H	Performed inclining experiments and deadweight surveys on river i	erries				
	and inland pusho Derformed integt	oals. worked with local Us	S Coast Guard repre	sentatives to obtain Certificates of inspection for mand river terme	S.				
	Provided structure	anu/or uarriage stability arr	avings and numping	nlans necessary to dry dock various vessel types including inland	hardes				
03/08-Prese	and pushboats C	reated engineering drawin	as including: genera	Larrangements lines plans piping diagrams electrical diagrams	safetv				
	diagrams etc. to	meet requirements of the I	US Code of Federal	Regulations Completed steering system calculations including size	zina				
	hvdraulic system	components and rudder st	ructural components	for ferries and inland pushboats. Performed structural calculation	is to				
	ABS standards for	or inland river ferries, inland	bushboats and bar	pes Designed structural modifications to existing engine/gear					
	foundations to ac	foundations to accept new engines/gears for vessel repower (ferries and pushboats). Executed design of several small inland deck							
	barges built in LA	barges built in LA and FL.							
	M/V Pointe-A-La	-Hache   Harvey, LA   Pla	quemines Parish						
	Managing Partne	er that provided necessary	submittals to the US	SCG Marine Safety Center for the modifications performed by the					
	shipyard on the M	shipyard on the M/V POINTE A LA HACHE (150'x60'x10' ferry owned by Plaquemines Parish, LA). Pelican was retained to complete							
	necessary submit	tals to the USCG Marine S	Safety Center so that	an updated stability letter could be obtained. The vessel had min	imal				
09/13 – 12/	13 drawings and doc	cumentation so Pelican ger	nerated various draw	ings so that the submittal could be completed. He worked with the	3 IOCAI				
	tank arrangement	t drawing Pelican perform	s of the null. These i bed an inclining expe	riment to the satisfaction of the USCG and later performed the inte	act and				
	damaged stability	analysis Pelican also cre	eated the stability tes	t procedures, stability test report and intact and damaged stability					
	analysis for subm	ittal to USCG Marine Safe	ty Center.						
			-						

04/18 – 04/18	Landing Barge 304-004 Modifications   Harvey, LA   State of Louisiana Managing Partner for the modifications performed by the shipyard on ferry Landing Barge 304-004 (owned by the State of Louisiana). Provided engineering calculations and drawings for the structural and electrical modifications.
03/13 – 03/13	<b>M/V Belle Chasse II   Harvey, LA   Plaquemines Parish</b> Managing Partner for the intact and damaged stability analysis required for the modifications to the M/V Belle Chasse II ferry (144'x55'x8' ferry owned by Plaquemines Parish, LA). These modifications included the addition of two potable water tanks. Pelican Marine Design, LLC was contracted to perform an intact and damaged stability analysis and submit the stability report to the USCG Marine Safety Center so that a Stability Letter could be obtained. Pelican Marine Design, LLC performed the analysis and submitted the report.
05/01 – 03/08	Northrop Grumman Ship Systems – Avondale Shipyard, New Orleans Naval Architecture Supervisor supervised design development of complex and large commercial and military vessels. Performed standard 'design spiral' evolutions in ship designs from initial concept to preliminary, then continued into contract then detail design and carried all the way to delivery and sea trials. Supervised a group of 12 personnel, responsible for stability calculations, weight estimating and structural calculations.

Firm	n Employed by	by Urban Systems, Inc.				
Nam	ie	Alison C. Michel, I PTP, RSP <sub>2i</sub>	PE, PTOE,	Years of relevant experience with this employer	21	
Title	2	President/Transporta	ation Engineer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	3	
Degree(s) / Years	/ Specialization	l	BS / 1997 / Civ	il Engineering		
Active registratio	n number / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	gineer #30261 / LA / 3/31/2025		
			Professional Tra	affic Operations Engineer #1023 / LA / 11/06/2023		
			Professional Tra	ansportation Planner #626 / LA / 11/20/2023		
			Road Safety Pro	ofessional #115 / LA / 12/21/2024		
Year registered	2002	Discipline	Professional in	Charge of Traffic Engineering Tasks		
Contract role(s) /	brief description	n of responsibilities	Traffic Engine	ering/Design Analysis, and TMPs		
Experience	Experience and	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	sed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gir	ders",	
dates (mm/yy-	"designed intersection", etc. Experience dates should cover the years of experience specified in the applicable					
mm/yy)	MPR(s).					
04/09 -06/12	Sangani Bouleva Signal, Striping/S control devices pla project in D'Ibervil business owners.	Sangani Boulevard Widening   City of D'Iberville   Seymour Engineering Signal, Striping/Signage: Ms. Michel designed prepared traffic signal design/modification plans, striping and signage plans, traffic control devices plan for the sequence of construction and prepared a construction cost estimate for the Sangani Boulevard Widening project in D'Iberville, MS. Ms. Michel assisted with coordination between multiple stakeholders which included the City, MDOT and the business owners. Special attention was given to maintain access to businesses during the various phases of construction.				
02/10 -07/10	LPV 16.2 Bonnal TCDP: Ms. Miche Parish, LA. Plans bypass ramp; and details for floodwa Boulevard. The p changes to the tra	bel Boulevard Floodgate I designed the traffic contr included: haul routes, byp I diverting northbound traff all construction diverting Bo lans met US Army Corps of fific control plan and/or at	Jefferson Parish, rol devices plans for pass for the ramp tie fic to Bonnabel south onnabel northbound of Engineers, Jeffers thirty (30) day interva	LA   US Army Corps of Engineers construction of the LPV 16.2 Bonnabel Blvd. Floodgate in Jefferso in to Bonnabel; diverting Bonnabel southbound traffic to the tempo bound travel lanes. Plan changes due to unforeseen conditions in and southbound traffic to the temporary roadway and closing Bonn on Parish and MUTCD standards. Inspections were conducted af als.	n orary ncluded nabel fter any	
03/11-05/13	Huey P. Long Br TCDP: The contra the flow of traffic of The TCDPs also i	idge Widening - (West & actor for the Huey P. Long during required closures. I ncluded the design of a tra	Eastbank Approac Widening in Jefferso Ms. Michel prepared affic signal plan for th	hes and Main Bridge Deck)  Jefferson Parish, LA   Kiewit Mas on Parish, LA brought on USI about half-way into construction to in traffic control devices plans (TCDP) for multiple phases of constru- ne installation of temporary signal heads to control lane shifts.	<b>sman</b> nprove uction.	

01/09 -04/12	East Route of the Thibodaux East-West Connector Stage 0 Feasibility Study   Thibodaux, LA   Duplantis Design Group Traffic Engineering Studies: Ms. Michel was project manager for the traffic study portion of a Stage 0 Feasibility study to evaluate alternative traffic control at the intersection of LA 20 (Canal Boulevard/Jackson Street) at Thompson Place/Back Street to provide access to accommodate a proposed east-west connector road through Thibodaux, LA. The subject intersection is a five-legged intersection formed by Jackson Street, Back Street, Thompson Place, and Canal Boulevard. Volume and intersection control data were analyzed using HCS+ software for the unsignalized and signalized intersections and SIDRA software for roundabouts to generate Level of Service and delay estimates for each location. Intersection geometry was modeled using VISSIM software to determine the expected operation. Roundabouts were analyzed using SIDRA software.
01/12 - 04/12	I-12 to Bush Environmental Impact Statement Route LA 3241, St. Tammany Parish, LA, Tetra Tech, Inc. Environmental Study: Ms. Michel was the project manager for the traffic study associated with the I-12 to Bush Environmental Study. She led the team that conducted field investigations on existing routes to document existing conditions, note traffic patterns and traffic control devices, identify any existing safety concerns, and examine potential tie-in points for the new alignment.

6	Firm	Employed by	by Urban Systems, Inc.					
	Nam	e	Nicole H. Stewart,	PE, PTOE	Years of relevant experience with this employer	17		
PR B	Title		VP / Transportation	Engineer	Engineer Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)			
Degree(s) /	Years	/ Specialization	l	BS / 2004 / Civ	il Engineering			
Active regis	stration	n number / state	/ expiration date	Professional En	gineering #34750 / LA / 9/30/2023			
Year registe	red	2012	Discipline	Professional Tr	affic Operation Engineering			
Contract rol	e(s)/1	brief description	n of responsibilities	Traffic Engine	ering/Design Analysis. and TMPs			
Experience		Experience an	d qualifications relev	ant to the propo	sed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed gin	ders",		
dates (mm/y	/у-	"designed intersection", etc. Experience dates should cover the years of experience specified in the applic						
mm/yy)		MPR(s).						
04/10-03/1	<b>TCDP:</b> Ms. Stewart was the project manager for this project which involved designing traffic control devices plans for the I-10 Highway Crossing Levee Enlargement project at Irish Bayou Road in New Orleans East. The plans included multiple and phased road closures o a six (6) lane section of Interstate 10 including nighttime closures. In addition to managing the project, she was responsible for QA-QC.					iway ures of \-QC.		
06/11-03/1	2	Southeast Louisiana Urban Flood Control Project Improvements To Two-Mile Canal (Patriot Street Canal), Phase I, Barataria Blvd To First Avenue Canal, Jefferson Parish, LA, Circle Construction, LLC. TCDP: Ms. Stewart designed the Traffic Control Devices Plans for the improvements to the Two Mile Canal. These plans included traffic closure details, signage, flagmen, and haul routes. Ms. Stewart conducted inspections throughout construction to confirm compliance with the plans that had been approved by Jefferson Parish.						
09/11-02/1	2	Williams Bouleva TCDP: The design Boulevard at the I MUTCD Standard	<b>Villiams Boulevard Floodgate, Kenner, LA, Boh Bros Construction Company, LLC</b> <b>CDP</b> : The design of Traffic Control devices Plans to include haul routes were prepared for the two phased closure of Williams Boulevard at the Lake Pontchartrain Levee Floodgate by Ms. Stewart. The plans were prepared in accordance with Jefferson Parish and MUTCD Standards. Once the plan was implemented MS. Stewart conducted inspections					
09/10-08/1	1	MacArthur Interc Design Engineer Traffic Operation Expressway was neighboring inters Devices Plans for	change Signal Modification ring, Inc. Ins Analysis: The traffic stup prepared by Ms. Stewart. Sections. She prepared the the various stages of constructions.	on/ Signage & Strip udy to evaluate the e In the Design Phase striping and signage struction.	ing / Traffic Control Devices Plans Harvey, LA, existing and projected operating conditions of the lower Westbank e, Ms. Stewart designed the new traffic signals for the interchange e plans to accommodate the ramp changes and prepared Traffic C	and Control		

05/18-04/19	TMP for I-10: West of 108 to I-210 Interchange: Rubblize and Overlay TMP:As the lead engineer for this Traffic Management Plan, Ms. Stewart was responsible for the preparation of the safety analysis. She conducted the analysis per the guidelines set forth by LADOTD in <i>Guidelines for Crash Data Analysis</i> for this TMP in Lake Charles, LA. She conducted queue analysis to identify when lane closures would be permitted, identified the construction impact area and reviewed crash data for more than 350 collisions. Ms. Stewart identified trends and calculated crash rates and determined that the section of I-10
	that was going to be rubblized had a crash rate that was higher than the statewide average and required mitigation.
04/10-07/11	Lakefront Airport T-Walls Reach LPV 105.01, New Orleans, LA, Quality Enterprises USA Inc. TCDP: Following Hurricane Katrina, USACE led a series of projects to reconstruct the T-walls and to strengthen and raise the levee system adjacent to the Lakefront Airport in Eastern New Orleans. Ms. Stewart was the engineer responsible for the traffic control devices' plans to ensure that the contractor could safely work adjacent to motorists while maintaining twenty-four-hour daily access to the Lakefront Airport. Ms. Stewart inspected the traffic control devices monthly and reported back to the contractor any deficiencies requiring corrective action.
10/12-05/14	North Terminal Louis Armstrong New Orleans International Airport, Jefferson Parish, LA, Atkins North America, Inc. Signage and striping: Ms. Stewart was the lead engineer for the design of the signage and striping plans for the landside roadways. The plans were designed in accordance with the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control devices and LADOTD standards. Ms. Stewart also prepared specifications and a cost estimate for all of the striping and signage items.

	Firm Employed by	Urban Systems, Inc.			
	Name	Christine M. Darra	ah, PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer	8
7/LAK	Title	Transportation Engin	neer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	20
Degree(s) / Y	ears / Specialization	n	BS / 1994 / Civ	il Engineering	
Active regist	ration number / state	e / expiration date	Professional En	gineer #25828 / LA / 9/30/2023	
Year register	ed	Discipline	Professional Ci	vil Engineering	
Contract role	e(s) / brief descriptio	n of responsibilities	Transportation	n Engineer / Design Analysis and QA/QC	
Experience	Experience an	nd qualifications relev	ant to the propo	sed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed gin	rders",
dates (mm/yy	y– "designed into	ersection", etc. Exper	ience dates shou	ld cover the years of experience specified in the appl	licable
mm/yy)	MPR(s).				
03/14-preser	nt Transmission L	ine Reconductoring Proje	ects, New Orleans,	LA, Entergy	
	TCDP: Ms. Darra	ah designed numerous Traf	fic Control Devices I	Plans for over 100 miles of transmission line replacement to meet	US
	Army Corps of E	ngineers, LADOTD, parish	and MUTCD standa	rds. The plans and specifications included, but were not limited to	, the
	proper placemen	t of temporary Traffic Contr	ol Devices (signs, b	arricades, and drums, etc.) for city street, highway and interstate c	losures
		and oversized equipment and relling	sately and efficiently	through the traffic control zones. Interstate projects included lane	
	work on state rou	itent full closures and folling	g closures of the inte	isiale system. Ms. Darran assisted Entergy with permit preparatio	
06/22-10/22	Kansas City So	uthern KCS Acadian Thru	way Fast Baton R	nuge Parish Russell Marine 11 C	
	TCDP: This proje	ect included lane closures a	and full closure of Ac	adian Thruway at the KCS bridge near the I-10 interchange in Eas	st Baton
	Rouge Parish. N	Is. Darrah prepared the Tra	affic Control Devices	Plans applying MUTCD and LADOTD standards for proper place	ment of
	traffic control dev	vices to facilitate traffic safe	ly and efficiently thro	ough the traffic control zone. Additional project efforts included des	signing
	lane closures on	I-10 onramp for laydown ad	ccess and police-cor	ntrolled haul routes.	
03/21-04/21	I I-610 Transmiss	ion Line Crossing at Frer	nchman, New Orlea	ns, LA, Entergy Services, Inc.	
	TCDP: Ms. Darra	ah was the Project Enginee	r for the interstate cl	osure project to assure public safety during overhead transmissior	1 lines
	repairs, this inclu	ded a full closure of both di	rections of I-610 and	d westbound on ramp Elysian Fields Ave, in New Orleans. Ms. Da	rrah
	coordinated the s	Bix hour interstate closure a	nd associated detou	rs with LADOID and City of New Orleans, LA. She designed Tra	ITIC
	Control Devices	Plans applying MUTCD, LA	DUTD and City of N	rew Orleans standards for proper placement of traffic control devic	es
12/1/_00/15		ing of Florida Ave Canal	Dhace II and III No.	w Orleans IA Bob Bros Construction Company IIC	
12/14-03/10	TCDP: Ms Darra	ah designed Traffic Control	Devices Plans for th	e widening of the Florida Ave. Canal and several surrounding stre	ets
	The design met l	JS Army Corps of Engineer	s. LADOTD and MU	TCD standards. The plans included multiple traffic control zones	along
	Florida Ave and	n the surrounding neighbor	hood. Detour routes	s were selected, and signage installed to direct motorists on Florid	a Ave
	in a single directi	on and around the associat	ted closures. Haul ro	outes were also designated.	

Firm name	Hardesty & Hanover, LLC P				Past	Performar	ice Eval	uation Discipline(s)*	Bridge	
Project name	Keller Ferry North & South Terminal & Pontoo				on Replac	ement	Firm responsibility (pr	rime or sub?)	Prime	
Project number	N.	Y-11702		Owner's na	ame	Washing	gton Stat	te DOT – Ferries Divisio	on	
Project location		Keller, WA					Owner	's Project Manager	Becky Span	ngle
Owner's address	, pł	none, email	2901 3 <sup>r</sup>	<sup>d</sup> Ave. Ste. 5	500, Seattle,	WA 9812	1   (20	6) 464-6400   <u>spangleb</u>	@wsdot.wa.g	<u>ov</u>
Services comme	nce	d by this firm	(mm/yy)	05/18	Total cons	ultant con	tract cos	st (\$1,000's)	\$ 1	88
Services comple	ted	by this firm	(mm/yy)	12/19	Cost of co	nsultant se	ervices p	provided by this firm (\$1	,000's) \$1	88

After Washington State Ferries discovered significant corrosion on the Keller Ferry Landing floating pontoons, it was determined that the landings needed to be replaced. Hardesty & Hanover was selected to evaluate the condition of the existing mechanical and electrical systems for possible relocation and re-use with the replacement float terminal and ramp structures.

Hardesty & Hanover prepared a full mechanical and electrical system relocation PS&E for the replacement floating structures. These systems included the land and ferry transfer span winch and roller support systems, the floating pontoon winch location/anchorage system, the vessel nose guidance system and all associated electrical power (shore power feed and power distribution) design and the associated control systems design.

Plans, specifications, and estimates were all prepared in accordance with WS Ferries requirements. Detailed mechanical/electrical equipment system configurations and mountings to the new floating pontoon structure were developed and coordinated with the Washington State Ferries design team. Worn or failing machinery components were evaluated and replaced or upgraded as required.

Key members: Steve Mikucki, PE; Chris Svara, PE; Alec Noble, PE; Frank Marzella, PE; James, Gentile, PE



Firm name	Hardesty & Hanover, LLC				Performan	ce Eva	luation Discipline(s)*	Bridge	
Project name	Fisher Island Ferry Landing Ramps Replacement						Firm responsibility (pr	ime or sub?)	Prime
Project number	Owner's name				Fisher Is	land Co	ommunity Association, l	Inc.	
Project location	Miami, FL					Owne	r's Project Manager	Michael P	osey
Owner's address, phone, email 42100 Fisher			isher Island	Dr. Miami I	Beach, FL	33109	(305) 535-6000  mpose	ey@fisherisla	andfica.com
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy)			07/21	Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)			\$ ·	404	
Services complet	ed by this firm	(mm/yy)	Ongoing	Cost of cor	nsultant se	rvices	provided by this firm (\$1	1,000's) \$	404

Hardesty & Hanover is designing a replacement for two ferry landing ramps on Fisher Island, Miami-Dade County, FL. This upscale residential community is accessible only via ferry, and as such, these ramp structures play an important role in connecting Fisher Island to the surrounding Miami Beach area.

Following an in-depth structural, mechanical, and electrical inspection of the ramps, H&H recommended a course of action for rehabilitation and replacement of the older, cable-pulley ramps. The new ramps will operate hydraulically, decreasing the burden of maintenance. In addition, the new ramps will be rated for AASHTO HS20, which the old ramps are not. This improvement ensures increased strength and reliability, both of which are crucial for these ramps, which run continuously all day. Due to the constraints of the location, the new ramps will be similar in appearance to the existing ramps. H&H's scope of services also included design for the mechanical and electrical systems of these ramps, as well as construction support services including but not limited to shop drawing review, RFI responses, field visits, shop inspections, and tests.



Key members: Steve Mikucki, PE; Alec Noble, PE; Tim Noles, PE; Roberto Viciedo, PE; James Gentile, PE; Travis Kimmins, PE; Andrew Barthle, PE

Firm name	Ha	ardesty & Han	over, LLC		Pas	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)*			Bridge	
Project name	St	aten Island Fo	erry:2010	Biennial Tr	ansfer Br	idge Inspe	ctions	Firm responsibility (pr	rime or sub?	) Sub
Project number				Owner's na	ame	New Yo	rk City	DOT		
Project location		Staten Island	and Manh	attan, New `	York Owner's Project Manager				Earl Bain	n
Owner's address	, pł	none, email	16 Cour	t St. #1620,	Brooklyn,	NY 11241		(646) 892-1350	ebaim(a	dot.nyc.gov
Services commen	nce	d by this firm	(mm/yy)	01/10	Total con	sultant con	tract co	ost (\$1,000's)	:	5 N/A
Services complet	ted	by this firm	(mm/yy)	06/11	Cost of consultant services provided by this firm (\$1,000's) \$			\$ 131		

Hardesty & Hanover, as a sub-consultant to McLaren Engineering Group, performed the mechanical and electrical inspection and developed the condition reports for the seven ferry slip terminals that accommodate the Staten Island Ferries, connecting Staten Island to Lower Manhattan. Our role in the project started in 2011 and ended in 2012. Three ferry slips are located on Manhattan (Whitehall Terminal) and four ferry slips are located on the Staten Island side (St. George Terminal). Each ferry slip consists of a Lower Bridge to moor the ship and transfer authorized vehicular traffic to the lower deck of the ferry, and two Upper Bridges to transfer pedestrian traffic from the waiting area of the terminal to the upper deck of the ship.

The operating machinery for each bridge consists of a complex system of operating ropes, sheaves and gear driven machinery located at the gallows tower of the ferry terminals. The bridges are connected to counterbalance weights that travel within enclosed tower legs used to support the gallows tower. The system has several safety features in case certain critical machinery components fail, including brakes, self-locking gears (worm gearing), and safety chains.



Each bridge is equipped with a separate drum controller for operation. The bridge systems are electrically interlocked, such that the lower range of motion of the upper bridges cannot interfere with the Lower Bridge in the upmost position. The bridge and counterweight positions are also monitored by several limit switches located at the bridges, as well as the counterweight guide rails. All systems are automated such that the bridges will raise due to the 6 ft +/- tidal change in NY harbor. A float that travels up and down within a tube extending down into the waterway measures the tide elevation and positions the bridges so the lower bridge is not submerged in water if unattended.

Key members: Steve Mikucki PE; Alec Noble PE; Milos Kivich PE; Robert Plocica PE

#### **17. Firm Experience:**

Firm name	Hardesty & Hano	ver, LLC		Past 1	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)* Bridge				
Project name	Southport and I	Fort Fishe	er Ferry Ra	mp Rehabil	litation		Firm responsibility (pr	rime or sub?)	Prime
Project number	7000018555		Owner's na	ame	North Ca	arolina	Department of Transport	rtation	
Project location	Southport, NO	$\mathcal{C}$				Owne	r's Project Manager	Tim Sherr	ill, PE
Owner's address	, phone, email	1000 B	irch Ridge D	Dr, Raleigh, I	NC 27699	919.	707.6423   tsherrill@nce	<u>dot.gov</u>	
Services comme	mmenced by this firm (mm/yy) 11/17				ultant con	tract co	st (\$1,000's)	\$ 1	202
Services comple	es completed by this firm (mm/yy) 07/21 C				nsultant se	rvices	provided by this firm (\$	1,000's) \$1	202

The North Carolina Department of Transportation's Ferry Division enlisted H&H to conduct a structural, mechanical, and electrical overview inspection of all 16 assets under the Ferry Division's purview. The purpose was to familiarize the team with the current configuration of the various NCDOT ferry division assets in advance of preparing a detailed life cycle cost analysis for each of the asset locations.

Three distinct options were studied in an effort to help the NCDOT determine the most costeffective path forward for their ferry division assets. Comprehensive estimates were developed to aid the NCDOT in determining an annual operating budget that takes into account the maintenance, rehabilitation, replacement, dolphins, and dredging associated with those efforts required to keep a robust and active ferry system operating in a safe and reliable manner for the traveling public. Furthermore, the life cycle analysis calculations prepared for the study took into account items such as annual inflation and future wear and tear to more accurately represent operating costs on an annual basis.

Given that short-term funding for the retrofit and/or replacement of the various ferry ramps



H&H was then contracted by the NCDOT to perform the rehabilitation design of the of the first two priority structures (Southport and Fort Fisher Ferry Ramps). The design focused on simplified and streamlined structural, mechanical, and electrical details that would provide reliable operation while decreasing the Ferry Division's annual maintenance costs. Innovative cylinder and float mechanism details allowed the elimination of the high maintenance existing counterweight system. A new lift bent crossbeam and structural modifications to the lift bent improved the structural integrity while enabling the bent to behave as a structural frame. This allowed for removal of the existing counterweights without the need for additional framing or support piles. Access platform modifications allowed for safe access by maintenance personnel to the new mechanical and electrical equipment. Construction support services included shop drawing reviews, providing responses to RFI's, review of CPM schedules, witnessing shop testing, and field support including field testing.

Key members: Tim Noles, PE; James Gentile, PE; Frank Marzella, PE; Alex Noble, PE



Firm name	Hardesty & Hand	over, LLC		Past 1	Performan	ice Eva	luation Di	iscipline(s)*	Bridge	
Project name	Hatteras Island	l Ferry Ra	imps				Firm res	ponsibility (pr	rime or sub?	) Prime
Project number	7000013553		Owner's na	ame	North Ca	arolina	Departme	ent of Transpo	rtation	
Project location	Hatteras Islan	nd, NC				Owne	r's Projec	t Manager	Dan Mul	ler
Owner's address	, phone, email	310 Nev	w Bern Ave.	Raleigh, N	C 27601	919.7	07.6421	Dan.muller@	dot.gov	
Services comme	nced by this firm	(mm/yy)	12/12	Total const	ultant con	tract co	st (\$1,000	)'s)	9	5 104
Services comple	ervices completed by this firm (mm/yy) 03/15 Co				nsultant se	rvices j	provided l	by this firm (\$	(1,000's)	5 104

Hardesty & Hanover was selected to perform this two-year on-call contract with a oneyear extension for the North Carolina Department of Transportation to provide movable bridge engineering services. Services under this contract include peer review of the Department's inspection practices, rehabilitation design, and construction support.

As a part of an On-Call Contract, H&H performed rehabilitation engineering services for the Hatteras Island Ferry Ramps. Scope of Services included: contract drawings and special project provisions for replacement of the mechanical and electrical systems for each of the three ferry ramps. During the design phase, H&H was able to incorporate several additional features into the design. This provided the client with additional built-in value as well as long term cost savings, which was found through the extended service interval and a more efficient operating system.

H&H assisted NCDOT with compliance shop testing of individual components. This testing was conducted at the contractor's facility to ensure the system component met the special contract provisions. Once components were tested, satisfactorily approval was given to proceed with assembly. A number of the components were shop assembled and tested as a complete assembly to which H&H provided the client with inspection and approval.



During construction, H&H provided engineering inspection as the systems were completed. This service aided in early problem detection as well as compliance with specifications. Once the construction was completed, H&H assisted the Department with final inspection and acceptance testing to verify contract compliance and to place the ramps back in service.

Key members: Tim Noles, PE; Christopher Svara, PE, Don Marinelli, PE

### **17. Firm Experience:**

Firm name	Ardaman & Asso	ociates, In	.C.	Р	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)*   C			Geotech	
Project name	I-20 Mississippi	I-20 Mississippi River Bridge Review					Firm responsibility (pr	rime or sub?)	Prime
Project number	SP No. H.004646 0 H.010603.6 13-372 H.010612.6 20-372	9-L1049 0 9	Owner's na	ame			LADOTD		L
Project location	Madison Paris	sh, LA				Owne	r's Project Manager	Chris Nicl	kel
Owner's address	, phone, email	1201 C	apitol Acces	ss Road,	Baton Rouge,	LA	225.379.1100	Chris.Nick	el@la.gov
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 10/09 Te					onsultant cont	tract co	ost (\$1,000's)	\$	7,326
Services completed by this firm (mm/yy) Ongoing Co					f consultant se	rvices	provided by this firm (\$	1,000's) \$	7,326

Ardaman conducted a geotechnical study to develop a list of technically feasible remedial alternatives to decrease the potential for ground movements to occur at the site of the I-20 Bridge. Movement of the east abutment of the bridge was first realized in 2001 during an inspection. Over the years Mississippi DOT has retained several consultants who have studied the problem, but no viable solution was identified.



Ardaman conducted a comprehensive review of past slope stability evaluations and recommendations. This task was followed by developing a refined geotechnical site characterization plan for the bank/bluff area for further analyses. Drilling operations included obtaining extremely sensitive samples containing prehistoric shear planes from the river via barge and on land, all with extremely



difficult access conditions. The drilling program also included installation of geotechnical instrumentation such as Shape Accelerator Arrays, inclinometers, and vibrating wire piezometers. Engineering analyses performed included seepage and drawdown analyses and both equilibrium and

finite element numerical modeling slope stability analyses.

As part of the project, Ardaman developed a full slope stabilization design and construction remediation strategy and a monitoring program for the bluff instability and ground movements affecting the existing I-20 Mississippi River Bridge.

Ardaman is currently managing a phase of the project which involves upgrading the entire instrumentation communication system. It also includes gathering and continuously monitoring various types of instrumentation data, inspects of the site and monitoring changes in topography by obtaining periodic survey data.

Key members: Megan Bourgeois, PE; Robert Jewell, PE; Dr. Albert Ayenu-Prah, PhD, PE; Robert Rousset, PE; Jim Porter

### **17. Firm Experience:**

Firm name	A	rdaman & Ass	sociates, In	с.	]	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)*				Geotech	
Project name	<b>I-</b>	10 Calcasieu l	River Brid	ge					Firm responsibility (	prime or sub?)	Prime
					-						
Project number	5	SP No. H.0039	31	Owner's na	ame		LADOT	D			
Project location		Calcasieu Pa	rish, LA					Owne	r's Project Manager	Kristy Sr	nith
Owner's address	, pl	none, email	1201	Capitol Acc	cess Ro	ad, B	aton Rou	ge, LA	225.379.1387	Kristy.Sn	ith@la.gov
Services comme	nenced by this firm (mm/yy) 07/21					consi	ultant con	tract co	ost (\$1,000's)	\$	1,695
Services comple	completed by this firm (mm/yy) 01/22 C				Cost c	of cor	nsultant se	rvices j	provided by this firm (	(\$1,000's) \$	1,695

Ardaman conducted a Geotechnical Investigation to provide preliminary field data to be used in the design phase of a project that consists of replacing the existing I-10 Calcasieu River Bridge with a new structure. The proposed alignment of the project is approximately 9 miles in length and includes improvements to I-10 near the I-210 interchange and various other interchanges including entrances, exits and service roads.

Ardaman's scope of work for this phase of the project included drilling and laboratory testing of a total of 37 deep soil borings to depths up to 220 feet below ground surface and 39 ECPTs to depths up to 80 feet below ground surface, most with difficult access. Additionally, 13 electrical resistivity (ER) geophysical survey transects up to 1,100' feet in length were performed along the

alignment producing soil profiles with depths up to 219 feet below ground surface.



A total of 23 of these soil borings were performed while the drill rig was mounted on a barge in water depths up to approximately 40 feet. A total of 4 of these soil borings were completed from a drill rig mounted onto a marsh buggy in shallow water depths with thick marsh grass. A detailed safety plan was developed and adhered to throughout drilling operations. Additionally, coordination and permits with the US Coast Guard was completed in order to gain access to some of the soil boring locations while others (along with the ER surveys) located within LADOTD right-of-way near the interstate had to be coordinated with the local LADOTD district office, LA State Troopers and a certified Traffic Control Contractor.

Laboratory testing which was performed based on LADOTD standards included strength, appropriate classification testing and consolidation testing. Engineering services included supervision of the field program, development of the laboratory testing program, quality control review, development of a geotechnical database and preparation and submittal of a geotechnical data report including soil boring logs, ECPT sounding logs in the LADOTD format and soil profiles.

Key members: Robert Jewell, PE; Megan Bourgeois, PE; Dr. Albert Ayenu-Prah, PhD, PE; Robert Rousset, PE; Jim Porter

Firm name	Ardaman & Ass	ociates, In	с.	Pas	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)*         Geo				
Project name	LA-1 Phases 1 a	nd 2					Firm responsibility	(prime or sub	o?) Prime
Project number	SP No. 700-29- 700-29-0130	0112,	Owner's na	ame	LADO	ΓD	<u> </u>		
Project location	Port Fourchon	to Leevil	le; and Leev	ille to Gol	den	Owne	er's Project Manager	Ching Tsai	(Phase 1)
	Meadow, LA							Timothy N	ickel (Phase 2)
Owner's address	, phone, email	1201 Ca	apitol Access	s Road, Ba	ton Rouge,	LA	225.379.1100	Timothy.Ni	ckel@la.gov
Services comme	nced by this firm (	01/03	Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)\$ 1				\$ 3,400		
Services comple	ted by this firm (	Cost of c	Cost of consultant services provided by this firm (\$1,000's)			\$ 3,400			

The project consisted of the construction of a replacement highway between Port Fourchon and Golden Meadow, Louisiana consisting of 17 miles of elevated roadway with pile supported approaches, low-level bridges and medium-level bridges, two elevated interchanges, and two fixed high-level bridges over navigable waterways. Once completed, the new highway will be almost as long as the Pontchartrain Bridge near New Orleans, generally regarded as the world's longest bridge. Ardaman faced an additional challenge of drilling in the sensitive marsh environment under jurisdiction of LA's Dept. of Natural Resources. This concern was addressed by developing an environmentally sensitive drilling program that included custom designing airboats mounted with drilling equipment.

Ardaman was retained by the LADOTD at the beginning of the project in 2003 and was involved through the end of 2011. The scope of services included:

- Geotechnical field exploration (field reconnaissance, rights of entry, utility location, marsh access, mobilization/demobilization, GPS location/elevation) for Phases 1 and 2; consisting of over 100 borings and CPT soundings
- · Geotechnical laboratory testing services for Phases 1 and 2;
- · Geotechnical design of Phase 1; and
- Pile quality assurance testing and resistance verification services during construction of Phase 1, Consisting of over 400 piles.

In addition to the vast scope of field investigation that included deep borings, shallow borings and ECPT soundings and laboratory testing, the scope of services for this project also included pile foundation design, testing, and inspection services.

Key members: Robert Jewell, PE; Megan Bourgeois, PE; Robert Rousset, PE; Jim Porter





Firm name	A P S Engineerin	ng and Tes	sting, LLC.	Past	Performan	Geotech			
Project name	I-10 Widening L	A 415 to	Essen Lane				Firm responsibility	(prime or sub?)	Sub
Project number	H 004100		Owner's nat	ne	LADO	۲D			
Project location	Baton Rouge.	LA			Lindon	Owne	r's Project Manager	Kristy Smith.	PE
Owner's address	, phone, email	1201 Ca	pitol Access	Road, Ba	on Rouge,	LA	225.379.1016	Kristy.Smith@l	a.gov
Services comme	nced by this firm (1	mm/yy)	09/19	Total con	nsultant con	ntract c	ost (\$1,000's)	\$	N/A
Services comple	rvices completed by this firm (mm/yy) On-going					Cost of consultant services provided by this firm			
					s)				

Geotechnical investigation to provide client with the necessary information for planning and design I-10 widening. APS was tasked through our LADOTD Geotechnical Retainer to drill and sample a total of 52 deep borings staring at the Washington Exit and ending at the LSU lakes. Along with this drilling and sampling, APS will also test for strength and engineering characteristics of the soils. A total of eight over the water borings and 44 land borings will approximate 1000 triaxial compression, unconsolidated drained or undrained (UU) and Atterberg limits.





Key members: Sergio Aviles, PE; Sai Eddanapudi, ME, PE; Surendra Raj Pathak, MS, PE; Eric Bateaste

Firm name	A P S Engineerin	ng and Tes	sting, LLC	Past	Performar	nce Eva	luation Discipline(s)*	Geotech	
Project name	<b>Comite River Di</b>	version <b>B</b>	Bridge at LA	67, LA19,	and LA19	)	Firm responsibility (	prime or sub'	?) Sub
	<b>Railroad Bridge</b>								
Project number	H.001352 and	H.001352 and Owner's na				he Huval & Associates, Inc.			
	H.002273								
Project location	East Baton Ro	uge Parisl	h, LA			Owne	r's Project Manager	Thomas Gat	tle, III, PE
Owner's address	, phone, email	922 We	st Pont Des M	Iouton Roa	ad   337.23	34.3798	mailto:tgattle@huva	lassoc.com	
Services comme	nced by this firm (1	mm/yy)	05/20	Total con	sultant con	ntract co	ost (\$1,000's)		\$ N/A
Services comple	ted by this firm (	Cost of co	onsultant s	ervices	provided by this firm		\$ 115		
				(\$1,000's	)				

Geotechnical engineering to provide client with the necessary information for planning and building of LA 19 RR Bridge, slope stability (embankment), LA 19 Railroad Bridge – embankment /MSE wall settlement/retaining wall, LA 19 Twin Bridges – PPC piles, LA 67 bridge – drilled shafts. All the necessary design will be done. APS no issue as of today. APS also drilled and sampled all the borings for LADOTD through geotechnical retainer and tested in house by APS laboratory.

Key members: Sergio Aviles, PE Sai Eddanapudi, ME, PE Surendra Raj Pathak, MS, PE Eric Bateaste



Firm name	Chustz Surveyi	ng, LLC		Past ]	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)*				
Project name	Mississippi, Ato	chafalaya,	and Red Ri	ver Revetm	ent Surv	eys	Firm responsibility (	prime or sub?)	Prime
Project number	W912P8-20-C	W912P8-20-C-0057 Owner's nan				rleans A	Army Corps of Engine	ers	
Project location	Throughout	the New O	rleans Distri	ct		Owne	r's Project Manager	M. Damien I	French
Owner's address	, phone, email	7400 Le	ake Ave. Ne	ew Orleans,	LA   504	4.862.1	865   Michael.d.frenc	h@usace.army	v.mil
Services comme	nced by this firm	Total const	ultant con	tract co	st (\$1,000's)	\$	1,182		
Services comple	mpleted by this firm (mm/yy) 07/22 0				Cost of consultant services provided by this firm (\$1,000's)			(\$1,000's) \$	1,182

Chustz Surveying (CSI) was tasked to perform the Multibeam Hydrographic Surveys for the Automated Revetment Surveys on the Mississippi, Atchafalaya and Red Rivers including the Old River Control Channels from Mile 326.0 to Mile 0.0 utilizing multibeam hydrographic and real time mobile terrestrial laser scanning survey methods.

CSI developed a strategic work plan to cover as much geographic area as possible deploying multiple survey vessels on all three waterways to efficiently collect the data. Data was collected and transported back to the office on a daily basis for processing, editing, combining and transmittal. A Riegl VZ400 laser scanner, an R2Sonic 2024, an R2Sonic 2022, and our Echoboat unmanned survey drone equipped with a R2Sonic 2020 multibeam echosounder were utilized to perform these tasks, each with its own specialized application.

The hydrographic data was processed by highly trained technicians with the latest version of HYPACK while the laser data is processed with Terrascan. All of the current data is compared to historical data as part of our QA/QC process prior to transmittal.

CSI has extensive experience with multibeam surveying and is confident in our ability to collect the most accurate data, while meeting or exceeding the requirements of the latest version of the USACE New Orleans District Guide for Minimum Survey Standards. All surveys were conducted in accordance with the then current USACE Engineer Manuals.

Members Utilized in this Project Submittal: James H. Chustz, Jr., PLS; Julian A. Chustz, PLS; Mark Huber, CH., Robbie Benoit, Mark Voinche, CST, Craig Villemarette, Blake Conner, Mason Dupre, LSI



Multibeam data revealing scouring along the bank of the Mississippi River

Scope of Work Relevant to the Contract:

HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

Firm name	Cł	nustz Surveying	g, LLC		Pa	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)*			Survey		
Project name	LA	LA 20: LA 304 – LA 307							Firm responsibility (	prime or sub?)	Prime
Project number		H.014728.5		Owner's na	ame		Louisia	na Dep	oartment of Transporta	tion and Devel	opment
Project location		Chackbay, LA						Owne	r's Project Manager	Stan Ard, PL	S
Owner's address	, pł	none, email	1201 Ca	pitol Access	s Rd. Bato	on Re	ouge, LA	A   225	.379.1102   Stanley.ar	d@la.gov	
Services comme	nce	d by this firm (	mm/yy)	03/22	Total co	nsul	tant cont	tract co	st (\$1,000's)	\$	204
Services comple	ted by this firm (mm/yy) 08/22 C				Cost of o	cons	sultant se	rvices	provided by this firm (	(\$1,000's) \$	204

Chustz Surveying was tasked to conduct a comprehensive topographic and hydrographic survey along 5 miles of LA HWY 20 from LA HWY 304 to LA HWY 307. The survey extended from apparent right-of-way to apparent right-of-way with cross sections every 50 feet. All roads, utilities above and underground, including all sewer, water, electric, telephone, television, gas, pipelines, and traffic utilities were part of the survey.

To accomplish this, Chustz deployed multiple crews to the job site to conduct the static GPS Control surveys utilizing Trimble GPS/GNSS receivers. Prior to the GPS survey, LA OneCall was notified and the site was marked. Once control was established, the crew collected the required topographic, hydrographic, and utility data. Hydrographic data was collected from our CEE-USV unmanned single beam survey drone. The crews collected data at and accessed all manholes to acquire shots at all pipe/catch basin inverts along with measuring all pipe dimensions and creating sketches.

Due to heavy traffic, Chustz collected the required road and bridge data with aerial LiDAR from our Reigl Ricopter sUAS equipped with a Reigl VUX-1uav laser scanner. In addition, Chustz collected Aerial Photogrammetry surveys from our SenseFly eBee X survey drone to provide real-time high resolution aerial imagery. Once all data was processed it was then mapped in Microstation InRoads and final deliverables included DGN, DTM, ALG, and ASCII files.

Members Utilized in this Project Submittal: James H. Chustz, Jr., PLS; Julian A. Chustz, PLS; Mark Huber, CH., Robbie Benoit, Mark Voinche, CST, Craig Villemarette, Blake Conner, Mason Dupre, LSI



#### Scope of Work Relevant to the Contract:

- TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS
- HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

Firm name	С	hustz Surveying	g, LLC		I	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)*			Survey		
Project name	L	A 73 Bayou Ma	anchac Bi	ridge					Firm responsibility (	prime or sub?)	Prime
		L									
Project number		H.012563.5 Owner's nar					Louisia	na Dep	partment of Transporta	tion and Devel	opment
Project location		Prairieville, L	А					Owne	r's Project Manager	Eric Lanier, I	PLS
Owner's address	, pł	none, email	1201 Ca	pitol Access	s Rd Ba	ton R	louge, LA	225.3	379.1101   Eric.lanier@	Øla.gov	
Services comme	enced by this firm (mm/yy) 11/21				Total o	consu	ltant cont	tract co	st (\$1,000's)	\$	101
Services comple	eted by this firm (mm/yy) 02/22 C				Cost o	of con	sultant se	rvices	provided by this firm (	(\$1,000's) \$	101

Chustz Surveying was tasked to conduct a bridge scour repair survey at Bayou Cocodrie. The survey along Bayou Manchac extended a minimum 175 feet upstream and 175 feet downstream from the faces of the bridge and extended a minimum 1150 feet south of the southern end of the bridge to 1675 feet north of the southern end of the bridge. A complete topographic survey including all utilities and all drainage features were surveyed. Bridge features surveyed included top of roadway deck elevations along centerline and right/left gutterlines, top of guard rail elevations, and centerline of bridge pier locations.

To accomplish this, Chustz deployed multiple crews to the site to begin the static GPS survey utilizing Trimble GPS/GNSS receivers. Once control was established, the crew began collecting the required toporaphic data using RTK and conventional survey methods with total stations.

Due to the narrow bridge and heavy traffic, Chustz had to collect the required bridge data using aerial LiDAR from our Reigl Ricopter sUAS equipped with a Reigl VUX-1uav laser scanner. Additional terrestrial LiDAR was collected to determine bridge pile centerlines and any other required bridge features from below. All data was processed utilizing Trimble Business Center where it was adjusted and constrained to the

static GPS. The data was then mapped in Microstation InRoads and final deliverables included DGN, DTM, ALG, and ASCII files.

The provide of the pr

#### Scope of Work Relevant to the Contract:

- TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS
- HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

Members Utilized in this Project Submittal: James H. Chustz, Jr., PLS; Julian A. Chustz, PLS; Mark Huber, CH., Robbie Benoit, Mark Voinche, CST, Craig Villemarette, Blake Conner, Mason Dupre, LSI

Firm name	Moffat & Nichol	Pas Dis	t Performan cipline(s)*	ce Evaluatio	n		Bridge		
Project name	Burnside Bulk C	Coal Tern	ninal Develo	pment			Firm responsibility (pr	rime or sub?)	Prime
Project number			Owner's na	ame	Trafigur	a Me	xico		
Project location	Darrow, LA					Own	ner's Project Manager	Gustavo Fei	nandez
Owner's address	s, phone, email	Rue de	Jargonnant 5	, Geneva, 12	207 +41 (	(0) 22	594 6900 gustavo.fern	andez@trafig	ura.com
Services comme	nced by this firm (	mm/yy)	06/11	Total consu	ultant con	tract	cost (\$1,000's)		5 9,000
Services comple	ted by this firm (	(mm/yy)	/yy) 07/14 Cost of consultant servio				s provided by this firm	(\$1,000's)	5 8,000

Provided Impala Warehousing with master planning, environmental permitting, and engineering services to assist with redeveloping the Burnside Terminal into a state-of-the-art major bulk terminal for coal, bauxite, and alumina. To assess condition, performed a topside site investigation and review of existing facilities, including an ore dock and an alumina dock. The findings were used as input to develop wharf loading parameters based on current usage, identify deficient structures, provide alternatives for structure repair or replacement necessary to adequately support existing loads, and provide opinions of probable cost for upgrade or replacement. Also analyzed mooring to support planning and design services for safe and efficient mooring for various-sized bulkers to berth at wharf-side terminals and a midstream mooring location.



Performed detailed design services, which included bid documents, drawings, and specifications for a new foundation and superstructure for the existing bulk material berth; civil site improvements, including erosion control, grading,

drainage, selective concrete demolition, and utility construction; rehabilitation of the coal wharf access trestle; construction of the continuous barge unloader foundation and access trestle, including installation of the barge maneuvering system and conveyor support piles to the transfer tower; rail demolition in preparation for new rail construction; relocation of approximately 3,700 feet of existing rail track without impacting overhead or underground utilities; fire water, potable water, wash-down water, and dust suppression water for the berths and the West Yard; and mechanical and electrical services for the terminal, including four substations, duct banks, and overhead power distribution. Design services also included an integrated stormwater and dust suppression retention system. The system included a pump system to transmit stormwater from a receiving ditch to a multi-cell pond to encourage settling and a pump system to recirculate treated water back to the dust suppression system. Finally, Moffatt & Nichol provided 30% design for conveyors, transfer towers, transfer chutes, and related equipment. Drawings and technical specifications for fabrication and installation construction contracts will be prepared for prequalified fabricators and installers.

During construction, the owner integrated Moffatt & Nichol staff responsible for the project's design phase into their construction management team. Moffatt & Nichol's on-site staff provided document control and technical, schedule, and contractual support, allowing for an accelerated schedule during construction and commissioning. The on-site engineering team reached out to Moffatt & Nichol staff firmwide for additional support during the design phase, which enhanced their ability to respond quickly to requests for information and shop drawing review.
Firm name	Moffat & Nicho	1 Pas	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)* Bridge				Bridge		
Project name	Mississippi Rive	Mississippi River Deep Draft Liquid Dock				Firm responsibility (prime or sub?)			Prime
	Loading/Unloading Facility								
Project number		Owner's name Am			Am St	у			
Project location	St. James, LA	ł				Owner's	s Project Manager	Rena Cutne	0
Owner's address	, phone, email	9901 Hi	ighway 18, S	t. James, LA	70086	225-746-	5556   Rcutno@ams	styrenics.con	1
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 02/14			Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)				\$ 2,171		
Services completed by this firm (mm/yy) 10/14 C				Cost of consultant services provided by this firm (\$1,000's) \$2,171			\$ 2,171		

Moffatt & Nichol (M&N) was retained to develop a new deep draft dock for the St. James Americas Styrenics facility. M&N provided pre-FEED, FEED, permitting, navigation industry consultation, design development of PS&E documents as well as to provided assistance during construction. The project included design of a modification to an existing barge facility which would be able to facilitate the construction of the deep draft dock facility (54,000 DWT design vessel), while maintaining shallow draft (barge) loading / unloading operations during construction.

Pre-FEED included a screening phase to determine the best location and configuration for the dock. In coordination with operational, geotechnical, levee crossing, environmental, structural, Mississippi River design constraints. The USCG and Maritime Navigation Safety Association (MNSA) along with local, state, federal permitting agencies were engaged to provide feedback for the seven alternative arrangements being considered. Upon selection of preferred alternative, M&N

proceeded with the FEED phase, which included a static mooring analysis using OPTIMOOR, to establish the preliminary locations of the breasting and mooring dolphins relative to the loading platform, followed by a series of dynamic mooring analyses, using TERMSIM II to validate the berth geometry and establish a practical mooring arrangement for the design vessel, and also establish the maximum environmental conditions that would cause the design vessel to approach the limits of operating envelope of the facility. The static mooring analysis was then updated using OPTIMOOR to verify the arrangement determined under the FEED phase of the project. M&N performed berthing energy calculations in accordance with Permanent International Association of Navigational Congress (PIANC) guidelines to establish the fender requirements for breasting dolphins.

A 3D hydrodynamic model (DELFT 3D) of the Mississippi River was developed to evaluate scour potential of the structure and to assess the current speeds as part of the navigation simulation at the new terminal. Model simulations were performed for low flow,

high flow, and very high flow (50 year return period) conditions, with the results imported into navigation studies and the design of the terminal. A Mississippi River Water level analysis was performed to determine construction operation windows as the USACE will not permit construction activities when the stage of the Mississippi River is above 11 feet at the Carrolton Gauge. Once the conceptual expanded facility layout and arrangement was finalized, M&N completed the preliminary and final design (detailed design services, bid documents and specifications for two mooring dolphins and three breasting dolphins, a loading platform, including four loading arms and an elevated pipe support and access trestle as well as the process piping and marine loading arms, and the electrical and instrumentation design) and developed a construction cost estimate.







Firm name	M	Ioffat & Nicho	l Pas	st Performan	Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)* Bridge					
Project name	Bo	olivar Ferry Terminal Marine Structural Evaluation F			Firm responsibility (prime or sub?)			Sub		
Project number		Owner's name Texas D			Department of Transportation					
Project location		Bolivar Penir	nsula, TX	-			Owner's	s Project Manager	Donald Ma	arquise
Owner's address	s, pł	none, email	125 Eas	st 11th Street	, Austin, TX	78701	(409) 795-	-2245   Donald.Marq	uise@txdot.	gov
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy)			06/19	Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)			\$ 450			
Services completed by this firm (mm/yy) 12/19 Cost of consultant services provided by this firm (					(\$1,000's)	\$ 277				

As subconsultant to another consultant, Moffatt & Nichol evaluated and provided repair/modification recommendations for the existing marine structures at the Galveston-Bolivar Ferry Terminal. These structures, specifically the wing wall structures, landing ramp spring box assemblies, landing ramp rockers, and spring box support platforms were evaluated for adequacy in accommodating a 1,600-ton vessel. Furthermore, Moffatt & Nichol's scope of work also included updating the asset management and maintenance program that the team helped develop for the ferry terminal's marine structures and facilities. The updates were based on comments and inputs from Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT).

Items added to the asset management and maintenance program included the following.

- Moving the contact surface of the wing wall
- Removal of unused monopiles and donut fenders
- Repair/replacement of donut fenders
- Replacement of old-style landing rockers
- Installation of new bolts for the new-style landing cover plates
- Repair of the top seal of the donut fenders
- Anti-fouling and thermal sprayed aluminum coating requirement for donut fender steel members
- Counterweight tower leg repairs
- Removal/refurbishment of unused counterweight tower members
- Repair/replacement of lifting beam padeyes
- Installation of TxDOT-provided timber fenders
- Replacement/retrofit of spring box assemblies
- Relocation of a pair of donut fenders
- Shore power maintenance/repair



Firm name	Pelican Marine I	Pelican Marine Design, LLC				Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)*Other (F			ry Design)
Project name	M/V BELLE CHASSE II				Firm responsibility (prime or sub		ime or sub?)	Sub	
Project number	N/A	N/A Owner's nam				aquemines Parish			
Project location	Bollinger Qu	ick Repair	, Harvey, LA	A		Owne	r's Project Manager	Allen Ste	in (now
								Matthew I	Kuehne,
								General M	lgr.)
Owner's address	, phone, email	615 Des	strehan Ave.,	Harvey LA	A 70058, 5	04-340-	-0621, matthewk@bollin	ngershipyaro	ls.com
		mailto:e	<u>baim@dot.ny</u>	<u>c.gov</u>					
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 03/13 Tota			Total cons	otal consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)			\$	N/A	
Services completed by this firm (mm/yy) 03/13 Cos			Cost of co	ost of consultant services provided by this firm (\$1,000's) \$4			4		

Modifications were performed by the shipyard on the M/V BELLE CHASSE II (144'x55'x8' ferry owned by Plaquemines Parish, LA). These modifications included the addition of two potable water tanks. Pelican Marine Design, LLC was contracted to perform an intact and damaged stability analysis and submit the stability report to the USCG Marine Safety Center so that a Stability Letter could be obtained. Pelican Marine Design, LLC performed the analysis and submitted the report. 100% of this work was completed in Louisiana.

Key members: William D. Scherer, P.E., Brandon Taravella, P.E.



Firm name	Pe	Pelican Marine Design, LLC				Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)*Other (F			Other (Fe	erry Design)	
Project name	M	M/V POINTE A LA HACHE							Firm responsibility (prime or sub?) Sub		?) Sub
Project number	1	N/A Owner's name Pla				Plaquemines Parish					
Project location	Bollinger Quick Repair, Harvey, LA			A			Owner's Project Manager Allen			tein (now	
										Matthew	Kuehne,
										General	Mgr)
Owner's address	, pł	ione, email	615 Des	strehan Ave.,	Harvey	LA 700	058, 5	04-340-	-0621, matthewk@bolli	ngershipya	rds.com
mailto:ebaim@dot.nyc.go					c.gov						
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 09/13 Tot			Total co	otal consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)			\$ N/A				
Services completed by this firm (mm/yy) 12/13 Cos				Cost of	Cost of consultant services provided by this firm (\$1,000's) \$ 10			\$ 10			

Modifications were performed by the shipyard on the M/V POINTE A LA HACHE (150'x60'x10' ferry owned by Plaquemines Parish, LA). Pelican Marine Design, LLC (PMD) was retained to complete necessary submittals to the USCG Marine Safety Center so that an updated stability letter could be obtained. The vessel had minimal drawings and documentation so PMD generated various drawings so that the submittal could be completed. PMD worked with the local USCG representative to take measurements of the hull. These measurements were used to create a hull lines plan, outboard profile and tank arrangement drawing. PMD performed an inclining experiment to the satisfaction of the USCG and later performed the intact and damaged stability analysis. PMD also created the stability test procedures, stability test report and intact and damaged stability analysis for submittal to USCG Marine Safety Center. 100% of this work was performed in Louisiana.

Key members: William D. Scherer, P.E., Brandon Taravella, P.E.



Firm name	U	Urban Systems, Inc. Pa				Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)* Traffic				
Project name	Bridge Preventative Maintenance Port Allen Brid					idge		Firm responsibility (prime or sub?) Sub		
						1				
Project number	H.001234.4 Owner's name			ame	Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development				elopment	
Project location		Port Allen, LA				Owner's Project Manager Brian Delatt			te	
Owner's address	, pł	none, email	1201 Ca	pitol Access	Rd Baton	Rouge, LA	A   225.1	379.1823   Brian.Dela	tte@la.gov	
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 11/12 To			Total cons	Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)				\$ N/A		
Services completed by this firm (mm/yy) 06/16 Cos				Cost of consultant services provided by this firm (\$1,000's) \$ 63			\$ 63			

The objective was to conduct a Level 3 Transportation Management Plan (TMP) based on LADOTD EDSM VI.1.1.8 for reconstruction of two (2) bridge structures over the Intracoastal Waterway (ICWW) in Port Allen, Louisiana. A TMP was critical for this location as the LA 1 bridge serves as the major crossing of the ICWW and serves up to 45,000 vehicles per day. An important aspect of this project was how to minimize construction impacts on an already congested roadway section. Peak intersection turning movements and seven-day hourly volume counts with classification were collected within the study area. Peak intersection capacity analysis was conducted using Synchro software to determine the impact the different phases on construction would have on the subject intersections. A unique part of the capacity analysis was to analyze a non-typical stop-controlled intersection with different gap acceptance values to match field conditions.



A safety analysis was conducted based on the LADOTD's *Guidelines for Crash Data Analysis, June 2014*. Crash rates were calculated for each location and compared to LADOTD's statewide averages and to LADOTD's High Potential for Safety Improvements (formerly the Abnormally High Crash) List. Charts were developed at each location based on collisions by type, injury severity, time and pavement conditions.

An important strategy to minimize work zone impacts was an evacuation plan as LA 1 is a critical artery during a hurricane evacuation.

A list of potential stakeholders was developed for a future stakeholder's meeting. The list was crucial for this project as many port related and industrial businesses are located in the project area and should be informed about the project.

Members Utilized in this Project Submittal: A. Michel, M. Morgan, N. Stewart

Firm name	Urban Systems, Inc.	Urban Systems, Inc.			Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)*		
Project name	Huey P. Long Bridge Wide	ening (Westł	ank and	Eastbank Firm responsibility (prime or sub?)		prime or sub?)	Sub
	Approaches and Main Brid	ilge Deck Wi	dening)				
Project number	SP 005-10-0037/006-01-	ime	Louisiana Dep	Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development			
-	0021/006/02/0064/006-25			-			-
	0001/006-30-0041						
Project location	Route US 90 Jefferson P	arish, LA		Owne	r's Project Manager	Lee Horstman	n
Owner's address, phone, email 1201 Capitol Access Rd			d Baton I	Rouge, LA 70802	2   225.302.2200   lee.h	orstmann@kie	wit.com
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 02/11 Tot			Total cons	otal consultant contract cost (\$1,000's) \$ N/A			N/A
Services completed by this firm (mm/yy) 04/13 Cos				nsultant services	provided by this firm (	\$1,000's) \$	49

Urban Systems, Inc. provided Traffic Engineering Services for the Huey P Long Project for the contractor starting about half-way into the construction project. This was a multi-phase project as construction conditions and required closures changed.

A few of the phases that were addressed were:

- Jefferson Highway Detours
- Huey P. Long Bridge Southbound Approach Closure
- Huey P. Long Bridge Rerouting Huey P. Long Northbound Approach

Plans for these phases included the following:

- Traffic Control Devices Plans for the redirection and protection of traffic in the active area of construction.
- Traffic Signal Plans for the installation of temporary traffic signal heads. The temporary signals were utilized in conjunction with the permanent signal plan. The plans included the temporary striping and signage that were required in addition to the permanent installation.
- Permanent Pavement Markings and Signs Plans which were used to identify which signs should be covered and which striping should not be installed during each phase of construction.



Members Utilized in this Project Submittal: A. Michel, N. Stewart

# **18.** Approach and Methodology:

#### **PROJECT UNDERSTANDING**

This project consists of surveying, designing and construction of two (2) new ferry landings located in Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana (Pointe-A-La-Hache) – one on each side of the Mississippi River approximately ¼ mile upstream of the current ferry location. The project also includes modifications to the existing connecting roadways, ramp bridges, lifting towers, and mooring dolphins along with the associated substructures and foundations and support services during the Construction Phase.

#### LAND AND HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

A complete topographic survey including all utilities with depths and all drainage will be performed in accordance with the LADOTD Location and Survey Manual, along with finish floor elevations of all buildings that fall within the survey limits. Both sites will be tied into the existing U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Levee Baseline and the nearest Mississippi River gauge station located either upstream or downstream. A drainage map will also be prepared. The H&H Team member Chustz will also perform a multi-beam hydrographic survey within the survey limits which will include sufficient data to ensure accurate location of all objects and features and will meet the accuracy requirements specified in the LADOTD Location & Survey Manual. The hydrographic survey will be collected from a vessel equipped with an R2Sonic multibeam system utilizing the boat launch in Empire, LA. Hydrographic data will be constrained to GPS horizontally and vertically tied to the project benchmark. The deliverables will include all normal Survey Inroads deliverables along with all point clouds collected.

#### GEOTECHNICAL

The geotechnical portion of this project will consist of geotechnical investigation and foundation design.

**Subsurface Conditions:** A preliminary review of the USACE surficial geology map indicates a subsurface profile composed of cohesive soils consisting of clay, silt, and silty clay to a depth of approximately 150 feet, underlain by a layer of natural granular soils consisting of silty sand and clayey sand extending to a depth of approximately 175 feet, underlain by another layer of cohesive soils consisting of clays and sandy clays to a depth of 300 feet. The near-surface clays contain high amounts of preserved organic (vegetative) materials with some sand intermixed. The project locations are

part of the Mississippi River Delta and are rich in organics grouped in seismic Site Class E and/or F.

**Geotechnical Investigations:** Scope of work calls for two deep borings in the channel (at location of ferry landing), one deep boring on land (near bridge end), and two willow subgrade soil survey borings on land (for pavement) for each Ferry Landing Site. H&H Team members Ardaman and APS will perform geotechnical investigation consisting of sampling, laboratory testing, soil classification, site characterization, and soil boring logs including deep foundation borings as described in the scope of work. They bring a wealth of experience in the transportation and infrastructure arena with long-standing relationship with the LADOTD.

To confirm available geotechnical information and to better characterize subsurface soil conditions, we envision implementing a geotechnical exploration program involving soil borings with laboratory testing. The minimum depth of boring will be 120 ft below existing ground line. The actual depths of boring will be deeper than 120 ft depending on the anticipated foundation loads and loss of soil from scour. The soil borings will be made using wet/mud rotary methods below the water table, with solid stem auguring per ASTM D1452 and undisturbed samples in cohesive soils will be collected per ASTM D1587. The Standard Penetration Testing (SPT) in cohesionless soils will be carried out in accordance with the ASTM D1586. H&H Team plans to collect continuous soil samples in the upper 10 feet followed by samples at 5-foot intervals in cohesive soils and at 3-foot intervals in cohesionless soils. Where Shelby tube is retrieved with no recovery, the hole will be cleaned out and a SPT will be performed directly below the previous sampling interval to accurately characterize subsurface soil profile and to establish relevant soil parameters. The soil borings will be logged in the field using the visual-manual method for classification in accordance with ASTM D2488 and H&H will classify the soils according to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) per ASTM D2487. The deliverables during the geotechnical investigation will be: Geotechnical Investigation Plan, Geotechnical Data Report, and Geotechnical Interpretation Report.

**Foundation Design:** Based on the subsurface conditions, it is our opinion that deep foundations should be considered for the support of the Ferry Landing's bridge, lifting tower, and mooring dolphin to limit settlement to acceptable level. Per our preliminary assessment, the precast prestressed concrete piles and steel pipe piles are viable options to support the Ferry landing's elements. The deep foundation will be designed to resist wave forces, impact forces during ferry berthing and impact from errant

vessel. Also, the deep foundation design will include reduction in foundation capacity to account for loss of soil support from scour. Modifications to the bank or channel geometry, including temporary conditions will also be analyzed for slope stability.

#### COASTAL DESIGN

Scour Analysis and River Stage Study: Team member Moffatt & Nichol will complete an analysis following LADOTD requirements of impacts on the ferry structure including wave impact loads on the mooring and fender structures. The river mechanics and stream hydraulics (HHA) analysis will be performed using HEC-RAS 5.0.7 computer program, and HEC-18 and HEC-23 for scour at the waterway crossing (culverts or bridges) and scour countermeasure design, as applicable. In addition, our team will use applicable software and procedures approved by the LADOTD and FEMA, such as using HEC-RAS 5.0.7 hydraulic models (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers [USACE]) and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) publications for stream stability, scour calculations, and scour countermeasure designs (HEC-18, HEC-20, and HEC-23), as applicable. Furthermore, we will use the U.S. Geologic Survey StreamStats website, watershed modeling system (WMS 12.0, FHWA), and HEC-HMS (USACE) to perform all necessary GIS-integrated watershed modeling, hydrologic calculations, and flood discharges (peak flows and hydrographs). We will perform a coastal engineering evaluation to establish design parameters for wave impact forces and pressures on mooring and fender structures. Existing water level and storm surge data will be collected and analyzed for prevailing and storm conditions. This information will be used as input in a nearshore spectral wave model. The nearshore spectral wave model will transform offshore wave conditions based on available wave hindcast data to the project site for prevailing and storm conditions to optimize the pier deck elevation and determine the resulting forces. In addition, specific hurricane events that have affected the area will be evaluated. We will provide a final analysis report detailing the development of the hydraulic models and associated hydrologic calculations, scour and countermeasure designs, and recommendations to ensure continual zero impact to existing flood elevations which includes finalized results, printouts, comparison tables, and copies of the hydraulic models and will address comments and provide revisions to the hydraulic models, as appropriate, to secure approval by LADOTD and other regulatory agencies.

**Vessel Impact Loads Study:** First we will perform a vessel impact study and a hydraulic analysis to support the design of the mooring and fender structures. Second, a mooring analysis will consider passing vessel impacts and be performed using a

dynamic mooring program Optimoor (dynamic) or equivalent (such as aNyMoor.Termsim). The operation limits will be based on the loads on mooring lines, fenders, bollards, and the maximum allowable vessel motions. The passing vessel study will consider different passing vessel sizes, distances, speeds, and directions (inbound and outbound), with current (flood and ebb) and operational winds applied simultaneously. The passing vessel effects will be evaluated using Research on Passing Effects on Ships (ROPES) or an equivalent tool. This effort aims to design mooring and berthing hardware to handle various environmental conditions.

#### **STRUCTURAL DESIGN**

The new superstructure will consist of a Link Bridge supported by a Pontoon (refer to Pontoon Barge Design). The shore end of the Link Bridge will pivot about two spherical bearing assemblies mounted on the abutment and the seaward end will be supported on the Pontoon. The Pontoon will move up and down as the water level fluctuates between Mean High Water Springs (MHWS) and Mean Low Water Springs (MLWS). A mechanized Ship Ramp will be provided on the Pontoon side opposite to the Link Bridge to load and offload vehicles on and off the ferries. All structural elements, Link Bridge, Pontoon Deck, and Ship Ramp will be designed for HL93 loading in accordance with the latest AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications and for all additional Louisiana Special Design Vehicles (LASDV 1 - 8). The longitudinal geometry of the Link Bridge and its interaction with the moving Pontoon will be designed to account for extreme water levels thus eliminating the need for Lift Towers and an additional set of machinery to lower and raise the seaward end of the Link Bridge during such drastic water level changes.

The Link Bridge will consist of a steel framing and an aluminum deck. The steel framing will consist of two longitudinal main supporting girders or trusses (parallel to traffic), transverse floorbeams spanning between main girders or trusses, longitudinal stringers spanning between floorbeams, and a diagonal bracing system for lateral support. The aluminum deck, developed and patented by our own George Patton, will consist of modular extruded deck panels with a solid riding surface to protect the steel framing superstructure. This aluminum deck also offers a skid resistant wearing surface to improve vehicles rideability.

The mechanized Ship Ramp will operate similarly to the existing in that the hinged end will pivot about two spherical bearing assemblies mounted on the Pontoon and the free end will be lowered to service the ferries and kept raised after the ferries depart.

The Ship Ramp will consist of a transfer bridge and an apron structure hinged at the end of the transfer bridge. Like the Link Bridge, the transfer bridge will consist of a steel framing and an aluminum deck. The apron structure will consist of a solid steel plate supported on inverted WT rolled shapes with hinged neoprene flaps at the end. The hinged apron structure and neoprene flaps provide immediate vertical adjustability as the ferry deck goes up and down as vehicles get loaded and offloaded.

All steel members will be hot dipped galvanized. The galvanized girder-floorbeamstringer framing with the aluminum solid deck provides perhaps one of the lightest and most durable systems available for this type of structure.

**Pontoon Barge(s) and Associated Apron Structure:** The geometry and structural design of the pontoon barges will be very similar to common inland deck barges and will be in accordance with American Bureau of Shipping's Rules for Building and Classing Steel Vessels for Service on Rivers and Intracoastal Waterways. The interface with ramps will be included and vehicle wheel loading will be accounted for as required in the ABS Rules. General Arrangement, Scantling Drawings and Outfitting drawings will be the primary drawings developed. Intact stability calculations will be performed based on vehicular and ramp loading. Next, based on the drawings, a 3D model of the barges and their structure will be created with each steel part being labeled. Assembly drawings of the structure will then be created based on the desired erection sequence. Finally, nested part drawings for numerically controlled (NC) cutting will be generated and provided to the fabricator along with the assembly drawings. These structures will be designed by our Team member Pelican Marine Design.

#### **MECHANICAL DESIGN**

Since there are no federal design standards for ferry slip machinery design, H&H typically uses AASHTO guidelines where applicable for ramp bridge mechanical systems. H&H will set the specific design criteria in coordination with LADOTD to optimize the best system for the



Pointe-A-La-Hache ferry slips. H&H assumes the general arrangement of the existing machinery is acceptable and can be improved upon based on experiences at other facilities in North America. Some advantages to the overhead counterweighted system feature a partially balanced bridge, and therefore a lighter operating (or winch) system and the associated components. From our cursory site inspection, the existing counterweights are guided within the tower structure on either side of the bridge ramp. Sheave assemblies are located at the tower tops to support the span and counterweights. The bridge ramp is connected to the counterweights with a series of ropes. Due to the marine environment, we recommend the use of drawn galvanized wire ropes to extend their service life. The winch machinery is lightly loaded (lifting operating loads only) in this counterweighted system, so the winch components would be detailed proportional to the load anticipated. To complement the winch drive, the mooring system can easily be an extension and use similar posts located at the ends of the bridge ramp and would pay out mooring hooks to secure the ship tight to the mooring dolphins while the ferry is being loaded and unloaded with vehicles and passengers. H&H recommends having an initial discussion with LADOTD to outline safety interlocks, and automatic control systems to adjust for water elevations when not in use. Some systems we have implemented for other clients in different regions can be briefly discussed and determined if they would offer benefits at this location.

#### **ELECTRICAL DESIGN**

The electrical system for the Pointe-A-La-Hache Ferry Ramp bridge will be a robust, heavy-duty system designed to complement the mechanical system and consisting of proven, long term reliable components. The incoming service will be provided by the local utility; H&H will coordinate the electrical system design based on available power. Utility power will be routed to a weatherproof free-standing enclosure on the bridge structure including the connection of a portable generator in the event of utility failure. In addition to the power distribution equipment, the enclosure will contain motor starters and electromagnetic relay control components to allow operation and correct sequencing of the bridge ramp systems. The control system will be provided with a float mode to automatically adjust the bridge ramp as the ferry rises and falls with changing tidal and loading conditions. A pendant control station will operate the bridge ramp as well as traffic signals and traffic gates, and area lighting and maintenance receptacles will be powered through the power distribution system lighting panel. H&H will provide a design drawing package comprised of one- and three-line power diagrams, relay

controls schematics, utility service plans, lighting plans, cabinet layouts, limit switch installation details and all other drawings required.

#### **ROADWAY DESIGN**

Roadway Design will include Design Report; Preliminary and Final Construction Plans; and Transportation Management Plan (TMP). H&H understands the roadway aspects anticipated to be associated with this project which will involve the design of new roadways on both sides of the river and potentially intersection improvements. Our goal is to team with LADOTD as a qualified full-service engineering firm to provide general roadway design engineering services across the state. H&H will use LADOTD Road Design Manual for all construction plan development and project delivery with preliminary plan submittal stages: 30%, 60%, 95%, & 100% and Final Plan submittal stages: 60%, 95%, 98%, and 100%. Where design guidance is not available via LADOTD documentation for a particular issue, H&H leans on our knowledge of the AASHTO "Green Book" for geometrics, the AASHTO Roadside Design Guide for roadside safety issues, the AASHTO Guidelines for Geometric Design of

Low-Volume Roads, and the MUTCD for Signing and striping as needed. The H&H Team is proficient in using LADOTD's current preferred software including InRoads SelectSeries II, CADConform, and HYDRWin and have already started transitioning to Bentley's OpenRoads platform.

**Transportation Management Plan:** Our team member, Urban Systems Inc. (USI), Urban Systems has experience in the development of Traffic Management Plans for a multitude of project types. These have included all TMP levels (including Level 2). The TMP defines management strategies and describes how they will be used to manage the work zone impacts of a road and bridge project. USI has also a great deal of experience in preparation of traffic control device and detour plans (TCDPs) for construction work zones. Among many of Urban Systems' specialized services is their breadth of experience in the preparation of traffic control device and detour plans (TCDPs) for construction work zones that encompass a broad range of construction activities and construction sequencing requirements to ensure safe and efficient movement of traffic (vehicle, pedestrian, and bicyclists) through and around the construction work zone.

#### **ANTICIPATED SCHEDULE**



\*\*NOTES: 1) This schedule does not include LA DOTD review time. 2) Project Letting and Construction not shown.

#### **QUALITY CONTROL**

The H&H Quality Management Plan (QMP) is submitted with this proposal. It fosters improvement of quality by providing tools to the project team, so all professional services are performed and delivered in accordance with applicable industry standards of care and project scope requirements within schedule and budget.



# <u>19. Workload:</u>

Firm(s)	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s) *	Contract Number and State Project Number	Project name	Remaining Unpaid Balance**
Hardesty & Hanover	Bridge	4400000642 H.002798.6	Bayou Teche Bridge (Oaklawn) Construction Support Services	\$11,932
	Bridge	4400023909 H.002798.6	Oaklawn Bridge Walkway/Parking Lighting	\$110,862
	Road	4400011199 H.014363.5	Sidewalk Improvements to Conform to ADA – Task Order 1, St. Tammany Parish	\$15
	CE&I/OV	4400017430 H.001498.6	LA 24 and LA 316: Company Canal Bridge, Terrebonne Parish	\$1,890,158
	CE&I/OV	4400024021 H.015028.6	LA 302: Bayou Barataria MB Replacement Route: LA 302	\$5,243,795
Ardaman &	Geotech	H.009266	I-10 (LA 73 to LA 30) Route I-10 Ascension Parish	\$75,956
Associates, Inc.	Geotech	H.012565, H.012891, H.014251, 252, 253, 254, 256, 257	Rural Bridge Replacement – Phase II, Districts 02, 03, 07, 61, 62	\$2,288
	Geotech	H.004273	I-49 Connector, Lafayette	\$498,797
	Geotech	H.004647.6	Mississippi River Bridge at Vicksburg, MS	\$864,986
	Geotech	H.004791	LA 23: Belle Chasse Bridge and Tunnel (HBI)	\$261,887
	Geotech	H.013897	I-10 / I-12 College Drive Flyover	\$296,008
	Geotech	H.004113	I-12 to Bush LA 3241 (LA 435 – LA 40/LA 41) Construction Phase	\$47,212
	Geotech	H.014217, 218, 225, 228, 233, 236	Rural Bridges Replacement Phase II – Districts 04 & 05	\$6,441.68
	Geotech	H.04435.5	I-12 to Bush LA 3241 (LA 36 – LA 435) Construction Phase	\$49,310
	Geotech	H.004100.5-3	I-10: LA 415 to Essen Lane on I-10 & I-12	\$237,569
	Geotech	H.002244.5	Boudreaux Canal Bridge (LA 56)	\$164,537
	Geotech	H.004100	I-10: CMAR 30% Segment 1 Design	\$115,786
	Geotech	H.001166.6	Caddo Lake Bridge (PDA)	\$25,997
	Geotech	H.012030	KCS Railroad Overpass HBI (US 371)	\$127,060
	Geotech	H.014258.5	LA 1: Port Allen Canal Bridge Phase 2	\$104,825
	Geotech	H.012047, 542, 543 544, 562	Bridge Replacements District 08	\$1,018,378
APS Engineering and Testing, LLC	Geotech	H.013127	Retainer Contract for Geotechnical Services	\$325,700
Chustz Surveying, LLC	Survey		None	\$0
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	Bridge	4400013322 H.009730.5	Gresham TO4 for movable bridges	\$22,554

	Bridge	4400013322	H.009730.5	Gresham TO5 Vicksburg	\$187,766
	Bridge	4400023512	. 80772	HNTB - LADOTD Huey P. Long US-190 Bridge Inspection	\$292,254
	Bridge	4400013321	H.009730.5	HNTB-GNO	\$451,280
	Bridge	4400017089	H.011331.1	LADOTD Inventory and Insp. of Signs	\$1,193,444
	Bridge	4400019121	H.009730.5	LADOTD UWBI - TO1	\$240,865
	Bridge	4400019121	H.009730.5	LADOTD UWBI - TO2	\$2,290,560
Pelican Marine	Bridge				
Design, LLC				None	\$0
Urban Systems, Inc.	Traffic	440005142	H011309.5	Mac Arthur Final Design	\$30,687
	Traffic	PSLC-STJ-Su	pp-2 H.004891	Reserve to I-10 Connector	\$6,605

# 20. Certifications/Licenses:

DBE Certifications:











# **21. QA/QC Plan and/or Work Plan:**

Appears on the following page.

# H&H



# Hardesty & Hanover, LLC QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN



# Table of Contents

Quality Management Plan Authorization	1
1. Introduction	2
1.1 Quality Elements	2
1.1.1. Definitions	2
1.1.2. Quality Management System	3
2. Effective Date and Revisions	4
3. Quality Management Plan Development	4
3.1 Project Summary	4
3.2 Survey	6
3.3 Geotechnical	7
3.4 Roadway Design	13
3.5 Bridge Design	17
3.6 Deliverables	18
3.7 Project Specific Procedures	20
3.7.1. Quality Control Plan Modifications	20
3.7.2. Quality Assurance Plan Modifications	20

Appendix A
Appendix B
Appendix C
Appendix D
Appendix E



# **Quality Management Plan**

# **Quality Management Plan Authorization**

- The Hardesty & Hanover Quality Management Plan consists of procedures that have been developed to assure that the various elements of the project are carried out in a planned and controlled manner and in accordance with the industry standards.
- •

The herein described Quality Management Plan is an accurate and consistent reflection of Hardesty & Hanover policies and procedures.

# Revision: 0

Issue Date: May 12, 2023 Signature

MAY 2023 2

Keith Griesing, PE – H&H Quality Manager

Date



# 1. Introduction

Hardesty & Hanover (H&H) has committed to fostering the improvement of quality by generating this Quality Management Plan (QMP) for providing guidance to the project team. The objective of the QMP is to provide tools to the project team so that our professional services are performed and delivered in accordance with applicable industry standards of care and to the satisfaction of project scope requirements while remaining within the allocated schedule and budget.

The Quality Management Plan includes the H&H firm standard Quality Assurance and Quality Control Plans. Together these plans form our Quality Management System (QMS). The intent of the QMS is to define procedures for Quality Control and Quality Assurance which minimize errors, discrepancies, and omissions in H&H's work products.

Hardesty & Hanover acknowledges that H&H and their subconsultants are fully responsible for QC/QA of their own work and the client bears no responsibility for performing QC/QA of the work of Hardesty & Hanover or their subconsultants.

# 1.1 Quality Elements

# 1.1.1. Definitions

- a) Contract Requirements: Established by LADOTD for each project, these requirements take precedence over any other practices established by H&H. Notwithstanding specific contract requirements, minimum H&H quality practices and industry standard of care are applicable to all projects. Contract Requirements are identified in the sections below.
- b) Project Specific Engineering Oversight Practices: These practices are established by H&H during project initiation and will vary depending on the classification of the project as determined by the firm. Engineering Oversight activities are a supplement to, and not a replacement of, project quality activities. Project Specific Engineering Oversight Practices are identified in the sections below.
- c) Quality Control (QC): Procedures of checking the accuracy and consistency of the work product to minimize errors, discrepancies, and omissions, to ensure adherence to industry standards, and to deliver an exceptional product to our clients.
- d) Quality Assurance (QA): Procedures of reviewing the design and development processes to ensure the Quality Control procedures are in place, implemented per firm policy and the desired level of quality has been attained and will continue to be obtained. Quality Assurance identifies procedural shortfalls and recommends changes to improve processes where appropriate.
- e) Quality Control Plan: This document defines the intent and practices for overall Quality Control with an emphasis on the activities of the project technical staff. The design and document review process and practices are defined in this document. Project specific modifications to the Quality Control Plan are identified in Section 3.4.1.
- f) Quality Assurance Plan: This document defines the intent and practices for overall Quality Assurance and Engineering Oversight, identifying key staff and their respective project management and quality management responsibilities. Project specific modifications to the Quality Assurance Plan are identified in Section 3.4.2.
- g) Design Policies: These are supplemental documents that are exclusively for internal use. These are not submitted to LADOTD. Portions of Design Policies may be used in the development of a Project Quality Control Process, for example if a specific Design Practice is recommended for use to address a particular project design element.



# 1.1.2. Quality Management System

# Customer Satisfaction and Quality Management System Improvement

H&H is continually striving to improve customer satisfaction. Our Quality Management System is a living document that will be continually assessed and revised to reflect best practices and lessons learned. This process includes clarification of design information to support construction or production, correction and prevention of errors and omissions, and response to client comments, complaints, and feedback.

#### **Quality Control Program Objectives**

Quality Control is a series of activities, actions and procedures routinely undertaken to ensure that our services and their representative work products are produced to the requisite standard of care and in accordance with the defined technical philosophy of the firm.

Our Quality Control process ensures that each work product is thoroughly reviewed in detail by someone in addition to the review by the Designer/Originator/Producer who prepared that work product for conformity with generally accepted standards of design and engineering practice.

Unless otherwise approved by the engineer in responsible charge, at least one of the primary individuals involved in preparing (Designer) or checking (Checker) a document shall be a Professional Engineer, experienced and qualified in the appropriate engineering discipline and project jurisdiction. Comments generated by the quality control process are to be resolved to the satisfaction of both the Designer and the Checker. The process of Quality Control (QC) is documented and recorded in a manner which allows for management of the process and review of the process through Quality Assurance (QA). The full detailed QC process can be found in our QC Plan attached in Appendix C.

#### **Quality Assurance Program Objectives**

The Quality Assurance Program encompasses the systematic review of our design and development processes and our Quality Control activities to confirm that the desired level of quality has been attained and will continue to be obtained. Quality Assurance identifies procedural shortfalls and recommends changes to improve our processes. Quality Assurance is a company-wide process that confirms that the proper processes are in place to assure that our services and products meet the requisite standard of care. A brief summary of our Quality Assurance process follows. The full detailed QA process can be found in our QA Plan attached in Appendix B.

#### **Quality Assurance**

Quality Assurance reviews will be performed to confirm conformance with the Quality Management Plan of a given project. The review shall verify that each project has sufficiently accomplished all quality goals set forth in the Quality Management Plan.

Documentation is kept which provides a record that the design development and review process was performed as required. This documentation is to include records of the important steps which led to the development of final planning documents as well as the final design, such as preliminary concepts, model validation, design calculations, computer code input and any communications, instructions, and directives which have a direct bearing on the project.

Types of documentation to be reviewed for compliance with the procedures set out in the Quality Control Plan:

- a) Design Criteria
- b) Reports All reports prepared for the project irrespective of type.
- c) Interdisciplinary Coordination Minutes of meetings and attendance lists.
- d) Calculations/Computer Solutions
- e) Drawings
- f) Specifications
- g) External Comment Responses
- h) Prior Audit Documents All documentation provided by the Quality Auditor including recommendations for improvement, nonconformance reports, and any other check lists.



Additionally, the Quality Assurance Review is used to identify areas of weakness in the Quality Control process and develop preventive actions that focus on areas of potential nonconformance to reduce the risk associated with these areas.

If the QA Review identifies potential nonconformities, the review shall also include determination of their probable cause, determination of preventive action needed, implementation of preventive action and determining if preventive action was implemented and effective in preventing nonconformity. The Project Manager is responsible for developing and implementing preventive actions that address the potential areas of nonconformance identified in the QA Review and working to reduce or eliminate the risk in these areas.

# **Control of Nonconforming Product**

Corrective action will be appropriate to the severity of the nonconformance identified. The Project Manager shall develop and implement any corrective action procedure taken. The corrective action procedure shall be approved by the Chief Technical Officer. The procedure shall identify the nonconformance root cause and the necessary actions required to resolve the nonconformance to the satisfaction of the client. The procedure shall address nonconformity identification (including client complaints), cause determination, action to prevent recurrence, identifying and implementing the corrective action, recording results, and determining if the corrective action was implemented and effective in resolving the nonconformance.

# 2. Effective Date and Revisions

As the QMP is a living document that reflects the currently accepted standards of care and lessons learned on H&H projects, the contents of the H&H QMP will be updated as needed. Updates will be issued as controlled documents (i.e., with versions and revision dates).

The project management team is responsible for providing the project staff with relevant portions of the QMP. Quality Management Plans, once approved by the firm and the client, are unique to the project. Such a plan may not be modified or re-used for another project without approval of the Quality Manager.

# 3. Quality Management Plan Development

H&H will continually develop and implement measures that assure the various elements of this project are performed in a planned and controlled manner according to, at a minimum, the prevailing standard of care for professional practice applicable to the service being provided.

H&H quality control and assurance activities are dictated by this Quality Management Plan. The plan establishes policy, sets procedures, and controls those which may be specifically assigned to a project.

The plan describes the program, responsibilities and actions required by all project participants to ensure that quality control procedures are performed and documented. As a result, all interested parties can be assured that an appropriate level of engineering quality will be provided, and that the technical staff members will recognize their role in the quality process.

# 3.1 Project Summary

# 3.1.1. Project Staff

There are several responsible parties involved in the Quality Assurance and Quality Control of a project from inception to completion. Their project and quality specific roles and responsibilities are described in the Quality Assurance Plan Section 2.0 contained in Appendix B of this document. The H&H Quality Manager (QM) will be responsible for the plan execution. For this project, the following persons will be responsible for the various roles:

H&H Quality Manager (QM) - Keith R. Griesing, PE

The H&H Quality Manager is responsible for annual review of this QMP. The review shall include all aspects of the plan including but not limited to review of comments by clients, audits by clients and corrective action costs, if any. The QM will recommend improvements to the plan upon completion of the review.



H&H Project Manager (PM) – Babak Naghavi, PhD, PE, PH

Responsible for all activities necessary to deliver H&H services in accordance with contract requirements, including:

- Licensed by the State of Louisiana as a professional engineer.
- Experienced in the design of similar structures.
- Acts as primary point of contact and project communications for H&H.
- Develops a comprehensive Project Management Plan as a requirement for Project Initiation which includes the Project Technical Approach Plan.
- Establishes and monitors the project budget, schedule, and staffing requirements.
- Establishes design criteria and design parameters, working with the technical discipline leads. Design criteria shall meet all the requirements of the LADOTD Design Criteria Checklist contained in Appendix E.
- Coordinates with subconsultants.
- Provides communication and direction to technical staff.
- Chairs project meetings, produces and distributes minutes as needed.
- Leads the project delivery efforts and works closely with the project Technical Leads in defining the technical direction of the project.
- Serves as Project Quality Lead.
- Reviews the H&H Quality Assurance Review Form prepared by the Project Quality Lead/Reviewer and certifies the deliverable is ready for submission.
- Completes and signs the LADOTD Consultant Submittal QC/QA Certification Form contained in Appendix E.

The following roles will be designated upon Task Assignment from the personnel listed on the Organization Chart included in Appendix A.

H&H Discipline Leads/EORs:

- Engineers in responsible charge of a specific design segment in their area of expertise.
- Required to sign/seal as Engineer of Record (EOR) unless client or other requirements exist.
- The EOR must stamp the general notes sheets for their discipline.
- Licensed by the State of Louisiana as a professional engineer.
- Experienced in the design of similar structures.
- Ensure the QC/QA certification is signed by all responsible parties.

H&H QC Reviewers:

- Responsible of a specific review of the design segment in their area of expertise.
- Responsible for ensuring that the QC process has met the requirements of this QMP; is complete and the design calculations, drawings, special provisions, and cost estimate are in accordance with LADOTD Bridge Design practices, policies, and procedures.
- Licensed by the State of Louisiana as a professional engineer.
- Experienced in the design of similar structures.
- Responsible for oversight of project specific quality activities including the collection and appropriate filing
  of all Quality Control and Quality Assurance documentation. Maintains an auditable record of all QC
  reporting forms generated during design reviews.
- Communicates with Project Manager on a regular basis to maintain the QC review schedule for projects.
- Verifies that the QC activities have been performed and that qualified and competent personnel have undertaken the QC activities.
- Performs Quality Assurance Reviews documented with the H&H Quality Assurance Review and Certification Form. The Reviewer/PQL shall review the project Quality Control documentation in advance of submission to confirm that design QC activities are complete, comply with the Quality Management Plan and meet the requirements of the LADOTD Consultant Submittal Review Checklist contained in Appendix E.





H&H Designers:

- Engineers directly responsible for the development of design calculations, drawings, special provisions including Non-Standard items, and cost estimate.
- Licensed by the State of Louisiana as a professional engineer or certified as an engineer intern.
- Assemble design calculations from all designers, finalize the calculation book, and seal the cover sheet of the calculation book for their discipline.
- Ensure the names of the designer, design checker, and reviewer are correctly shown on the title block of each plan sheet. Stamp all plan sheets or designate a designer, design checker, or reviewer who shall be licensed by the State of Louisiana as a professional engineer to stamp the sheets developed under their supervision.
- Prepare the QA information package upon completion of the QC process.

H&H Design Checkers:

- Engineer responsible for performing a full technical review of the design calculations, drawings, special provisions including Non-Standard items, and cost estimate.
- Licensed by the State of Louisiana a professional engineer or certified as an engineer intern; however, if the designer is an engineer intern, the design checker must be a professional engineer.

# 3.1.2. Training

H&H will only employ qualified personnel to execute the scope of work.

The Project Manager is responsible to review the record of each employee and determine if the background and experience of the employee is acceptable for the assigned scope of work.

The Project Manager is responsible to ensure staff assigned to the Project is properly trained in the QMP, Procedures/Instructions, any project-unique technical requirements, availability of technical resources, etc. within H&H organization as they relate to the Scope of Work, and has valid evidence of fitness (certification, license, etc.) for executing the work for this Project.

Training will consist of in-house education and field experience. H&H staff found deficient will not be assigned work in their area of deficiency until requirements for the position are met.

# 3.1.3. Project Description

To provide surveying, designing, and construction support to construct two (2) new ferry landings located in Plaquemines Parish, LA (Pointe-A-La-Hache).

# 3.1.4. Scope of Work

This project consists of surveying, designing and construction support to construct two (2) new ferry landings located in Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana (Pointe-A-La-Hache) – one on each side of the Mississippi River approximately <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile upstream of the current ferry location.

# 3.2 Survey

This project is located in Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana (Pointe-A-LA-Hache) and consists of two separate sites on opposite sides of the Mississippi River, to be described below. A complete topographic survey including all utilities with depths and all drainage is required, along with finish floor elevations of all buildings that fall within the survey limits. This project shall be completed in accordance with the Location and Survey Manual and all current accepted Location and Survey Automation procedures.

**SITE 1:** (Located on the south side of the Mississippi River and north of the intersection of LA 23 and Morris Ln.). This portion of the survey shall begin at a point at the intersection of a gravel road and LA 23 (same point being



approximately 250 ft. northwest of the intersection of LA 23 and Morris Ln.). From the point of beginning, the survey shall proceed in a northeasterly direction along the same gravel road and perpendicular with LA 23 for a linear distance of approximately 1,275 ft. (including approximately 300 ft. out from bank of river). The width of survey and DTM shall be 150 ft. The survey shall then proceed in a northwesterly direction and perpendicular to LA 23, for 525 ft. The survey shall then proceed in a northeasterly direction and perpendicular to LA 23, for 775 ft. (including approximately 300 ft. out from bank of river). The width of survey and DTM shall vary. Please see attached survey request sketch for detailed limits of survey.

**SITE 2:** (Located on the north side of the Mississippi River and near the intersection of Hwy 15 and Adema Ln.). This portion of the survey shall begin at a point along Hwy 15 approximately 100 ft. southeast of the intersection of Adema Ln. and Hwy 15 and proceed in a southeasterly direction along Hwy 15 for a linear distance of approximately 425 ft. The survey shall then proceed 400 ft. perpendicular to the centerline of Hwy 15 and along property line (including approximately 370 ft. out from crown of levee and 200 ft. from bank). The width of survey and DTM shall be approximately 425 ft. Please see attached survey request sketch for detailed limits of survey.

Both sites shall be tied into the existing U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Levee Baseline and the nearest Mississippi River gauge station located either upstream or downstream.

A drainage map shall be required. Please refer to the Location and Survey Photogrammetry Unit for detailed instructions of what is required on the drainage map. All sub-structures shall be located. Permission of lando w n e r s shall be acquired by H&H before entering any property associated with this description. All work is to be done in English units of measurement.

# 3.2.1. Hydrographic Survey:

H&H shall perform a multi-beam hydrographic survey within the applicable limits of survey. H&H shall record sufficient data to ensure accurate location of all objects and features obtained during the survey and shall meet the accuracy requirements specified in the Location & Survey Manual. The deliverables shall include all normal Survey Inroads deliverables along with all point clouds collected.

# 3.3 Geotechnical

The geotechnical portion of this project will consist of furnishing geotechnical investigation services and foundation design for the following proposed structures. Hereafter, all sites are referred to as ferry landing sites, regardless of whether the final design includes a bridge, lifting tower, and mooring dolphin.

Project No.	District	Site Description	Length (ft)	Deep Borings	Subgrade Borings
H.006226	02	East Bank Structure	Varies	3	2
	02	West Bank Structure	Varies	3	2

The following scope is applicable to the typical type of ferry landing site anticipated for this project. The number of borings is estimated based on ferry landing layout and conforms to typical DOTD practice and AASHTO requirements. Each Ferry Landing Site shall include two deep borings in the channel (at location of ferry landing), one deep boring on land (near bridge end), and two shallow subgrade soil survey borings on land (for pavement). H&H shall notify DOTD immediately if it becomes evident that a particular site requires geotechnical investigation and/or engineering efforts that are beyond this scope, including additional borings.

# 3.3.1. Geotechnical Investigation

H&H shall perform a geotechnical investigation consisting of soil borings, laboratory testing, soil classification, site characterization, and soil boring logs. In addition to the referenced ASTM designations, refer to FHWA Geotechnical Engineering Circular No. 5 (GEC 5) for best practices pertaining to geotechnical site characterization.



# **3.3.2.** Field Investigation – Deep Foundation Borings

The field investigation will consist of soil borings with laboratory testing. Borings shall be made to a minimum depth of 120 feet below existing ground line; however, actual depths may need to be deeper depending on the anticipated foundation reactions. Reduction in foundation capacity due to scour shall be considered when planning the geotechnical investigation. Water level readings shall be made in all soil borings made over land. If the field investigation requires multiple days to complete, at least one 24-hour water level observation shall be made. Boring locations shall be located initially using a hand-held GPS. Final coordinates and elevations shall be surveyed.

#### Sampling

Soil borings shall be made using wet/mud rotary methods below the water table, with solid stem auguring (ASTM D1452) permissible above the water table. Sampling shall consist of pushing thin-walled Shelby tubes in cohesive soils (ASTM D1587) and Standard Penetration Testing (SPT) in cohesionless soils (ASTM D1586). Continuous sampling shall be performed within at least the upper 10 feet, followed by either:

- Sampling at 5-foot centers in cohesive soils, or
- Sampling at 3-foot centers in cohesionless soils.

H&H may increase the depth of continuous sampling to develop relevant soil parameters within the continuous sampling zone. Shelby tube sampling in cohesionless soils and SPT sampling in cohesive soils will not be allowed, except on a case-by-case basis where Shelby tubes cannot be pushed into very hard cohesive soils. When a Shelby tube is retrieved with no recovery, the hole shall be cleaned out and a SPT shall be performed directly below the previous sampling interval.

#### **Borehole Abandonment**

Boreholes shall be backfilled in accordance with all local, State, and Federal regulations. Refer to the Construction of Geotechnical Boreholes and Groundwater Monitoring Systems Handbook for State regulations in the making of boreholes.

#### Sample Storage and Transport

The following practices shall be observed during transport and storage of the samples:

- Cohesive samples may be extruded in the field provided they are stiff enough to be wrapped and transported, otherwise, samples shall be extruded at the laboratory;
- Shelby tubes not extruded in the field shall be sealed using expansion packers to prevent moisture loss and disturbance;
- Samples shall be extruded using a continuous pressure hydraulic ram. Extrusion by any other method, such as water pressure, is prohibited;
- Samples shall be extruded directly onto a sample trough, not caught by the hand; and
- Samples shall be transported vertically in the same orientation that they were sampled. Follow ASTM D4220 for sample transportation except as noted herein.

#### Field Logs

Where samples are extruded in the field, soil borings shall be logged in the field using the visual- manual method for classification (ASTM D2488).

# 3.3.3. Field Investigation – Shallow Subgrade Soil Survey

Subgrade soil survey borings shall be made where new pavement and/or pavement rehabilitation is required on each site. Subgrade soil survey borings can be made utilizing continuous-flight augers, pneumatic, or direct-push sampling. The depth of each boring should be at least 8 feet below the finished roadway elevation or natural ground, whichever is greater, with additional sampling and testing requirements for areas of cut/fill greater than 10 feet. In these cases of excessive cut/fill heights, the deep soil borings may be more appropriate.



# 3.3.4. Laboratory Testing

All laboratory testing shall conform to applicable ASTM and AASHTO test designations.

# Ferry Landing Borings

The following laboratory tests shall be performed, at a minimum:

- Moisture content (ASTM D2216) all samples;
- Unconsolidated-undrained triaxial compressive strength (ASTM D2850) 75% of all cohesive samples;
- Atterberg Limits (ASTM D4318) 75% of all cohesive samples; and
- Grain size testing (ASTM D1140 and ASTM D6913) as needed to classify granular soils.

If consolidation testing is needed, one-dimensional consolidation tests (ASTM D 2435) may be performed in cases where settlement due to fill is expected to be significant.

Dry preparation methods shall not be used for any ferry landing structural borings.

# **Extrusion Logs**

While extruding soil samples, an extrusion log shall be made using the visual-manual classification method. New pocket penetrometer readings shall be made on representative portions of the samples.

# Shallow Subgrade Soil Surveys

The different layers of the soil strata shall be identified every foot or strata break at the discretion of the lab engineer of record using the AASHTO classification system (ASTM D3282, AASHTO M 145) and the following tests:

- Atterberg Limits (ASTM D4318) 100% of all cohesive samples; and
- Moisture content (ASTM D2216) all samples;
- Grain size testing (ASTM D1140 and ASTM D6913) as needed to classify granular soils;
- Hydrometer tests (ASTM D7928) 75% of samples;
- Percent Organics (ASTM D2974) as needed; and
- pH (ASTM G51) and resistivity (AASHTO T 288) as needed, at applicable pipe crossings.

Dry preparation methods (ASTM D421) shall be used where applicable to test shallow subgrade soil survey samples.

# 3.3.5. Site Characterization & Boring Logs

H&H shall use the field and laboratory data to classify the soils according to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) (ASTM D2487). The results shall be presented on signed and sealed soil boring logs adhering to the standard DOTD boring log format. In addition to the USCS classification, the soil descriptions shall include soil consistency/strength, color, and other details or inclusions such as seams, nodules, and organics. Shallow Subgrade soil survey borings shall be presented in a tabular format containing all test results and classified using the AASHTO soil classification method.

# 3.3.6. Geotechnical Engineering Design

The following geotechnical design elements are anticipated for this project. Should the project scope change from these assumptions, DOTD should be notified immediately.

# 3.3.7. Driven Pile Design

Driven pile foundations may be used to support proposed ferry landing bridges, lifting towers, and mooring dolphins. Pile tip elevations shall be designed using the static equilibrium methods presented in FHWA Geotechnical Engineering Circular No. 12 (GEC 12). Specifically, the Nordlund and  $\alpha$  methods shall be used in cohesionless and cohesive soils, respectively.

# LRFD Design

The load and resistance factor design (LRFD) method shall be used to set pile lengths. Subsurface data for each ferry landing site shall be evaluated and divided into design "sites" (design reaches) based on the variability of the data.



Refer to GEC 5 for best practices on selecting sites for LRFD design. At a minimum, all of the following resistance factors ( $\phi$ ) and corresponding pile resistance verification methods shall be evaluated based on costs and engineering benefits:

- φ = 0.80: One Test Pile per design site with 2% (or a minimum of two) production piles tested using dynamic monitoring and signal matching;
- φ = 0.65: One Indicator Pile per design site with 2% (or a minimum of two) production piles tested using dynamic monitoring and signal matching; or
- φ = 0.50: No Test/Indicator Piles, end-of-drive pile resistance verification using the Modified Gates equation.

Recent bid histories for estimating the costs of various resistance factor scenarios are found at: <a href="http://wwwsp.dotd.la.gov/Inside\_LaDOTD/Divisions/Engineering/Project\_Management/Pages/C">http://wwwsp.dotd.la.gov/Inside\_LaDOTD/Divisions/Engineering/Project\_Management/Pages/C</a> ost Estimating Tools.aspx

#### Scour

Pile design shall consider scour in accordance with Bridge Design Technical Memorandum 21 (BDTM.21). Per Bridge Design Technical Memorandum 32, Rev. 3 (BDTM.32.3), required nominal resistances shall be computed for two cases and presented on the Pile Data Tables:

- The case where the pile is driven to the required tip elevation without the benefit of predrilling, and thus developing full side friction along its entire embedment length; and
- The case where the contractor performs predrilling to the scour elevation in order to advance the pile; thus, eliminating side friction within the predrill/scour zone.

#### Lateral Loading

Lateral load analysis shall be performed using a p-y method in accordance with GEC 12. P-y methodology shall be performed to check for lateral stability and displacement of deep foundations. P-y modifiers (p-multipliers) should be considered for special conditions such as group effects and sloped geometry (to include battered piles). For deep foundations installed in inclined conditions the following should be adhered:

- Battered piles inclined in the direction of loading or vertical piles loaded in the direction of the downward slope inclination will have a negative angle of inclination (-) and the P- multipliers (Pm) will range from 0.3 to 1.0.
- Battered piles inclined against the direction of loading or vertical piles loaded in the direction of the upward slope inclination will have a positive angle of inclination (+ ) and the P-multipliers (Pm) will be range from 1.0 to 2.0.

#### **Other Considerations**

Additional design considerations such as uplift, group effect, downdrag, etc. shall be addressed in accordance with GEC 12.

# 3.5.1 Drilled Shaft Design – (If applicable)

Drilled shaft foundations may be considered for support of proposed ferry landing structures. Shaft tip elevations shall be designed using the static equilibrium methods presented in *FHWA Geotechnical Engineering Circular No. 10* (GEC 10).

#### LRFD Design

The load and resistance factor design (LRFD) method shall be used to set shaft lengths. Subsurface data for each ferry landing site shall be evaluated and divided into design "sites" (design reaches) based on the variability of the data. Refer to GEC 5 for best practices on selecting sites for LRFD design.

Drilled shafts shall be designed with a resistance factor,  $\phi$ , of 0.70, corresponding with field verification using bi-directional load testing. Refer to LTRC Project 07-2GT, Calibration of Resistance Factors Needed in the



LRFD Design of Drilled Shafts (Abu-Farsakh et al., 2010) to determine appropriate locally calibrated resistance factors for static design methods without load testing.

#### **Other Considerations**

Additional design considerations such as lateral loading, uplift, group effect, and down drag shall be addressed in accordance with GEC 10.

# 3.3.8. Ferry Landing Foundation Load Test Program

If the project subsurface conditions are difficult, significant uncertainties exist in the foundation design, and cost savings can be predicted, a load test program may be appropriate. Depending on project conditions, a load test program may be included either in the Design or in the Construction phase. The load test program shall include the following:

- 1. Location and Type of proposed load test;
- 2. Design of test foundation (pile, drilled shaft, or other);
- 3. Dynamic test procedures and schedules;
- 4. Load increment requirements;
- 5. Maximum test load;
- 6. Instrumentation requirements;
- 7. Load test Layout and Design Sheets for plans;
- 8. Special Provisions for construction of test foundation and load test methodology;
- 9. Interpretation of load test results and recommendations; and
- 10. Foundation load test report.

# 3.3.9. Slope Stability

Modifications to the bank or channel geometry, including temporary conditions should be analyzed for slope stability. The following maximum resistance factors and equivalent factors of safety shall be considered:

- Typical conditions:  $\varphi = 0.75$  (equivalent minimum FoS  $\approx 1.3$ );
- Critical slopes (slopes with structures, etc.):  $\varphi = 0.65$  (equivalent minimum FoS  $\approx 1.5$ ); and
- Rapid drawdown:  $\varphi = 0.85$  (equivalent minimum FoS  $\approx 1.2$ ).

All potentially critical geometry, groundwater, surface water, and other loading conditions shall be considered for drained and undrained conditions as applicable.

# 3.3.10. Embankment Settlement

The addition of fill may lead to settlement concerns of existing subsurface soils. Consolidation/settlement analysis may be needed to determine the amount of settlement in inches/feet, to estimate the time required for settlement to take place when the proposed embankment is constructed on the project subsurface soils, and to make appropriate Engineering Design Recommendations relative to consolidation settlement. An embankment settlement analysis should include modeling of the appropriate borings logs and critical embankment geometry. Determine the predicted total consolidation settlement and the predicted time rate to achieve only 1 inch of post-construction settlement. If reaching 1 inch of post-construction settlement is anticipated to occur in a time period greater than 5 months, recommendations to reduce the amount of consolidation settlement and/or to accelerate the settlement through the use of lightweight fills, surcharge placement, wick drains or other methods should be determined by the engineer. If necessary, engineer should provide recommendations for a settlement monitoring program.

# 3.3.11. Earth Retaining Structures (ERS)

When adequate space is not available or mitigation of soil erosion is needed for slopes along waterway, a retaining wall may be required. DOTD has used Sheet Pile Walls as temporary and permanent retaining structures. Wall types, such as cantilever or anchored should be selected based on function of the wall, soil characteristics



and proximity to ferry landing structures. Earth retaining structure calculations must include:

- Global stability check of ERS;
- External stability check of ERS;
- Settlement analysis of ERS (If applicable);
- Analysis of governing load conditions under drained and undrained soil conditions; and
- Analysis of any other critical/governing configurations of the ERS.

If sheet piles will be required to construct the design, sheeting must be designed by the Geotechnical engineer and section type, tip elevations, cutoff elevations, and stationing must be provided in plans. Calculations should include appropriate undrained and drained soil conditions and estimated long-term and short-term deflections. The resistance factors from the AASHTO Bridge Design Specifications, latest edition, shall be used to design sheet pile walls. The USACE Design Guide titled "EM-1110-2-2504- Design of Sheet Pile Walls" may be used as a reference.

# 3.3.12. Deliverables

The following deliverables shall be provided during the course of the geotechnical investigation:

# **Geotechnical Investigation Plan**

Prior to beginning the field work associated with the geotechnical investigation, submit a site layout with proposed boring locations for review and approval. Additionally, coordinate with district personnel and provide traffic control plan if traffic will be affected. Traffic control plan should include anticipated dates of road/lane closure and limits of road/lane closure. Final traffic control plan should be submitted 60 days prior to anticipated closure dates.

# **Geotechnical Data Report**

H&H shall furnish a final Geotechnical Data Report (GDR) detailing the results of the subsurface investigation. The GDR shall contain only factual information and no opinions or engineering recommendations. The GDR shall include, at a minimum:

- 1. Cover letter with executive summary describing the subsurface investigation
- 2. Table of contents
- 3. Report Body containing the following sections, at a minimum:
  - a. Project Description
  - b. Summary of subsurface investigation, including description of methods and standards used
- c. Summary of laboratory testing performed, including description of methods and standards used
- 4. Appendix containing the following items, at a minimum:
  - a. Boring plan
  - b. General ferry landing plan & profile sheet used to establish the boring locations
  - c. Soil boring logs
  - d. Plots of grain size distribution curves and consolidation tests, as applicable
  - e. Laboratory test data sheets, including extrusion logs, stress vs. strain plots for triaxial testing, consolidation test deformation vs. time plots (when applicable), Atterberg Limit worksheets, etc.

# Geotechnical Interpretation Report

H&H shall furnish a final Geotechnical Interpretation Report (GIR) detailing assumptions, design methodologies, and final recommendations. The report shall be signed and sealed by a Professional Civil Engineer registered in the State of Louisiana, and shall include the following items, at a minimum:

- 1. Cover letter with executive summary describing the structure type, loads, and pile lengths. All plan-related notes and tables shall be provided in the cover letter.
- 2. Table of contents
- 3. Report Body containing the following sections, at a minimum:
  - a. Project Description
    - i. Summary of structure type



- ii. Summary of subsurface investigation
- iii. Summary of laboratory testing performed
- b. Subsurface Conditions
  - i. Generalized subsurface profile
  - ii. Summary of groundwater conditions
- c. Foundation Analyses
  - i. Summary of design codes and specifications followed
  - ii. Description of static pile analysis method(s) used as well as any relevant assumptions
  - iii. Discussion of the evaluation of various LRFD resistance factors, field verification methods, and associated costs
  - iv. Recommended foundation tip elevations/lengths
  - v. Brief construction recommendations, identification of potential difficult driving conditions, etc.
- d. Slope Stability Recommendations (if applicable)
- e. Embankment Settlement Recommendations (if applicable)
- f. Earth Retaining Structures Recommendations (if applicable)
- 4. Appendix containing the following items, at a minimum:
  - a. Any revised documents from the GDR, such as boring plans or soil boring logs
  - b. Plots of relevant soil data versus elevation including the interpreted design profile for each design site
  - c. Nominal pile resistance versus elevation plots for each design site and pile size/type
  - d. Pile data table
  - e. Plots of settlement versus time for any relevant consolidation settlement runs (if applicable)
  - f. Slope stability output plots for any relevant global stability analyses as well as external stability calculations for ERS (if applicable)

# **Report Format**

The report shall be submitted in electronic format as a searchable .pdf file with bookmarks denoting the various sections of the report. Report body, charts, and figures shall be generated directly from the source applications in order to minimize file size. Documents scanned as raster images shall only be used when no other option exists for their inclusion into the report. All pages shall print to either 8.5" x 11" or 11" x 17" without scaling or adjustment.

#### **Geotechnical Data**

All geotechnical data shall be furnished to DOTD in a gINT .gpj file adhering to the DOTD's standard gINT schema (a template file can be furnished upon request).

# **Soil Boring Logs**

In addition to including half-size boring logs in the GIR, the logs shall also be included in the plans as signed and sealed full-size sheets.

# 3.4 Roadway Design

H&H shall provide the following items:

- 5. Design Report Form
- 6. Preliminary and Final Construction Plans
- 7. Transportation Management Plan (TMP)
  - a. It is anticipated that a level 2 TMP will be warranted
  - b. See EDSM VI.1.1.8
- 8. The Consultant's assistance with permit application drawings, if required, shall be established by a fully executed Supplemental Agreement or Extra Work letter.

# **Design Report Form**

H&H shall prepare the latest version of the DOTD Design Report Form. The report shall be approved by the DOTD



Project Team prior to construction plan development. H&H should reference any relevant environmental documents for design criteria used in Line & Grade development. H&H is responsible for preparing and submitting any design waivers or exceptions.

#### **Preliminary and Final Construction Plans**

Required Plan Submittals:

- 30%, 60%, 90%, 100% Preliminary Plans
- 60%, 95%, 98%, 100% Final Plans
- Special Provisions and NS Pay Items

#### 30% Preliminary Plans include:

- Title Sheet and Layout Map
  - With traffic data
- Typical Sections (pavement design)
- Plan and Profile sheets with existing topographical survey shown
- No vertical alignment (profile) shown
- Existing Drainage Map
- Preliminary Design Report
- Soil boring and pH/resistivity request submitted 60%

#### Preliminary Plans include:

- Title Sheet and Layout Map
- Typical Sections and Details Sheets
- Plan and Profiles Sheets
  - o Horizontal/Vertical Alignment Provided
  - o 1"=50' plan/profile sheets with subsurface drainage and/or open ditch drainage as required
- Summary of Estimated Quantities
  - (No quantities provided, just a list of required pay items)
- Geometric Details
- Existing Drainage Map
- Design Drainage Map
- Drainage Design
  - The Engineer shall prepare a drainage report with calculations for design of maximum capacity of inlets, pipes, and other structures. Drainage design shall comply with the guidelines set in the latest edition of the LA DOTD Hydraulics Manual.
- Cross Sections
  - o (Not completely refined, just big picture view of corridor with R/W shown)
- Utility Relocation Recommendations <u>90%</u>

#### Preliminary Plans include:

- Title Sheet and Layout Map
- Typical Sections and Details Sheets
- Summary of Estimated Quantities
  - (No quantities provided for pay items)
- Plan and Profiles Sheets
  - Further refined alignments and more detail shown
  - o 1"=50' plan/profile sheets with subsurface drainage and/or open ditch drainage as required
- Existing Drainage Map



- Design Drainage Map
- Summary of Drainage Structures Sheet
- Geometric Details
- Suggested Sequence of Construction
- Cross Sections
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Incorporates any alignment changes between 60% and 90% submittals  $\;$
  - Shows Required Right of Way lines (if applicable) and Limits of Construction
- Preliminary Engineer's Construction Cost Estimate
- Updated Utility Relocation Recommendations

# Plan-In-Hand Meeting (PIH)

Following the submittal of the 90% Preliminary Plans, the Engineer shall participate in the PIH meeting. This is an in-person meeting to discuss review comments received from the 90% preliminary plan submittal. See Note 2 for more details.

# Plan-In-Hand Deliverables:

- 90% Preliminary Plans (as described above): One set of half-sized (11x17")
- Preliminary Engineer's Construction Cost Estimate (as described below)
- Meeting documentation (as described below)

# Preliminary Engineer's Construction Cost Estimate

The Engineer shall develop an itemized construction estimate at 90% Preliminary Plans. The estimate shall be broken down by control sections and individual pay items as defined in the Louisiana Standard Specifications for Roads and Bridges, AASHTOWare Project Preconstruction, and Trns•port, or as directed. Due to federal funding, the project quantities and/or cost estimation may need additional documentation in order to divide the quantities between local and federal funds. The estimate shall be presented on  $8 \frac{1}{2}$  x 11" paper, itemized by pay item number, listing the appropriate pay item description. Item costs shall be based on item bid history or regional market conditions, whichever is most appropriate.

# Meeting Documentation

The Engineer shall be responsible for conducting the meeting and preparing and distributing meeting minutes accordingly to all members present. H&H will be required to provide a disposition of comments for the Plan-in-Hand Review to satisfy constructability review requirements.

# 100% Preliminary Plans includes:

- Title Sheet and Layout Map
- Typical Sections and Details Sheets
- Summary of Estimated Quantities
- Plan and Profiles Sheets
- Existing Drainage Map
- Design Drainage Map
- Summary of Drainage Structures Sheet
- Reference Points and Bench Mark Elevation sheets
- Geometric Details
- Suggested Sequence of Construction
- Cross Sections
- 100% Preliminary Plans QC Review Checklist
- Updated Engineer's Cost Estimate based on any changes made as a result of the comments received at the PIH.



#### 100% Preliminary Plans QC Review Checklist

The Engineer shall complete the DOTD QC Review Checklist in accordance with the DOTD Construction Plans QC/QA Manual for review.

60% Final Plans include:

- All sheets included in the 100% preliminary plan submittal revised to include any comments received from the plan reviewers
- Summary of Estimated Quantities and associated Summary Tables should have quantities and quantity calculations provided for each pay item at this point.
- Final Hydraulic Design report submitted for review.
- Suggested Striping and Permanent Signing Layout
- Erosion Control Plan

#### 95% Final Plans include:

- All sheets included in the 60% final plan submittal revised to include any comments received from the plan reviewers
- This submittal initiates the development of the PS&E package (Plans, Specifications, and Estimate)
   Develop any Non-standard specifications
- Updated Engineer's Cost Estimate
  - Complete the Constructability/Bid-ability Form
    - The Design Review portion of the form shall be filled out by the designer prior to 95% Final Plans submittal.
    - See the Plan Constructability Review Form at: <u>http://wwwsp.dotd.la.gov/Inside\_LaDOTD/Divisions/Engineering/Road\_Design/</u> Pages/Standard-<u>Forms.aspx</u>
    - See EDSM III.I.I.32
    - If the Project Manager decides to have a 95% Final Plan meeting, the use of the Constructability/Bid-ability form is not required. In lieu of the form, meeting minutes shall be taken documenting that the plans were reviewed for constructability/bid-ability.

#### 98% Final Plans include:

- All sheets included in the 95% final plan submittal revised to include any comments received from the plan reviewers
- This submittal is predominantly to advance the PS&E package and to submit the plans to DOTD PQU (Plan Quality Unit) for review
- Final Plan QC Review Checklist
- Updated Engineer's Cost Estimate

100% Final Plans include:

- All sheets included in the 98% final plan submittal revised to include any comments received from the plan reviewers.
- Final Engineer's Cost Estimate

#### Notes on Preliminary and Final Plans

- 1. This project shall be designed in accordance with the 2016 Edition of the Louisiana Standard specifications for Roads and Bridges, as well as the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development Minimum Design Guidelines.
- 2. H&H is responsible for providing the Project Manager with a disposition of comments at each plan



submittal and project progress meeting. At the conclusion of the project, H&H shall submit a final disposition of comments and meeting minutes booklet disclosing all comments and dispositions throughout the life of the design stage of the project.

- 3. H&H shall provide support to the DOTD staff regarding technical questions and shall provide the DOTD Project Manager with meeting minutes following any project progress or plan review meetings.
- 4. Items provided by the Department :
  - a. Environmental Approval
  - b. Pavement design
    - See Typical Section on pg. 28 of the Off-System Highway Bridge Program Guidelines. Pavement and Geotechnical may be consulted if site conditions and ADT require a more thorough design.
  - c. Standard Plans (as needed) and Special Details
- 2. H&H is expected to prepare for, and attend, the following (but not limited to) meetings:
  - a. Project Kick-Off
  - b. Plan-in-Hand
  - c. 95% Final Plan Review (with discussion of Risk, TMP, Mitigations, Phasing)
  - d. Project Progress Meetings (as needed)
- 3. Constructability: H&H shall, throughout the life of the project, provide internal constructability reviews to ensure successful project bid-ability.
- 4. Vehicular Traffic Control:
  - Provide traffic control plan with details on the sequence of construction sheets to minimize closures to vehicular traffic for work near LA 23 and LA 15.
- 5. Pre-bid Questions:
  - The Consultant shall provide assistance with answers to pre-bid questions submitted to the Department through the "Falcon" system.
- 6. Electronic files will be in MicroStation and InRoads formats and certified by CADconform.
- 7. H&H shall provide the Department with a Final Calculations Report (electronic format is acceptable) of all design and engineering related calculations pertinent to the project The report should be indexed and tabbed for ease of navigation. Information contained in the report should be neatly arranged and legible.

# 3.5 Bridge Design

The plans may include but not necessarily be limited to ramp bridges, lifting towers, and mooring dolphins along with the associated substructures and foundations. Construction support shall also be included during the Construction Phase.

H&H shall perform bridge engineering services including the following major tasks:

- 1. Development of Preliminary and Final Plans for the new East and West Bank Ferry Landings and shall include:
  - a. Establishment of design criteria including Design Vessel, Berthing Velocity, Wind, Debris, and River Current loadings, Design Vehicle and Speed, Loading on Mooring Facilities, Loading on Bridges and ramps, and completion of a Final Design Criteria Document.
  - b. Design of Landing Ramp Bridges structural design and detailing including:
    - i. Girders, floorbeams, stringers, grating deck, and barrier.
    - ii. Lift Tower, Platform and Tower Access.
    - iii. Lift Beam and Lifting Assembly.
    - iv. End Pile Bent and Pivot Assembly.
  - c. Design of Mooring structural design and detailing including:
    - i. Tripod mooring dolphins.
    - ii. Mooring fenders.
    - iii. Chained mooring dolphins.


- d. Design and details of approach span and any associated soil/embankment retaining system.
- e. Design and details of new Pontoon Barge(s) and associated apron structures.
- f. Design and details of Roadway and Pedestrian Guardrails for the new Ramp Bridges.
- g. Design details and specifications for the Ramp Bridge mechanical system, including lifting tower machinery.
- h. Final Design and details for Ferry Landing electrical systems and components including general plans and elevation of electrical components and systems, one- line and three-line power diagrams, motor starter diagrams, control schematics, conduit and wiring schedule, equipment schedule, panel board schedule, and associated details.
- i. Provide LRFR bridge ratings including inventory and operating rating for HL-93 and inventory rating for LADV-11 for the Ramp Bridges. The bridge rating shall be performed in accordance with the latest edition of the AASHTO Manual for Bridge Fuglication of the AASHTO Manual for

Bridge Evaluation, LADOTD Policies and Guidelines for Bridge Rating and Evaluation, and Bridge Design Technical Memoranda. The bridge rating report shall also be prepared in accordance with the aforementioned publications for each structure.

2. Production and transmittal of Plans, specifications and/or special provisions and non- standard (NS) pay items, and Engineer's Construction Cost Estimate.

Project Schedule and Deliverable Milestones: shall be as determined by the Department in coordination with H&H.

#### **Consultant Submittals**

- Design Criteria
- 30%, 60%, 90%, 100% Preliminary Plans
- 30%, 60%, 95%, 100% Final Plans
- Special Provisions and NS Pay Items
- Construction Cost Estimate
- Design Calculations
- As-Designed Bridge Rating Reports

#### 3.5.1 Services to be performed / items to be provided by DOTD

- As-built plans of existing ferry landings
- Most recent DOTD inspection reports
- Existing bridge rating report or rating summary sheet

#### 3.5.2 Services to be performed / items to be provided by Entity

- Approach Roadway (West Bank)
  - Survey Data & Maps
  - o Geotechnical Data
  - o Final / As-Built Plans & Specs

## 3.6 Deliverables

Document	Hold Point	Witness Point
Quality Assurance / Quality Control Plan (QMP)		Х
Schedule		Х
Survey – Topographical and Hydrographic, both sites	Х	
Geotechnical Investigation Plan		Х



Document	Hold Point	Witness Point
Geotechnical Data Report		Х
Geotechnical Interpretation Report	Х	
Roadway Preliminary Plans – 30%		Х
Roadway Preliminary Plans – 60%		Х
Roadway Preliminary Plans – 90%	Х	
Roadway Preliminary Plans – 100%	Х	
Roadway Final Plans – 60%		Х
Roadway Final Plans – 95%		Х
Roadway Final Plans – 98%	Х	
Roadway Final Plans – 100%	Х	
Bridge Preliminary Plans – 30%		Х
Bridge Preliminary Plans – 60%		Х
Bridge Preliminary Plans – 90%	Х	
Bridge Preliminary Plans – 100%	Х	
Bridge Final Plans – 30%		Х
Bridge Final Plans – 60%		Х
Bridge Final Plans – 95%	Х	
Bridge Final Plans – 100%	Х	
Technical Specifications – 60%		Х
Technical Specifications – 95%	Х	
Technical Specifications – 100%	Х	
Construction Cost Estimates – Preliminary		Х
Construction Cost Estimates – Final	Х	
Design Calculations	Х	

## 3.6.1 Electronic Deliverables

Consultant hereby agrees to produce electronic deliverables in conformance with DOTD Software and Deliverable Standards for Electronic Plans document in effect as of the effective date of the most recent contract action or modification, unless exempted in writing by the Project Manager. Consultant is also responsible for ensuring that subconsultants submit their electronic deliverables in conformance with the same standards. DOTD Software and Deliverable Standards for Electronic Plans document and DOTD CAD Standards Downloads are available via links on the DOTD web site.

Consultant shall apply patches to CAD Standard Resources and install incremental updates of software as needed or required. Consultant hereby agrees to install major updates to software versions and CAD Standard Resources in a timely manner. Major updates of CAD standards and software versions shall be applied per directive or approval of the DOTD Design Automation Manager. Such updates will not have a significant impact on the plan development time or project delivery date, nor will they require Consultant to purchase additional software. Prior to proceeding with plan development, Consultant shall contact the Project Manager for any special instructions regarding project-specific requirements.



If any Digital Plan Delivery Standard conflicts with written documentation, including DOTD plan-development Manuals, the Digital Plan Delivery Standard governs. Consultant is responsible for contacting the Project Manager should questions arise.

Consultant shall upload (or check in) electronic deliverables directly into the DOTD ProjectWise repository at each plan delivery milestone. Consultants are responsible for performing certain operations at each milestone including, but not limited to, the following:

- Upload (or check in) CAD plan deliverables to the discipline "Plans" folder
- Apply and maintain indexing attributes to CAD plans (and other deliverables as needed)
- Publish PDF format plan submittals in ProjectWise using automated publishing tools
- Digitally sign PDF format plan submittals in ProjectWise according to DOTD standards and procedures (Final Plans, Revisions and Change Orders). Signatures shall be applied in signature blocks provided with electronic seals and Title Sheets.

Additionally, after reviewing deliverables for each submittal milestone, the Project Manager shall notify Consultant regarding the availability of two automatically generated informational reports in ProjectWise. These reports document the completion status and other information regarding indexing attributes and CAD standards. Consultants shall take these reports into account and make any necessary adjustments to plans before the next submittal milestone; or sooner, if directed by the Project Manager.

## 3.6.2 Audit Schedule

In accordance with Section 6.2 of the Quality Assurance Plan, Quality Audits shall be determined and scheduled by the Chief Technical Officer or Quality Manager.

## 3.7 Project Specific Procedures

#### **Quality Management Plans**

A Quality Assurance Plan is submitted as Appendix B of this QMP. A Quality Control Plan is submitted as Appendix C of this QMP.

## 3.7.1. Quality Control Plan Modifications

There are no modifications to the QC Plan.

## 3.7.2. Quality Assurance Plan Modifications

There are no modifications to the QA Plan.





## Appendix A

## **H&H Organizational Chart**



#### QUALITY CONTROL

Stephen Mikucki, PE<sup>3</sup> Ray Mankabadi, PE<sup>6</sup> Alexander Noble, PE Timothy Noles, PE<sup>3</sup>

### PROJECT MANAGER

Babak Naghavi, PhD, PE, PH<sup>1,2</sup> ......

#### Page 146 of 203 Legend

- APS Engineering & Testing, LLC
- Ardaman & Associates, Inc.
- Chustz Surveying, LLC
- Moffatt & Nichol
- Pelican Marine Design
- Urban Systems, Inc.
- TCT / TCS / Flagger
- ★ MPR No.

## SURVEY SERVICES

James Chustz, PLS⁵∎
Julian Chustz, PLS⁵∎
Mark Huber, CH
Robbie Benoit
Mark Coinche, CST
Craig Villemarette

## GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN

Peter Johnson, PE
Albert Ayenu-Prah, PE <sup>6</sup> ■
Megan Bourgeois, PE <sup>6</sup>
Robert Jewell, PE <sup>6</sup>
Julian (Jim) Porter <sup>7</sup>
Robert Rousset, PE <sup>6</sup>
Sergio Aviles, PE <sup>6</sup>
Sairam Eddanpudi, PE <sup>6</sup>
Surendra Raj Pathak, PE <sup>6</sup>
Van George <sup>7</sup>

#### COASTAL DESIGN

Eric Smith, PE
Jeff Sheldon, PE
Kevin Hanegan,PE
Maged El-Mestkawy, PE
Teodors Ribakovs

## TRAFFIC SERVICES

Alison Michel, PE
Nicole Stewart, PE
Christine Darrah, PE

## STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Erik Diaz, PE Linh Kim, PE..... Roberto Viciedo, PE<sup>3</sup> Benjamin Hawthorne, PE<sup>3</sup>

#### MECHANICAL DESIGN

Robert Plocica, PE James Gentile, PE Travis, Kimmins, PE<sup>3</sup> Frank Marzella, PE

#### ELECTRICAL DESIGN

Andrew Barthle, PE <sup>3</sup>
Ken Pecquet, PE =
Chris Svara, PE <sup>3</sup>
Marco Lara, PE <sup>3</sup>

#### ROADWAY DESIGN

Rob Hideck, PE<sup>₄</sup> Dalton Hunt, El.....

#### MARINE / PONTOON DESIGN

William Scherer, PE.....

### CONSTRUCTABILITY

Fred Wetekamm, PE .....



## Appendix B

## H&H Quality Assurance Plan



## **Table of Contents**

Table	of Conte	ents	. 1
1.0	Introdu	ction	.2
2.0	Key De	efinitions and Roles	.2
2.1	Key	Definitions	. 2
2.2	Key	Roles	.4
2	.2.1	Discipline or Task Lead	.4
2	.2.2	Project Engineer	.4
2	.2.3	Project Quality Assurance Lead	. 5
2	.2.4	Project Manager	.6
2	.2.5	Discipline Chief Engineer	.7
2	.2.6	Quality Manager	.7
2	.2.7	Chief Technical Officer	. 8
3.0	Genera	al Intent	. 8
3.1	Sche	edule & Frequency of QA Activities	. 8
4.0	Docum	entation Requirements	. 9
4.1	Con	trol of Documents	. 9
4.2	Cha	nge Control of Design Documents	. 9
4	.2.1	Change Control of Design Documents during Design	. 9
4	.2.2	Change Control of Documents during Construction	. 9
4.3	Con	trol of Records	10
5.0	Comm	unication Protocols	10
5.1	Pre-	Project	10
5.2	Proj	ect Start-up	11
5	.2.1	Project Initiation Technical Meeting	11
5	.2.2	Project Staff Kick-off Meeting	11
5.3	Proj	ect Development	12
5	.3.1	Project Submission Report	12
6.0	Quality	Assurance Verification	13
6.1	Qua	lity Assurance Reviews	13
6	.1.1	Phase Submittals	14
6	.1.2	Design Build Submittals	14
6.2	Qua	lity Assurance Audits	14
6	.2.1	Quality Assurance Audit Process	14
6.3	Con	trol of Nonconforming Product	15
6	.3.1	Corrective Action	15
7.0	Sub-Co	onsultants	15

Prepared By J. Bade C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Assurance Plan	REV 3: Updated 05/27/2021 REV.4: Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 Updated 12/29/2022	Page 1
------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------

# H&H

## 1.0 Introduction

Quality Assurance is the systematic review of our design and development processes and our Quality Control activities to confirm that the desired level of quality has been attained and will continue to be obtained. Quality Assurance identifies procedural shortfalls and recommends changes to improve our processes.

Quality Assurance is a company-wide process that confirms that the proper processes are in place to assure that our services and products meet the requisite standard of care.

## 2.0 Key Definitions and Roles

## 2.1 Key Definitions

- a) **Back Checker:** The individual who reviews the Checker's comments. The Originator / Producer functions as the Back Checker unless another qualified individual is assigned by the PM.
- b) **Checker**: The individual who through education and/or experience is knowledgeable within an area of technical subject matter, who has been assigned by project leadership to perform an accuracy and correctness check of technical content.
- c) Check Print: The copy of the work product to be used in the quality control process. The Check Print may be a hard (paper) copy or a digital file such as a Portable Document File (PDF) that is capable of recording review markups. A Check Print is required at each Hold Point and may be requested by the Client, PM, or HQ at a Witness Point. Refer to the appropriate process by document type / class in section 4 for Check Print contents.
- d) Director of Engineering: The Director of Engineering is responsible for overall allocation of staff to projects directly or through coordination with the Office Managers and/or other Staffing Managers, depending on the business unit. For the purposes of this document, the title "Staffing Manager" is used to represent the role of the Office Manager, New York Staffing Manager, or Director of Engineering with respect to assignment of resources.
- e) **Engineer of Record:** A licensed Professional Engineer responsible for signing and sealing design reports, plans, and specifications which they prepared, or which were prepared under their direct supervision.
- f) Fundamental Project: Projects that represent core services for existing clients that do not represent significant or unusual risk to the firm or substantial revenue relative to a specific business unit. Refer to Operating Policy OP-06 for further information.
- g) Hold Point: A level of design where specific aspects of the project such as design objectives, design criteria, and principal geometry are typically locked in. At a minimum, Concept level plans (10-15% design), Final plans (100% design), and Issued For Construction (IFC) or Released For Construction (RFC) plans are mandatory Hold Points.
- h) HQ: Also referred to as Headquarters Engineering. This specifically refers to the authority of the technical directors such as but not limited to the Chief Technical Officer, Director of Engineering, Risk Management Officer, Quality Manager, or respective Practice Leaders and Chief Engineers.
- i) **Independent Check**: Verification of a calculation by performing a separate standalone calculation to confirm results in lieu of performing a detailed check.
- j) **Internal Technical Reviewer**: Reviewer for a project or portion thereof that has not been a principal participant in the development of a work product.
- k) **Key Project:** Any project that does not meet the definition of a Fundamental Project. Refer to Operating Policy OP-06 for further information.

Prepared By J. Bade C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Assurance Plan	REV 3: Updated 05/27/2021 REV.4: Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 Updated 12/29/2022	Page 2
------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------

- Originator / Producer: The individual, qualified by experience in the applicable discipline, who is assigned to prepare documents and/or generate work product.
- m) **Phase Submittal**: A milestone submittal of a preliminary work product to a client (i.e., concept plans, 60% plans, or similar prior to final.)
- n) **Plans**: Drawings and/or CADD files created by H&H for use by the Client as part of the contract or bid documents or, in the case of alternative delivery such as design/build, to construct the project.
- o) Preliminary: A document, including reports, plans, specifications, or similar documents, prepared by H&H and submitted to the client prior to the anticipated final submittal of such document. Preliminary documents are 100% complete and validated by QC but represent a lesser level of development than the final work product.
- p) Principal In Charge: The Principal in Charge (PIC) is responsible for the overall project and delivery of our services to the client. The PIC leads negotiations for contractual agreements with the client and is responsible for overall client satisfaction. The PIC is to be aware of the project performance, both technical and financial, and ensure the Project Manager is performing his/her duties in accordance with the firm requirements.
- q) Project Management Plan (PMP): The plan developed by the Project Manager prior to project initiation to describe "how" and "by whom" a specific project will be performed, including detailed budget, schedule, resources, responsibilities, communications, and quality. The Project Management Plan includes the Operations Plan, Technical Plan and Quality Management Plan.
- r) Quality Management Plan (QMP): The plan developed by the Project Manager to describe specific quality requirements for a given project. The QMP typically includes variances or enhancements to the firm standard QMP.
- s) Quality Control (QC): Procedures of checking the accuracy and consistency of the work product to minimize errors, discrepancies, and omissions, to ensure adherence to industry standards and to deliver an exceptional product to our clients.
- t) Quality Assurance (QA): Procedures of reviewing the design and development processes to ensure the Quality Control procedures are in place, implemented per firm policy and the desired level of quality has been attained and will continue to be obtained. Quality Assurance identifies procedural shortfalls and recommends changes to improve processes where appropriate.
- u) **Quality Auditor:** A person who is an Engineer or Manager that is assigned by HQ to perform a Quality Assurance Audit for a project.
- QC Stamp: A physical or digital stamp applied to work product to signify that it is the check copy (aka Check Print) and for recording the initials and dates of the individuals who performed the quality control process.
- w) Verifier: The individual assigned to verify that the Checker's and Originator / Producer's comments have been implemented. The Verifier may be any individual assigned by the PM but will preferably be the Checker.

Prepared By J. Bade C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Assurance Plan	REV 3: Updated 05/27/2021 REV.4: Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 Updated 12/29/2022	Page 3
------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------



## 2.2 Key Roles

There are several responsible parties involved in the Quality Assurance of a project from inception to completion. Their project and quality specific roles and responsibilities are described below.

Based on the project staffing needs identified, in terms of skill set and level of experience, and the basic project organization structure, the staff related to the key roles of Project Manager, Project Engineer, Project Quality Assurance Lead, and Discipline or Task Lead should be identified. An org chart or responsibility matrix showing these positions should be included in the project files and updated to reflect any staff changes as the project progresses.

## 2.2.1 Discipline or Task Lead

- a) Project Responsibilities
  - 1) Typically, the engineer in responsible charge of a specific design segment and required to sign/seal as Engineer of Record (EOR) unless client or other requirements exist.
- b) Quality Responsibilities
  - Responsible for ensuring that QC has been completed and that the documents have been provided to the Project Quality Assurance Lead, or the Project Manager if no Project Quality Assurance Lead has been assigned for their specific design segment or portion of a project.
  - 2) Responsible for coordination with the Project Engineer if a Project Submission Report is to be prepared, as described in Section 5

## 2.2.2 Project Engineer

A project may have more than one Project Engineer (PE) on large multi-disciplinary projects where work is divided into segments or disciplines. The Project Engineer shall be a licensed Professional Engineer in the jurisdiction of the project.

- a) Project Responsibilities
  - 1) The Project Engineer is responsible for project development and delivery according to the requirements communicated by the Project Manager (PM).
  - 2) Based on the organization of the project as determined by the Chief Technical Officer and the PM, the Project Engineer may be the technical lead for key decisions during the project development process. Alternatively, the Project Engineer may lead the project delivery efforts and work closely with the project Technical Lead in defining the technical direction of the project.
  - 3) Provides communication and direction to technical staff.
  - 4) In coordination with each design lead, the Project Engineer is encouraged to prepare a Project Submission Report as described in Section 5
- b) Quality Responsibilities
  - 1) Serves as an intermediary between the Project Quality Assurance Lead and project development activities.
  - 2) In instances where the Project Engineer is the technical lead for the project, the Project Engineer is responsible to document the key decisions including code interpretations, contract nonconformances, and deviations made and document acceptance of these decisions by the PM. Documentation of such instances must be made available to the Project Quality Assurance Lead and Quality Manager.

Prepared By J. Bade C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Assurance Plan	REV 3: Updated 05/27/2021 REV.4: Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 Updated 12/29/2022	Page 4
------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------





- 3) In instances where the Project Engineer is the Project Quality Assurance Lead, the Project Engineer is responsible for all responsibilities listed in Section 2.2.3 below including but not limited to:
  - a) Scheduling Quality Assurance Reviews as required in Section 3.1 below.
  - b) Providing necessary information to the Quality Auditor. Necessary information includes writeups for complex or complicated design documents or computations to facilitate review.

## 2.2.3 Project Quality Assurance Lead

The Project Quality Assurance Lead (PQAL) is assigned by the Project Manager and may be a third party not involved in project development but is typically the Project Engineer. The PQAL is responsible for the collection and appropriate filing of all Quality Control and Quality Assurance documentation. If separate from the Project Engineer, the PQAL should be a licensed professional engineer, and responsible for oversight of project specific quality activities.

The PQAL shall report to the Project Manager and the Quality Manager as outlined below.

The PQAL must be familiar with Client Requirements.

- a) Project Responsibilities
  - 1) Communicates with Project Manager and Project Engineer on a regular basis to maintain the QC review schedule for projects.
  - 2) Maintains an auditable record of all QC reporting forms generated during design reviews.
- b) Quality Responsibilities
  - 1) Has 'halt work' authority for nonconformance.
  - 2) Responsible for management of the Quality Control and Quality Assurance process either directly or through delegation.
  - 3) Shall direct QC efforts and verify that the QC activities have been performed and that qualified and competent personnel have undertaken the QC activities in coordination with the Project Engineer. Quality Control shall be done by project level staff directly involved with design activities.
  - Responsible for performing Quality Assurance Reviews. The PQAL shall review the project Quality Control documentation in advance of submission to confirm that design QC activities are complete and comply with the Quality Management Plan.
    - a. Quality Control Documents that are not accompanied by appropriate information or explanation may be rejected by the PQAL and returned to the Project Engineer for completion.
    - b. Documents the results of the QA review activities, verifies incorporation of comments made during QA reviews, and resolves outstanding comments through communication with the Project Manager and Project Engineer.
      - i. Identifies and records nonconformance on the Quality Assurance Report Form.
      - ii. Tracks, monitors, and reports to the Project Manager and Quality Manager on the status of outstanding design-related nonconformance reports as requested.
  - 5) Generates Quality Assurance reports using the Quality Assurance Report Form when requested by the Quality Manager. The report is submitted to HQ and a copy is placed in the project files.

Prepared By J. Bade C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Assurance Plan	REV 3: Updated 05/27/2021 REV.4: Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 Updated 12/29/2022	Page 5
------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------



## 2.2.4 Project Manager

The Project Manager (PM) is responsible for all activities necessary to deliver H&H services in accordance with the contract requirements.

- a) Project Responsibilities:
  - 1) The Project Manager is responsible for the project financial performance as well as oversight of the technical sufficiency of the services.
  - 2) The PM is responsible for developing the Project Technical Approach Plan in accordance with Operating Policy OP-06 and for meeting all project specific goals set forth in the Plan.
  - 3) The PM directs the development and delivery process. The PM also directs all communication with the Client.
  - 4) The PM coordinates with the Staffing Manager on project staff needs.
  - 5) The PM's activities shall include, as a minimum, assessment and evaluation of the following as they are applicable to a given project:
    - a. Design reports
    - b. Analytical approach
    - c. Drawing details for conformity to Contract requirements
    - d. Project Specifications for conformity to Contract requirements
    - e. Design and Work Plans
    - f. Major temporary components' effect on permanent components
    - g. Field design changes
    - h. Design approvals for Materials and procedures
    - i. As-Built Plans for conformity with final design and Contract requirements.
  - 6) The PM, and/or staff working under the direct supervision of the PM, shall conduct an assessment and evaluation of design such that the PM can certify to the Chief Technical Officer, the Quality Manager, and to the Client, if required, that the design satisfies the Contract requirements, including the following requirements:
    - a. Accuracy
    - b. Adequacy
    - c. Conformance to standards of practice
    - d. Compliance with codes and standards
    - e. Quality
    - f. Fitness for purpose and/or function as specified and/or implied in the Contract
    - g. Conformance with the standard practices and specifications of the Client.
  - 7) Sign the Quality Assurance Report Form.
    - a. The Project Manager, certifies that the noted submittal for the referenced project has completed and met the requirements of the Project Quality Management Plan, is complete for the level of development and meets the requirements of Hardesty & Hanover.

Prepared By J. Bade C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Assurance Plan	REV 3: Updated 05/27/2021 REV.4: Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 Updated 12/29/2022	Page 6
------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------



- b) Quality Responsibilities
  - 1) The Project Manager develops a Quality Management Plan to meet the specific project goals and requirements. The QMP is submitted to the Quality Manager for review.

## 2.2.5 Discipline Chief Engineer

- a) Project Responsibilities
  - 1) Does not typically have direct project development responsibilities unless assigned to the project.
- b) Quality Responsibilities
  - Upon request of the Project Manager, resolves and documents the resolution of any differences of opinion between the Checker and Back Checker during Quality Control and provides this information to the Project Quality Assurance Lead (PQAL) or the Project Manager if no PQAL has been assigned.
  - 2) Attends both the Project Initiation Technical Meeting and the Project Staff Kick-off Meeting. Based on the scope and discussions at the Project Initiation Technical Meeting, the CTO and Chief Engineers decide the level of HQ Oversight and Chief Engineer (or delegate) involvement appropriate for the project.

## 2.2.6 Quality Manager

The Quality Manager (QM) has firm wide responsibility for confirming that Project Managers have developed and adhered to Quality Management Plans for individual projects. The QM is responsible for meeting the quality goals and objectives set by the Chief Technical Officer.

The QM provides oversight of the review and audit process through coordination with the Project Managers and Project Quality Assurance Leads.

- a) Project Responsibilities:
  - 1) Reviews and approves Quality Management Plans in support of firm goals.
- b) Quality Responsibilities:
  - 1) Develops a framework for the H&H Quality Control and Assurance Plans. Manages and implements these policy documents.
  - 2) Evaluates existing plans to determine if plans are effective.
  - 3) Recommends improvements to existing plans.
  - 4) Directs the performance of internal audits of the quality process on a project-by-project basis. Prepares nonconformance reports if required.
  - 5) Has 'halt work' authority for nonconformance.
  - 6) Prepares periodic reports to the Chief Technical Officer identifying:
    - a. QC activities performed by project as directed by the QM
    - b. Submissions-prior completed and future planned
    - c. Projects that may require additional technical oversight
    - d. Contract nonconformance reports
    - e. QC Plan nonconformance reports.



## 2.2.7 Chief Technical Officer

The Chief Technical Officer (CTO) is responsible for the technical quality of the services of the firm. In this capacity, the CTO defines policies and directives that establish the minimum performance criteria for the technical services of the firm.

- a) Quality Responsibilities:
  - 1) Establishes quality goals and objectives
  - 2) Monitors the performance of the Quality Manager and supporting quality staff
  - 3) Performs independent review of Key Projects
  - 4) Has 'Halt work' authority for project technical services.

## 3.0 General Intent

All projects require a Quality Management Plan (QMP) as part of the Project Management Plan. Planning for Quality Assurance is an integral part of the QMP to be developed by the Project Manager prior to project inception. The Quality Management Plan shall follow the H&H Quality Management Plan Template, modified as necessary for project and client requirements, to ensure the quality of our services meets the requirements of the client within the requisite standard of care. All Quality Management Plans are subject to the approval of the Quality Manager.

The intent of this Quality Assurance Plan is to provide procedural controls for maintaining the quality of work delivered to the Client through communication and verification. Quality Assurance is not a substitute for appropriate project quality control activities.

Quality Assurance is validation that our services and products meet the requisite standard of care and communication of those standards. It is a company-wide process that confirms that the proper processes are in place and being followed.

Examples of Quality Assurance activities include:

- a) Quality Assurance Review Review, by the Project Quality Assurance Lead, of documented internal and external comments generated during the internal QC process or external milestone review and confirmation that all comments were addressed, or the reviewer agrees to non-incorporation on the basis of sufficient explanation.
- b) Quality Assurance Audit Audits consist of a review, by HQ or their designee in conjunction with the Project Manager and the Project Quality Assurance Lead, of Quality Control functions and documentation for conformance with applicable procedures. Quality Assurance Audits are covered in Section 6 of this document.
- c) Project Initiation Meetings Project Initiation Meetings consist of two meetings held prior to the initiation of services and are discussed further in Section 5.
- d) Project Submission Reports Optional report, prepared prior to phase or final submission of design plans and calculations and provided with the submission. Further discussion provided in Section 5.

## 3.1 Schedule & Frequency of QA Activities

QC processes are performed as work products are developed and/or at various stages of project development and need to be accounted for in the project development schedule. Quality Assurance must consider that the work may proceed through several major stages and that at completion of each milestone in the development of a project, major interdisciplinary coordination, or Internal Technical Review, the Quality Control documentation will be developed.

Prepared By J. Bade C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Assurance Plan	REV 3: Updated 05/27/2021 REV.4: Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 Updated 12/29/2022	Page 8
------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------



The key to assurance of quality is verification that the quality control procedures and supplementary activities have been implemented and, if not, the Project Manager is informed of the discrepancies. The PM is responsible to plan and execute corrective actions. These actions require documentation by the Quality Manager and review of this documentation by the firm's Chief Technical Officer.

## 4.0 Documentation Requirements

## 4.1 Control of Documents

The Project Manager is directly or through delegation responsible for the handling and storage of all project documents. The Project Manager should identify and communicate to all project staff the location of all project documentation. Access to project documentation - including but not limited to filing, letters, memos, records, reports, calculations, computer output, drawings, specifications, and QA/QC documentation - shall be in accordance with the Hardesty & Hanover "Document Control and Retention Guidelines" and the requirements of the Project Information Control System (PICS). Files may be accessed by authorized personnel only.

The Project Quality Assurance Lead is responsible for the proper use, distribution, and approval of quality related documents. The Project Quality Assurance Lead, in implementing these duties, will prepare and distribute a written procedure for use on the project, as well as any checklists of quality related documents considered to be necessary.

Documentation must be kept in order to provide a record that the development and review process was performed as required. This documentation must include records of the important steps which led to the development of final planning documents as well as the final design, such as preliminary concepts, model validation, design calculations, computer code input and any communications, instructions and directives which have a direct bearing on the project.

## 4.2 Change Control of Design Documents

## 4.2.1 Change Control of Design Documents during Design

Once a Quality Assurance review has been initiated on a milestone submittal, work shall not continue to be progressed until after the submission has been made. Revisions to Project Design Documents shall not be permitted prior to a milestone submittal after the Verifier has signed off on the Check Print.

## 4.2.2 Change Control of Documents during Construction

The Project Manager is responsible to provide the interface with the client during the pre-bid, bid, and award stages of the Construction Contract. Supplements or addenda developed during this period shall receive the same level of review as the original document and be reviewed by the Discipline or Task Lead Engineer prior to issue.

As-Built Drawings and Specifications shall be developed per Contract/Agreement requirements. As-Builts shall be independently reviewed to assure field marked prints and other sources of as-built information have been correctly translated onto the original document.

Revisions to Project Design Documents shall be controlled. Methods are established with the project Construction Management Team on a project-specific basis to assure revisions are reviewed to the same level as the original documents for the area of change and previous versions of the documents undergoing change have been appropriately controlled to prevent inadvertent use. Prior to submission, the Project Manager and Discipline or Task Lead Engineer shall review the Project Design Documents. Records of these activities shall be maintained by the Discipline or Task Lead Engineer.

## 4.3 Control of Records

Sufficient documentation and records will be accumulated to provide objective evidence that the design development and review process has been performed in accordance with accepted engineering practice, as well as in

Prepared By	Approved By	Quality Assurance Plan	REV 3: Updated 05/27/2021 REV.4: Updated 02/28/2022	Page 0
J. Bade C. Leahy	K. Griesing	Quality Assurance Plan	REV. 5 Updated 12/29/2022	Page 9



conformance to contractual requirements and client directions, including pertinent quality records of subconsultants, if any.

The documentation will include not only final design documents, such as drawings and specifications, but records of important steps which led to the final design, such as design calculations, communications, instructions and directives which have a direct bearing on the project.

Control of records shall be in accordance with the Hardesty & Hanover "Document Control and Retention Guidelines" and the requirements of the Project Information Control System (PICS). Records will be filed by subject, date, file category, etc. Quality Assurance reviews and audits and corrective action will be stored electronically and filed in the 200-PM\QA folder in the electronic project files along with the approved Project Quality Management Plan.

An Index of Project Records will be part of the File. Responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the records is assigned to the Project Manager or their designee.

Access to records will be under control of the Project Manager or their designee.

Removal of records to a location other than the immediate area where the file is located will be restricted to authorized persons (Principal and Project Manager). Measures to identify removed files and their current location shall be maintained. Security measures as determined by the Project Manager will be applied to those records dealing with Construction Cost Estimates.

The Project Manager, in accordance with the provisions of the contract, will identify those records to be transmitted to the Client upon completion of the Project and transmit the appropriate records.

## 5.0 Communication Protocols

Quality should be advocated from the top down and the bottom up through communication between all levels of the project. Quality is achieved through adequate planning, scoping, communications and coordination, supervision, and technical direction; by providing adequate time in the schedule for thorough reviews; by proper definition of job requirements and procedures; by the use of appropriately skilled personnel; and by individuals performing their work functions carefully.

The Project Manager is responsible to ensure the project team understands the necessary steps and has the proper time to execute the necessary activities.

This section sets the minimum requirements for communication during project development.

## 5.1 Pre-Project

During the period before the initiation of the project, the Project Manager is responsible to develop the QMP as required by Operating Policy OP-06. During this phase, the Project Manager must communicate with the Client, HQ, the Quality Manager, and the Staffing Managers for the various disciplines required by the scope of services to identify resources for delivery and quality activities. Information from the QMP shall be entered into the Vision database, including but not limited to, designation of project category as Key or Fundamental per Operating Policy OP-06, project stage and proposed submission schedule.

In some circumstances the Quality Management Plan may be part of the project pursuit process and proposal. This is particularly true with Design-Build pursuits. For all projects, the QMP is subject to approval of the Quality Manager and/or Chief Technical Officer for use during project execution.

## 5.2 Project Start-up

Assuring the quality of our services requires each project to begin with the ultimate goals in mind. To this end, the quality process will have several specific and required steps as part of the project start-up procedure. The following identifies the minimum recommended steps to be taken and documented prior to the initiation of any project.

Prepared By J. Bade C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Assurance Plan	REV 3: Updated 05/27/2021 REV.4: Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 Updated 12/29/2022	Page 10
------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------



## 5.2.1 Project Initiation Technical Meeting

Subsequent to review of the Project Technical Plan, the CTO will determine if the project requires a Project Initiation Technical Meeting. If required, a Project Initiation Technical Meeting will be scheduled with the firm technical managers. The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the following:

- a) Scope of services
- b) Client expectations
- c) Project schedule
- d) Anticipated work plan and staffing needs
- e) Specific technical requirements or complexities
- f) Risks associated with the project and the intended mitigation measures
- g) Quality Management Plan

The following individuals, or their appointed representative, should participate in the Project Initiation Technical Meeting:

- a) Chief Technical Officer
- b) Chief Operating Officer/Director of Project Management
- c) Quality Manager
- d) Principal-in-Charge (At their discretion)
- e) Project Manager
- f) Project Engineer

The Project Manager shall be responsible for taking minutes of the meeting and distributing the minutes to all attendees. Approved minutes shall be filed in the Project folder.

Subsequent to the Project Initiation Technical Meeting, the Staffing Manager will assign specific resources for the project based on the needs identified at the meeting. These specific resources should be utilized by the project management team to fulfill the key roles in the project work plan.

The Project Initiation Technical Meeting may serve as the formal initiation of the project.

## 5.2.2 Project Staff Kick-off Meeting

Once the specific resources are assigned and their roles identified, the Project Management team should schedule a Project Staff Kick-off meeting. The Project Staff Kick-off meeting serves to inform the assigned staff of the following:

- a) Scope of services
- b) Project schedule including document submittals, number, and degree of completion
- c) Key staff roles and associated responsibilities
- d) Quality Management Plan including key staff assigned for Quality Control and Assurance activities
- e) Quality activities shall occur and be documented throughout the project development process.

The following individuals, or their appointed representative, should participate in the Staff Kick-off Meeting:

- a) Project Manager
- b) Project Engineer

Prepared By J. Bade C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Assurance Plan	REV 3: Updated 05/27/2021 REV.4: Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 Updated 12/29/2022	Page 11
------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------



- c) Project Quality Assurance Lead
- d) Project Discipline or Task Leads

The Project Manager shall prepare and submit the minutes of the meeting to the attendees of the Project Staff Kickoff meeting and the Project Initiation Technical Meeting.

## 5.3 Project Development

Phase submissions to the client, and other preliminary document reviews, such as technical policy or constructability, should be identified in the Quality Management Plan. At project inception, the Project Manager will assign dates to each phase submission and provide the schedule in Vision for incorporation into a companywide schedule for quality management activities. The Project Manager shall inform the Quality Manager or a member of the quality management support staff, as appropriate, of changes to the project schedule that impact the milestone dates.

Communication processes during project development at a minimum should include the following:

- a) Providing all information covered during the Project Staff Kick-off Meeting and the minutes from that meeting to any new staff joining the project.
- b) Coordination with the Project Quality Assurance Lead, Discipline or Task Leads, and the Project Engineer to ensure that all documentation is being filed according to the QMP.
- c) Coordination with the Project Quality Assurance Lead, Discipline or Task Leads, and the Project Engineer in advance of phase and/or final submittals for Quality Assurance Verification Processes.

Progress beyond set milestones shall not be permitted without the authority of the Project Manager and communication to the Project Quality Assurance Lead. The PM is responsible for confirming that Quality Control processes have been completed and documented, that the Reviewer has verified that all comments have been correctly incorporated, and that the document review is complete, with any outstanding issues resolved in accordance with the procedures in the Quality Control Plan. The PM shall sign and seal the Quality Assurance Review & Certification Form (Form QAR) for all external submittals or as directed by the QM.

## 5.3.1 Project Submission Report

To facilitate the Quality Assurance process, Project Managers are strongly encouraged to include a Project Submission Report (PSR) with phase and final submissions where the submission deliverable is not a report. A PSR covers one distinct discipline or task associated with the project, but several disciplines or tasks may be combined in to one report at the discretion of the Project Manager. The PSR is developed in coordination with the Discipline or Task Leads and the Project Engineer.

A PSR typically consists of the following sections:

- a) Introduction This section contains a brief summary of the project, a description of the design elements covered in the PSR, a statement of purpose for the submission, and a list of any reference documents.
- b) Design and Performance Criteria
- c) Design Approach
- d) Design Changes from Prior Submission (if appropriate)
- e) Detailed Discussion of the PSR Design Elements
- f) Responses to Comments on Prior Submission (if appropriate)
- g) Summary of Design Variations.



## 5.4 Post Design

## 5.4.1 Post Design Kickoff Meeting

At the start of the Post Design Phase, the Project Management team should schedule a Project Post Design Kick-off meeting. The Post Design Kick-off meeting serves to inform the assigned staff of the following:

- Approved stamps to be used
- Appropriate use of stamps for Shop Drawings vs Construction procedures, calculations, catalog cuts, etc.
- Correct review and mark -up procedure as per the firm QC Plan
- Logging requirements and maintenance of logs

## 6.0 Quality Assurance Verification

Documentation is to be kept which provides a record that the design development and review process was performed as required. This documentation is to include records of the important steps which led to the development of final planning documents as well as the final design, such as preliminary concepts, model validation, design calculations, computer code input and any communications, instructions, and directives which have a direct bearing on the project.

Types of documentation to be reviewed for compliance with the procedures set out in the Quality Control Plan:

- a) Design Criteria
- b) Reports All reports prepared for the project irrespective of type.
- c) Interdisciplinary Coordination Minutes of meetings and signed attendance lists.
- d) Calculations/Computer Solutions
- e) Drawings
- f) Specifications
- g) External Comment Responses
- h) Prior Audit Documents All documentation provided by the Quality Auditor including recommendations for improvement, nonconformance reports, and any other check lists.

## 6.1 Quality Assurance Reviews

Quality Assurance reviews should be implemented in advance of all external submittals for a project. A Quality Assurance Review documents compliance with the QC Plan and identifies areas of nonconformance.

A Quality Assurance Review consists of review, by the Project Quality Assurance Lead, of documented internal and external comments generated during the internal QC process or external milestone review and confirmation that all comments were addressed, or the reviewer agrees to non-incorporation on the basis of sufficient explanation.

The goal of the Quality Assurance Review is to identify areas of weakness in the Quality Control process and develop preventive actions that focus on areas of potential nonconformance to reduce the risk associated with these areas.

The QA Review should identify potential nonconformities, their probable cause, determination of preventive action needed, implementation of preventive action and determining if preventive action was implemented and effective in preventing nonconformity.

The Project Manager is responsible for developing and implementing preventive actions that address the potential areas of nonconformance identified in the Quality Assurance Review and works to reduce or eliminate the risk in

Prepared By J. Bade C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Assurance Plan	REV 3: Updated 05/27/2021 REV.4: Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 Updated 12/29/2022	Page 13
------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------



these areas. The Project Quality Assurance Lead shall document the preventive action procedures and lead the discussion with the Project Manager, Project Engineer, and HQ.

Any preventive action procedure shall identify the necessary steps required to reduce the risk of nonconformance. The steps should include, but not be limited to, quality review of the proposed work prior to submission and "lessons learned" from previous or similar types of projects.

## 6.1.1 Phase Submittals

Phase submittals are required to be checked, back checked, corrected, and verified prior to submittal in accordance with the Quality Control Plan. The level of detail of the review may be varied at the discretion of the PM provided that all critical information, either specifically required by the contract or considered fundamental to the development of the design, is verified to have received a full check and back check as detailed in the Quality Control Plan for the classification of document and as appropriate for the level of development.

At a minimum, the following items shall be verified:

- a) Presence of a completed Quality Control Stamp on a check print of all submittal documents.
- b) Completion of any Internal Technical Reviews
- c) Incorporation and/or response to all comments from both internal reviewers and external milestone reviewers (if applicable). All comments should be responded to on a Comment Response Form (CRF). CRF format to be determined by the PM in consideration of any Client requirements

### 6.1.2 Design Build Submittals

In general, specific requirements for design build submittals will be addressed in the contract and/or the Project Management Plan. Design Build submittals shall be subject to the same Quality Assurance process as defined herein for design projects. All final design build submittals (i.e., 90% submittals or those marked for construction such as "Issued For Construction" (IFC) or "Released For Construction" (RFC)), whether submitted as a phase submittal or as part of a complete set of final documents, will be subject to a Quality Assurance Review.

## 6.2 Quality Assurance Audits

Quality Assurance Audits will be performed to confirm conformance with the Quality Management Plan of a given project. The focus of the audit is to verify that each project has sufficiently accomplished all quality goals set forth in the Quality Management Plan, to identify any areas of nonconformance, and determine any corrective actions. Quality Assurance Audits will be scheduled by the Quality Manager and Chief Technical Officer.

The minimum number of Quality Audits shall be once during the life of the Contract/Agreement or a minimum of once a year on multi-year Contracts/Agreements and once per year during post design (CSS) activities. Additional Quality Assurance Audits may be scheduled by HQ during extended periods of project development, after a period of interruption in work, during post design services (construction support services), or during or immediately after inspection operations.

### 6.2.1 Quality Assurance Audit Process

Audits will be administered and documented by a Quality Auditor assigned by HQ. The Principal-in-Charge, Project Manager, and Project Quality Assurance Lead shall participate in the QA Audit if requested by the Quality Auditor. The Project Quality Assurance Lead is responsible to provide all necessary information for the audit.

Personnel conducting audits are required to be objective and impartial in conducting the audit. Self-audits shall not be allowed.

The evaluation will consist of review of documents, site visits (if applicable), discussions with staff, and nonconformance evaluations. The purpose of the evaluation is to confirm adherence to the QMP.

Prepared By J. Bade C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Assurance Plan	REV 3: Updated 05/27/2021 REV.4: Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 Updated 12/29/2022	Page 14
------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------



Results of Quality Audits shall be documented in the Quality Audit Log. If issues of nonconformance are identified, recommended corrective and preventative actions shall be generated as a portion of the Nonconformance Report. Where applicable, systemic corrective and preventative actions are communicated companywide to affect a companywide change.

Follow-up Quality Audits are performed as necessary, to ensure implementation of corrective action with the results reported to the Project Engineer, Project Manager, Quality Manager, and Chief Technical Officer.

## 6.3 Control of Nonconforming Product

A nonconformance in work output occurs when non-trivial errors are discovered in output documents issued as final documents. Final documents are signed and dated documents ready to be issued for construction, bid, or procurement.

Reports of nonconformances discovered by outside sources shall be processed by the Project Manager and Quality Manager.

## 6.3.1 Corrective Action

If required, any Corrective Action is monitored to ensure closure.

Corrective action will be appropriate to the severity of the nonconformance identified. The Project Manager shall develop and implement any corrective action procedure taken. The corrective action procedure shall be approved by the Chief Technical Officer. The procedure shall identify the nonconformance root cause and the necessary actions required to resolve the nonconformance to the satisfaction of the client. The procedure addresses nonconformity identification (including client complaints), cause determination, action to prevent recurrence, identifying and implementing the corrective action, recording results, and determining if the corrective action was implemented and effective in resolving the nonconformance.

## 7.0 Sub-Consultants

Subconsultants are responsible for performing their own Quality Control. H&H Project Managers shall require QA/QC Plans from all sub-consultants. Subconsultants that choose not to provide their own QA/QC plans must adopt the H&H QA/QC plans. H&H Project Managers are responsible for the following:

- Review of sub-consultant's internal QA/QC Plan for adequacy in meeting client and project requirements. If inadequate, H&H will require further provisions be incorporated into the sub-consultant's QA /QC Plan as necessary to meet project requirements.
- b) If the subconsultant has adopted the H&H QA/QC plan, H&H Project Managers shall provide copies of the plans and review the requirements with the subconsultant's Project Manager at project initiation.
- c) Meet with sub-consultant's Project Manager periodically to ensure that the sub-consultant is adhering to their QA/QC Plan. The H&H Project Manager is responsible for auditing subconsultants in accordance with the subconsultant audit schedule provided in the Project Management Plan.

Prepared By J. Bade C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Assurance Plan	REV 3: Updated 05/27/2021 REV.4: Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 Updated 12/29/2022	Page 15



## Appendix C

## **H&H Quality Control Plan**





# Hardesty & Hanover, LLC Quality Control Plan



## **Table of Contents**

H&H

1.0	Intro	duction	3
2.0	Key	Definitions and Roles	3
3.0	Gen	eral Intent	5
4.1	Proc	ess by Document Type / Class	5
4.2	D	esign Plans	5
4.2	2.1	Preparing a Check Print of a Drawing	7
4.2	2.2	Checking Drawings	7
4.2	2.3	Back Checking Drawings	8
4.2	2.4	Correcting Drawings	8
4.2	2.5	Verification of Original Check Print	9
4.2	2.6	Verification of New Check Print	9
4.2	2.7	Checking Process for Additional Changes to Drawings	9
4.2	2.8	Checking Process for Multiple Phase Reviews	9
4.3	C C	alculations	10
4	1.3.1	Preparing Check Copies of Calculations	10
4	1.3.2	Checking Calculations	11
4	4.3.3	Back Checking Calculations	12
4	1.3.4	Correcting Calculations	12
4	4.3.5	Verification of Original Check Copy of a Calculation	12
4	4.3.6	Checking Process for Additional Changes to Calculations	13
4	4.3.7	Independent Check in Lieu of Detailed Checking	13
4.4	R	eports & Specifications	13
4	1.4.1	Preparing Check Copies of Reports & Specifications	14
4	1.4.2	Checking Reports & Specifications	14
4	1.4.3	Back Checking Reports & Specifications	15
4	1.4.4	Correcting Reports or Specifications	15
4	1.4.5	Verification of Corrections to Reports & Specifications	15
4.4	4.6	Checking Process for Additional Changes to Reports or Specifications	16
4	1.4.7	Checking Reports or Specifications Electronically	16
4.5	i In	ternal Technical Reviews	16
4	1.5.1	Preparing Work Product for ITR	16
4	1.5.2	Performing Internal Technical Reviews	17
4	4.5.3	Reconciliation of Internal Technical Review Comments	17
5.0	Che	cklists	17
6.1	Subi	mittals	17

Prepared By	Approved By	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022	Page 1
C. Leahy	K. Griesing		REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	



6.2 Phase Submitta	als	17
6.3 Final Submittals	S	
6.4 Design Build Sι	ubmittals	
6.5 Miscellaneous S	Submittals	
7.1 Post Design Subm	nittal Reviews	
7.2 Shop drawings.		19
7.3 Review of Cons	struction Methods and/or Procedures	19
8.0 Owner's Engineer	or Peer Review Role	20

Prepared By	Approved By	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021	Page 2
C. Leahy	K. Griesing	Quality Control Plan	REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	



H&H

Quality Control is a series of activities, actions and procedures routinely undertaken to ensure that our services and their representative work products are produced to the requisite standard of care and in accordance with the defined technical philosophy of the firm. This manual defines specific procedures for executing quality control functions in the preparation of engineering studies and designs, including reports, plans, specifications, and other similar documents. This Quality Control Plan (QCP) shall be implemented as an element of the overall project Quality Management Plan (QMP) where either specifically called for in the Contract, Project Management Plan (PMP), or where implied by project or industry standards.

## 2.1 Key Definitions and Roles

The following definitions are used throughout this manual:

- a) **Back Checker:** The individual who reviews the Checker's comments. The Originator/Producer functions as the Back Checker unless another qualified individual is assigned by the Project Manager (PM).
- b) **Checker**: The individual who through education and/or experience is knowledgeable within an area of technical subject matter, who has been assigned by project leadership to perform an accuracy and correctness check of technical content.
- c) Check Print: The copy of the work product to be used in the quality control (QC) process. The Check Print may be a hard (paper) copy or a digital file such as a Portable Document File (PDF) that is capable of recording review markups. A Check Print is required at each Hold Point and may be requested by the Client, PM, or Headquarters Engineering (HQ) at a Witness Point. Refer to the appropriate process by document type/class in Section 4 for Check Print contents.
- d) Hold Point: A level of design where specific aspects of the project such as design objectives, design criteria, and principal geometry are typically locked in. Hold Points require completion of all Quality Control procedures and Quality Assurance Review and Certification before a submittal can be made. Once the QC process is initiated, the work product cannot continue to be progressed. The project Hold Points shall be established by the PM in compliance with Client and HQ requirements at the beginning of the project. All external milestone submittals are Hold points.
- e) HQ: Also referred to as Headquarters Engineering. This specifically refers to the authority of the technical directors such as but not limited to the Chief Technical Officer, Director of Engineering, Risk Management Officer, Quality Manager, or respective Practice Leaders and Chief Engineers.
- f) **Independent Check**: Verification of a calculation by performing a separate standalone calculation to confirm results in lieu of performing a detailed check.
- g) **Internal Technical Reviewer**: Reviewer, assigned by HQ, for a project or portion thereof that has not been a principal participant in the development of a work product.
- h) **Originator/Producer**: The individual, qualified by experience in the applicable discipline, who is assigned to prepare documents and/or generate work product.
- i) **Phase Submittal**: A milestone submittal of a preliminary work product to a client (i.e., Concept plans, 60% plans, or similar prior to final.)
- j) **Plans**: Drawings and/or CADD files created by Hardesty & Hanover (H&H) for use by the Client as part of the contract or bid documents or, in the case of alternative delivery such as Design/Build, to construct the project.

Prepared By	Approved By	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022	Page 3
C. Leahy	K. Griesing	Quality Control 1 Ian	REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	



- k) **PE**: Project Engineer.
- I) **PM**: Project Manager.
- m) Preliminary: A document, including reports, plans, specifications, or similar documents, prepared by H&H and submitted to the client prior to the anticipated final submittal of such document. Preliminary documents are 100% complete and validated by QC but represent a lesser level of development than the final work product.
- n) Quality Management Plan (QMP): The plan developed by the Project Manager to describe specific quality requirements for a given project. The QMP typically includes project-specific amendments to the current edition of the H&H Quality Management Plan.
- o) **QC Stamp**: A physical or digital stamp applied to work product to signify that it is the Check Copy (aka Check Print) and for recording the initials and dates of the individuals who performed the quality control process.
- p) Quality Assurance: The systematic review of design and development processes, specifically Quality Control activities, to confirm that processes are implemented per policy and the desired level of quality has been attained and will continue to be obtained. Quality Assurance identifies procedural shortfalls and recommends changes to improve our processes.
- q) Report: Any document (letter, report, inspection report, etc.) prepared under the terms of a Contract and intended for distribution outside of H&H, which includes statements of professional opinion, condition assessment, calculation, evaluation, design, engineering judgment, cost estimates, etc.
- r) **Specifications**: Custom technical specifications or special provisions prepared by H&H to amend, supplement, or modify the project's standard construction or material specifications.
- s) **Stet**: Let it stand (used as an instruction on a printed proof to indicate that a correction or alteration should be ignored).
- t) **Verifier**: The individual assigned to verify that the Checker's and Originator/Producer's comments have been implemented. The Verifier may be any individual assigned by the PM but will preferably be the Checker.
- u) Witness Point: A level of design that has not been identified as a Hold Point by the Client, the PM, or HQ but for which QA activities may be required. Witness Points may include items such as the Quality Management Plan, project schedule, interim phase submittals (30%,60%,90%), field inspection MOT plans, field inspection verification plans, and internal progress sets. All external milestone submittals, whether Witness or Hold Points require Quality Assurance Review and Certification prior to submittal.
- Work Product: A document or other product produced by H&H for a client under the terms of a contract. Work products may be hard copies, electronic deliverables, or electronic files (e.g., CADD files, spreadsheets or similar.)

Prepared By C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	Page 4
-------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------



## 3.0 General Intent

It is the general intent of this plan to define procedures for quality control which minimize errors, discrepancies, and omissions in H&H's work products. Furthermore, such procedures are intended to produce concise, delineated records of the in-house quality control process.

Although the physical process may vary for detailed checking of the various types of work products prepared under the requirements of this plan, the intent is for each work product to be thoroughly reviewed in detail by someone in addition to the review by the Originator/Producer who prepared that work product for conformity with generally accepted standards of design and engineering practice. Items transmitted purely for informational purposes and coordination of the design development may not need to follow the full QC process but must be reviewed by someone other than the Originator and documented with a QAR form. Frequency and content of required documentation shall be determined by the Quality Manager. Unless otherwise approved by the engineer in responsible charge, at least one of the primary individuals involved in preparing (Originator/Producer) or checking (Checker) a document shall be a Professional Engineer, experienced and qualified in the appropriate engineering discipline. Similar credentials are required in the event the work product is other than an engineering work product.

Comments generated by the quality control process are to be resolved to the satisfaction of both the Originator/Producer and the Checker. The process of Quality Control (QC) is to be documented and recorded in a manner which allows for management of the process and review of the process through Quality Assurance (QA).

Some work products and services may require the use of third-party information and/or materials provided by the client, or the use of data, documents or services provided by subcontractors, subconsultants, and suppliers. As required in their subcontract and approved Quality Management Plan, if one exists, subcontractors, subconsultants, and suppliers are ultimately responsible for the quality of the goods, work products and services they provide. Where H&H has a prime contractual relationship, H&H will review subcontractor, subconsultant, and supplier work products, supplied materials, and services only to verify compliance with contractual requirements and to coordinate the work. This does not preclude project specific reviews of subcontractor, subconsultant, and supplier work product if such reviews are required by contract.

## 4.0 Process by Document Type / Class

Each type of work product produced will be subjected to a detailed quality control process as defined herein. Prior to initiation of the quality control process the PM/PE shall review and coordinate with the Originator/Producer the appropriate level of detail and information for a given document type or class and level of development. Work products of a type not specifically noted shall be processed by the most appropriate process, as determined by the PM. Except as noted for preliminary documents in Article 6.1, all documents submitted to someone outside the design team (or design build team in the case of a Design/Build project) shall be checked in accordance with the requirements of this section. Specific colors are assigned to each role in the checking process; however, alternate color schemes may be used as long as the role and associated color are clearly identified. The Project Manager or Project Engineer shall modify permissions to the project submittal folders to prevent editing of documents that have completed the QC process.

## 4.1 Design Plans

All drawings shall be checked for technical content, clarity, style, and conformance with design criteria and Client/H&H standards by someone other than the Originator/Producer. This process shall be executed and documented as noted below and in the flow chart of Figure 1.

Prepared By C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	Page 5



## **Design Plan Development**



Figure 1

Prepared By C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	Page 6
-------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------



## 4.1.1 Preparing a Check Print of a Drawing

Once the Originator/Producer and PM have agreed that a drawing contains the appropriate level of detail and information required at the phase submission, or is substantially complete and ready for checking, a Check Print will be prepared, and the PM will assign it to the Checker. Each Check Print shall bear a red Quality Control Stamp as shown in Figure 2 with the Originator/Producer's initials and date in the "Ready for Checking" boxes. The Check Print shall be designated as final or for a specific phase submittal. It is preferred that the QC stamp is applied to each sheet.

However, for electronic files only, if one stamp is applicable to all sheets within a discipline, One stamp may be applied to the first sheet and a note added indicating to which sheets the QC stamp applies.

H&H QC DOCUMENT	PHASE (	or FINAL
PROCESS	INITIALS	DATE
READY FOR CHECKING		
CHECKED CORRECT (YELLOW) CHANGE (RED)		
BACK CHECKED (GREEN)		
CORRECTED (BLUE HIGHLIGHTER)		
VERIFIED (ORANGE HIGHLIGHTER)		



## 4.1.2 Checking Drawings

The Checker's colors are YELLOW and RED

The Checker will ascertain that the drawing is consistent with the corresponding checked calculations, design reports, and other related project documents. The Checker is required to perform the following:

- a) Ascertain that the document conforms with reliable engineering judgment and practice and is suitable and sufficient to accomplish the required function; the Checker shall review the Check Print in detail for:
  - Technical sufficiency appropriate for the level of design development
  - Conformance with design calculations
  - Conformance to applicable standards and design criteria
  - Coordination with specifications and other design documents
  - Conformance with established CADD formats and styles. The project CADD Standards and project drawing templates are established by the CADD Manager under direction of the PM. Any questions about conformance with the project CADD Standards should be referred to the CADD Manager.
- b) Highlight in YELLOW each element or section checked that is found to be correct, and/or with which the Checker agrees on the Check Print. If no corrections are needed, a yellow slash through a sheet or detail or a large yellow check over a sheet or detail signifies that the Checker has reviewed that sheet or detail.
- c) Mark in RED on the Check Print any corrections, additions, and/or deletions, mark any questions directed to the Originator/Producer in RED.
- d) The Checker initials and dates the Check Print in the appropriate box ("Checked") of the Quality Control Stamp on the Check Print(s) and forwards the document for back checking, unless no changes are required in which case the QC process is complete.

Prepared By	Approved By	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022	Page 7
C. Leahy	K. Griesing		REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	

e) If the check is limited to a particular discipline, or component, of the drawing this shall be noted on the Check Print or first sheet of a set of Check Prints.

## 4.1.3 Back Checking Drawings

#### The Back Checker's color is GREEN

H&H

After the Checker has completed review of the Check Print, the Back Checker (either the Originator/Producer or their appropriate designee), reviews the Checker's marks and agrees to or resolves the Checker's proposed corrections. The Back Checker is required to perform the following:

- a) Verifies that the complete drawing has been checked in accordance with the above requirements (i.e., all applicable contents of the drawing are marked in either yellow or red), checkmarks in GREEN each of the Checker's red marked changes to signify agreement with the Checker that the marked changes are to be made or adds in GREEN any additional changes not identified by the Checker. Answers Checker's questions in GREEN and marks up any changes needed to implement the response, also in GREEN.
- b) Returns to Checker if necessary. Every modification, including all comments marked STET, responses, or additional changes made by the Back Checker in GREEN must be highlighted in YELLOW by the Checker to signify agreement.
- c) Resolves significant differences of opinion with the Checker. If an understanding or agreement cannot be reached, the Checker refers the issue to the Project Engineer, Discipline Chief Engineer, or Project Manager before continuing with the checking process. Upon agreement of the solution:
  - 1) The Checker marks their concurrence (YELLOW).
  - 2) Cross out in GREEN each of the Checker's red marked changes that the Originator/Producer and Checker agree should not be changed. The Back Checker rewrites next to the crossed out red marks the original information that is to remain unchanged or indicates "stet".
- d) Confirms that every red marked change made by the Checker now has a GREEN check next to it and that every modification, including all comments marked STET, or additional change made by the Back Checker in GREEN has been highlighted in YELLOW by the Checker to signify agreement.
- e) The Back Checker initials and dates the Check Print stamp ("Back Checked") and forwards the reconciled Check Print to the Originator/Producer (if different from the Back Checker) for correction.
- f) Note: If the Back Checker is also the person correcting the drawing, the Back Checker should still apply the Green check or highlight to show agreement with the change. Documenting that a change was corrected does not eliminate the need for also documenting agreement with the change. This step should not be omitted.

## 4.1.4 Correcting Drawings

#### The Corrector's color is BLUE

- a) The Originator/Producer corrects, or supervises the correction of, the original document to implement the changes agreed to by the Checker and Back Checker. As corrections are made the changed item is highlighted in BLUE on the Check Print to document the action.
- b) Upon completion of the corrections, the Corrector makes a new print, initials, and dates the Check Print stamp ("Corrected") on the original Check Print and forwards the original Check Print and corrected new print to the Verifier for verification.
- c) If the changes are so extensive as to make the first Check Print illegible for use by the Checker, the Originator/Producer makes a new Check Print upon completion of the corrections, labels it Check Print #2,

Prepared By	Approved By	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022	Page 8
C. Leahy	K. Griesing	Quality Control 1 Ian	REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	



places a new QC Stamp on the print, and places it on top of Check Print #1, which is then stamped or marked "revised". The Originator/Producer initials and dates Check Print #2 in the appropriate box of the Quality Control Stamp ("Ready for Checking") and forwards the document to the Checker to repeat the process.

### 4.1.5 Verification of Original Check Print

#### The Verifier's color is ORANGE

H&H

The Verifier compares each of the Back Checker's marked changes on the previous version of the Check Print(s) (Original or Check Print #2), with the revised part of the updated document. If the Verifier concurs that the changes have been properly implemented, the Verifier marks over the changes with ORANGE on the Check Print. The Verifier will also make certain that no inadvertent changes, not noted on the Check Print, have been made.

#### 4.1.6 Verification of New Check Print

When the Verifier is processing a new Check Print (#2, #3, etc.), the Verifier must compare each part of the new Check Print with the corresponding part of the previous Check Print. If the changes have been made accurately on the updated Check Print, the Verifier:

- a) Checks that each correction, addition, and/or deletion as well as each new section that has been redrawn, rewritten, retyped, or recalculated has been correctly transferred to the original from the Check Print(s).
- b) On the most recent Check Print of the corrected document, marks over all the corrections that were made in ORANGE.
- c) Verifies that no inadvertent changes, not noted on the Check Print, have been made to any parts of the drawing and signifies so by striking a YELLOW mark across the drawing.
- d) Marks in RED on the new Check Print any corrections, additions, and/or deletions that were overlooked on the backchecked Check Print.
- e) Returns the Check Prints to the Back Checker, who checks in GREEN the red marks, if found to be correct, on the new print marked by the Verifier, and sends the Check Prints for correction. When all changes in the most recent Check Print have been marked over in ORANGE, the checking process is complete.
- f) The Verifier initials and dates the Check Print stamp on the line designated for the Verifier and signs off in the drawing or calculation sheets as specified and forwards the Check Print to the Originator of the document.

#### 4.1.7 Checking Process for Additional Changes to Drawings

If additional changes or revisions become necessary, following review by the client, for example, they are processed on a new Check Print in the same manner as described previously. Although only the new changes need to be checked, the Originator/Producer and Checker are still responsible for assuring that correct interfacing with the affected changes is checked completely. The Originator/Producer and Checker must verify that any changes or revisions are coordinated throughout the project documents, including calculations, plans, and specifications. They must also ensure changes or revisions are made on CADD files, computer printouts, and contract reports.

### 4.1.8 Checking Process for Multiple Phase Reviews

Phase Reviews, where required (refer to Section 6.1 Phase Submittals), are processed in the same manner as described previously except as noted herein. Although only the changes, updates and new content not verified on previous Check Prints need to be checked, the Originator/Producer and Checker are still responsible for assuring that the document is checked completely. A yellow slash through a sheet should be used if previously checked and no changes were made since the prior phase submission. If there have been no changes to the entire set or to a discipline specific set, a copy of the prior check set, with a note on the cover sheet indicating no changes from prior check set,

Prepared By C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	Page 9
-------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------



should be placed in the folder for this phase. The Originator/Producer and Checker must verify that any changes or revisions, including changes implemented in prior checking, are coordinated throughout the project documents, including calculations, plans, and specifications. Unless prior Check Prints are affixed to the current Check Print, the Checker shall note the source (e.g., prior phase Check Print) for items that are accepted based on a previously checked set.

## 4.2 Calculations

Calculations that support final work product shall be checked for technical content, clarity, style, and conformance with design criteria and standards by someone other than the Originator. This process shall be executed and documented as noted below and in the flow chart of Figure 3.



## **Design Calculation Development**



## 4.2.1 Preparing Check Copies of Calculations

Once the Originator/Producer and PM or PE have agreed that a calculation is substantially complete and ready for checking, a Check Copy will be prepared, and the PM or PE will assign it to the Checker. The Checker will be provided with the design criteria. It is strongly encouraged that a brief narrative for the design element be included as part of the design criteria write up. The first sheet of the calculation must be a Calculation Cover Sheet. Each Check Copy shall

Prepared By Approved C. Leahy K. Griesi	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	Page 10
--------------------------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------





bear a red Quality Control Stamp as shown in Figure 2 on the cover sheet with the Originator/Producer's initials and date in the "Ready for Checking" boxes. In lieu of placing the QC stamp on the cover sheet, a standalone sheet with the QC stamp may follow the cover sheet. The Check Copy shall be designated as final or for a specific phase submittal. Each sheet of the calculation or each cover page of computer analysis output will include the initials of the Originator/Producer and all pages will be numbered.

### 4.2.2 Checking Calculations<sup>1</sup>

#### The Checker's colors are YELLOW and RED

The Checker will ascertain that the calculation is consistent with the design reports, design criteria, and other related project documents. The Checker is required to perform the following:

- a) Ascertain that the calculation conforms with reliable engineering judgment and practice and is suitable and sufficient to accomplish the required function; the Checker shall review the calculation in detail for:
  - Technical sufficiency appropriate for the level of design development
  - Conformance with related design calculations
  - Mathematical accuracy
  - Conformance to applicable standards and design criteria
  - Coordination with specifications and other design documents.
- b) Highlight in YELLOW each element, or section checked, that is found to be correct and/or with which the Checker agrees, on the Check Copy. For software programs which use a color highlighting scheme to designate different types of input, a yellow checkmark or yellow slash down left side of the page may be used in lieu of highlighting each element.
- c) Mark in RED on the Check Copy any corrections, additions, and/or deletions.
- d) Prepare and attach any independent calculations made by the Checker.
- e) Resolve significant differences of opinion with the Originator. If an understanding or agreement cannot be reached, the Checker refers the issue to the Project Engineer, Discipline Chief Engineer, or Project Manager before continuing with the checking process.
- f) The Checker initials and dates the cover sheet in the appropriate box of the Quality Control Stamp on the Check Copy and forwards the document for back checking.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The process outlined in this section is intended for calculations that can be checked without generating significant paper waste such as hand calculations, simple Mathcad output, simple Spreadsheets, etc. For computer programs generating voluminous output files the output should not be printed hard copy. The process should be followed electronically on a PDF. Alternately, a summary sheet of the output from the computer program can be prepared and a list of files checked can be appended to the summary sheet with the following information included: file directory / name, timestamp, and list of inputs checked. If the appropriate checked information can be summarized on one screen or input box, screen shots may be appended as appropriate.

Prepared By C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	Page 11
-------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------



## 4.2.3 Back Checking Calculations

#### The Back Checker's color is GREEN

After the Checker has completed review of the Check Copy, the Originator/Producer, acting as Back Checker, reviews the Checker's marks and supervises or personally makes the changes required. The Back Checker is required to perform the following:

- a) Verifies that the complete calculation has been checked in accordance with the above requirements (i.e., all appropriate contents of the calculation are marked in either yellow or red), checkmarks in GREEN each of the Checker's red marked changes to signify agreement with the Checker that the changes marked are to be made, or adds in GREEN any additional changes not identified by the Checker.
- b) Resolves significant differences of opinion with the Checker, if an understanding or agreement cannot be reached, the Checker refers the issue to the Project Engineer, Discipline Chief Engineer, or Project Manager, for resolution.
- c) Confirms that every red marked change made by the Checker now has a GREEN check next to it and that every additional change made in GREEN has been highlighted in YELLOW by the Checker to signify agreement. For software programs which use a color highlighting scheme to designate different types of input, a yellow checkmark may be used in lieu of highlighting.
- d) Crosses out in GREEN each of the Checker's red marked changes that the Back Checker and the Checker agree should not be changed. The Back Checker rewrites next to the crossed out red marks the original information that is to remain unchanged or indicates "stet". The Checker must mark all such green marks in YELLOW.
- e) The Back Checker initials and dates the Check Copy cover sheet in the appropriate box ("Back Checked") of the Quality Control Stamp and forwards the document for correction.
- f) Note: If the Back Checker is also the person correcting the calculation, the Back Checker should still apply the Green check or highlight to show agreement with the change. Documenting that a change was corrected does not eliminate the need for also documenting agreement with the change. This step should not be omitted.

## 4.2.4 Correcting Calculations

The Corrector's color is BLUE

- a) The Originator/Producer corrects the original document, or supervises correction of the calculation, to implement the reconciled changes. As corrections are made the changed item is highlighted in BLUE on the Check Print to document the action.
- b) The Originator/Producer initials and dates the cover sheet in the appropriate box of the Quality Control Stamp ("Corrected") and forwards the Check Copy and corrected original (or copy) to the Checker for verification.

### 4.2.5 Verification of Original Check Copy of a Calculation

The Verifier's color is ORANGE

a) The Verifier compares each of the Back Checker's marked changes on the Check Copy, with the revised part of the corrected calculation. If the Verifier concurs that the changes have been properly implemented, the Verifier marks over the changes in ORANGE on the Check Copy. The Verifier will also make certain that no inadvertent changes, not noted on the Check Copy, have been made. For software programs which use a color highlighting scheme to designate different types of input, an orange checkmark may be used in lieu of highlighting.

Prepared By C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	Page 12



## 4.2.6 Checking Process for Additional Changes to Calculations

If additional changes or revisions become necessary, following review by the Client or significant changes during detailing, for example, they are processed on a new Check Copy in the same manner as described previously. Although only the new changes or revisions need to be checked, the Originator/Producer and Checker are still responsible for assuring that correct interfacing with the affected changes is checked completely. The Originator/Producer and Checker must verify that any changes or revisions are coordinated throughout the project documents, including calculations, plans, and specifications.

## 4.2.7 Independent Check in Lieu of Detailed Checking

With approval of the PM, or if required by the Contract, the Checker may perform an Independent Check in lieu of following the detailed checking procedure outlined above. The Independent Check shall consist of a standalone set of calculations that produce results similar enough to the original calculation to confirm its accuracy and adequacy. An Independent Check is most commonly used to check the results of analysis produced using proprietary software or inhouse computer applications. An Independent Check may be done by hand calculations or using a software application other than the original calculation.

To implement an Independent Check, apply the QC Stamp to the cover sheet of the calculations and write "Independent Check" across the Checked box in GREEN pen.

As part of an Independent Check, the Checker is required to perform the following:

- a) Ascertain that the calculation conforms with reliable engineering judgment and practice and is suitable and sufficient to accomplish the required function.
- b) Review the original calculation for:
  - Technical sufficiency
  - Conformance to applicable standards and design criteria
  - Conformance with related design calculations
  - Coordination with specifications and other design documents.
- c) Prepare independent check calculations to confirm the results of the original calculation.
- d) Mark any review comments regarding the original calculations and/or the independent verification on the cover sheet of the original calculations, initial and date the QC stamp.
- e) Provide the original and independent calculations to the Originator/Producer for Back Checking.

As part of an Independent Check, the Back Checker is required to perform the following:

- a) Respond to all comments made by the Checker, either agreeing to or resolving the comments.
- b) If corrections are necessary, correct the original calculation and provide to the Checker for additional review.
- c) Once all review comments are reconciled, or if no corrections are necessary initial and date the QC stamp.
- d) Forward to the Checker for verification.

## 4.3 Reports & Specifications

Similar to drawings and calculations, all reports and specifications will pass through a quality control process prior to submittal. This process will verify that the document's technical contents are accurate, that the spelling and grammar

Prepared By Approved By C. Leahy K. Griesing	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	Page 13
-------------------------------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------


contained in the document are correct, that the format and style of the document are in conformance with project standards, and that the appropriate disclaimers and assumptions are conspicuously defined.

#### 4.3.1 Preparing Check Copies of Reports & Specifications

Once the Originator/Producer and PM have agreed that a report or specification is substantially complete and ready for checking, a Check Copy will be prepared, and the PM will assign it to the Checker. The first sheet of the Check Copy shall bear a red Quality Control Stamp as shown in Figure 2 with the Originator/Producer's initials and date in the "Ready for Checking" boxes. The Check Copy shall be designated as final or for a specific phase submittal. If not included on the first sheet, the Originator/Producer will write "prepared by" and sign his/her initials on the sheet.

#### 4.3.2 Checking Reports & Specifications

#### The Checker's colors are YELLOW and RED

The Checker will ascertain that the report or specification is consistent with the supporting calculations, plans, and related project documents. The Checker's colors are RED and YELLOW. The Checker is required to perform the following:

- a) Ascertain that the report or specification contents are technically and grammatically correct; the Checker shall review the Check Copy in detail for:
  - Technical sufficiency
  - Conformance to applicable standards and design criteria
  - Correct grammar
  - Correct spelling
  - Appropriate disclaimers and assumptions
  - Conformance with supporting design calculations
- b) Coordination with other design documents
- c) For specifications, the Checker shall ascertain that the format of the specification is consistent with the format of the remaining project documents and project standards for specifications, including the following:
  - Proper titles, headers, footers, date formats, etc.
  - Correct article, section, and paragraph identification and sequence
  - Proper format and sequence of contents (i.e., materials, construction, submittals, payment, etc.)
- d) Each word does not need to be highlighted in YELLOW. One YELLOW slash shall be applied across or down the page to indicate the page was checked. The exception is all numerical values including referenced specification numbers, e.g., ASTM, shall be verified and fully marked in YELLOW if correct.
- e) Mark in RED on the Check Copy, any corrections, additions, and/or deletions, mark any questions directed to the Originator/Producer in RED.
- f) Resolve significant differences of opinion with the Originator. If an understanding or agreement cannot be reached, the Checker refers the issue to the Project Engineer, Discipline Chief Engineer, or Project Manager before continuing with the checking process.

Prepared By	Approved By	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022	Page
C. Leahy	K. Griesing	Quality Control Plan	REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	14

- g) The Checker initials and dates the first sheet of the copy in the appropriate box of the Quality Control Stamp and forwards the document for back checking and correction unless no changes are required in which case the QC process is complete.
- h) If a check is limited to a particular discipline, or component of the report or specification, this shall be noted on the first sheet of the Check Copy.

#### 4.3.3 Back Checking Reports & Specifications

#### The Back Checker's color is GREEN

H&H

After the Checker has completed review of the Check Copy, the Originator/Producer, acting as Back Checker, reviews the Checker's marks and supervises or personally makes the changes required. In addition, the Back Checker:

- a) Verifies that the complete report or specification has been checked in accordance with the above requirements (i.e., all contents of the report or specification are marked in either yellow or red), checkmarks in GREEN each of the Checker's red marked changes to signify agreement with the Checker that the changes marked are to be made, and adds in GREEN any additional changes not identified by the Checker.
- b) Resolves significant differences of opinion with the Checker, if an understanding or agreement cannot be reached, the Checker refers the issue to the Project Engineer, Discipline Chief Engineer, or Project Manager, for resolution.
- c) Crosses out in GREEN each of the Checker's red marked changes that the Back Checker and the Checker agree should not be changed. The Back Checker rewrites next to the crossed out red marks the original information that is to remain unchanged or indicates "stet". The Checker must mark all such green marks in YELLOW.
- d) The Back Checker supervises or personally corrects the original document. If the Back Checker is also the person correcting the document, the Back Checker should still apply the Green check or highlight to show agreement with the change. Documenting that a change was corrected does not eliminate the need for also documenting agreement with the change. This step should not be omitted.
- e) The Originator/Producer initials and dates the cover sheet in the appropriate box of the Quality Control Stamp and forwards the Check Copy (preferably a redlined print) and a new clean copy of the revised document to the Checker for verification.

#### 4.3.4 Correcting Reports or Specifications

The Corrector's color is BLUE

- f) The Originator/Producer corrects the original document, or supervises correction of the document, to implement the reconciled changes. As corrections are made the changed item is highlighted in BLUE on the Check Print to document the action.
- g) The Originator/Producer initials and dates the cover sheet in the appropriate box of the Quality Control Stamp ("Corrected") and forwards the Check Copy and corrected original (or copy) to the Checker for verification.

#### 4.3.5 Verification of Corrections to Reports & Specifications

The Verifier's color is ORANGE

The Verifier compares each of the Back Checker's marked changes on the Check Copy, with the revised part of the corrected report or specification. If the Verifier concurs that the changes have been properly implemented, the Verifier marks over the changes in ORANGE on the Check Copy. The Verifier will also make certain that no inadvertent changes, not noted on the Check Copy, have been made.

Prepared By C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	Page 15
	-		•	



#### 4.3.6 Checking Process for Additional Changes to Reports or Specifications

If additional changes or revisions become necessary, following review by the client or significant changes to content, for example, they are processed on a new Check Copy in the same manner as described previously. Although only the new changes need to be checked, the Originator/Producer and Checker are still responsible for assuring that correct interfacing with the affected changes is checked completely. The Originator/Producer and Checker must verify that any changes or revisions are coordinated throughout the project documents, including calculations, plans, and specifications.

#### 4.3.7 Checking Reports or Specifications Electronically

Checking / Back Checking / Verifying reports or specifications electronically can be accomplished using the Track Changes feature within Microsoft Word (unique colors will be assigned by Word). Each reviewer should have their name set in the program options so that they are shown as the reviewer. The Originator shall also function as Back Checker and Corrector. Electronic checking using Track Changes shall follow this procedure:

- a) Checker uses Track Changes to make corrections and saves file as both a Word Doc and as a PDF file which becomes the QC check set
- b) The QC Stamp is applied to the PDF QC check set which contains the tracked changes as red markups. The QC stamp is initialed and dated by Originator as Ready for Checking and by the Checker as checked.
- c) Originator/Back Checker first agrees with the changes in the QC PDF check set and applies a green check or highlight mark to the QC PDF check set to show agreement
- d) Originator/Corrector accepts agreed upon changes with Track Changes in the original Word document and applies blue check or highlight mark to the QC PDF check set to confirm changes were made
- e) Checker compares revised Word document, in which tracked changes were accepted, to the QC PDF check set and applies orange check or highlight to the QC PDF check set to verify that all corrections were made
- f) QC PDF check set shall be locked to restrict editing and saved in the 400-Delivery\QC folder for that submittal
- g) One copy of the Final Word doc shall be saved to the 400-Delivery\Deliverables folder for that submission

# 4.4 Internal Technical Reviews

Internal Technical Reviews (ITR) are specific purpose reviews of work product performed by an individual that was not involved in the production of the work product. Unlike quality control reviews, ITRs are not a detailed check, but rather a general review of work product for applicability of criteria, assumptions, methodology, concept, compliance with project requirements, constructability, biddability or other specific objectives. ITRs are performed by staff with technical experience related to the specific purpose.

ITRs may be performed at any stage or phase of a project, from design criteria to final biddability. However, ITRs are not a substitute for the quality control review process. Instead, ITRs are intended to supplement the quality control process through additional review of project elements deemed by HQ (or in some cases contractual requirements) worthy of supplementary scrutiny.

#### 4.4.1 Preparing Work Product for ITR

Once the Originator/Producer and PM have agreed that a work product is ready for ITR, a review copy will be prepared. The PM will prepare an ITR Form and submit the request to HQ. The ITR Form will designate the work product to be reviewed and the detailed scope of the ITR. Upon receiving notification from HQ that a reviewer has been assigned, the PM will attach the ITR form to the review copy and pass it to the Internal Technical Reviewer assigned by HQ.

Prepared By C. LeahyApproved By K. GriesingQuality Control PlanREV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022Page 16	repared By C. Leahy
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------



#### 4.4.2 Performing Internal Technical Reviews

The Internal Technical Reviewer's color is RED

The Internal Technical Reviewer is required to perform the following:

- a) Review the work product for the specific objective requested.
- b) Indicate on the ITR Form if review comments are noted on the ITR Form, marked on the work product, or a combination.
- c) Mark in RED any comments that are to be indicated on the work product; and/or type onto the ITR Form any comments.
- d) Sign and date the ITR Form and provide it to the PM and PE for review and distribution to the Back Checker.

#### 4.4.3 Reconciliation of Internal Technical Review Comments

The Back Checker is required to perform the following:

- a) Respond to all comments made by the Internal Technical Reviewer on the ITR Form, either agreeing to or resolving the comments.
- b) If corrections are necessary, correct the work product and provide to the Internal Technical Reviewer for additional review.
- c) Once all review comments are reconciled, or if no corrections are necessary, the PM shall sign and date the ITR Form.
- d) Return the ITR Form to the Internal Technical Reviewer to sign and date acknowledging that all responses are accepted.

# 5.0 Checklists

Use of checklists is encouraged in the quality control process. Checklists, containing typical items expected to be included in designs, reports, drawings, specifications, or other documents, may be standard in-house checklists, checklists prepared by the client, checklists included in standard plans preparation manuals, or checklists developed specifically for a project.

Checklists which are used in the quality control process shall be completed and initialed by the Checker and attached to the Check Print or copy. The Checker is responsible for verifying that the checklist used is appropriate for the application.

# 6.0 Submittals

Document submittals, number, degree of development, and schedule, will be defined for each project either by the client or within the client's standards. In addition to these defined submittals, any work product transmitted to a non H&H entity shall be considered a submittal for purposes of QC and QA and documented with a QAR form. The following procedures for checking of various submittals will be implemented for ALL submittals irrespective of their quantity, degree of completion, and schedule.

## 6.1 Phase Submittals

Phase submittals are required to be checked, back checked, corrected, and verified prior to submittal. The level of detail of the process may be varied at the discretion of the PM for Witness Points provided that all critical information, either specifically required by the contract or considered fundamental to the development of the design, receives the

Prepared By C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	Page 17
C. Leahy	K. Griesing		REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	17



full check and back check detailed above for the classification of document and as appropriate for the level of development. Information presented in preliminary documents which is clearly under development, not completed, or subject to change must be reviewed by the Checker but need not be checked as required for final documents or at Hold Points, provided that the document is clearly marked as preliminary and subject to change. For example, in checking of concrete detail drawings to be submitted at the 60% level and required to define the general dimensions of the concrete, the concrete outlines and dimensions must be completely checked, but any rebar details need only be reviewed at this Witness Point.

# 6.2 Final Submittals

Final submittals are required to be completely checked, back checked, corrected, and verified in accordance with the appropriate procedure defined herein for the type of document. Final submittals are mandatory Hold Points.

## 6.3 Design Build Submittals

In general, specific requirements for design build submittals will be addressed in the contract and/or the Project Management Plan. Design Build submittals shall be subject the same quality control process as defined herein for design projects. All Issued For Construction (IFC) or Released For Construction (RFC) submittals are mandatory Hold Points and subject to Quality Assurance Review and Certification prior to submittal.

#### 6.4 Miscellaneous Submittals

Any work product transmitted to a non-H&H entity shall be considered a submittal for purposes of QC and QA and documented with a QAR form. This includes but is not limited to work products categorized as progress sets, draft, preliminary or Over- the-Shoulder. The full QC process may not be required but every work product must be reviewed by someone other than the Originator/Producer prior to leaving H&H.

# 7.0 Post Design Submittal Reviews<sup>2</sup>

Post design submittals include documents prepared by or for the contractor and submitted to the Engineer for review. Typical documents included in the classification are shop drawings, working drawings, falsework drawings, falsework calculations, erection, etc.

In many cases the processing of submittals is defined in the contract or prescribed in the owner's standard procedures. In such cases those procedures will be followed. If procedures are not so prescribed, the procedures below shall be followed or used as a guide in implementing the owner's procedures.

All submittals from the contractor must be numbered and logged prior to review. The numbering and logging process will be established and coordinated by the PM or their designee. All logs shall be maintained throughout the Post Design Phase. Separate logs should be kept for RFIs, Shop Drawings and Submittals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Electronic review of submissions is encouraged and may be required by the Client. Electronic review shall conform to the appropriate document controls specified in the proceeding sections. All comments shall be made in RED, all checked details shall either be highlighted or boxed over with YELLOW (use transparency so that the details are not obscured). All comments shall be tabulated as required by the appropriate document controls.

Prepared By C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	Page 18



Prior to return of the Contractor Submittal, the PM or PE should review the comments and disposition of the submission with the reviewer(s). Upon concurrence between reviewers and the PM or PE, the PM or PE shall return the submittal in accordance with the owner's standard procedures.

## 7.1 Shop drawings

All shop drawing submittals from the contractor, including drawings and catalog cut sheets, must bear the contractor's stamp of approval. This is necessary to assure that the contractor has noted his or her responsibility to coordinate the submittal with the project requirements and other submittals. Any submittals containing details of construction methods and/or procedures will not be processed as a shop drawing. Such submittals will be reviewed as noted in Article 7.2 below. Once the shop drawings are logged, one copy shall be designated as the "Office Copy" and forwarded to a designated Reviewer.

The Reviewer shall apply a shop drawing review stamp to each drawing, catalog cut, or table of contents of packaged submittals. The stamp will indicate that the Reviewer has "reviewed the contents of the submittal in accordance with appropriate industry standards for general conformance with the design concept of the project and general compliance with the information given in the contract documents." On the Office Copy, the Reviewer will mark in YELLOW information which is acceptable and in RED information which is not acceptable. Once the review is complete the Reviewer will determine a disposition and mark the appropriate box on the shop drawing review stamp. Dispositions will be selected from the following unless Client specific dispositions are required:

Approved	This signifies that the Reviewer has determined that the submittal meets the stated requirements as is and that revision and resubmission is not required
Approved as Noted Th	is signifies that the Reviewer has determined that the submittal meets the stated requirements if minor corrections are made as noted on the submittal in red and that revision and resubmission is not required
Revise and Resubmit	This signifies that the Reviewer has determined that the submittal is lacking on one or more areas and must be revised and resubmitted for further review
Not Approved	This signifies that the Reviewer has determined that the submittal is not in general conformance with the design concept and that a different concept must be prepared and submitted for review

The Reviewer's comments will be checked for conformance to design criteria and standards by the PM or their designee. Approved comments shall be transcribed in RED onto the copy to be returned to the contractor by the Reviewer or their designee. The Reviewer will verify the transcribing, mark the disposition, initial, and date the copy prior to return of the submittal to the contractor.

#### 7.2 Review of Construction Methods and/or Procedures

Submittals containing details of construction methods and/or procedures will be reviewed as noted herein. Any submittals requiring design calculations performed by the Contractor's engineer shall be rejected if they do not contain the signature and seal of such registered professional engineer in the appropriate jurisdiction.

The Reviewer will review the construction methods and/or procedures submittal and note in RED any exceptions taken to the information provided. The Reviewer's comments will be checked for conformance to design criteria and standards by the PM or their designee. The Reviewer will apply a "Reviewed" stamp containing the following notation to each:

"This submittal contains information regarding construction methods and/or procedures which are solely the responsibility of the Contractor. Review is only for the general conformance with the design concept of the project and general compliance with the information given in the contract documents. The Contractor retains

Prepared By App C. Leahy K. 0	Griesing	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022 REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	Page 19
----------------------------------	----------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------



sole responsibility for dimensions which shall be confirmed and corrected at the job site; fabrication means, methods, techniques, processes, procedures, and sequences of construction; coordination of his work with that of all other trades; and the satisfactory performance of his work.

If the exceptions taken require extensive description, a letter containing the comments shall be prepared by the Reviewer, and the submittal shall be marked with a note to see the transmittal letter for additional comments.

# 8.0 Owner's Engineer or Peer Review Role

Hardesty & Hanover is not responsible for Quality Control of work produced by others. Review of design documents prepared by a firm other than Hardesty & Hanover, when acting in the role of Owner's Engineer or providing Peer Review, shall be in accordance with the contract requirements.

Check Prints shall be initialed and dated by the reviewer. The reviewer shall verify incorporation of all prior comments for each submittal. For digital files, each reviewer shall save an independent copy of the file in the project working directory with their initials and the date in the file name. All comments shall be recorded on a Comment Response Form which shall be submitted to the PM or PE for quality assurance review prior to submittal. The PM or PE must review all comments to confirm technical appropriateness and adherence to standards prior to submittal. Copies of comments and responses should be saved in the project files. Reviews done within a Bluebeam session shall have comments and responses exported to an Excel form. Calculations performed as part of the review shall be checked in accordance with the standard H&H QC plan and a QC check copy shall be saved in the project files.

All Peer Review submittals shall be documented with a Quality Assurance Review (QAR) Form.

Owner's Engineer submittals shall be documented with QAR forms at a schedule or frequency agreed upon by the Project Manager and Quality Manager for the specific project.

Prepared By	Approved By	Quality Control Plan	REV. 3 – Updated 05/27/2021 REV. 4 – Updated 02/28/2022	Page
C. Leahy	K. Griesing	Quality Control Plan	REV. 5 – Updated 12/29/2022	20







# Appendix D

# H&H QA/QC Forms



# QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW & CERTIFICATION FORM

Form QAR

Project N	lame			Project Manager			
Project L	ocation			Client Name			
H&H Pro	ject Numb	er		<b>Client Project Number</b>			
1 - DOCUMENT INFORMATION	CTO BE FILLED O Level of D Conce	UT BY THE PROJECT MANAGER) Development (Check all ept se For Construction tation reviewed (attach Design Criteria   D Interdisciplinary Coord Other Specify	that apply)    Preliminary   Other:  complete list of all items / file: Design Plans  Calculati lination Documentation	Phase: s reviewed): ons / Computer Solutions Prior Audit Documentation	Final □ Reports □ Sp □ □ External Comment I	pecifications Responses	
2 – SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	I have rev as follows	iewed the above docur (if more space require	nentation for conformance wit d attach additional sheet, indi	h Hardesty & Hanover Qual cate format and location of a	lity Control Standards. My C any additional comments):	onclusions are	
3-RECOMMENDED ACTIONS	In conside the Harde comment	eration of the findings a esty & Hanover Standar s):	bove I recommend the followi ds ( if more space required at	ng actions be taken for the d	continued conformance of fu te format and location of an	iture work with y additional	
	Develope	ed by:	Project Quality Assu	ance Lead	Date		
4 APPROVED FOR SUBMISSION	The recommended actions as noted above are in conformance with Hardesty & Hanover Standards and have been completed. The undersigned, as Project Manager, certifies that the noted submittal for the referenced project meets the requirements of the project Quality Management Plan, is complete for the level of development, meets the requirements of Hardesty & Hanover and is ready for submittal						
			.,		2410		
Prepared By J. Bade <u>C.</u> Leahy	/	Approved By K. Griesing	REV.0 - Original Issue Date: 2 REV. 1 – Updated: 03/31/2020 REV.2 – Updated: 05/29/2020	/7/2017		Page 1 of 1	



# INTERNAL TECHNICAL REVIEW FORM

Form ITR

Project N	lama		Project Manager	
	iant Number		Client Name	
nourrio	(TO BE FILLED OUT BY 7	THF PROJECT MANAGER)		
□1 - DOCUMENT INFORMATION	Level of Deve Concept Phase: Final Document typ	Iopment (Check all that apply)         □ Preliminary         □ Other:         □ Release For Construction         □ reviewed:       □ Design Plans       □ Calculat         □ Other, Specify:	Discipline Reviewed (Che	eck all that apply)         Structural       Geotechnical         Electrical       Highway/Civil         Other, Specify:       Specification
QC STAMP	Document	t contains Incomplete QC stamp, specify comple t contains Completed QC stamp	ted steps:	
DETAILED ITR SCOPE				
2 -	Submitted by:	Project Manager		Date
- REVIEWER COMMENTS	(TO BE FILLED OUT BY T	THE INDEPENDENT REVIEWER) ed the above referenced document in accordanc (if more space required attach additional sheet,	e with Hardesty & Hanover indicate format and locatio	r and Industry Standards. My Conclusions on of any comments):
ŝ	Reviewed by:	Internal Reviewer		Date
4 – VERIFIED	All Reviewer of complete. Submitted by:	comments have been addressed, either satisfact	orily resolved or incorporat	ed into the document. This document is Date
5 - APPROVAL	This review is Submitted by:	complete.		 Date

Prepared By J. Bade C. Leahy	Approved By K. Griesing	REV.0 - Original Issue Date: 2/7/2017 REV. 1 – Updated Date: 3/06/2020 REV. 2 – Updated 05/27/2021	Page 1 of 1
------------------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------



#### Hardesty & Hanover [Project] [Type of Review] Comment / Response Form (CRF)

DOCUN	IENT NAME:								
	REVIEWER:			RECEIVED DATE:					
RE	VIEW DATE:			REVIEW STATUS:					
NSE CODES: A	- Team Membe	am Member agrees and will take action; D - Team Member does not agree and will pursue resolution, comment has not been resolved; F - Follow up required							
Page	Section	Comment	Comment By	Response	Response By	Response Code	Comment	Comment By	Change Incorporated
	DOCUN RE NSE CODES: A Page	DOCUMENT NAME: REVIEWER: REVIEW DATE: NSE CODES: A - Team Member Page Section 	DOCUMENT NAME:         REVIEWER:         REVIEW DATE:         NSE CODES: A - Team Member agrees and will take action; D - Team Member does not agree and will pursu         Page       Section       Comment         Image: Im	DOCUMENT NAME:         REVIEW RR:         REVIEW DATE:         NSE CODES: A - Team Member agrees and will take action; D - Team Member does not agree and will pursue resolution, corresponding to the second s	DOCUMENT NAME:       REVIEW R:       RECEIVED DATE:         REVIEW DATE:       Review STATUS:         NSE CODES: A - Team Member agrees and will take action; D - Team Member does not agree and will pursue resolution, comment has not been resolved; F - Follow up required       Response         Page       Section       Comment       Comment       Response         Image: Section in the section in th	DOCUMENT NAME:       REVIEW REX:         REVIEW REX:       REVIEW DATE:       REVIEW DATE:         REVIEW DATE:       REVIEW DATE:       REVIEW STATUS:         NSE CODES: A - Team Member agrees and will take action; D - Team Member does not agree and will pursur resolution, comment has not been resolved; F - Follow up required       Response         Page       Section       Comment       Comment By       Response       Response By         Image: Response       Image: Response       Image: Response       Image: Response       Response         Image: Response       Image: Response       Image: Response       Image: Response       Response         Image: Response       Image: Response       Image: Response       Image: Response       Image: Response         Image: Response       Image: Response       Image: Response       Image: Response       Image: Response         Image: Response       Image: Response       Image: Response       Image: Response       Response         Image: Response       Image: Response       Image: Response       Response       Response       Image: Response       Response       Response       Image: Response	DOCUMENT NAME:         RECEIVED DATE:           REVIEW DATE:         RECEIVED DATE:           REVIEW DATE:         REVIEW STATUS           SEE CODES: A - Team Member ofters not agree and will take action; D - Team Member does not agree and will pursure resolution, comment has not been resolved; F - Follow up required         Response         Response	DOCUMENT NAME         Image: Control of the second sec	DOCUMENTANK         Image: Second

Distribution:



#### Page 190 of 203

# SUBCONSULTANT DESIGN QUALITY CERTIFICATION

H&H Project No.:											
PROJECT SUBMITTAL LEVEL OF DEVELOPMENT											
Development Final											
Project Engineer											
The undersigned, as Project Engineer under the direction of the Project Manager, certifies that the noted submittal for the referenced project has been completed and met the requirements of the project- specific quality management plan, is complete for the level of development and meets the requirements of LADOTD and Hardesty & Hanover.											
Date											

Prepared By	Approved By	REV.0 - Original Issue Date: 11/16/2017	Page 1 of 1
		REV.1 - Issue Date: 2/7/2017	r age i oi i
C. Leally	R. Griesing	REV. 2 - Issue Date: 9/13/2021	



Subconsultant Quality Assurance Checklist Design Projects

Project Name: Sub-consultant Name: Project Status/Phase: Date:

YES	NO*	N/A*	* = A1	TTACH EXPLANATION
			1. I	Design calculations have been checked and back checked
			2.	Geometry calculations have been checked and back checked
			3. (	Computer program input has been checked and results have been determined to be reasonable
			4. (	Quantity estimates have been checked
			5. I	Engineer's estimate has been checked
			6. I	Pay items have been reviewed to ensure that all work is included
			7. 3	Specifications have been reviewed
			8. I	Designers have checked plan sheets
			9. I	Plan details and specifications have been checked for conformance with client standard details and specifications
			10. I	Plan details and specifications have been coordinated with design calculations
			11. I	Drawing layout, preparation, and CADD standards meet current client specifications
			12. I	Designers have checked interdisciplinary interfaces
			13. I	Discipline Leaders, Project Engineer, and Project Manager have verified design coordination
			14. (	Utility coordination is complete and details comply with standards
			15. (	Constructability review has been made
			16. I	Design has been coordinated with adjacent construction or abutting facilities
			17.	Technical Policy review has been made
			18. I	Permit and Agency Sign offs have been obtained (as applicable)
			19. (	Client's comments have been addressed
			20.	Client and H&H QA/QC requirements have been satisfied

Project Manager

Signature

Date



# Appendix E

# LADOTD QA/QC Forms & Checklists



# LADOTD BDEM Chapter 3, Part I, Appendix D QC/QA Certification

Project No.:

Project Name:

We, the undersigned designers, detailers, checkers and reviewers for this project, have reviewed and accepted the calculations, plans, quantities, special provisions, and cost estimate prepared for the project. We certify that the work for which we are responsible has been completed in accordance with the LADOTD Bridge Design Section policy on QC/QA.

Team Members	Name	PE Registration No.	Responsible Plan Sheets	Responsible Special Provisions	Construction Cost Estimate	Signature
Designers						
Design Checkers						
Detailers						
Detail Checkers						
Reviewers						
Peer Reviewer						
Geotechnical Engineer						
Hydraulic Engineer						
EOR						



BDEM Chapter 3, Part I, Appendix I Consultant Submittal QC/QA Certification

Project No.:

Project Name:

I, the undersigned Supervisor or Team Leader for this project, certify that the information included in this submittal has been prepared in accordance with the QC/QA plan documents and LADOTD Bridge Design Section policy on QC/QA and the information presented is accurate and meets the requirements of this submittal. All CAD drawings meet LADOTD CAD standards.

Submittal Description

Supervisor or Team Leader Name

Signature

Date



#### BDEM Chapter 3, Part I, Appendix A

#### **Design Criteria Checklist**

Design criteria for each project shall include, but not limited to, the following sections:

#### \_\_\_ Cover sheet

The following information must be included on the cover sheet:

- LADOTD project number
- Project name
- Revision date
- The Supervisor or Team Leader's signature and date

#### \_\_\_\_ Governing Design and Construction Specifications and Other References

A list of governing design and construction specifications and other references used for the project shall be included in this section. The edition number, interim revisions, and/or publication date must be specified for each reference.

\_\_\_\_ Design Assumptions and Design Exceptions

All design assumptions and design exceptions received must be included in this section along with supporting documents.

#### General Information

The general information as listed below should be included in this section:

- Bridge information (no. of bridges, bridge clear width, length, no. of lanes, lane width, shoulder width, etc.)
- Road information (roadway classifications, design speed, traffic data, etc.)
- Vertical datum
- Vertical and horizontal clearances
- Other relevant information

#### Hydraulic Design Criteria

All hydraulic design criteria (design year, design water elevations, scour depth and scour elevation, etc.) shall be included in this section and the information shall be provided by the Hydraulic Engineer.

#### \_\_\_\_ Design Factors

The ductility factor I<sub>D</sub>, redundancy factor I<sub>R</sub>, and operational importance factor I<sub>I</sub> shall be listed in this section.

#### \_\_\_\_ Design Loads



All design loads (dead load, live load, wind load, thermal loads, vessel collision loads, seismic load, wave loads, etc.) used for the project shall be included in this section.

\_\_\_\_ Limit States

All applicable limit states for this project shall be listed in this section.

\_\_\_ Bridge Barrier Railing

H&H

The design criteria, types, and test levels for bridge barrier ralings shall be listed in this section. Standard Plans and special details should be listed if they are utilized.

#### Guardrail

The design criteria, types, and test levels for guardrails shall be listed in this section. Standard Plans and special details should be listed if they are utilized.

#### \_\_\_\_ Approach Slab

Design criteria for approach slab shall be included in this section. Standard Plans and special details should be listed if they are utilized.

#### \_\_\_\_ Deck and Deck Drainage

All design criteria for deck and deck drainage design shall be included in this section. Standard Plans and special details should be listed if they are utilized.

#### Bearing

All bearing types and design criteria for each bearing type shall be included in this section. Standard Plans and special details should be listed if they are utilized.

Joint

All joint types and design criteria for each type shall be included in this section. Standard Plans and special details should be listed if they are utilized.

#### Superstructure

All superstructure types and design criteria for each type shall be included in this section. Standard Plans and special details should be listed if they are utilized.

Substructure

All substructure types and design criteria for each type shall be included in this section. Standard Plans and special details should be listed if they are utilized.

#### Piles and Drilled Shafts

All pile types, sizes, and structural design criteria shall be included in this section. Standard Plans and special details should be listed if they are utilized.

#### Geotechnical Design

All geotechnical design criteria shall be included in this section and the information shall be provided by the Geotechnical Engineer. Standard pPans and special details should be listed if they are utilized.

#### **Mechanical Design**

All mechanical design criteria shall be included in this section if applicable. Standard Plans and special details should be listed if they are utilized.



#### Electrical/Lighting Design

All electrical design criteria shall be included in this section if applicable. Standard Plans and special details should be listed if they are utilized.

#### As-Designed Bridge Rating Criteria

All as-designed bridge rating criteria shall be included in this section.

#### \_\_\_ Software

All software used for design and check shall be included in this section.



## BDEM Chapter 3, Part I, Appendix B Final Calculation Book Checklist

The final calculation book for each project shall include, but not limited to, the following sections:

#### \_\_\_ Cover Sheet

The following information must be included on the cover sheet:

- LADOTD project number
- Project name
- The title of "Final Calculation Book"
- The EOR's seal with signature and date
- \_\_\_ Final Calculation Book Check List
- \_\_\_\_ QC/QA Certifications
- Peer Review Resolution Agreement (if peer review is performed)
- \_\_\_ Design Criteria
- \_\_\_\_ Final Hydraulic Analysis Report from Hydraulic Engineer
- \_\_\_\_ Final Geotechnical Analysis Report from Geotechnical Engineer
- \_\_\_ Superstructure Design Calculations
- \_\_\_\_ Substructure Design Calculations
- \_\_\_ Quantity Calculations
- \_\_\_ Special Provisions/NS-Items
- Construction Cost Estimate
- \_\_\_ As-Designed Rating Report
- \_\_\_\_ List of All Final Electronic Design Files and File Locations (ProjectWise directory name)

Consultants shall submit the final calculation book to LADOTD bridge task managers; the submittal shall be on a CD or Flash Drive or placed to a designated ProjectWise folder including the following information:

- \_\_\_\_ A PDF File of the Calculation Book
- \_\_\_\_ All Electronic Design Files
- \_\_\_\_ A PDF File of the As-Designed Rating Report Only

The final calculation book for in-house projects shall include the same files listed above for consultant projects. The final calculation book and other final design documents for all projects including in-house and consultant projects shall be uploaded to the archiving location designated in the record retention policy within 30 calendar days after the stamped final plans are delivered.



# BDEM Chapter 3, Part I, Appendix C QA Information Package Checklist

Project No.:

Project Description:

 Calculation Book
 Plans
 Special Provisions
 Cost Estimate
 Other Documents



## BDEM Chapter 3, Part I, Appendix K CONSULTANT SUBMITTAL REVIEW CHECKLIST

	Submittals												
Items	Design Criteria	TS& L	30% PP	60% PP	90% PP	100% PP	30% FP	60% FP	90% FP	100% FP	Final Calculation Book	Plan Revisions	Change Orders
Consultant Submittal QC/QA Certification			R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
Design Criteria	С												
TS&L		С											
Bridge Index			D	D	D	D	D	D	С	S			
General Notes			D	D	D	D	D	D	С	S			
Summary of Estimated Quantities			D	D	С	С	D	D	С	S			
General Plans			D	D	С	С	С	С	С	S			
Typical Sections			D	D	С	С							
Superelevation Diagram				D	D	С	С	С	С	S			
Construction Phasing Details				D	D	С	С	С	С	S			
Traffic Controls Details				D	D	С	С	С	С	S			
Foundation/Pile Layout				D	D	С	С	С	С	S			
Pile Loads/Details					D	D	D	С	С	S			
Pile Data Tables							D	D	С	S			
Bent Details							D	D	С	S			
Fender Details							D	D	С	S			
Girder Details							D	D	С	S			
Span Details							D	D	С	S			
Joint Details								D	С	S			
Bearing Details								D	С	S			
Approach Slab								D	С	S			
Guardrail Details								D	С	S			



#### **Consultant Quality Management Plan**

Bridge						_	~	~			
Barrier/Mailing						D	С	S			
Details											
Bridge Drainage						D	С	S			
Details											
Detour Bridge	-		 			D	С	S			
Details											
Revetment Details						D	С	S			
Signing/Lighting Details						D	С	S			
Year Plate			 			D	С	S			
Rebar Support			 			D	С	S			
Mise. Details						D	С	S			
Project Specific Standard Plans and Special Details						D	С	S			
Electrical/Lighting Details						D	С	S			
Mechanical Details						D	С	S			
As-Built Plans						D	С	С			
Special Provisions/NS-					D	D	С	С			
items											
Cost Estimate			D	D	D	D	С	С			
Final Calculations									S		
Revised	-									S	
Plans/Calculations											S

Legends:

"R" = The item is required and shall be included in the submittal.

"C" = The item shall be complete and shall be included in the submittal.

"D" = The item shall be indevelopment and shall be included in the submittal. "S" = The item is stamped by the EOR and shall be included in the submittal.

# 22. Sub-consultant information:

Firm Name	Address	Point of Contact and email	Phone Number
(Name must match as registered		address	
with Louisiana's Secretary of			
State)			
Ardaman & Associates, Inc.	316 Highlandia Dr.	Robert Jewell	225.752.4790
	Baton Rouge, LA 70810	rjewell@ardaman.com	
APS Engineering and Testing, LLC	1645 Nicholson Dr.	Sergio Aviles	225.456.5714
	Baton Rouge, LA 70802	sergio@aps-testing.com	
Chustz Surveying, LLC	211 Richy St.	James H. Chustz, Jr, PLS	225.718.7103
	New Roads, LA 70760	jchustz@chustz.com	
Moffat & Nichol, Inc.	301 Main Street, Suite 800	Chase Hulon	225.610.1932
	Baton Rouge, LA 70801	chulon@moffattnichol.com	
Pelican Marine Design, LLC	110 James Drive West, Suite 135	William D. Scherer	504.975.2466
	St. Rose, LA 70087	bill@pelicanmd.com	
Urban Systems, Inc.	2000 Tulane Ave. Suite 200	Alison Michel	504.569.3958
	New Orleans, LA 70112	acmichel@urbansystem.com	

203 of 203

# 23. Location:

Not Applicable



3850 N. Causeway Blvd, Suite 1625 Metairie, LA 70002 T: 504.962.9212 Ia@hardestyhanover.com