DOTD FORM: 24-102

(Revised June 1, 2021)

PROPOSAL TO PROVIDE CONSULTANT SERVICES

Prime consultant shall complete the DOTD Form 24-102 without altering the Form's text; however, the instruction and/or guidance for Sections 12 through 23 can be removed but do not remove Section title and number.

ANY CONSULTANT FAILING TO SUBMIT ANY OF THE INFORMATION REQUIRED ON THE DOTD FORM 24-102, OR PROVIDING INACCURATE INFORMATION ON THE DOTD FORM 24-102, MAY BE CONSIDERED NON-RESPONSIVE.

Prime consultant should enter the firm name in the footer at the bottom of this page. (It will carry over to subsequent pages.)

1.	Contract title as shown in the advertisement	CONTRACT FOR US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS
2.	Contract number(s) as shown in the advertisement	4400023434
3.	State Project Number(s), if shown in the advertisement	H.000445
4.	Prime consultant name (as registered with the Louisiana	SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.
	Secretary of State where such registration is required by	
	law)	
5.	Prime consultant license number (as registered with the	EF0003263
	Louisiana Professional Engineering and Land Surveying	DUNS Number: 968522367
	Board (LAPELS) if registration is required under	
	Louisiana law)	
6.	Prime consultant mailing address	2820 Continental Drive, Suite 100, Baton Rouge, LA 70808
7.	Prime consultant physical address (existing or to be	2820 Continental Drive, Suite 100, Baton Rouge, LA 70808
	established, if location is used as an evaluation criteria)	
8.	Name, title, phone number, and email address of prime	Mohsen Shahawy, PhD, PE
	consultant's contract point of contact	Principal & COO
		(850) 222-2737, Ext. 226
		shahawy@sdrengineering.com
9.	Name, title, phone number, and email address of the	Ann Shahawy
	official with signing authority for this proposal	CEO
		(850) 222-2737, Ext. 222
		ashahawy@sdrengineering.com
10	. This is to certify that all information contained herein is	
	accurate and true, and that the team presently has	
	sufficient staff to perform these services within the	



designated time frame. By submitting this proposal,		
proposer certifies that it is not engaged in a boycott of		
Israel and it will, for the duration of its contract		
obligations, refrain from a boycott of Israel. Proposer		
also certifies and agrees that the following information		
is correct: In preparing its response, the proposer has		
considered all proposals submitted from qualified,		
potential subcontractors and suppliers, and has not, in		
the solicitation, selection, or commercial treatment of		
any subcontractor or supplier, refused to transact or		
terminated business activities, or taken other actions		
intended to limit commercial relations, with a person or		
entity that is engaging in commercial transactions in		
Israel or Israeli-controlled territories, with the specific		
intent to accomplish a boycott or divestment of Israel.	Signature (shall be the same person as #9):	
The proposer also has not retaliated against any person		
or other entity for reporting such refusal, termination, or	1 Til Chabaure	
commercially limiting actions. DOTD reserves the right	Anna Connen y	
to reject the response of the bidder or proposer if this	Date: 2/9/2022	
certification is subsequently determined to be false, and		
to terminate any contract awarded based on such a false		
response.		
11. If a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal has	Firm(s): Fir	m(s)' %:
been set for this advertisement, indicate which firm(s)	Urban System, Inc. 3%	
will be used to meet the DBE goal and each firm(s)'		
percentage.		



12. Past Performance Evaluation Discipline Table:

As indicated in the advertisement, insert the completed table here. The percentages for the prime and sub-consultants must total 100% for **each past performance evaluation discipline**, as well as the overall total percent of the contract.

Sub-consultants are allowed to be used for this proposal. Fill in the table by identifying only those evaluation disciplines consistent with the approach and methodology proposed in Section 19 of the DOTD Form 24-102*, the name of each firm that is part of the proposal, and the percentage of work in each past performance evaluation discipline to be performed by that firm. The percentage estimated for each evaluation discipline is for evaluation purposes only and will not control the actual performance or payment of the work. The percentages for the prime and sub-consultants must total 100% for each past performance evaluation discipline, as well as the overall total percent of the contract.

Evaluation Disciplines	% of Overall Contract	SDR (Prime)	VOL	F&T	MCI	USI
Bridge	75%	96%	0%	0%	4%	0%
Road	15%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Survey	7%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Traffic	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Identify the percentage of work for the overall contract to be performed by the prime consultant and each sub-consultant.						
Percent of Contract	100%	72%	15%	7%	3%	3%

Consultants

F&T: Fort & Tablada, Inc.

SDR: SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.

Volkert, Inc.



Monroe & Corie, Inc.

Urban Systems, Inc.







13. Firm Size:

For all firms that are part of this team, indicate the approximate number of personnel to be committed to this contract, by DOTD Job Classification and the total number of personnel within the firm that could provide support, if needed. If a specialized job classification is required and not included on the DOTD job classification list, specify "Other (xxxx)" and include the classification title inside the parentheses. The DOTD Job Classification(s) to be used can be found at the following link:

 $\underline{http://wwwsp.dotd.la.gov/Inside_LaDOTD/Divisions/Engineering/CCS/Job_Qualification/Job\%20Classifications\%20with\%20Descriptions.pdf$

Firm name	DOTD Job Classification	Number of personnel committed to this contract	Total number of personnel available in this DOTD Job Classification (if needed)
SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Principal	1	2
-	Supervisor Engineer	2	3
	Engineer	2	7
SDR	Engineer Intern	6	10
	Inspector-Bridge	2	6
	CADD Drafter	1	2
	Computer Analyst	1	2
	Administrative	1	2
Volkert, Inc.	Civil Engineer	4	21
VOLKERT	CADD Technician	3	5
Monroe & Corie, Inc.	Engineer	2	2
MONROE & CORIE, INC.	CADD Operator	1	1
11325 Pennywood Avenue	Clerical	1	1
Urban Systems, Inc.	Supervisor – Eng.	1	2
	Engineer	1	6
	Engineer Intern	2	2
	CAD Technician	1	1
	Technician	2	3

Firm name	DOTD Job Classification	Number of personnel committed to this contract	Total number of personnel available in this DOTD Job Classification (if needed)
Forte and Tablada, Inc.	Administrative	-	3
	CADD Technician	8	8
TABLADA	Clerical	-	4
	Engineer	-	4
	Inspector	-	3
	Instrument Man	1	1
	Party Chief	6	6
	Engineer Intern	-	8
	Principal	1	3
	Rodman	11	11
	Senior Technician	3	3
	Supervisor Eng	-	4
	Supervisor Other	-	2
	Surveyor	4	5



<u>14.</u> Organizational Chart:





<u>15</u>. Minimum Personnel Requirements:

Use the table below to identify both prime consultant and sub-consultant staff designated to work on this contract meeting the Minimum Personnel Requirements (MPRs) specified in the advertisement. Ensure the résumé reflects the required experience stated in the MPR.

MPR No.	Personnel being used to meet the MPR	Firm employed by		Type of license / certification & number	State of license	License / certification expiration date	
1	Mohsen Shahawy, PhD, PE			PE.31465	LA	03/31/2023	
	Zhiyong Liang, PhD, PE	SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.	SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.	SDR	PE.34873	LA	03/21/2022
3	Hatem Seliem, PhD, PE, PMP			PE.39759	LA	09/30/2023	
4	Gerald Middleton, PLS	Forte and	FORTE &	PLS.4856	LA	09/30/2023	
5	Bradley Holleman, PLS	Tablada, Inc.	TABLADA	PLS.5082	LA	09/30/2022	
6	Janet Evans, PE	Volkort Inc	VOLKERT	PE.21307	LA	09/30/2022	
6	Ashley Beckendorf, PE			PE.37334	LA	03/31/2023	



10. Stall	10. Stall Experience:					
Firm en	Firm employed by: SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.					
Name	Mohsen	Shahawy, PhD, PE		Years of relevant experience with this employer	25	
Title	Principal	and COO		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	15	
Degree	(s) / Years	[/] Specialization		PhD / 1984 / Civil Engineering		
				MS / 1981 / Civil Engineering	aB	
				BS / 1976 / Civil Engineering	(An	
Active	registration	number / state / exp	viration date	PE.31465 / Louisiana / 03-31-2023		
Year re	gistered	2004	Discipline	Civil Engineer		
Contrac	t role(s) / b	prief description of re	esponsibilities	Principal in charge, design, management, QC/QA		
Experie	ence date	s Experience and	qualifications	relevant to the proposed contract; i.e., "Bridge I	nspection", "con	dition
(mm/yy	/–mm/yy)	assessment", "ste	eel and concrete	rehabilitation, "Non-destructive Testing", "Project Ma	nagement".	
Dr. Sha	hawy is th	e managing principa	al of SDR. He l	has 40 years of experience and has published over 180) papers in the are	eas of
prestres	sed/reinfor	ced concrete perform	mance, LRFD (Code issues related to shear performance, structural t	esting, evaluation	, load
testing	and load rat	ing of bridges, dynai	mic behavior of	bridges, and bridge rehabilitation. He is a Co-author of t	he Prestressed Con	ncrete
Institute	e (PCI) Bri	lge Design Manual.	He has led the S	SDR team in the development of the LADOTD Bridge	Jesign and Evalu	ation
Manua	I and in the	e development of the	LG Girder De	tails and Design Standards. He has been responsible	: for the design of $\frac{1}{1}$	more
<u>than 90</u>	bridges w	ith spans ranging up	to 280 feet, the	e production of conceptual reports for 40 bridges, and	design peer revie	WS OI
$\frac{11010}{000}$	Dregent	Iges for various auti	orities.	ange Completion Dhase II Jefferson Devich I A		
08/19	08/19 – Present H.011309: MacArthur Interchange Completion, Phase II, Jefferson Parish, LA					Donk
		Expression (US	(0, 7) and From	tage road, demolish the existing off ramp, and widen	the US 00 7 brid	dge to
		accommodate the	-20-22 and -100	The project consisted of providing all necessary engine	veering design se	rvices
		(Stage 3) require	ed to construct	the two separate ramp structures and the relocation	of Frontage roa	d To
		accommodate the	e new structures	for the two ramps. Frontage road required relocation a	ong with utilities	while
		maintaining all bu	usiness access. I	Dr. Shahawy's Role(s): performed independent OC/OA	of all structure ele	ments
	and provided guidance to the project team to address review comments at every stage.					
10/10	10/16 – 04/21 H.002980: I-10 over US 165 and MP RR, Jefferson Davis Parish, LA					
		replacement of th	ne two I-10 brid	ges overpass US 165 and MP Railroad. Each bound to	tal bridge length	is 765
		ft. comprising sev	ven (7) spans. Fo	our (4) spans were made one continuous unit, and the ot	her three (3) spans	s were
		continuous unit.	Design included	d all elements of bridge structure along with required	slope and embanl	kment
		work. The replacement of the bridge involved complex construction phasing to maintain traffic on the interstate				

<u>16</u>. Staff Experience:



	while removing the old structure and constructing the new bridge. To ensure design economy and accelerated			
	construction, DOTD standard precast prestressed concrete girders (LG Girders) were used for the superstructure.			
	Role: Lead the development of the construction phasing and carry out QC/QA review of design.			
11/17 - 10/20	H.011484: US-80 Texas Street Bridge Rehabilitation, Shreveport, LA			
	The bridge consists of a main truss span comprised of two 182 ft. anchor spans and one 520 ft. steel cantilever			
	span, six 102.75 ft. steel deck truss spans, one 91 ft. steel girder span, and 35 reinforced concrete deck girder			
	approach spans of varying span lengths. The scope of work consisted of conducting NBIS element level			
	inspection of the entire bridge, 3-D computer modeling and analysis of existing deficiencies, load rating based			
	on existing conditions, developing scope of rehabilitation including cleaning and painting of steel trusses, design			
	of epoxy-urethane overlay system on deck, CFRP repair of concrete spall for columns, caps and concrete beams,			
	strengthening of steel truss spans members, floor beams and gusset plates, repair of steel plate girder spans,			
	sealing of joints and pin replacement. Role(s): performed independent QC/QA of all above listed work elements			
	and provided guidance to the project team to address review comments at every stage.			
10/18 - 02/21	H.011487: LA 182 Over Atchafalaya River (Berwick Bay) Bridge Rehabilitation, Lafayette, LA			
	The Bridge, built in 1933, is a through truss carrying LA-182 over Atchafalaya River. The bridge consists of			
	three main trusses with span length of 608 ft. each, two deck trusses with span length of 126 ft. each, and 40			
	concrete T-beam spans with span length of 40 ft. each. The work included performing in-depth inspection of the			
	truss and concrete spans, NDT of the concrete T-beams, load rating the bridge based on observed deficiencies,			
	3-D modeling of computer models of the truss spans, analysis including design and developing repair details for			
	the steel truss members, gusset plates, reinforced concrete 1-beam and deck slab, prepare renabilitation plans			
	and technical special provisions and construction cost estimate. Role(s): independent QC/QA of all above listed			
07/15 06/17	work elements and provided guidance to the project learn to address review comments at every stage.			
0//13 - 00/1/	Evaluation and Load Rating of Three Wajor Truss Bridges, Statewide, LA			
	deficiencies and performing lead rating of three major truss bridges including the energy spans to access existing			
	1 Mississippi River Bridge at Vicksburg (4 210 ft)			
	2 Sunshine Bridge at Donaldsonville (3 327 ft)			
	3 I-10 Calcasieu River Bridge at Lake Charles (6 617 ft)			
	Role (s) Project Manager lead engineer Responsibilities included: OC review of all inspection reports			
	structural assessment of found deficiencies and determining effect of steel section loss for both members and			
	gusset plates on load rating: developing structural modeling parameters and supervising the team developing the			
	3-D finite element model for the main truss using LUSAS; and load rating all elements of the truss spans.			



Firm employed by: SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.				
Name Zhiyong	Liang, PhD, PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer 13		
Title Vice Pres	sident	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s) 12		
Degree(s) / Years	/ Specialization	PhD / 2008 / Civil Engineering		
		MS / 2004-2005 / Civil Engineering-Computer Science		
		BS / 1996 / Civil Engineering		
Active registration	n number / state / expiration date	PE.34873 / Louisiana / 3-31-2022		
Year registered	2009 Discipline	Civil Engineering-Structures		
Contract role(s) /	brief description of responsibilities	bridge design lead		
Experience dates	Experience and qualifications rele	evant to the proposed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed girders",		
(mm/yy–mm/yy)	"designed intersection", etc. Expe	rience dates should cover the time specified in the applicable MPR(s).		
Dr. Liang experie	nce focuses on bridge design, load ra	ating, conditions evaluation of steel and concrete bridges. He has been a project		
manager and Engi	neer of Records on many successfu	lly competed bridge designs, testing, and rehabilitation projects. <u>He served as a</u>		
lead engineer in th	ne development of the LADOTD Br	idge Design and Evaluation Manual.		
08/19 - Present	H.011309: MacArthur Interchan	ige Completion, Phase II, Jefferson Parish, LA		
	Scope of work is to provide two new on-ramp and off-ramp connection between the eastbound of West Bank			
	Expressway (US 90-Z) and Frontage road, demolish the existing off-ramp, and widen the US 90-Z bridge t			
	accommodate the new ramps. The	project consisted of providing all necessary engineering design services (Stage		
	3) required to construct the two sep	parate ramp structures and the relocation of Frontage road. To accommodate the		
	new structures for the two ramps	, Frontage road required relocation along with utilities while maintaining all		
	business access. SDR is the prime	consultant and <u>Dr. Liang responsibilities are as follows</u> :		
	• Lead the design and plans	development of the superstructure.		
	QCQA review of substruc	ture design and plans production.		
	Lead construction cost est	imate		
10/16 - 04/21	10/16 – 04/21 H.002980: I-10 over US 165 and MP RR, Jefferson Davis Parish, LA			
	replacement of the two I-10 bridge	es overpass US 165 and MP Railroad. Each bound total bridge length is 765 ft.		
	comprising seven (7) spans. Four	(4) spans were made one continuous unit, and the other three (3) spans were		
	continuous unit. Design included all elements of bridge structure along with required slope and embankment wor			
	The replacement of the bridge involved complex construction phasing to maintain traffic on the interstate whether the state whether the st			
	removing the old structure and	constructing the new bridge. To ensure design economy and accelerated		
	construction, DOTD standard prec	east prestressed concrete girders (LG Girders) were used for the superstructure.		
	Dr. Liang responsibilities were as	<u>follows</u> :		



	• Engineer of Record overseeing the bridge structural design of the superstructure and substructure deck			
	drainage design and construction cost estimate			
	 Project manager coordinating with LADOTD Project Manager and roadway design group 			
00/18 08/10	H 012000. US 71 (I A-1) S Market Street over ICC RR Cadda Parish I A			
07/10-00/17	This project was to provide Stage 0 Design (Feasibility Study) on the twin two-lane bridge structures on US 71			
	(I A-1) Market Street viaduct Southbound over ICR railroad through downtown Shrevenort			
	Two alternates were designed to satisfy the railroad minimum clearance requirements. Several stakeholders were			
	identified and were approached for solicitate of views (SOV) about the two selected alternates. Dr. Liang served			
	as the Project manager overseeing the different tasks and leading the structural design of the two alternates			
10/19 - 10/20	H 012028: L-20 over Lakeshore Drive and KCS RR Caddo Parish LA			
10/19 10/20	This project was to provide Stage 0 Design (Feasibility Study) for four (4) bridge structures of I-20 crossing over			
	Lakeshore Drive and KCS Railroad in Shreveport, LA, Design of rehabilitation to improve the bridges conditions.			
	service life, and load rating was carried out by SDR. Different rehabilitation options were designed and detailed.			
	Cost estimate and rehabilitation plans were provided to assist DOTD in selecting the best cost-benefit option.			
	Dr. Liang served as the Project manager overseeing the different tasks and leading the structural design of the			
	rehabilitation and development of the cost estimate.			
06/16 - 10/17	H.012302: I-10 WB on-Ramp From US-61, Ascension Parish, LA			
	Interstate I-10 westbound on-ramp from US-61 is a fracture critical, curved steel plate girder bridge was struck by			
	an over-height vehicle causing severe damage to the exterior girder of four continuous spans. SDR tasks inclu			
inspection, design the repair, develop construction plans involving staged demolition and construction,				
	testing, and provide construction supports. The repair technique developed was building the entire damaged s			
	and to slide in place using SPMT to provide minimal closure of I-10. Dr. Liang responsibilities were as follows:			
	• Lead the bridge structural design team and plans development.			
	IN charge of the field load testing.			
09/16 - 11/16	H.012699: LA 10 Beaver Creek Bridge Replacement, St. Helena Parish, LA			
	This project was an emergency design task for the replacement of the bridge that was damaged in flood, which			
	was completed in less than two months. The superstructure consists of six (6), simply supported LG-36 girders in			
	each span, acting in composite action with an 8.5 in. continuous concrete deck. The substructure consists of cast-			
	in-place concrete caps and precast concrete piles. SDR engineering services incluced construction support besides			
	design and final plans preparation. Dr. Liang responsiblities were as follows:			
	• Lead the structure desing of the superstructure			
	 In charge of the construction palms development as well as construction cost esimtate. 			



Firm employed by	: SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.	A SDB		
Name Hatem Se	eliem, PhD, PE, PMP	Years of relevant experience with this employer 8		
Title Project M	anager & Senior Structural Engineer	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s) 14		
Degree(s) / Years /	Specialization	PhD / 2007 / Civil Engineering (Structural)		
		MS / 2002 / Civil Engineering (Structural)		
	BS / 2000/ Civil Engineering			
Active registration	number / state / expiration date	PE.39759 / Louisiana / 09-30-2023		
Year registered	2014 Discipline	Civil Engineering-Structures		
Contract role(s) / b	orief description of responsibilities	Project Manager & senior structural engineer		
Experience dates	Experience and qualifications releva	ant to the proposed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed girders",		
(mm/yy–mm/yy)	"designed intersection", etc. Experi-	ence dates should cover the time specified in the applicable MPR(s).		
Dr. Seliem is a <u>cer</u>	tified Project Management Profession	al (PMP) [®] and served as project manager on large-scale projects. Further, he		
is a senior structura	al engineer with 20 years of design ex	perience. He will be the point-of-contact for this contract and will coordinate		
directly with the D	OTD Project Manager.			
08/19 – Present	H.011309: MacArthur Interchang	e Completion, Phase II, Jefferson Parish, LA		
	Scope of work is to provide two new on-ramp and off-ramp connection between the eastbound of West Bank			
	Expressway (US 90-Z) and Frontage Road, demolish the existing off-ramp, and widen the US 90-Z bridge to			
	accommodate the new ramps. The project consisted of providing all necessary engineering design services (Stag			
	3) required to construct the two sepa	arate ramp structures and the relocation of Frontage Road. SDR is the prime		
	consultant and Dr. Seliem responsible	lities are as follows:		
	 Project Manager coordinatin 	g between SDR, subconsultants, and DOTD Project Manager.		
	 Bridge Engineer of Record of 	overseeing the bridge structural design of the superstructure and		
	substructure, deck drainage	design, and construction cost estimate.		
10/16 - 04/21	H.002980: I-10 over US 165 and M	IP RR, Jefferson Davis Parish, LA		
	replacement of the two I-10 bridges	overpass US 165 and MP Railroad. Each bound total bridge length is 765 ft.		
	comprising seven spans. Four spans	were made one continuous unit, and the other three spans were continuous		
	unit. Design included all elements	of bridge structure along with required slope and embankment work. The		
	replacement of the bridge involved	complex construction phasing to maintain traffic on the interstate while		
	removing the old structure and const	ructing the new bridge. Dr. Seliem responsibilities were as follows:		
	• Lead the structural design of	the column bents substructure supported by drilled shafts.		
	• Review of the construction s	taging and develop construction plans of column bents and the drilled shafts.		
	• QCQA review of the constru	action plans of the superstructure.		



09/18 - 08/19	H.012009: US 71 (LA-1) S. Market Street over ICG RR, Caddo Parish, LA
	This project was to provide Stage 0 Design (Feasibility Study) on the twin two-lane bridge structures on US 71
	(LA-1) Market Street viaduct Southbound over ICR railroad through downtown Shreveport. Two alternates were
	designed to satisfy the railroad minimum clearance requirements. Several stakeholders were approached for
	solicitate of views (SOV) about the two selected alternates. Dr. Seliem responsibilities were as follows:
	• Review of existing documents including as-built plans, load rating reports, and inspection findings.
	• QCQA review of the structural analysis and design of the two alternates.
	lead the construction cost estimate.
10/19 - 10/20	H.012028: I-20 over Lakeshore Drive and KCS RR, Caddo Parish, LA
	This project was to provide Stage 0 Design (Feasibility Study) for four (4) bridge structures of I-20 crossing over
	Lakeshore Drive and KCS Railroad in Shreveport, LA. Design of rehabilitation to improve the bridges conditions,
	service life, and load rating was carried out by SDR. Different rehabilitation options were designed and detailed.
	Cost estimate and rehabilitation plans were provided to assist DOTD in selecting the best cost-benefit option.
	Dr. Seliem responsibilities were as follows:
	• Review of existing documents including as-built plans, load rating reports, and inspection findings.
	• QCQA review of the structural analysis and design of the two alternates.
	lead the construction cost estimate.
06/16 - 10/17	H.012302: I-10: WB on-Ramp From US-61, Ascension Parish, LA
	Interstate I-10 westbound on-ramp from US-61 is a fracture critical, curved steel plate girder bridge was struck by
	an over-height vehicle causing severe damage to the exterior girder of four continuous spans. The repair technique
	developed was building the entire damaged span and to slide in place using SPMT to provide minimal closure of
	I-10. Dr. Seliem responsibilities were as follows:
	• Develop detailed 3D FE model of the birdge utlizing staged construction of demolition and erection.
	• Review the design of the new structure and QCQA review of the construction plans.
	Evalute the completed structure based on the results on the load testing results.
09/16 - 11/16	H.012699: LA 10 Beaver Creek Bridge Replacement, St. Helena Parish, LA
	This project was an emergency design task for the replacement of the bridge that was damaged in flood, which
	was completed in less than two months. The superstructure consists of LG-36 girders and the substructure consists
	of cast-in-place concrete caps and precast concrete piles. SDR engineering services incluced construction support
	besides design and final plans preparation. Dr. Seliem responsibilities were as follows:
	• Lead the structure desing and palns development of the substructure.
	In charge of the construction support services.



Firm employed by: SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.				
Name Adnan Elsaad, PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer 13			
Title Senior Bridge Engineer & Bridge Inspector	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s) 20			
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization	BS /1981/ Civil Engineering			
	FHWA-NHI-13055 Safety Inspection of In-Service Bridges			
Active registration number / state / expiration date	PE.34533/ Louisiana / 9-30-2023			
Year registered 2009 Discipline	Civil Engineering-Structures			
Contract role(s) / brief description of responsibilities	Senior Bridge Engineer and Bridge Inspection Leader			
Experience dates Experience and qualifications r	elevant to the proposed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed girders",			
(mm/yy-mm/yy) "designed intersection", etc. Ex	perience dates should cover the time specified in the applicable MPR(s).			
Mr. El-Saad has over <u>30 years of experience in bri</u>	dge design, inspection, evaluation, and non-destructive testing. Mr. El-Saad has			
planned, instrumented, and executed over 120 br	idge tests. He has strong experience in numerous activities for construction			
engineering inspection and design of AASHTO br	dges and precast concrete segmental bridges. He has extensive experience and			
specialization in bridge design. Detailed knowledg	e of both steel and concrete bridge design including concrete box culverts, mast			
arms, sign structures, foundation analysis, and retai	ning wall structures. He served as a lead bridge engineer for FDOT and TXDOT			
for 11) and nine (9) years, respectively.				
10/18 – 02/21 H.011487: LA 182 Over Atcha	falaya River (Berwick Bay) Bridge Rehabilitation, Lafayette, LA			
The major through truss bridge	The major through truss bridge carries LA 182 over the Atchafalaya River (Berwick Bay). The bridge consists of			
47 spans with a total length of 3	47 spans with a total length of 3,746 ft. The approach spans consist of two (2) reinforced concrete slab spans, 40			
reinforced concrete 1-beam spa	reinforced concrete T-beam spans, and two (2) deck truss spans. The navigational spans consist of three (3)			
identical through truss spans. T	identical through truss spans. The substructure is comprised of concrete pile bents, two-column concrete bents,			
and concrete piers. Mr. Elsaad re	and concrete piers. Mr. Elsaad responsibilities are as follows:			
Inspection lead engineer	• Inspection lead engineer, my major tasks included gathering all pertinent structure related information,			
review of all existing rec	review of all existing records, developing in-depth inspection plans, performing NBIS element-level			
inspection of the entire b	inspection of the entire bridge, instrumentation and load testing of the approach concrete T-beam spans.			
• Lead designer of the sub	• Lead designer of the substructure rehabilitation, bridge deck, concrete approach spans, and QC/QA of the			
superstructure rehabilitat				
08/19 – Present H.011309: MacArthur Interch	ange Completion, Phase II, Jefferson Parish, LA			
Scope of work is to provide tw	Scope of work is to provide two new on-ramp and off-ramp connection between the eastbound of West Bank			
Expressway (US 90-Z) and Fro	Expressway (US 90-Z) and Frontage Road, demolish the existing off-ramp, and widen the US 90-Z bridge to			
accommodate the new ramps. 1	accommodate the new ramps. The project consisted of providing all necessary engineering design services (Stage			
3) required to construct the two s	eparate ramp structures and the relocation of Frontage road. To accommodate the			



	new structures for the two ramps, Frontage Road required relocation along with utilities while maintaining all		
	business access. SDR is the prime consultant and Mr. Elsaad responsibilities are as follows:		
	 Independent constructability review of construction plans 		
	Verification and review of construction cost estimate		
05/16 – Present	H.011484: US 80 Texas Street Bridge over Red River Rehabilitation, Caddo Parish, LA		
	The bridge consists of a main truss span, six deck truss spans, one steel girder span, and 35 reinforced concrete		
	deck girder spans. Mr. Elsaad responsibilities are as follows:		
	• Serving as Inspection lead engineer collecting all pertinent structure related information, performing		
	NBIS element-level inspection of the entire bridge, performing NDT of the pins, coordinating traffic		
	control and all required inspection equipment including snooper truck, boat access and manlifts,		
	 Preparing a comprehensive report containing all inspection results, 		
	• Support the rehabilitation design of the concrete and steel members repairs.		
	• Lead construction support including attending construction meetings, responding to RFIs, reviewing of		
	shop drawings.		
10/16 - 08/20	H.002980: I-10 over US 165 and MP RR, Jefferson Davis Parish, LA		
	replacement of the two I-10 bridges overpass US 165 and MP Railroad. Each bound total bridge length is 765 ft.		
	comprising seven (/) spans. Four (4) spans were made one continuous unit, and the other three (3) spans were continuous unit. Design included all elements of bridge structure along with required slope and embandment work		
	The replacement of the bridge involved complex construction phasing to maintain traffic on the interstate while		
	removing the old structure and constructing the new bridge. To ensure design economy and accelerated		
	construction. DOTD standard precast prestressed concrete girders (LG Girders) were used for the superstructure.		
	Mr. Elsaad responsibilities were as follows:		
	Independent constructability review of construction plans		
	 Verification and review of construction cost estimate 		
09/18 - 08/19	H.012009: US 71 (LA-1) S Market Street over ICG RR, Caddo Parish, LA		
	This project was to provide Stage 0 Design (Feasibility Study) on the twin two-lane bridge structures on US 71		
	(LA-1) Market Street viaduct Southbound over ICR railroad through downtown Shreveport.		
	Two alternates were designed to satisfy the railroad minimum clearance requirements. Several stakeholders were		
	identified and were approached for solicitate of views (SOV) about the two selected alternates. Mr. Elsaad roles:		
	lead bridge inspector		
	developing evaluation report in light of inspection findings.		

Firm employed by	: SDR Engineering Consultants, I	inc.	
Name James F	ussell, ME, PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer 8	
Title Bridge E	ngineer & Bridge Inspector	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s) 0	
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization ME		E / 2014 / Structural Engineering	
	B	S / 2013 / Civil Engineering	
	FI	HWA-NHI-13055 Safety Inspection of In-Service Bridges	
Active registration	number / state / expiration date	PE.43706 / Louisiana / 03-31-2022	
Year registered	2019 Discipline	Civil Engineering-Structures	
Contract role(s) / b	prief description of responsibilities	b load rating lead and bridge inspection leader	
Experience dates	Experience and qualifications r	elevant to the proposed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed girders",	
(mm/yy-mm/yy)	"designed intersection", etc. Ex	perience dates should cover the time specified in the applicable MPR(s).	
08/19 – Present	H.011309: MacArthur Interch	ange Completion, Phase II, Jefferson Parish, LA	
	Expressival (US 90 7) and Fro	o new on-ramp and on-ramp connection between the easibound of west Bank prage Road, demolish the existing off ramp, and widen the US 90 7 bridge to	
	Expressway (US 90-Z) and Frontage Road, demolish the existing off-ramp, and widen the US 90-Z bridge to		
	3) required to construct the tw	to separate ramp structures and the relocation of Frontage Road. Mr. Fussell	
	responsibilities are as follows:		
	• Task manager for the substructure design		
	• Leading plans development of the substructure		
	• Coordinating with the substructure team.		
10/16 - 04/21	H.002980: I-10 over US 165 ar	d MP RR, Jefferson Davis Parish, LA	
	replacement of the two I-10 bric	ges overpass US 165 and MP Railroad. Each bound total bridge length is 765 ft.	
	comprising seven spans. Four spans were made one continuous unit, and the other three spans were continuous		
	unit. Design included all elements of bridge structure along with required slope and embankment work. The		
	replacement of the bridge involved complex construction phasing to maintain traffic on the interstate while		
	removing the old structure and constructing the new bridge. Mr. Fussell responsibilities were as follows:		
	• Analysis and design of the column bents substructure supported by drilled shafts.		
	• Preparation of construction plans of column bents and the drilled shafts.		
4.0/4.0 0.0/5.1	• QCQA review of the co	nstruction plans of the superstructure.	
10/18 - 02/21	H.011487: LA 182 Berwick Ba	y Bridge Rehabilitation, St. Mary, LA	
	The major through truss bridge	carries LA 182 over the Atchatalaya River (Berwick Bay). The bridge consists of	
	4 / spans with a total length of 3	, $/46$ ft. The approach spans consist of two (2) reinforced concrete slab spans, 40	





reinforced concrete T-beam spans, and two (2) deck truss spans. The navigational spans consist of three (3)		
identical through truss spans. The substructure is comprised of concrete pile bents, two-column concrete bents,		
and concrete piers. Mr. Fussell responsibilities included:		
 preparing the inspection report and rehabilitation recommendations 		
• rehabilitation design of the approach deck truss spans, and main truss spans.		
Lead construction plans development		
H.011484.5: US 80 Red River Bridge Inspection, Load Rating, and Rehabilitation, Shreveport, LA		
The US 80 Texas St. Bridge is a historic truss bridge in Shreveport, LA that has undergone inspection, load rating,		
and rehabilitation design. The complex structure consists of two 182' anchor spans and one 520' steel cantilever		
span, six 102'-9" steel deck truss spans, one 81' steel girder span, and 35 reinforced concrete deck girder approach		
spans of various lengths. Considering the inspection, the load rating was performed using AASHTOWARE Bridge		
Rating for the approach spans, deck truss spans, main truss spans, truss members, and gusset plates. Mr. Fussell		
responsibilities were as follows:		
• In-depth field investigation of the truss and approach spans, as well as the various column bents and piers.		
The entire structure was inspected by the SDR team to determine current conditions and critical members.		
• Preparation of the inspection report and organization of the inspection figures and tables.		
• The load rating was performed using AASHTOWARE Bridge Rating for the approach spans, deck truss		
spans, main truss spans, truss members, and gusset plates.		
• Considering the inspection and load rating findings, investigation of repair procedures such as heat		
straightening and paint containment systems for truss configurations.		
• Extensive drawings were developed using Microstation for repair procedures of the superstructure and		
substructure, along with re-producing shop drawings of members to be repaired.		



esign,		
imber		
m are		
nuous		
nows:		
ges.		
Dont		
Jan to		
Stage		
otoud		
otouu		
 Task manager for the substructure design 		
 Analysis and design of pier caps, pier columns, walls, and drilled shafts 		
 Coordinating with the substructure team to make sure the design was reflected on plans correctly 		
 Developing the comb book 		
3ridge		
structures include all types of timber spans, steel spans, and concrete spans. Dr. Sotoud responsibilities were:		
$\frac{1}{2}t - e_{ii}d - t_{c}(S) - E_{r}$		



	• Prepared the load rating reports for the bridges.			
	Quality control of the load rating work done by other engineers.			
10/18 - 02/21	H.011487: LA 182 Berwick Bay Bridge Rehabilitation, St. Mary, LA			
	The major through truss bridge carries LA 182 over the Atchafalaya River (Berwick Bay). The bridge consists of			
	47 spans with a total length of 3,746 ft. The approach spans consist of two (2) reinforced concrete slab spans, 40			
	reinforced concrete T-beam spans, and two (2) deck truss spans. The navigational spans consist of three (3)			
	identical through truss spans. The substructure is comprised of concrete pile bents, two-column concrete bents,			
	and concrete piers. Dr. Sotoud responsibilities included:			
	• Load rating of approach spans with reinforced concrete tee beams, deck truss, and main truss spans with			
	floor-beams and stringer systems and gusset plates.			
	Preparing the inspection report and rehabilitation recommendations			
	• Rehabilitation design of the approach deck truss spans, and main truss spans.			
	Developing construction plans			
02/19 - 08/19	H.009859.5: Load Rating of 27 Complex Bridges, Statewide, LA			
	This project consisted of the analysis and load rating of 27 complex bridges including continuous steel sp			
	prestressed concrete spans, moveable spans, etc. located in Louisiana. Dr. Sotoud responsibilities and tasks were:			
	• Reviewed documents and plans of the bridges.			
	• Prepared the load rating reports for the bridges.			
	Quality control of the load rating work done by other engineers.			
05/16 - 04/18	H.011484.5: US 80 Red River Bridge Inspection, Load Rating, and Rehabilitation, Shreveport, LA			
00/10 01/10	The US 80 Texas St. Bridge is a historic truss bridge in Shreveport, LA that has undergone inspection, load rating,			
	and rehabilitation design. The complex structure consists of two 182' anchor spans and one 520' steel cantilever			
	span, six 102'-9" steel deck truss spans, one 81' steel girder span, and 35 reinforced concrete deck girder approach			
	spans of various lengths. Considering the inspection, the load rating was performed using AASHTOWARE Bridge			
	Rating for the approach spans, deck truss spans, main truss spans, truss members, and gusset plates. Dr. Sotoud			
	responsibilities were as follows:			
	• The load rating of approach spans, deck truss spans, main truss spans, truss members, and gusset plates.			
	• Develop rehab plans of the superstructure and substructure.			



Firm employed by: SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.			
Name Ahmed Rageh, PhD, PE		Years of relevant experience with this employer 2	
Title Bridge Engineer and Bridge Inspector		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s) 9	
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization		PhD / 2020 / Civil Engineering – Structures	
		MS / 2018 / Civil Engineering – Structures	
		MS / 2012 / Civil Engineering – Structures	
		BS /2006 / Civil Engineering – Structures	
		FHWA-NHI-13055 Safety Inspection of In-Service Bridges	
Active registration	number / state / expiration date	PE. 93229 / Florida / 02-28-2023	
Year registered	2022 Discipline	Civil Engineering – Structures	
Contract role(s) / b	prief description of responsibilities	Bridge Engineer & Inspection Team Leader	
Dr. Rageh is a br	ridge engineer with 11 years of ex	aperience in bridge design and evaluation. He has extensive experience and	
specialization in b	ridge design with detailed knowledg	e of complex steel and concrete bridge, as well as bridge load rating, inspection,	
and full-scale testi	ng.		
03/21 - Present	H.011309: MacArthur Interchar	nge Completion, Phase II, Jefferson Parish, LA	
	Scope of work is to provide new	w on-ramp and off-ramp connection between the eastbound of West Bank	
	Expressway (US 90-Z) and Frontage Road, demolish the existing off-ramp, and widen the US 90-Z bridge to		
	accommodate the new ramps. All	necessary engineering design services (Stage 3) are included to construct the	
	two separate ramp structures and t	he relocation of Frontage Road. Dr. Rageh responsibilities includes:	
	 Analysis, Design and detailing of overhead sign cantilever trusses. 		
	Perform QCQA on the reinforced concrete deck design calculations and detailed plans.		
03/21 - Present	H.009859.5: Load Rating of 176	On-System bridges, Statewide LA	
	This project consists of the load rating of 176 bridges located in Louisiana State. Most of them are culverts. The		
	culverts were rated using the improved rating method developed by SDR. Dr. Rageh responsibilities includes:		
	Performing load rating of reinforced concrete box culverts.		
	Performing QCQA on box culverts rated by other engineers.		
08/21 - 01/22	21 – 01/22 Bridge No. 879092: Pedestrian Truss Bridge Over Florida Turnpike Access Road, Hard Rock Stadium,		
	Miami Gardens, Florida		
	The bridge is a single span steel prefabricated truss-type bridge with a total as-built length of 206'-7". SDR		
	responsibility was to perform independent peer review of the bridge components and mounted sign structures.		
	Dr. Rageh responsibilities included:		
	Performing 3D finite element	ent and buckling analyses of the truss bridge.	



	• Perform design verification of the truss bridge superstructure elements and connections.		
07/14 - 07/15	Egyptian Railway System Riveted Steel Bridges Assessment and Testing, Egypt, Countrywide		
	The project involved full-scale testing and fatigue assessment of the major riveted steel truss bridges crossing the		
	River Nile in Egypt. Bridges have total lengths between 296 and 1610 ft. with spans up to 295 ft. and height up to		
	30 ft. Dr. Rageh responsibilities included:		
	 Managing the full-scale live load field testing. 		
	• Performing 3D finite element analyses for the tested bridges.		
	• Performing fatigue assessment for critical bridge elements and connections.		
02/08 - 11/08	El Maryoutya Roadway Steel Bridges, Giza, Egypt		
	The bridge consists of cast-in-place concrete box and composite steel twin box girders with span length of 175 ft.		
	Dr. Rageh responsibilities included:		
	• Performing 3D finite element analyses for the steel twin box girders.		
	• Designing the steel elements and connections of box girders.		
	• Developing detailed plans for the bridge superstructure including connections.		
	Construction support.		
05/08 - 04/09	Skyway TB1 Pedestrian Station Bridge, Cairo International Airport, Cairo, Egypt		
	The bridge is a single span steel prefabricated truss-type bridge with a span length of 145'. The bridge designed		
	to carry pedestrian and the moving walkway within the new airport expansion. Dr. Rageh responsibilities included:		
	 Performing 3D finite element analysis of the truss bridge. 		
	• Designing the steel elements and connections of truss bridge.		
	Developing detailed plans for the bridge superstructure including connections.		
07/08 - 12/08	El Gamalya Roadway Bridge, Dakahlia, Egypt		
07700 - 12700	The bridge consists of multi-steel plate girder of 130 ft. span with a composite cast-in-place concrete deck.		
	Dr. Rageh responsibilities included:		
	• Performing analysis of the steel girders.		
	• Designing the steel elements and connections of steel girders.		
	 Developing detailed plans for the bridge superstructure including connections. 		
	• Reviewing the shop drawings submitted by the contractor.		



Firm employed by: SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.			
Name Feng Xie	, MS, PE	Years of relevant experience with this employer 7	
Title Bridge Engineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s) 1	
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization		MS / 2014 / Civil Engineering	
		BS / 2012 / Civil Engineering	
		FHWA-NHI-130056 Safety Inspection of In-Service	
		Bridges for Professional Engineers	
Active registration	number / state / expiration date	PE.43987/ Louisiana / 03-31-2022	
Year registered	2019 Discipline	Civil Engineering-Structures	
Contract role(s) / b	rief description of responsibilities	Bridge Engineer and Load Rating Lead	
Experience dates	Experience and qualifications rel	levant to the proposed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed girders",	
(mm/yy–mm/yy)	"designed intersection", etc. Exp	erience dates should cover the time specified in the applicable MPR(s).	
Mr. Xie is a seaso	ned bridge engineer with eight (8)	years of experience in bridge engineering. His current work is primarily in the	
area of bridge insp	ections, bridge design, load testing	, structural analysis, load rating, and plan development. He has encompassed the	
design, analysis, an	nd plans development of reinforced	d, prestressed concrete, and steel members in past work.	
05/21 - Present	H.014288.5-2: LA 82 Mermentau River MB (G Chenier) Bridge Rehabilitation, Cameron Parish, LA		
	This 1049-feet movable bridge was built in 1959 and has been identified as a Preservation Priority Bridge. The		
	main span of this bridge is a 204 feet swing steel low truss span. Its approaches comprise (26) concrete slab		
	spans of 20 ft. span length and (8) steel I-beam spans of 40 feet span length. Feng's responsibilities included:		
	• In-depth field inspection a	and identifying structural deficiencies	
	• Structural analysis and design of structural member strengthening details		
0.0/1.0 D	• Task manager for the deve	elopment of rehabilitation plan	
08/19–Present	H.011309 Macarthur Interchan	ge Completion Phase II, Jefferson Parish, LA	
	This project aims at providing connections between the eastbound direction of the Westbank Expressway and the		
	eastbound frontage road near Peters Road and the East Bound Harvey Tunnel. Feng's responsibilities include:		
	Reviewing documents and plans for the bridge		
	• Load rating of the existing superstructure and design of new girders		
10/10 02/20	• Development of girder det	tails for the new ramps	
10/18 - 02/20	H.011487 LA 182 Berwick Bay	Bridge Rehab, Lafayette Parish, LA	
	This project consisted of the development of the rehabilitation plan of deficient structural components for the		
	Long-Allen Bridge. Feng's responsibilities included:		
	Identification of the deficition	ent structural components during inspections	



	Load testing of the reinforced concrete approach spans		
	• Reviewing bridge plans and conducting load rating analysis of the structures		
	Development of rehabilitation plans for the deficient members		
01/17-07/17	H.002980 I-10 Overpass Over US 165 & MP RR, Jefferson Davis Parish, LA		
	This project consisted of structural design and plan development for the replacement of EB and WB of I-10		
	overpass over US 165 and MP Railroad bridges. The total length of each bridge is 765 feet with a unit o		
	continuous spans and a unit of three continuous spans. Feng's responsibilities were as follows:		
	• Structural analysis and design of the substructures		
	Development of substructure construction plans		
06/16-07/17	I-10: WB on-Ramp From US-61, Ascension Parish, LA		
	A curved steel girder in a bridge on US-61, ramp K over I-10 interstate, which is located in Ascension Parish was		
	struck by an over-height truck. As a result, the girder was damaged. This project consisted of the evaluation of the		
	damage and the development of a rehabilitation plan. Feng's responsibilities were as follows:		
	 Reviewing incident related documents, site visits, and damage assessment 		
	Structural analysis and development of the repair plan		
	• Instrumentation and monitoring of the bridge before the removal of the damaged portion and after		
	installation of the replacement segment		
01/16-07/17	US 80 Texas Street over Red River Bridge Rehab, Shreveport, LA		
	This project consisted of the in-depth inspection, load rating, and rehabilitation of the US 80 Texas Street Bridge		
	located in Shreveport, Louisiana. The bridge consists of a main truss span comprised of (2) 182' anchor spans		
	one 520' steel cantilever span, (6) 102'-9" steel deck truss spans, (1) 91' steel girder span, and (35) reinfor		
	concrete deck girder approach spans of varying span lengths. Feng's responsibilities included:		
	• Performing in-depth field investigations of the bridge members using articulating lifts		
	• Reviewing the truss spans as well as approach spans' models while considering deterioration		
07/14 02/15	Development of inspection reports and bridge rehabilitation plan		
07/14 -03/15	H.010498 Luling Bridge Deck Overlay & Repair, St. Charles Parish, LA		
	This project consisted of the design of traffic control plans, developing deck overlay repair plans, and analyzing		
	the impact on cable stress and stability using the 3D-Finite element method while replacing the deck overlay on a		
	3-span 2/4511. long cable-stayed bridge built in 1985. Feng's responsibilities included:		
	• Woodening and analyzing the impact on both superstructure and substructure when replacing the cables		
	• Investigating result accuracy of different analytical models		
	Preparing the analysis report explaining the methodology and assumptions		



Firm employed by:	Volkert, Inc. Volkert			
Name Janet Eva	net Evans, PE, MBA		Years of relevant experience with this employer	13
Title Principal-i	n-Charge		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	26
Degree(s) / Years /	Specialization	BS /	1980 / Civil Engineering	
		MBA	A / 1986 / Business Administration	
Active registration	number / state / expiration date	2130)7 / LA / 09-30-2022	
Year registered	1984 Discipline	Civil		
Contract role(s) / br	rief description of responsibilities	Proj	ect Principal (Roadway Expert)	
Experience dates	Experience and qualifications re	levant	to the proposed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed	ed girders",
(mm/yy–mm/yy	"designed intersection", etc. Exp	perienc	ce dates should cover the time specified in the applicable MPF	 (s).
Ms. Evans joined V	olkert in 2008 and has over <u>39 yea</u>	ars' ex	perience in roadway design, bridge project management, and c	construction
of transportation pro	<u>ojects</u> . This includes urban freeway	y desig	gn, stage 0 studies, capacity improvements, (lane additions), en	vironmental
justice and interchar	nge modifications and developmen	t of co	nstruction sequencing for the high average daily traffic volume	interstates.
Her combination of	f construction and design experien	nce ha	s been utilized by the department in various alternative deliv	ery projects
including the develo	opment of draft CMAR guidelines	and th	ne development of a design build construction manual.	
06/20 - 08/24 est.	 b8/24 est. LA 23: Belle Chasse Bridge and Tunnel (HBI) Improvements, Plaquemine Parish (LADOTD) Ms. Evans is serving as ProjectPrincipal for the Belle Chasse Bridge and Tunnel Improvements. Volkert will be 			
00/20 00/21 050				
	responsible for providing all Engineering Design and Construction Support services including implementation of			
	the Construction Quality Assurance Plan for the Belle Chasse Bridge & Tunnel Public Private Partnership (P3)			
	Project which provides for the replacement of the Belle ChasseTunnel and Judge Perez Lift Bridge with a new			
	toll bridge. This includes the development of construction plans, bridge replacement plans, decommissioning of			
	the Tunnel and development of O&M plans. As the OVT, Volkert will provide guidance and support to the			
LDOID Project Manager prior to and during reviews to ensure that the P3 team adheres to their contract.			ract.	
12/17 – 12/20 Causeway Shoulder Bay Design, Jefferson and St. Tammany Parishes, LA (Greater New Orlean			w Orleans	
	Expressway Commission)			
	Volkert was selected to design essential and long-awaited shoulder additions. The bridge shoulders, comprising			comprising
	12 "shoulder bays," will provide a safe space for disabled vehicles to pull over out of traffic. They will also			ey will also
	increase safety for motorists and emergency personnel in the event of a crash. This project was executed using the			
	UNIAK alternative delivery method, a first for the State of Louisiana. Nirs. Evans served as Project Principal and			rincipal and
	Project Manager for this project.			

I-220 to Barksdale AFB Connector Design-Build Procurement, Bossier Parish, LA (LADOTD)
Ms. Evans is serving as Principal-in-Charge for Volkert's team as they completed preliminary construction cost
estimates and reviewed preliminary engineering layouts from LA DOTD to help assess impacts, constructability
design issues. She also helped produce the Performance Specifications, worked with LA DOTD staff in each
category for project specific design issues to be addressed. She also assisted in the preparation of the Public
Information Meetings and the One-on-One meetings with the shortlisted Design-Build teams for this \$71.8 M
Design-Build project. State Contract No. 4400004915 TO 5, S.P. No. H.003370
Owner Verification Services for College Drive Flyover Ramp (I-10/I-12 west) in East Baton Rouge
Parish for theLouisiana Department of Transportation and Development (LADOTD)
Ms. Evans served as Principal-in-Charge for this project that consists of modifying the I-10 West/College
Drive exit into separate I-12 West and I-10 West exits. Volkert will provide all necessary engineering
services as part of this Design-Build/Owner Verification project. This includes design reviews for bridges,
roads, hydraulics, electrical and ROW Acquisition efforts as well as contract administration, scheduling,
document control, and construction phase services. SP No. 4400019680, S.P. No H.013897
I-10: Highland Road to LA 73 Design-Build, East Baton Rouge and Ascension Parishes, LA (LADOTD)
Ms. Evans is serving as Principal-in-Charge for the Owner Verification Team (OVT) on Task Orders 3 & 4 which
allows Volkert to provide procurement and project oversight and acceptance for both design and construction
for the I-10 Design-Build project from Highland Road in East Baton Rouge Parish to LA 73 in Ascension
Parish. She is responsible for all project oversight for the Design and Construction on this \$72M Design-Build
project. This project consists of upgrading a portion of I-10 in East Baton Rouge and Ascension Parish to a six-
lane controlled access facility including construction of a new six-lane I- 10 overpass at Highland Road. This
was the fastest procured design-build today in DOTD History. State Contract No. 4400004915 10 5 & 4,
S.F. NO. H.009230 Chalmatta Slin Dasign St. Barnard Darish I.A
Ms. Evans served as Principal-in-Charge for this project. Volkert provided the design and construction phase
services for the reconstruction of Chalmette Slip in accordance with the Tiger Grant obtained by the Port. As
part of this project. Section A and F the last two original wharf sections will be reconstructed. Section A has
already partially collapsed. Section F is in extremely poor condition and in need of replacement as well. Section
A will require a rebuild and Section F will require stabilization and improvements to keep it from failing. The
slip must remain functional as a single terminal composed of six wharves that maximize operational safety.
cargo handling efficiency and capacity as well as on-dock rail capacity.



Name Ashley Beckendorf, PE Years of relevant experience with this employer 7				
TitleProject EngineerYears of relevant experience with other employer(s)7				
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization BS / 2008 / Civil Engineering				
Active registration number / state / expiration date 37334 / LA / 03-21-2023				
Year registered 2012 Discipline Civil				
Contract role(s) / brief description of responsibilities Roadway Expert				
Experience dates Experience and qualifications relevant to the proposed contract; <i>i.e.</i> , "designed drainage", "designed girders",				
(mm/yy-mm/yy "designed intersection", etc. Experience dates should cover the time specified in the applicable MPR(s).				
Ms. Beckendorf has over 12 years of design and engineering experience and expertise in delivering complex drainage, roadway, open				
space, and other capital projects for government clients. Over her career she has specialized in roadway engineering, sewer				
infrastructure design and drainage design. For the past six plus years, she has managed and assisted with managing several projects				
of complex nature and succeeded in keeping on schedule and maintaining great project outcomes. Before her management experience				
she worked on the East Baton Rouge Greenlight Program and East BatonRouge Parish Sanitary Sewer Overflow Program, beginning				
from the preliminary stages to design, on through construction. With her experience working with EBR through these projects,				
combined with her knowledge of engineering and managerial experience give her the ability to make a very effective manager. She				
has managed every aspect of projects including geotechnical engineering, surveying & mapping, environmental studies and				
permitting, subsurface utility engineering, utility coordination, lighting, traffic studies and design, Right of way Acquisition, drainage,				
and roadway design. 10/15 = 00/1(L 10. Highland Deed to LA 72 Secondary and According to La 2. East Deter Design and According				
10/15 – 09/16 I-10: Highland Road to LA /3 Supplemental Agreement No. 2, East Baton Rouge and Ascension				
Parisnes, LA (LADUID) Vallastare estate da ser famore a laterata Malifactica Denat (DMD) te conlege de cristica				
volkert was contracted to perform and prepare an interstate Modification Report (INIR) to analyze the existing				
roadwaynetworks and identify the best alternatives to improve capacity the interchange at 1-10 and LA 42. As				
one of the Project Engineers, Ms. Beckendori assisted in managing the project tasks. She performed 15-minute				
queue length analyses. She performed a crash study, including a crash analysis of all the intersections,				
segments, and spots using LA DOTD manual for Crash Data Analysis and crash to software, pulling crash				
reports, analyzing the overrepresentation, and drawing crashdiagrams. Lastly, she has assisted in the time travel				
Study. State Contract No. 4400004915 SA 2, S.F. No. H.009250				
0.5/19 - 12/21 est. 1-220/1-20 Interchange Improvements to BAFB Access Design-Build, Bossier Parisn, LA (LADUID) Ma. Daskendarf is providing readyout design submitted review for Vallent's term. The L 220/L 20 Interview				
Instruction of L 220 to the south over				
L 20 as a limited access A lane arterial to a new terminus on Parksdala Air Force Pass (PAED) and includes				





	construction of four interchange ramps providing interchange connectivity for the new access road. The project
	includes the construction of two sets of bridge structures, one set for the I-20 over pass and the second set for
	the over- pass of the KCS RR. The project terminus will tie to a BAFB
	roadway project creating a new access location for the base. State Contract No. 4400016173, S.P. No.
	H.003370.6
05/18 - 05/19	LA 929 at LA 930 Roundabout, Ascension Parish, LA (Ascension Parish Government)
	As project manager and lead engineer, Ms. Beckendorf coordinated all sub-consultants and supervised all work
	done on the project. This a new roundabout at LA 929 and LA 930. It consists of a one lane roundabout with
	a combination of ditch drainage and subsurface drainage.
04/14 - 12/14	St. Landry Road – Edenborne Connector, Ascension Parish, LA (Ascension Parish Government)
	As Project Engineer, Ms. Beckendorf provided roadway design engineering including plan profiles,
	specifications, geometrics, typical cross sections, and striping and signing plans. For the sewer work, she
	designed gravity and force main lines and assisted with the design of the pump station and site layouts. The
	project consists of providing provide an environmental impact study, right away analysis, full roadway and
	utility design, and bid services for a divided facility that will connect St Landry Ave.and Edenborne Connector.
	Volkert is responsible for the initial preliminary information submittal through the 100% final design plan
	submittal.
05/18-05/19	Plank Road, East Baton Rouge Parish, LA (Baton Rouge Metropolitan Airport)
	As project manager, Ms. Beckendorf coordinates between sub-consultants, between the airport, the FAA, and
	LA DOTD. She is responsible for the design of Plank Road (the new alignment), QA/QC of all components
	and supervision of all PE's, EI's, and technicians working on the project's design. This is project is to relocate
	Plank Road along a new alignment. The project includes ROW acquisition and all the design for a new 4 lane
	highway with J-turns. It also includes ROW acquisition and all the design for additional lanes along Harding
	and Hooper Road. It also includes a new lighting system and new signalized intersection. This project is an
	Airport project, funded by FAA, but the road will be transferred to LA DOTD.



Firm employed by: Volkert, Inc. Volkert					
Name Jonathan Gambino, PE, PTOE, RSP1			Years of relevant experience with this employer	1	
Title Civil/Traffic	e Engineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	9	
Degree(s) / Years / S	pecialization	BS /	2012 / Civil Engineering		
Active registration nu	umber / state / expiration date	4149	06 / LA / 09-30-2021		
	-	PTO	E / LA #4433 / 03-18-2024		
Year registered	2017 Discipline	Civil			
Contract role(s) / brie	ef description of responsibilities	Traff	fic Engineer		
Experience dates	Experience and qualifications r	elevan	t to the proposed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designe	d girders",	
(mm/yy–mm/yy	"designed intersection", etc. Ex	perier	nce dates should cover the time specified in the applicable MP	R(s).	
Mr. Gambino joined	Volkert in 2020 and has 9 years	of expe	erience developing civil and traffic engineering plans, specifica	utions and	
studies. This include	es identifying and adhering to	applica	able state policies and procedures for project plan development	ment. His	
experience includes	the use of MicroStation, InRoad	ls, AA	SHTOWare Project, VISSIM, Vistro, Synchroplus SimTraf	fic, Sidra	
Intersection, HCS, T	ru-Traffic, AutoCAD, ACAD Ci	vil 3D	, CORSIM, TEAPAC, and TS/PP Draft programs. He is an I	TE PTOE	
(#4433) and has obtain	ined his ATSSA Flagger certifica	tion.	LADOTD Traffic Training Complete.		
02/20 - 11/21	Joe Sevario Road at LA 933 R	lounda	about, Ascension Parish, LA (sub to SJB Group, LLC for A	scension	
	Parish)				
	Mr. Gambinois serving as Traffic Engineer for this project. SJB provided civil engineering, survey, SUE				
	services and Volkert provided engineering support including development of a traffic study and geometric				
10/15 00/20 F (layouts for this roundabout to alleviate congestion and delays along this corridor.				
10/15 - 09/20 Est.	Macarthur Interchange Com	pletion	Phase II, Jefferson Parish, LA (LA DOID)	66	
	Mr. Gambino is serving as Iraf	nc Eng	gineer for this project. This project includes the removal of one	-on ramp	
	and the addition of another on and off ramp eastbound of the West Bank Expressway in New Orleans. He				
	also has served as the QA/QC manager of the plans and design which has encompassed the review of the				
	constructability of various design and detail options. An example is to recommend drilled shafts instead of				
	driving piles to minimize interference with the ground traffic and problems with the vibration during pile				
	uriving and overrun pile pay quantities. The project presents several challenges to its designers given it				
	and transitioning to its two new bridge ramps. Working within the existing right of way and managing the				
	and transitioning to its two new orldge ramps. Working within the existing right of way and managing the				
08/19 - 08/20	Design Engineer for Louisian		The among other requirements and chancinges. [5.1 , 140, 11.0]	11507	
00/17 - 00/20	Mr. Combine identified and ad	a DUI	a applicable state policies and precedures in the development	and	
	wir. Gamoino idenumed and adi	iereu l	o applicable state policies and procedures in the development	and	



	design of project plan sets in a timely manner. These projects included developing preliminary and final
	estimated quantities and cost estimates as well as development of plan sets for roadway improvement projects.
12/18 - 08/19	Transportation Engineer
	Mr. Gambino reviewed and compared historic and recent traffic data and conducted signalwarrant analysis,
	traffic signal design warrants and traffic capacity analyses for both current and proposed conditions for a
	Houma based engineering firm.
03/17 - 10/18	Transportation Engineer
	Mr. Gambino coded and calibrated microscopic simulation models using VISSIM for freeways, corridors and unconventional intersection analysis at a New Orleans engineering firm. He conducted multiple traffic impact studies and developed recommendations for improvements using Synchro, Vistro, Sidra and HCS software programs. In this role he also attended and presented at community meetings and developed traffic control
	plans in accordance with MUTCD, and state standards. He also performed safety studies and traffic signal warrant studies while developing alternative intersection design elements as J-turn installations and roundabouts
08/12 - 03/17	Transportation Engineer
00/12 03/17	Mr. Gambino coded and calibrated microscopic simulation models using VISSIM for freeways, corridors and
	unconventional intersection analysis at a New Orleans engineering firm. He conducted multiple traffic impact
	studies and developed recommendations for improvements using Synchro, Vistro, Sidra and HCS software
	programs. In this role he also attended and presented at community meetings and developed traffic control
	plans in accordance with MUTCD, and state standards. He also performed safety studies and traffic signal
	warrant studies while developing alternative intersection design elements such as J-turn installations and
0.0/10 00/10	roundabouts.
06/12 - 08/12	Engineering Internship
	Mr. Gambino worked on various projects developing schedules and handling day to day clientinteractions
	for wastewater, landfill development, traffic control and development, structural analysis and geotechnical
	analysis for projects at a Baton Rouge engineering firm.



Firm employed by: Volkert, Inc. Volkert					
Name Chad Fisher	r		Years of relevant experience with this employer	26	
Title CADD Tech	inician		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	26	
Degree(s) / Years / Sp	pecialization	Cert	ificate, Drafting & Design Technology / 1995		
Active registration nu	umber / state / expiration date	N/A			
Year registered	N/A Discipline	N/A			
Contract role(s) / brie	f description of responsibilities	CAE	DD Technician		
Experience dates	Experience and qualifications rel	levant	to the proposed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed	d girders",	
(mm/yy–mm/yy	"designed intersection", etc. Exp	erien	ce dates should cover the time specified in the applicable MPF	R(s).	
For 26 years, Mr. Fi	sher has been actively engaged	in the	e field of civil design, cost estimates, manhour analysis, con	nstruction	
administration, const	ruction inspection & drafting, e	speci	ally as it relates to civil design projects. Mr.Fisher has use	ed several	
Cad platforms and v	arious design packages, such as	s Mic	rostation V81, Autocad 2018, Inroads V81, Softdesk & Civi	1 3D. Mr.	
Fisher's responsibility	ies include preparation of prelim	inary	and final cost estimates, manhour analysis, design drawings	including	
plan & profile sheets,	typical sections, geometrics and	speci	al details, cross-sections, drainage maps, and right-or-maps.	1	
Additional Training II	ncludes: MicroStation Technician	i, App	blying inroads Training Cert., Advanced inroads Training Cert.	, and	
Advanced Inroads II	I raining Cert.	Treeses	voucements Disactor Delief 201(Fleed Events Dession De	wish I A	
2021 - 2022	Worked directly with the Project	Impi Engi	rovements – Disaster Reliei – 2010 Flood Event; Bossier Pa	k profile	
	worked directly with the Project Engineer to prepare preliminary and final drawings including plan & profile shorts, trained sections, using Mismetation V8L Autoesd 2018 & Civil Basksons, Bransand Braliningry & Final				
	Cost estimates	1051a	uon voi, Autocau 2016 & Civii I ackages. I repareu I tenninai	y & Fillal	
	Lat US 80 Lat I A 150: Doutor		818 & I A 150: Lincoln Darish (LADOTD)		
	JCI. US 80 - JCI. LA 150; Koules	5 LA ($\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}$	C*1	
	work directly with the Project En	ngine	er to prepare preliminary and final drawings including plan &	profile	
	sneets, typical sections, geometrics and special details, cross-sections, drainage maps, and right-of-maps using				
	700 31 0110				
2006 - 2008	2006 - 2008 Let US 84 - Let I A 126: Route I A 34: Winn Parish (I ADOTD)				
2000 2000	Worked directly with the Project Engineer to prepare preliminary and final drawings including plan & profile				
	sheets, typical sections geometric	cs and	special details cross-sections, drainage mans, and right-of-ma	ins using	
	Microstation 95. Autocad R12 &	Civil	Packages.	-r	
2004 - 2005	Fink's Hide A-Way Road (US 1	65 -	Raymond Drive): Quachita Parish Work directly with		
	(LADOTD) Work directly with t	the Pr	oject Engineer to prepare preliminary and final drawings inclu	ding plan	
	& profile sheets, typical sections,	geon	netrics and special details, cross-sections, drainage maps, and i	right-of-	



	maps using Microstation J & CivilPackages. SPN 700-64-0102; FAP No. STP-591-1(008)
	US. 167 - Dubach to Bernice (LADOTD)
	Worked directly with the Project Engineer to prepare preliminary and final drawings including plan & profile
	sheets, typical sections, geometrics and special details, cross-sections, drainage maps, and right-of-maps using
	Microstation J & Civil Packages.
05/18 - 05/19	Plank Road, East Baton Rouge Parish, LA (Baton Rouge Metropolitan Airport)
	As project manager, Ms. Beckendorf coordinates between sub-consultants, between the airport, the FAA, and
	LA DOTD. She is responsible for the design of Plank Road (the new alignment), QA/QC of all components
	and supervision of all PE's, EI's, and technicians working on the project's design. This is project is to relocate
	Plank Road along a new alignment. The projectincludes ROW acquisition and all the design for a new 4 lane
	highway with J-turns. It also includes ROW acquisition and all the design for additional lanes along Harding
	and Hooper Road. It also includes a new lighting system and new signalized intersection. This project is an
	Airport project, funded by FAA, but the road will be transferred to LA DOTD.
06/20 - 08/24 est.	LA 23: Belle Chasse Bridge and Tunnel (HBI) Improvements, Plaquemine Parish (LADOTD)
	Ms. Beckendorf is serving as Project Engineer for the Belle Chasse Bridge and Tunnel Improvements.
	Volkert will be responsible for providing all Engineering Design and Construction Support services including
	Implementation of the Construction Quality Assurance Plan for the Belle Chasse Bridge & Tunnel Public Drivets Dorthomshin (D2) Droiget which provides for the replacement of the Della Chasse Tunnel and Judge
	Private Partnership (P3) Project which provides for the replacement of the Belle Chasse Funnel and Judge Derez Lift Pridge with a new tell bridge. This includes the development of construction plans, bridge
	replacement plans, decommissioning of the Tunnel and development of O&M plans. As the OVT, Volkert
	will provide guidance and support to the LDOTD Project Manager prior to and during reviews, develop review
	comments attend project meetings, ensure that the P3 team adheres to their contract, and address other
	assignments as directed
	LA 23: Belle Chasse Bridge and Tunnel (HBI) Improvements Plaquemine Parish (LADOTD)
	Ms Beckendorf is serving as Project Engineer for the Belle Chasse Bridge and Tunnel Improvements
	Volkert will be responsible for providing all Engineering Design and Construction Support services including
	implementation of the Construction Quality Assurance Plan for the Belle Chasse Bridge & Tunnel Public
	Private Partnership (P3) Project which provides for the replacement of the Belle Chasse Tunnel and Judge
	Perez Lift Bridge with a new toll bridge. This includes the development of construction plans, bridge
	replacement plans, decommissioning of the Tunnel and development of O&M plans. As the OVT, Volkert
	will provide guidance and support to the LDOTD Project Manager prior to and during reviews, develop review
	comments, attend project meetings, ensure that the P3 team adheres to their contract, and address other
	assignments as directed.



Firm employed by:	Volkert, Inc. Volkert				
Name Perry Lebl	lanc	Years of relevant ex	perience with this employer	5	
Title CADD Tec	hnician	Years of relevant ex	perience with other employer(s)	23	
Degree(s) / Years / S	Specialization	S / Drafting & Design Te	echnology / 1998		
Active registration n	number / state / expiration date	'A			
Year registered	N/A Discipline	A			
Contract role(s) / bri	ef description of responsibilities	ADD Technician			
Experience dates	Experience and qualifications re-	ant to the proposed cont	ract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designe	d girders",	
(mm/yy–mm/yy)	"designed intersection", etc. Ex	ence dates should cover	the time specified in the applicable MPI	R(s).	
Mr. LeBlanc joined	Volkert's Baton Rouge office in	, after a twenty-year car	eer working in design and as a CADD		
instructor at a local t	technical college. He is responsib	r the CADD design of e	ngineering projects for airports and othe	r	
engineering projects	. He has extensive experience in	rating 3D models of pro	jects. His experience includes the follow	ing	
projects. Additional	Training includes: MicroStation	nician and Autodesk So	ftware.		
10/15 - 09/16	I-10: Highland Road to LA	Supplemental Agreeme	ent No. 2, East Baton Rouge and A	Ascension	
	Parishes, LA (LADOTD)				
	Worked directly with the Project Engineer to prepare preliminary and final drawings including plan &				
	profile sheets, typical sections, using Microstation V8I, Autocad 2018 & Civil Packages. Prepared Preliminary				
	& Final Cost estimates.				
06/20 - 08/24 est.	LA 23: Belle Chasse Bridge an	unnel (HBI) Improvem	ents, Plaquemine Parish (LADOTD)		
	Mr. Leblanc is working directly with the Project Engineer to prepare preliminary and final drawings including				
	plan & profile sheets, typical sections, using Microstation V8I, Autocad 2018 & Civil Packages. Prepared				
2006 2000	Preliminary & Final Cost estima				
2006 – 2008	Jct. US 84 - Jct. LA 126; Rout	A 34; Winn Parish (I	LADOID)	0	
	worked directly with the Project	gineer to prepare prelim	inary and final drawings including plan a	X of	
	profile sneets, typical sections, §	d D 12 & Civil Declaration	s, cross-sections, drainage maps, and rigr	11-01-	
05/19 05/10	Plank Dood Fast Paton Do	Denich IA (Deten D	Lougo Matropolitan Airport)		
03/18 - 03/19	Mr. Lablana is working directly	h the Project Engineer to	buge Metropolital Airport)	including	
	plan & profile sheets typical se	n une rioject Engineer u	81 Autocad 2018 & Civil Packages Dra	nared	
	Preliminary & Final Cost estim	is, using wherestation v	or, Autocau 2010 & Civil I ackages. Fie	parcu	
05/19 - 12/21 est	I-220/I-20 Interchange Impro	ents to RAFR Access D	esign_Ruild Rossier Parish I A (I AD	OTD)	
05/17 - 12/21 CSL.	Mr. Leblanc is working directly	the Project Engineer to	prepare preliminary and final drawings	including	
-	Mr. Leblanc is working directly	n the Project Engineer to	prepare preliminary and final drawings	including	



1	plan & profile sheets, typical sections, using Microstation V8I, Autocad 2018 & Civil Packages. Prepared
]	Preliminary & Final Cost estimates. State Contract No. 4400016173, S.P. No. H.003370.6



16. Staff Experience:

Firm employed by: Volkert, Inc.	Volkert		
Name Randy Denmon, PE, I	LS	Years of relevant experience with this employer	14
Title Roadway Engineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	20
Degree(s) / Years / Specializatio	n	BS / 1991 / Mathematics	
		MS / 1996 / Civil Engineering	
Active registration number / stat	e / expiration date	PE.29390 / LA / 03-31-2023	
		PLS.4798 / LA / 03/31/2023	
Year registered 2001	Discipline	Civil	
Contract role(s) / brief description	n of responsibilities	Mr. Denmon will be serving as roadway engineer for this project.	
Experience dates Experience	and qualifications re	levant to the proposed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designed	ed girders",
(mm/yy–mm/yy "designed in	tersection", etc. Exp	perience dates should cover the time specified in the applicable MPI	R(s).
Mr. Denmon has over 25 years'	experience in civil en	ngineering/construction management and land surveying, primarily	as a Public
Works and Flood Control Engin	eer and is an active m	nember of the Association of State Dam Safety Officials.	
Mr. Denmon is a registered Civil	Engineer and Survey	or in the State of Louisiana. Mr. Denmon has vast experience working	ng on Water
Resource, Flood Control, and Transportation projects, and well as Surveying. His experience includes hydraulic design, construction			
management, analysis of water supply structures, watershed and stream modeling, and flood mapping. In his career, Mr. Denmon ha			Denmon has
been the lead engineer on over 30 major dams in Louisiana for such clients as: LA Department of Transportation, and other State			
Agencies, Watershed and Lake I	Districts, and many lo	ocal governments.	
Mr. Denmon is certified LADOT	D Project Manager.	He Denmon has extensive experience with Microstation, AutoCAD,	, Intergraph,
and Bentley computer aided des	ign applications, and	the US Army Corps of Engineers' HEC-RAS and HMS hydrolog	ic modeling
programs.			
2007 – 2010 State Wide I	Dam Breach Analys	is, LA (LADOTD)	
Project Manager. Work included Dam Breach Analysis and Emergency Action Plans on 24 dams maintained by			
the LADOTD. Work included downstream creek, downstream bridge, and dam surveys; construction of a HEC			
RAS computer model to model a dam breach on each site under maximum storage conditions, and a final rep			a final report
that: detailed all work completed, model analysis and results, inundation mapping with Microstation Software, and			oftware, and
recommendations for hazard classifications of said dams. Design work included hydraulic and hydrologic			1 hydrologic
modeling, su	rveying and mapping	;, and CAD. Engineering Fee: \$1,050,697 SPN 750-99-0128 & 750)-99-132

2009 - 2013	Bundick's Creek Lake Upgrades, Deridder, LA (LADOTD)
	Project Manager. Final Design and permitting of new, 100' concrete crest gate spillway for the LADOTD. Design
	work included: civil, structural, geotechnical, hydraulic, hydrologic, mechanical and electrical engineering,
	software. Project Cost: \$ 6,100,000 SPN 750-06-01
2008 - 2010	Drainage Improvements, Franklin Farm Megasite, SPN 05-252-06-14, Richland Parish, LA (LADOTD)
	Project Manager. Work included 100- and 500-year flood determination and mapping, topographic surveying, environmental permitting, and design for the relocation of approximately 1.5 miles of loca bayous, utility relocations, and drainage structures for the Louisiana Dept. of Economic Development. Design work included: civil, structural, geotechnical, hydraulic, hydrologic, surveying and mapping, CAD, and cost estimating. Design
	work completed with Bentley's Microstation software. Project Cost: Approximately \$1,100,000.
2006 – 2010	Cross Lake Dam, Stability Analysis and EAP, Caddo Parish, LA Project Manager. Emergency Action Plan and final design, bidding and award for the clearing and grubbing of the dam; detailed field surveys, analysis of the dam that included 26 boring, lab analysis, the installation of 6 piezometers, stability analysis, through seepage analysis, and under seepage analysis; and recommendations for corrective measures for the dam. Project Cost: \$250,000.
2010 - 2010	Washington St. Washington Parish, LA (LADOTD) Project Manager for Construction Engineering and Inspection utilizing the LADOTD's Site Manager Program. Construction Cost: \$950,000 Project included pavement patching and curb replacement of approximately 2000' of concrete, four lane, divided, Urban Roadway in the City of Monroe SPN 742-37-0026, ARR-3709(512)
3/2009 - 12/2011	Oliver Road Widening and Overlay (LADOTD)
	Project Manager. Construction Cost: \$2,200,000. Mr. Denmon completed all surveying, drainage and geometric design for this project, and oversaw the completion of all final plans, as well as Construction Engineering and Inspection utilizing the LADOTD's Site Manager Program SPN 742-37-0019, F.A.P.N ARR-3709(504)

Firm employed by: Forte & Tablada, Inc. FORTE & TABLADA				
Name	Russell "Joey" Coco, PE, MBA	Years of relevant experience with this employer	7	
Title	President/CEO	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	13	
Degree(s)	/ Years / Specialization	BSCE / 2000 / LSU MBA / 2006 / LSU		
	-	Coastal Engineering Certificate / 2008 / Old Dominion University	ersity	
Active reg	gistration number / state / expiration date	PE.31337 / LA / 09-30-2022		
Year regis	stered 2004 Discipline	Civil Engineering		
Contract i	role(s) / brief description of responsibilities	Principal-in-Charge		
08/20 -	4400017598 Rural Bridge Replacement Initia	tive, Statewide		
Present	Principal overseeing topographic survey for sta	te bridges in accordance with LADOTD's Location and Sur	vey Manual.	
	Property surveys and right of way mapping will	be provided as need arises.		
01/20 -	H.012588, H.012169, H.012587, I-10			
10/20	Catch Basin Br-W. Baton Rouge P/L, I-10: Iber	ville P/L-W End Miss Br, I-10: W End of Br 290-W End of L	A 415- West	
	Baton Rouge & Iberville Parishes- Principal over	erseeing complete topographic survey, approximately 18.3 mi	les, from the	
	East end of the Atchafalaya Bridge to the West e	end of the I-10/LA 415 Interchange.		
05/19 –	H.000303.6- Danziger Bridge Rehabilitation,	Orleans Parish, LA		
09/19	Principal overseeing survey investigation of Danziger Bridge. Included laser scanning and comparison of actual conditions			
to original plans.				
10/18 -	4400010587- Sunshine Bridge Repair- St. Jan	nes Parish, LA		
12/18	LADOTD- Principal overseeing topographic surveying and terrestrial LIDAR services for the LADOTD Sunshine Bridge			
	Emergency Repair project following the severe impact of a barge mounted crane with the lowest horizontal bridge chord.			
11/19 –	S.P. No. H.012083.5- Calcasieu River Bridge	Investigation- Calcasieu Parish, LA		
11/20	LADOTD- Principal overseeing laser scanning s	services for the I-10/Lake Calcasieu bridge in Lake Charles, LA	A .	
01/18 -	H.004100- I-10 (LA 415 to Essen Lane on I-10 and I-12)- East and West Baton Rouge Parishes			
06/19	LADOTD- Principal overseeing topographic survey of the work between LSU lakes and Essen Lane.			
05/17 -	- H.004791.5- Belle Chasse Bridge and Tunnel Replacement Hydrographic Survey- Plaquemines Parish, LA			
10/18	Principal-in-charge for comprehensive topographic surveying services for the Belle Chase Bridge and Tunnel Replacement			
	project for LADOTD. Included in this work was a survey performed utilizing traditional methods, terrestrial laser scanning			
	of roadway surfaces, and multi-beam 3-D hydrographic surveying.			
06/17 -	Amite River Basin Model- Hydrographic Sur	vey- Livingston Parish, LA		
02/19	Principal-in-Charge to provide hydrographic sur	veying of the Amite River and Comite River. Tasks included t	ypical cross-	
	sections of these rivers, as well as detailed 3-D b	athymetric data collected with sonar equipment, ground contro	l for LIDAR	


	of the Amite River Basin, and a high-resolution survey of the Amite River Diversion Weir utilizing a variety of techniques
	including multi-beam sonar and traditional survey methods.
10/18 -	East Baton Rouge Stormwater Masterplan- East Baton Rouge Parish, LA
Present	Principal-in-Charge for hydrographic surveying of bayous and creeks located within East Baton Rouge Parish for the EBR
	Stormwater Masterplan. The work consists of establishing cross-sections and stream bed profiles along their length.
05/17 -	S.P. No. H.013052- LA 442 Tangipahoa River Bridge Replacement- Tangipahoa Parish, LA
10/17	LADOTD- Principal overseeing topographic surveying for the LA 442 bridge over the Tangipahoa River. The survey
	included numerous cross-section surveys upstream and downstream of the bridge, as well as the along the bridge fascia.
08/19 -	H.011670-I-10/Loyola Interchange Improvements - Kenner, LA
Present	Principal-in-Charge overseeing Topographic Survey, Right-of- Way Survey, and Drainage Survey. The project stretches
	from the levee in Kenner to the Williams Blvd. off ramp, as well as Loyola Avenue and portions of Veterans Blvd.
11/18 -	H.011684.5-LA 327 Spur: Staring Lane Extension – East Baton Rouge Parish
04/19	Principal-in-Charge for comprehensive topographic surveying services and developing a drainage map for the Staring Lane
	Extension project for LADOTD. Included in this work was a survey performed utilizing traditional methods and terrestrial
	laser scanning of roadway surfaces.
09/17 -	S.P. No. H.011808.5- Palmetto Co. Canal Bridge - St. Landry Parish, LA
12/19	Principal-in-Charge to provide property surveys, title take-offs, and right-of-way map services for the removal and
	replacement of a timber trestle bridge that spans Bayou Des Glaises, located along La. Hwy. 10 in St. Landry Parish.
06/18 -	LA 98: Roundabout at Mills St - Lafayette Parish, LA
12/19	Principal-in-Charge for right of way surveys for this project that requires construction of new roundabout at the intersection
	of Mills Street and W. Gloria Switch Road (LA Hwy 98) in Lafayette Parish, Louisiana.
02/17 -	H.010753.5 – US 90 / I-310 Interchange – St. Charles Parish, LA
03/18	LADOTD – Principal-in-Charge responsible for topographic surveying and 3-D laser scanning at the intersection of US90
	and I-310 in St. Charles Parish. The complete topographic survey includes all utilities with depths and all drainage required
	along with finish floor elevations of allbuildings that fall within the survey limits.
08/14 -	H.004273.5 – I-49 Connector – Lafayette Parish, LA
Present	LADOTD – Principal-in-Charge responsible for providing topographic surveying services for the I-49 Connector. The
	project is in a dense urban area and is approximately 5 miles long. Forte and Tablada, Inc. completed laser scanning services
	for much of the congested corridor as a means to obtaining topographic data without endangering surveyors.
05/17 -	S.P. No. H. 009859.5- Load Rating of Bridges – Statewide, LA
Present	LADOTD – Served as a review engineer for load rating of statewidebridges.
01/10 -	S.P. No. 450-10-0159- I-10: Siegen Lane to Highland Road Design Build ITR — East Baton Rouge Parish, LA
12/12	LADOTD – Served as leader of Independent Technical Review of all bridge structures.



Firm emp	loyed by: Forte & Tablada, Inc	TABLADA				
Name	Gerald Middleton, PLS	Years of relevant experience with this employer	8			
Title	Surveyor	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	37			
Degree(s)	/ Years / Specialization	N/A				
Active reg	gistration number / state / expiration date	PLS.4856 / LA / 09-30-2023				
Year regis	stered 1999 Discipline	Land Surveying				
Contract 1	role(s) / brief description of responsibilities	Surveyor				
01/12 -	H.012308- Cook Road Improvements, Livings	ton Parish, LA				
12/20	Surveyor for Right-of-Way surveys that designed	improvements to existing section of two lane roadway with				
	construction of a four lane boulevard section from	n LA Hwy 16 to LA Hwy 1026, along with several bridges.				
1/20 -	H.012588, H.012169, H.012587, I-10 Catch Bas	in Br-W. Baton Rouge P/L, I-10: Iberville P/L-W End Mis	s Br, I-10:			
10/20	W End of Br 290-W End of LA 415- West Bate	on Rouge & Iberville Parishes, LA				
	Survey Manager for complete topographic survey	r, approximately 18.3 miles, from the East end of the Atchafal	aya Bridge			
	to the West end of the I-10/LA 415 Interchange.					
06/18 -	H.012393- LA 98: Roundabout at Mills St., La	fayette Parish, LA				
12/19	QC Reviewer to provide right of way surveys	for this project that requires construction of new roundal	sout at the			
	intersection of Mills Street and W. Gloria Switch	Road (LA Hwy 98) in Lafayette Parish, Louisiana.				
11/16 -	East Baton Rouge Computerized Traffic Signa	lls-Phase VB, East Baton Rouge Parish, LA				
01/18	Surveyor responsible for survey and mapping of eight intersections in Baton Rouge for the construction and installation of					
	new computerized traffic synchronization equipment and components.					
08/18 -	Bear Industries Survey, St. Gabriel, LA					
11/18	Supervising professional for boundary and topog	graphic surveys subdividing approx. 170 acres in Carville, 1	La for Bear			
	Industries including location and establishment of	of approx. 2,000 feet of Miss. River frontage boundary, leve	e and road			
00/17	right of way utilizing conventional and RTK GPS	surveying methods.				
09/1/-	S.P. No. H.011808.5- Palmetto Co. Canal Brid	ge- St. Landry Parish, LA	1 (
12/19	QC Reviewer to provide property surveys, title ta	ke- offs, and right-of-way map services for the removal and re	eplacement			
0/10	of a timber trestle bridge that spans Bayou Des G	laises, located along La. Hwy. 10 in St. Landry Parish.				
8/19 –	H.0116/0-1-10/Loyola Interchange Improveme	ents- Kenner, LA	.1 1			
Present	QC Reviewer for Topographic Survey, Right- of-	way Survey, and Drainage Survey. The project stretches from	n the levee			
05/17	in Kenner to the Williams Blvd. off ramp, as well	as Loyola Avenue and portions of veterans Blvd.				
$\frac{00}{10} - \frac{10}{10}$	H.004/91.5- Belle Chasse Bridge and Tunnel F	keplacement Hydrographic Survey- Plaquemines Parish, I	LA			
10/18						





	QC Reviewer for comprehensive topographic surveying services for the Belle Chase Bridge and Tunnel Replacement
	project for LADOTD.
01/18 -	H.004100- I-10 (LA 415 to Essen Lane on I-10 and I-12)- East and West Baton Rouge Parishes, LA
06/19	DOTD- QC Reviewer for topographic survey of the work between LSU lakes and Essen Lane.
03/15 -	Travis Street and George Mashon Road Off-System Bridge Replacement, Livingston Parish, LA
09/20	Right-of-Way Surveying for the replacement of George Mashon Road and Travis Street Bridges.
02/17 -	H.010753.5- US 90 / I-310 Interchange, St. Charles Parish, LA
03/18	QC Reviewer responsible for topographic surveying and 3-D laser scanning at the intersection of US-90 and I-310.
08/14 -	H.004273.5 – I-49 Connector – Lafayette Parish, LA –
Present	LADOTD – QC Reviewer responsible for providing topographic surveying services for the I-49 Connector. The project is
	in a dense urban area and is approximately 5 miles long. Forte and Tablada, Inc. completed laser scanning services for
	much of the congested corridor as a means to obtaining topographic data without endangering surveyors.
03/13 -	H.004698 – Almonaster Avenue Lift Bridge – Orleans Parish, LA
07/15	LADOTD – QC Reviewer responsible for performing topographic and property surveys, developing a drainage map,
	establishing existing right-of-way for the north line of I- 10, Almonaster Avenue, and CSX Railroad property, and
0.1.11.5	establishing elevations to develop a Digital Terrain Model with widths matching the limits of the topographic survey.
01/16 -	REG Geismar Land Acquisition- Alta, Geismar, LA
05/18	Supervising professional for boundary and topographic surveys subdividing Tract A-4 in Geismar, La for REG including
	location and establishment of approx. 1,300° of Miss. River frontage boundary, levee and road right of way utilizing
05/17	conventional and RTK GPS surveying methods.
05/1/-	LA 442 Tangipanoa River Bridge Replacement, Tangipanoa Parisn, LA
10/1/	QC Reviewer to provide topographic surveying for the LA 442 bridge over the langipanoa River. The survey included
10/12	numerous cross-section surveys upstream and downstream of the bridge, as well as the along the bridge fascia.
10/13 - 10/14	I.002305.5 - LA 05: Dridges near Diuli Creek - East Felicialia Farisii, LA
10/14	LADOID – Provided topographic surveys in preparation for bridge replacements with dramage structures along three nortions of the existing highway including utility location and denths. Einished floor elevations of all huildings that fall
	within the survey limits were determined
1/12	Derrick Road Bridge Iberville Parish I A
1/12	Survey manager responsible for right-of-way mans
1/16	Price Street Drainage Improvements Therville Parish LA
1/10	Responsible for Right of way and tonographic surveys to support engineering services for a drainage study of the major
	drainage watershed in the area. Once the study was completed, construction plans and specifications were developed for
	conversion of open channel to sub-surface drainage.



Firm employed by: Forte & Tablada, Inc. FORTE & TABLADA							
Name	Bradley Holleman, PLS, EI		Years of relevant experience with this employer	1			
Title	Senior Vice President, Survey/Advanced Mea	surements &	Years of relevant experience with other employer	14.5			
	Modeling						
Degree(s) / Years / Specialization	BS / 2009 / Ci	vil Engineering with a Minor in Land Surveying/LSU				
Active r	registration number / state / expiration date	PLS.5082 / LA	A / 09-30-2022				
Year reg	gistered 2012 Discipline	Land Surveyir	ng				
Contrac	t role(s) / brief description of responsibilities	Surveyor-In-C	harge				
01/18 -	- H.004100 I-10: LA 415 to Essen Lane						
04/20	Surveyor-in-Charge for the topographic sur	rvey and 3D M	obile laser scanning. This project was for the widenir	ng design			
	of Interstate 10 from LA 415 to Essen Lane	in East Baton	Rouge Parish.				
04/20 -	- H.000688 US 11 Norfolk Southern RR O	verpass					
11/20	Surveyor-in-Charge for the topographic sur	rvey and 3D M	obile laser scanning. This project was for the design	of a new			
	US 11 overpass over Norfolk Southern Rail	lroad					
3/17 -	H004987 US 190 Collins Blvd						
3/18	Surveyor-in-Charge for the topographic su	rvey, 3D laser	scanning and existing drainage map. This project wa	is for the			
	design of capacity improvements on US 19	0 in Covington					
5/18 -	H.012591 I-10 Paris Road Lake Pontchan	rtrain					
4/19	Surveyor-in-Charge for the topographic sur	vey, 3D Mobile	e laser scanning and existing drainage map. This project	et was for			
	the design of Interstate 10 improvements of	f an 8 mile stret	ch in New Orleans East.				
12/19 -	- H.001344 US 190: LA 437 – US 190 (BUS	5)					
11/20	Surveyor-in-Charge for the property survey	v and right of w	ay map. This project was for the construction of impro	ovements			
	along US 190 form La 437 to US 190 (BUS	5).					
4/18 -	H.010601 I-10: La 328 to La 347						
9/18	LADOTD -South Louisiana Survey Retain	er – Surveyor-	in-Charge for the property survey and right of way n	nap. This			
	project was for the construction of a improvements along Interstate 10 from LA 328 to La 347.						
11/16 -	- H.002980 I-10 Overpass over US 165						
5/17	LADOTD -South Louisiana Survey Retain	er – Surveyor-	in-Charge for the property survey and right of way n	nap. This			
	project was for the improvements to the I-1	0 US 165 intere	change.				
01/16-	H.009486 US 90 Bayou Bridge	-					
11/16	LADOTD -South Louisiana Survey Retain	er – Surveyor-	in-Charge for the property survey and right of way n	nap. This			
	project was for the construction of a bridge	replacement of	US 90 over Bayou Bridge.				



01/19 -	H.001352 Comite River Diversion Bridge LA 67
04/19	LADOTD -South Louisiana Survey Retainer – Surveyor-in-Charge for the property survey and right of way map. This
	project was for the construction of a Comite Diversion River in East Baton Rouge Parish.
08/20 -	H.004100 I-10 LA 415 to Essen Lane Property Survey
11/20	Surveyor-in-Charge for the property survey. This project was for the construction of Interstate 10 improvements from The
	Mississippi River to College Drive in East Baton Rouge Parish.
08/20-	4400017598 Rural Bridge Replacement Initiative
Present	Survey Manager for topographic and property survey for state bridges in accordance with LADOTD's Location and Survey
	Manual.
09/13-	H.002375 Amite River Bridge Near French Settlement
03/14	Surveyor-in-Charge for the topographic survey, 3D laser scanning and existing drainage map. This project was for
	constructing a new bridge over Amite River in French Settlement Louisiana to the replace the existing swing bridge.
01/19-	H.012735 La 182 Barrow Street Bridge
04/19	Surveyor-in-Charge for the topographic survey, 3D Mobile laser scanning and existing drainage map. This project was for
	the design of a new bridge on La 182 in Houma.
4/21 -	H.014628 LA 397 Turn Lanes @ Rice Mill
6/21	Surveyor responsible for topographic survey at the intersection of LA 397 and Joe Spears Rd in Calcasieu Parish.

Firm employed by: Forte & Tablada, Inc FORTE & TABLADA						
Name I	Ross Wilson, PLS		Years of relevant experience with this employer	10		
Title S	Surveyor		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	2		
Degree(s)	/ Years / Specialization		BS / 2010 / Geomatics			
Active reg	gistration number / state / expin	ration date	PLS.5148 / LA / 03-31-2022			
Year regis	stered 2015	Discipline	Land Surveying			
Contract 1	role(s) / brief description of res	sponsibilities	Surveyor			
04/21 -	H.014628- LA 397: Turn I	Lanes at Rice Mill				
06/21	Surveyor responsible for top	pographic surveying	g at the intersection of LA 397 and Joe Spears Rd. in Calcasieu	Parish.		
8/19 -	H.011670-I-10/Loyola Inte	erchange Improver	nents- Kenner, LA			
01/20	Project Manager providing	Topographic Survey	y, Right- of-Way Survey, and Drainage Survey. The project stre	etches		
	from the levee in Kenner to	the Williams Blvd.	off ramp, as well as Loyola Avenue and portions of Veterans I	Blvd.		
6/20 -	H.013979, H.013995, H.01	3992, Н.013994, Н.	.013985, H.013954, H.013990- Rural Bridge Replacement I	nitiative;		
Present	7 State Projects Numbers	(22 Structures) in 1	Districts 04, 05, 08 and 58			
	Surveyor for topographic an	d property surveyin	g of 22 bridges in Louisiana.			
1/20 -	H.012588, H.012169, H.01	2587 I-10: Atch Ba	isin Br-W. Baton Rouge P/L, I-10: Iberville P/L-W End Mi	ss Br, I-		
10/20	10: W End of Br 290-W E	10: W End of Br 290-W End of LA 415 West Baton Rouge & Iberville Parishes, LA				
	Project Manager for comple	te topographic surv	ey, approximately 18.3 miles, from the East end of the Atchafa	llaya		
11/10	Bridge to the West end of the	<u>ne I-10/LA 415 Inter</u>	change.			
11/19 -	H.012083- Calcasieu River	r Bridge Investigat	ion, Calcasieu Parish, LA	1		
12/20	Surveyor to provide laser so	anning services for	the I-10/Lake Calcasieu bridge in Lake Charles, LA. Terrestria	al scans		
	were done underneath the b	low to conture the a	n the East and west side, on top the deck to capture the supers	tructure,		
12/10	H 011070 Payou Torroho	nno Prid gos				
12/19 = 00/20	Surveyor for the Bayou Ter	rebonne bridge alon	a with the entire intersection and adjacentroads			
	I A 327 Spury Staring Lang Ext. Douto L A 327 S. East Paton Dougo Darish, L A					
04/19	Project Manager for a topog	raphic survey for th	his project which is located in East Baton Rouge Parish in bet	ween the		
0-1/17	intersections of L a 42 (Burbank Dr.) and Staring L n. and L a 327 (Gardere L n.) and L a 30					
05/17 -	H.004791.5- Belle Chasse	Bridge and Tunnel	Replacement Hydrographic Survey- Plaquemines Parish.	LA		
10/18	Surveyor for comprehensive	e topographic survey	ving services for the Belle Chase Bridge and Tunnel Replacement	ent project		
10.10	for LA DOTD.			pj - • •		



6/18 -	H.012393- LA 98: Roundabout at Mills St., Lafayette Parish, LA
12/19	Project Manager to provide right of way surveys for this project that requires construction of new roundabout at the
	intersection of Mills Street and W. Gloria Switch Road (LA Hwy 98) in Lafayette Parish, Louisiana.
1/12 -	H.012308- Cook Road Improvements, Livingston Parish, LA
12/20	Surveyor for Right-of-Way surveys for this project that designed improvements to an existing section of two lane
	roadway with the construction of a 4 lane boulevard section from LA Hwy 16 to LA Hwy 1026.
01/18 -	H.004100- I-10 (LA 415 to Essen Lane on I-10 and I-12)- East and West Baton Rouge Parishes
06/19	LADOTD- Project Manager fortopographic survey of the work between LSU lakes and Essen Lane.
02/17 -	H.010753.5- US 90 / I-310 Interchange, St. Charles Parish, LA
03/18	Surveyor responsible for topographic surveying and 3-D laser scanning at the intersection of US-90 and I-310 in St.
	Charles Parish.
8/14 -	H.004273.5 – I-49 Connector – Lafayette Parish, LA
Present	LADOTD – Survey Manager responsible for providing topographic surveying services for the I-49 Connector. The
	project is in a dense urban area and is approximately 5 miles long.
03/13 -	H.004698 – Almonaster Avenue Lift Bridge – Orleans Parish, LA
07/15	LADOTD – Survey Manager responsible for performing topographic and property surveys, developing a drainage map,
	establishing existing right-of-way for the north line of I- 10, Almonaster Avenue, and CSX Railroad property, and
	establishing elevations to develop a Digital Terrain Model with widths matching the limits of thetopographic survey.
10/18 -	H.012343 Sunshine Bridge Repair
02/19	Surveyor responsible for establishing control on and near the Sunshine Bridge to use survey and laser scanning methods
	to monitor the damage on the bridge. This project included utilizing LiDAR data.
06/19 -	H.000303.6- Danziger Bridge Repair, Orleans Parish, LA
09/19	Surveyor for Topographic and Monitoring survey and laser scanning of Danziger bridge. This survey is necessary due to
	damage of joints, deck, and girder ends of the fixed spans on both sides of the bridge.
5/17 -	H.013052- LA 442 Tangipahoa River Bridge Replacement, Tangipahoa Parish, LA
10/17	Surveyor to provide topographic surveying for the LA 442 bridge over the Tangipahoa River. The survey included
	numerous cross-section surveys upstream and downstream of the bridge, as well as the along the bridge fascia.
9/17 -	S.P. No. H.011808.5- Palmetto Co. Canal Bridge- St. Landry Parish, LA
12/19	Surveyor to provide property surveys, title take- offs, and right-of-way map services for the removal and replacement of
	a timber trestle bridge that spans Bayou Des Glaises, located along La. Hwy. 10 in St. Landry Parish.
06/21 -	4400019336 Rural Bridge Replacement Initiative Phase II
Present	Survey Manager for topographic and property survey for state bridges in accordance with LADOTD's Location and
	Survey Manual.



Firm employed by	r: Forte & Tablada, In							
Name Jace Ric	ard, PLS		Years of relevant experience with this employer	4				
Title Surveyor			Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	3				
Degree(s) / Years	/ Specialization		BS / 2014 / Geomatics					
Active registration	n number / state / exp	iration date	PLS.5205 / LA / 9-30-2021					
Year registered	2019	Discipline	Land Surveying					
Contract role(s) /	brief description of re	sponsibilities	Surveyor					
01/18 - 06/19	H.004100- I-10 (L A	A 415 to Essen	Lane on I-10 and I-12)- East and West Baton Rouge Parishes					
	LADOTD- Surveyt	echnician for to	ppographic survey of the work between LSU lakes and Essen Lane.					
06/20 - Present	H.013979, H.01399	95, H.013992, H	H.013994, H.013985, H.013954, H.013990- Rural Bridge Replace	ment				
	Initiative; 15							
	State Projects Num	bers (47 Structu	ares) in Districts 04, 05, 08 and 58 – Surveyor for topographic surve	eying of 22				
0.1/01 0.6/01	bridges in Louisiana	a.						
04/21 - 06/21	H.014628 LA 397	Furn Lanes @	Rice Mill					
	Surveyor support for	or this project	providing a topographic survey, in accordance with LA DOTD Lo	cation and				
05/17 10/10	Survey, for the desi	gn of turn lanes	s in Calcasieu Parish.					
05/1/-10/18	H.004/91.5-Belle (H.004/91.5-Belle Chasse Bridge and Tunnel Replacement Hydrographic Survey- Plaquemines Parish, LA						
	Survey technician for comprehensive topographic surveying services for the Belle Chase Bridge and Tunnel							
	terrestrial laser scan	ning of roadwa	D. Included in this work was a survey performed utilizing traditional as surfaces, and multi-beam 3-D hydrographic surveying	ii memous,				
08/17 - Present	H 004273 5 _ I_49	Connector – L	afavette Parish LA – LADOTD					
	Survey technician re	esponsible for r	providing topographic surveying services for the I-49 Connector. Th	e project is				
	in a dense urban are	a and is approx	imately 5 miles long. Forte and Tablada. Inc. completed laser scanning	ng services				
	for much of the con	gested corridor	as a means to obtaining topographic data without endangering surve	evors.				
11/18-04/19	H.011684.5- LA 32	7 Spur: Starin	ng Lane Extension – East Baton Rouge Parish	<u> </u>				
	CAD Technician for	r comprehensiv	etopographic surveying services and developing a drainage map for	the Staring				
	Lane Extension pro	Lane Extension project for LADOTD. Included in this work was a survey performed utilizing traditional methods						
	and terrestrial laser	scanning of roa	adway surfaces.					
08/19 – Present	H.011670-I-10/Loy	ola Interchan	ge Improvements- Kenner, LA					
	Topo management	support for this	s project providing Topographic Survey, Right-of-Way Survey, and	d Drainage				
	Survey. The project	stretches from	the levee in Kenner to the Williams Blvd. off ramp, as well as Loyo	ola Avenue				
	and portions of Vete	erans Blvd.						



10/18 – Present	East Baton Rouge Stormwater Masterplan- East Baton Rouge Parish, LA						
	Surveyor for hydrographic surveying of bayous and creeks located within East Baton Rouge Parish for the EBR						
	Stormwater Masterplan. The work consists of establishing cross-sections and stream bed profiles along their						
	length.						
11/19 - 04/20	Allen Parish Drainage Survey, Allen Parish, LA						
	Surveyor for survey of drainage structures located in Allen Parish.						
08/19 – Present	Amite/Blind River Survey, Livingston Parish, LA						
	Surveyor for hydrographic surveying of the mouth of the Amite andBlind River in Livingston Parish.						
6/19 – Present	Forrest Delatte Road (LA 16 to Juban Road), Livingston Parish, LA						
	Project Manager responsible for Right-of Way Maps and Services for this roadway improvement project for a						
	connection between LA 16 and LA 1026. The scope of work includes right of way maps and property surveys,						
	title work, abstracts, and appraisal and negotiations.						



Firm employed by	y: Forte & Tablada, Inc.	FOR	E&	TABLADA			
Name Tommy	Lake			Years of relevant experience with this employer	4		
Title Party Chief				Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	30		
Degree(s) / Years	/ Specialization		N/A				
Active registration	n number / state / expira	tion date	N/A				
Year registered	N/A I	Discipline	N/A				
Contract role(s) /	brief description of resp	onsibilities	Party	y Chief			
4/21 - 06/21	H.014628 LA 397 Tu	rn Lanes @	Rice	Mill			
	Party Chief for this pr	oject providi	ing a t	topographic survey, in accordance with LADOTD Location a	and Survey,		
	for the design of turn	lanes in Calc	asieu]	Parish.			
1/20 - 10/20	H.012588, H.012169,	H.012587 I	-10: A	Atch Basin Br-W. Baton Rouge P/L, I-10: Iberville P/L-W	' End Miss		
	Br, I-10: W End of B	3r 290-W En	d of L	A 415- West Baton Rouge & Iberville Parishes			
	Party Chief for comp	lete topograp	phic su	urvey, approximately 18.3 miles, from the East end of the A	Atchafalaya		
00/10 01/00	Bridge to the West end	d of the $1-10/$	LA 4	15 Interchange.			
08/19 - 01/20	H.011670-I-10/Loyol	a Interchan	ge Im	provements- Kenner, LA	0 1		
	Party chief for Topographic Survey, Right- of-Way Survey, and Drainage Survey. The project stretches from the						
11/16 01/10	levee in Kenner to the Williams Blvd. off ramp, as well as Loyola Avenue and portions of Veterans Blvd.						
11/10 - 01/18	Last Baton Kouge Computerized Trainc Signals-Phase VB, East Baton Kouge Parish, LA Destry Chief for survey endmanning of eight intersections in Deter Deves for the construction and installation of						
	Party Chief for survey and mapping of eight intersections in Baton Rouge for the construction and installation of						
06/20 D regent	I A DOTD Dural Pri	dao Doploo	mont	In equipment and components.	historiate 0.4		
00/20 - Present	LA DOTD Kural Bridge Replacement Initiative; 15 State Projects Numbers (4/ Structures) in Districts 04, 05, 08 and 58						
	Party Chief for topogr	aphic survey	ing of	f 22 bridges in Louisiana.			
01/18 - 06/19	H.004100- I-10 (LA 4	415 to Essen	Lane	e on I-10 and I-12)- East and West Baton Rouge Parishes-	LADOTD		
	Party Chieffor topogra	aphic survey	of the	e work between LSU lakes and Essen Lane.			
02/17 - 03/18	H.010753.5- US 90 /]	I-310 Interc	hange	e, St. Charles Parish, LA			
	Party Chief for topographic surveying and 3-D laserscanning at the intersection of US-90 and I-310 in St. Charles						
	Parish.						
05/17 - 10/18	H.004791.5- Belle Ch	asse Bridge	and	Tunnel Replacement Hydrographic Survey- Plaquemines 1	Parish, LA		
	Party Chief for cor	nprehensive	topog	graphic surveying services for the Belle Chase Bridge a	ind Tunnel		
	Replacement project f	for LA DOTI	D. Inc	luded in this work was a survey performed utilizing tradition	al methods,		
	terrestrial laser scanni	ng of roadwa	iy surf	faces, and multi-beam 3-D hydrographic surveying.			



10/18 - 02/19	H.012343- Sunshine Bridge Damage Survey-
	Party Chief responsible for establishing control on and near the Sunshine Bridge to use survey and laser scanning
	methods to monitor the damage on the bridge. This project included utilizing LiDAR data.
06/19 - 09/19	H.000303.6- Danziger Bridge Repair, Orleans Parish, LA
	Party Chief for Topographic and Monitoring survey and laserscanning of Danziger bridge. This survey is necessary
	due to damage of joints, deck, and girder ends of the fixed spans on both sides of the bridge. This project included
	utilizing LiDAR data.
11/19 - 12/20	H.012083 -Calcasieu River Bridge Investigation, Calcasieu Parish, LA
	Party Chief for services for the I-10/Lake Calcasieu bridge in Lake Charles, LA. Terrestrial scans were done
	underneath the bridge for 10 spans on the East and Westside, on top the deck to capture the superstructure, as well
	as from the water below to capture the sub structure. In addition to the terrestrial scans, mobile Lidar was done for
	future planning.
05/17 - 10/17	H.013052 -LA 442 Tangipahoa River Bridge Replacement, Tangipahoa Parish, LA
	Party Chief to provide topographic surveying for the LA 442 bridge over the Tangipahoa River. The survey
	included numerous cross-section surveys upstream and downstream of the bridge, as well as the along the bridge
	fascia.



Firm employed by	/: Forte & Tablada, In	c. FOR	E&	TABLADA			
Name Jonatha	n Herrod			Years of relevant experience with this employer	1		
Title Party Ch	ief			Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	10		
Degree(s) / Years	/ Specialization		Asso	ociates Degree / 2014 / Business Management			
Active registration	n number / state / exp	iration date	N/A				
Year registered	N/A	Discipline	N/A				
Contract role(s) /	brief description of re	sponsibilities	Part	y Chief			
04/21 - 06/21	H.014628 LA 397	Turn Lanes @	Rice	Mill			
	Party Chief for this	project provid	ing a 1	topographic survey, in accordance with LA DOTD Location a	nd Survey,		
	for the design of tur	m lanes in Calc	asieu	Parish.			
06/20 - 08/20	East Baton Rouge	Stormwater N	lanag	gement Phase IV			
	Party Chief						
02/20 - 04/20	East Baton Rouge	Stormwater N	lanag	gement Phase II			
	Party Chief	Party Chief					
05/20 - 06/20	H.012485.1						
	DOTD Culvert Loa	d Ratings – Pai	ty Ch	ief			
01/20 - 10/20	H.012588, H.012169, H.012587 - I-10: Atch Basin Br-W. Baton Rouge P-L, I-10 Iberville P/L						
	W End Miss Br, I-10: W End of Br 290 - W End of La 415 - Party Chief for this project providing a topographic						
	survey, in accordance with LA DOTDLocation and Survey, for grade raisings throughout the corridor.						
04/20 - 05/20	Amite Church Roa	ad Improveme	nts				
	Party Chief						



Firm employed by	: Monroe & Corie, Inc.	MONROE & CORIE, INC	-		
Name Craig H.	Corie, PE			Years of relevant experience with this employer	23
Title Principal	& President			Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	5
Degree(s) / Years	/ Specialization		Bach	nelor of Science- Louisiana State University/ 1992/ Civil Engi	neering
Active registration	number / state / expiration	on date	2744	7 / Louisiana / September 30, 2023	
Year registered	1997 Dis	scipline	Civil	l Engineering	
Contract role(s) / l	prief description of respon	nsibilities	Drai	nage Maps and Hydraulics	
Experience dates	Experience and qualific	cations relev	ant t	to the proposed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designe	d girders",
(mm/yy–mm/yy)	"designed intersection",	etc. Experi	ience	dates should cover the time specified in the applicable MPR(s).
09/99-11/01	LA DOTD Off System	Bridge Repla	acem	ent Project in Evangeline Parish SPN 713-20-0111 – Project	Designer of
	the replacement of a fo	our span tin	nber	bridge responsible for geometric design, quantities, drainag	e map and
00/00 01/01	hydraulic analysis.		<u> </u>		
03/00-01/01	EBR Project No. 00-BR	с-РТ-0055 —	Proj	ect Designer of the replacement of a four span timber bridge	responsible
07/01 01/02	for geometric design, pl	an preparati	$\frac{\text{on, q}}{P}$	uantities and hydraulic analysis.	.1 1
0//01-01/02	EBR Project No. 01-BF	(-P1-0012 –	- Proj	ject Designer of the replacement of a six span timber bridge	responsible
10/04 11/05	EDD Droiget No. 04 DE	an preparati	on, q	uantities and hydraulic analysis.	mage angihla
10/04-11/03	for geometric design n	an proparati	Proje	use the span timber of the replacement of a five span timber of dge	responsible
09/06-11/07	FBR Project No. 06-BR	_PT_0046	$\frac{011}{\text{Proje}}$	ut designer of the replacement of a six span timber bridge on S	harn Road
0)/00-11/07	Responsible for geomet	ric design n	lan n	prenaration quantities and hydraulic analysis	marp Road.
11/06-10/10	LA DOTD Off System	Bridge Renl	lacer	pent Project SPN H 010054 in Acadia Parish five sites Resr	onsible for
	drainage maps, hydrauli	ic analysis a	nd bi	d quantities and constructability review.	
10/12-09/14	LA DOTD Off System	Bridge Repl	acem	nent Project SPN H.010054, in LaSalle Parish, four sites. Rest	onsible for
	drainage maps, hydrauli	ic analysis a	nd bi	d quantities and constructability review.	
11/12-05/15	LA DOTD Off System	Bridge Rep	lacen	nent Project SPN H.010054, in Allen Parish, four sites. Resp	onsible for
	drainage maps, hydrauli	ic analysis a	nd bi	d quantities and constructability review.	
09/13-02/15	LA DOTD Off System	Bridge Repl	acem	nent Project SPN H.010036, in Evangeline Parish, two sites. F	Responsible
	for drainage maps, hydr	aulic analys	is an	d bid quantities and constructability review.	
08/13-11/16	LA DOTD Off System	n Bridge Re	eplac	ement Project SPN H.010608, in Jefferson Davis Parish,	three sites.
	Responsible for drainag	e maps, hyd	lrauli	c analysis and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) re	eview.



06/13-12/16	LA DOTD Off System Bridge Replacement Project SPN H.010608, in Washington Parish, three sites. Responsible
	for drainage maps, hydraulic analysis and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) review.
10/13-10/15	LA DOTD Off System Bridge Replacement Project SPN H.010150, in Acadia Parish, two sites. Responsible for
	drainage maps, hydraulic analysis and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) review.
09/13-02/17	LA DOTD Off System Bridge Replacement Project SPN H.010596, in West Feliciana Parish, two sites.
	Responsible for drainage maps, hydraulic analysis and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) review.
10/13-02/17	LA DOTD Off System Bridge Replacement Project SPN H.010661, in East Baton Rouge Parish, three sites.
	Responsible for drainage maps, hydraulic analysis and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) review.
09/13-02/17	East Baton Rouge Project No. 13-BR-LA-0002,0004,0024 – Disaster Recovery Bridges, responsible for geometric
	design, Preliminary and Final plan preparation, drainage maps, hydraulic analysis and bid quantities.
06/15-05/19	LA DOTD Off System Bridge Replacement Project SPN H.011526, in Rapides Parish, three sites. Responsible
	for drainage maps, hydraulic analysis and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) review.
08/15-09/17	LA DOTD Off System Bridge Replacement Project SPN H.011527, in Tangipahoa Parish, three sites. Responsible
	for drainage maps, hydraulic analysis and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) review.
12/16-03/19	LA DOTD Off System Bridge Replacement Project SPN H.011087, in Red River Parish, one site. Responsible for
	drainage maps, hydraulic analysis and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) review.



Firm employed by	r: Monroe & Corie, Ir	IC.	NC.				
Name William	C. "Bill" Monroe, F	P. E. , P.L.S		Years of relevant experience with this employer	43		
Title Principal	& President			Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)			
Degree(s) / Years	/ Specialization		Back	nelor of Science- Louisiana State University/ 1968,1972/ M	Mechanical		
			Engi	ineering, Civil Engineering			
Active registration	n number / state / exp	iration date	1369	91/ Louisiana / 3-31-2022			
Year registered	1973/1974	Discipline	Civi	l Engineering			
Contract role(s) /	brief description of re	esponsibilities	Lead	d Project Manager and Engineer			
Experience dates	Experience and qu	alifications rele	vant	to the proposed contract; i.e., "designed drainage", "designe	d girders",		
(mm/yy–mm/yy	"designed intersect	ion", etc. Expe	rience	e dates should cover the time specified in the applicable MPR(s).		
Mr. Monroe work	ed on the very first g	roup of Off Sys	tem bi	ridges in 1982. He was responsible for the topographic survey	, <u>hydraulic</u>		
design, scour anal	<u>ysis, and drainage</u> . S	ince that time, I	he has	s continued to work on every cycle since then to successfully	complete a		
total of 268 Off S	ystem Bridge Replace	ement sites in th	nirty-t	wo parishes around the state.			
01/06 -10/07	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	700-2	24-0107, Iberville Parish, one Off System Bridge Replacement	nt site		
01/10-04/12	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	700-4	46-0107, St. Helena Parish, one Off System Bridge Replacen	nent site		
01/13 -005/15	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	H.01	0054, Allen Parish, four Off System Bridge Replacement site	s		
01/99 - 08/02	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	700-2	20-0107, Evangeline Parish, three Off System Bridge Replace	ement sites		
02/02 - 06/05	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	700-3	37-0121, Ouachita Parish, six Off System Bridge Replaceme	nt sites		
03/11 -07/13	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	H.00	3822, Beauregard Parish, two Off System Bridge Replaceme	ent sites		
03/97 - 10/99	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	700-3	35-0113, Natchitoches Parish, five Off System Bridge Replace	ement sites		
03/98 - 11/01	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	700-2	20-0106, Evangeline Parish, eight Off System Bridge Replace	ement sites		
04/99 - 02/01	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	700-5	53-0107, Tangipahoa Parish, two Off System Bridge Replace	ement sites		
05/92 - 04/96	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	700-3	30-39, Livingston Parish, three Off System Bridge Replacem	ent sites		
06/02 -07/05	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	700-3	5-0132, Natchitoches Parish, four Off System Bridge Replace	ement sites		
06/09 -09/11	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	700-3	37-0132, Ouachita Parish, one Off System Bridge Replaceme	ent site		
06/13 -012/16	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	H.01	0608, Washington Parish, three Off System Bridge Replacer	nent sites		
06/15/ - 09/17	Survey and Lead D	esigner, SPN H	[.0115	523, Avoyelles Parish, two Off System Bridge Replacement si	ites		
06/15/ - 09/17	Survey and Lead D	esigner, SPN H	[.0115	523, Avoyelles Parish, two Off System Bridge Replacement si	ites		
07/02 - 09/05	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	700-3	30-0315, LaSalle Parish, seven Off System Bridge Replaceme	ent sites		
07/06 -12/09	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	700-3	30-0317, LaSalle Parish, four Off System Bridge Replacemen	nt sites		
07/91-06/95	Surveyor and Lead	Designer, SPN	700-2	28-62, East Feliciana Parish, two Off System Bridge Replace	ement sites		



08/05 - 01/09	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-01-0113, Acadia Parish, four Off System Bridge Replacement sites
08/06 - 4/10	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-42-0111, Richland Parish, five Off System Bridge Replacement site
08/11 - 12/12	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN H.009133, Natchitoches Parish, one Off System Bridge Replacement site
08/11 -007/12	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN H.009134, Vernon Parish, one Off System Bridge Replacement site
08/13 -011/16	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN H.010079, Jefferson Davis Parish, 3 Off System Bridge Replacement sites
08/15 -10/17	Survey and Lead Designer, SPN H.011527, Tangipahoa Parish, three Off System Bridge Replacement sites
09/00 - 03/03	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-53-0115, Tangipahoa Parish, five Off System Bridge Replacement sites
09/08 - 08/09	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-33-0110, Madison Parish, one Off System Bridge Replacement site
09/13 -002/15	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN H.010036, Evangeline Parish, two Off System Bridge Replacement sites
09/13-10/17	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN H.010596, West Feliciana Parish, two Off System Bridge Replacement sites
09/90-06/94	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-29-12, LaSalle Parish, two Off System Bridge Replacement sites
10/01 - 12/05	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-23-0209, Iberia Parish, one Off System Bridge Replacement site
10/10 - 07/12	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN H.016141, Jefferson Davis Parish, one Off System Bridge Replacement site
10/13 -01/15	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN H.010150, Acadia Parish, two Off System Bridge Replacement sites
10/99 - 01/01	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-58-0112, Vernon Parish, seven Off System Bridge Replacement sites
11/00 - 07/02	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-19-0106, East Feliciana Parish, one Off System Bridge Replacement site
11/00 - 10/02	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-49-0113, St. Landry Parish, two Off System Bridge Replacement sites
11/01 - 02/04	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-20-0108, St. Landry Parish, four Off System Bridge Replacement sites
11/04 - 12/08	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-53-0121, Tangipahoa Parish, four Off System Bridge Replacement sites
11/06 -10/10	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-01-0115, Acadia Parish, five Off System Bridge Replacement sites
11/10 - 08/12	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN H.006153, LaSalle Parish, two Off System Bridge Replacement sites
11/10 -12/12	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN H.005054, Union Parish, one Off System Bridge Replacement site
11/12 -009/14	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN H.009982, LaSalle Parish, four Off System Bridge Replacement sites
11/95 - 07/97	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-30-41, Rapides Parish, three Off System Bridge Replacement sites
12/00 - 08/01	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-19-0112, Jefferson Davis Parish, 2 Off System Bridge Replacement sites
12/01- 05/04	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-22-0112, Grant Parish, four Off System Bridge Replacement sites
12/02 - 04/06	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-49-0120, St Landry, four Off System Bridge Replacement sites
12/05 - 11/09	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN 700-35-0135, Natchitoches Parish, 7 Off System Bridge Replacement sites
12/10 -09/12	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN H.005148, Winn Parish, two Off System Bridge Replacement sites
12/10 -10/12	Surveyor and Lead Designer, SPN H.016062, Catahoula Parish, one Off System Bridge Replacement site
12/16 - 03/19	Survey and Lead Designer, SPN H.011087, Red River Parish, one Off System Bridge Replacement site

Firm employed b	y: Urban Systems, Inc				
Name Alben P	Cooper, PE, PTOE			Years of relevant experience with this employer	15
Title Associat	te / Transportation Eng	gineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	0
Degree(s) / Years	/ Specialization		BS /	2006 / Civil Engineering	
Active registratio	n number / state / exp	iration date	3629	01 / Louisiana / 09-30-2023	
Year registered	2002	Discipline	Profe	essional Engineer: Civil Engineering	
Active registratio	n number / state / exp	iration date	3206	5 / Louisiana / 05-02-2024	
Year registered	2002	Discipline	Profe	essional Traffic Operations Engineer	
Contract role(s) /	brief description of re	sponsibilities	Traf	fic Engineering / TMP	
06/17 - 11/17	LA 182 at Hollywo	ood Rd Tempo	rary '	Fraffic Signals	
	Mr. Cooper was the	e lead design en	ginee	r for two (2) temporary traffic signals to be utilized to maintain	a vehicular
	traffic at the interse	ction of LA 18	2 at H	Iollywood Rd while the intersection was converted to a round	about. Mr.
	Cooper coordinated	with the roadw	vay de	sign team to ensure the temporary signal was able to accommo	date traffic
	and did not restrict	construction ac	tivitie	s. He also performed QA/QC services on the construction cos	t estimate.
12/20 - Present	US 190 at Northsh	ore and Camp) Ville	re Roundabouts	
	As the project man	ager for the Ti	raffic	Engineering portion of the project, Mr. Cooper, will be over	seeing the
	design of permanen	t striping & sig	gnage	plans. He will also manage the design of temporary traffic signature of the plane o	gnalization
	that will be require	d during the n	nulti-p	hases of roundabout construction. A level 2 Traffic Manage	ement Plan
	(TMP) will be prep	ared. Mr. Coop	per wi	ll coordinate with the prime-consultant, St Tammany Parish,	LADOTD
	and FHWA as need	ed.			
03/20 - 12/20	Harrah's Improve	ments			
	Mr. Cooper was the	e project manag	ger for	r the preparation of a traffic impact study and a traffic phasin	ng plan for
modifications to the Harrah's Casino in N			ino in	New Orleans, LA. For the traffic study, he supervised the pi	oject team
	and performed QA/	QC for the ana	lysis f	or several temporary porte-cochere locations for the proposed	hotel. Mr.
	Cooper also prepare	d a traffic phas	ing pla	an identifying the closures and detours of vehicular traffic and j	pedestrians
	required to accomm	odate the demo	olition	/construction phasing plan.	



Firm employed by	v: Urban Systems,	Inc.			
Name	Alison C. Miche	el, PE, PTOE, PT	P, RSP ₁	Years of relevant experience with this employer	21
Title	President / Trans	portation Enginee	er	Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	3
Degree(s) / Years	/ Specialization	BS / 199	97 / Civil I	Engineering	
Active registration	n number / state / e	xpiration date	30261 / 1	Louisiana / 03-31-2023	
Year registered	2002	Discipline	Professio	onal Engineer: Civil Engineering	
Active registrati	on number / state /	expiration date	1023 / L	ouisiana / 11-06-2023	
Year registered	2002	Discipline	Professio	onal Traffic Operations Engineer	
Active registration	on number / state /	expiration date	626 / Lo	uisiana / 11-20-2023	
Year registered	2017	Discipline	Professio	onal Transportation Planner	
Active registration	on number / state /	expiration date	115/ Lou	iisiana/ 12-21-2024	
Year registered	2018	Discipline	Road Sat	fety Professional	
Contract role(s) / 1	brief description of	f responsibilities	Traffic E	Engineering / TMP	
03/11 - 05/13	Huey P. Long B	ridge Widening	- (Westba	nk and Eastbank Approaches and Main Bridge Deck	Widening <u>)</u>
	(Jefferson Paris	h, LA)	**** 4		· ·
	The contractor f	or the Huey P. L	Long Wide	ening in Jefferson Parish, LA brought on USI about hal	t-way into
	construction to in	mprove the flow c	of traffic d	uring required closures. Ms. Michel prepared traffic cont	rol devices
	plans (ICDP) for	f tomporery signs	01 construe	ction. The TCDPs also included the design of a traffic sign	hal plan for
00/08 02/00	District 02 Sign	al System Dester	neads to	gram	
09/08 - 02/09	Ms. Michel was	ar System Restor	nd lead en	gram gineer working with I A DOTD District 02 in assessing on	d repairing
	specified signals	in Terrebonne (13	liu icau cii S signals) a	and Lafourche Parishes (6 signals) damaged by hurricanes	in the 2008
	hurricane season	Signal equipme	nt was ass	essed and designs were prepared including signing & m	arking and
	lighting, for imm	ediate repair. min	or rebuild	s. and total reconstruction as needed. Construction Engin	eering and
	Inspection (CEI)	services were pro	vided dur	ing the construction phase.	8
01/14 - 08/19	US 90 (I-49 Sout	th) Albertson's P	arkway to	Ambassador Caffery Design-Build Project (Lafayette I	Parish, LA)
	Ms. Michel was	the traffic engine	eer for up	dating US 90 to a controlled access facility by convertir	ng at-grade
	intersections to a	n interchange. T	he bridge	structure had to span the intersection and a railroad. She	supervised
	the design and a	nalysis and perfo	ormed QA-	-QC for temporary and permanent signal plans, permane	ent signage
	plans, temporary	traffic control pla	ans and the	e transportation management plan. Signal plans were prep	pared using
	the DOTDs lates	t TSI format. Anal	lysis incluo	ded developing design hour volumes for the design year and	d modeling
	signals in Synch	ro. Phasing and tir	ning were	developed for both permanent and temporary signal operation	ation.



Firm employed by	7: Urban Systems, Inc							
Name Brandon	n D. Perilloux, PE, P	TOE, RSP ₁		Years of relevant experience with this employer	11			
Title Associat	e / Transportation Eng	gineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s)	0			
Degree(s) / Years	/ Specialization		BS /	3S / 2010 / Civil Engineering				
Active registration	n number / state / exp	iration date	3996	58 / Louisiana / 03-31-2022				
Year registered	2002	Discipline	Profe	essional Engineer: Civil Engineering				
Active registration	n number / state / exp	iration date	4432	2 / Louisiana / 03-18-2024				
Year registered	2002	Discipline	Profe	essional Traffic Operations Engineer				
Active registration	n number / state / exp	iration date	187	/ Louisiana / 12-21-2024				
Year registered 2018 Discipline 1				d Safety Professional				
Contract role(s) /	brief description of re	sponsibilities	Traf	fic Engineering / TMP				
10/17 - 11/19	TMP for US 90 Br	idge Maintena	ance o	ver I-10 Ramps at LockMoor				
	Development of a T	raffic Manager	nent F	Plan (TMP) for proposed bridge repairs on US 90 in Calcasieu	Parish was			
	managed by Mr. P	erilloux. This	5 TMF	P included conducting safety analysis, detour analysis and o	leveloping			
	proposed mitigation	ns where applic	able.					
11/12 - 09/16	Bridge Preventativ	ve Maintenanc	e Dist	trict 61 and Port Allen				
	Traffic managemen	t plans (TMP)	were f	for bridge replacement and repairs for various locations in Lou	isiana. Mr.			
	Perilloux assisted or	n and was proje	ct mar	nager on these projects. This included developing different level	ls of TMPs			
	based on LADOTD	EDSM guidel	ines. I	in addition to traffic analysis, tasks included coordinating with	the prime			
	on the least impact	ul sequence of	constr	ruction and also identifying detour routes.				
02/20 - Current	LA 23: Belle Chas	se Bridge & T	unnel					
	Mr. Perilloux is res	ponsible for m	anagir	ng USI's part of the project which is to provide construction a	and design			
	support as part of th	e Owner Verif	ication	n (OV) services. USI is a subconsultant for this project and Mr	. Perilloux			
	oversees the project	managing/coo	rdinat	ion of reviewing traffic related submittals from the design-buil	der. These			
	submittals include t	rattic analysis	and tra	affic signal design plans. Mr. Perilloux will also assist with tra	fic related			
	issues during constr	uction.						



Firm employed by	: Urban Systems, Inc						
Name Nicole H	. Stewart, PE, PTO	E		Years of relevant experience with this employer	15		
Title Vice Pres	ident / Transportation	n Engineer		Years of relevant experience with other employer(s) 1			
Degree(s) / Years	/ Specialization		BS /	2004 / Civil Engineering			
			BS /	2004 / Physics			
Active registration	number / state / exp	iration date	3475	50 / Louisiana / 09-30-2023			
Year registered	2009	Discipline	Prof	essional Engineer: Civil Engineering			
Active registration	number / state / exp	iration date	2923	3 / Louisiana / 08-2023			
Year registered	2002	Discipline	Prof	essional Traffic Operations Engineer			
Contract role(s) / b	prief description of re	sponsibilities	Traf	fic Engineering / TMP			
10/17 - 05/19	TMP for I-10: We	st of 108 to I-2	10 In	terchange: Rubblize and Overlay			
	As the lead engined	er for this Traf	fic Ma	anagement Plan, Ms. Stewart was responsible for the prepara	tion of the		
	safety analysis. She	e conducted the	analy	sis per the guidelines set forth by LADOTD in Guidelines for C	Crash Data		
	Analysis. She co	nducted queue	analy	rsis to identify when lane closures would be permitted, ide	ntified the		
	construction impact	area and revie	wed c	rash data for more than 350 collisions. Ms. Stewart identified	trends and		
	calculated crash rate	es and determin	ned th	at the section of I-10 that was going to be rubblized had a cras	sh rate that		
	was higher than the	statewide aver	age.				
04/10 - 06/11	I-10 Crossing – Iri	sh Bayou Brid	lge In	terstate 10 New Orleans East			
	Ms. Stewart was th	e supervising e	engine	eer for the design of Traffic control devices plans for the I-10) Highway		
	Crossing Levee Enl	argement proje	ect at I	rish Bayou Road in New Orleans East. The plans included m	ultiple and		
	phased road closure	es of a six (6) la	ine sec	ction of Interstate 10 including nighttime closures.			
02/15 - 06/16	Bridge Preventativ	e Maintenanc	e Dist	trict 61 and Port Allen			
	Ms. Stewart was the	e principal in cl	harge	for Traffic Management Plans (TMP) for bridge replacement a	and repairs		
for various locations in Louisiana. Th			The l	evel of each TMP was based on LADOTD EDSM guidelines.	A Level 3		
	TMP was prepared	for the recons	structi	on of the LA 1 bridge over the Intracoastal Waterway. For	this TMP,		
	detailed work zone	impact manag	gemen	it strategies were developed to help minimize the project's	impact on		
	mobility.						



17. Firm Experience:

Firm name	SDR Engineering Consultar	its, Inc. 🏼 🔎	Past Performance Evalu	ation Discipline	(s) Bridge			
		SD	R	1	C/ E			
Project name	MacArthur Interchange C	facArthur Interchange Completion Phase II Firm responsibility (prime or sub						
Project number	H.011309.5	Owner's n	ame LADOTD					
Project location	Jefferson Parish, LA		Owner's Pro	ject Manager	Li Yang, PE			
Owner's address,	phone, email 1201 Capito	l Access Ro	oad, Baton Rouge, (225) 379-	1456, Li.Yang@	LA.GOV			
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 08/19 Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)						\$3,319		
Services complete	ed by this firm (mm/yy)	Ongoing	Cost of consultant services p	provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$2,750		

MacArthur Interchange Completion Phase II provides connections between the eastbound direction of the West Bank Expressway (US 90-Z) and the eastbound frontage road near Peters Road and the East Bound Harvey Tunnel. The new ramps will provide access to the US 90-Z from MacArthur Ave. and Destrehan Ave. traffic and to help alleviate traffic congestion at the West Bank Expressway/Manhattan Boulevard intersection.

The Project consists of providing all engineering design services required to construct two separate ramp structures and the relocation of the frontage road in the eastbound direction. Access to the West Bank Expressway from Peters Road and the Harvey Tunnel to be provided by the proposed onramp 5M. To accommodate ramp 5M, the existing eastbound Manhattan Boulevard exit ramp is to be removed and a new relocated Manhattan Boulevard exit-ramp 4M is to be provided.



<u>Team</u>: Amir Botros, PhD, PE; Wesley Callaway, EI; Adnan Elsaad, PE; Osama Elsaad, PE; Sarah Elsawah, EI; Patrick Duffy, PE; James Fussell, PE; Travis Honore, EI; Zhiyong Liang, PhD, PE, Ahmed Rageh, PhD, EI; Andy Rodriguez, EI; Hatem Seliem, PhD, PE; Mohsen Shahawy, PhD, PE; Shalin Sheth, EI; Sara Sotoud, PhD, EI; Feng Xie, PE; Mengqiu Ye, PE.



Firm name	SDR Engineering Consultar	nts, Inc. 🦂	F	Past Perfo	rmance Evalu	ation Discipline	(s) Bridge	
Project name I	Project name I-10 Overpass Over US 165 & MP RR Firm responsibility (prime or sub							b?) Prime
Project number	H.002980	Owner's na	ame	LADOT	Ď			
Project location	Jefferson Davis Parish, LA				Owner's Pro	ject Manager	Brian Delatte, I	PE
Owner's address,	phone, email 1201 Capito	l Access Ro	ad, Ba	aton Roug	ge, 225-379-13	329, Brian.Delatt	te@la.gov	
Services commen	ced by this firm (mm/yy)	10/16	Total	consultar	nt contract cos	st (\$1,000's)		\$609
Services complete	ed by this firm (mm/yy)	04/21	Cost	of consult	ant services p	provided by this f	firm (\$1,000's)	\$609
SDR provided stru	ctural design and plans deve	lopment for	the re	placemen	t of EB and V	WB of I-10 overp	bass US 165 and	MP Railroad
bridges. Each brid	ge total length is 765 feet con	nprising sev	ven (7)) spans. F	our (4) spans	were made one	continuous unit,	and the other
.1 (0)	1		1 11	4	01 • 1		1 1	• • •

bridges. Each bridge total length is 765 feet comprising seven (7) spans. Four (4) spans were made one continuous unit, and the other three (3) spans were another continuous unit. Design included all elements of bridge superstructure and substructure along with required slope and embankment work.



The replacement of the bridge involved complex construction phasing to <u>maintain traffic on the interstate while removing the old</u> <u>structure and constructing the new bridges</u>. To ensure design economy and accelerated construction, DOTD standard precast prestressed concrete girders (LG Girders) were used for the superstructure.

<u>Team</u>: Amir Botros, PhD, PE; Adnan Elsaad, PE; Osama Elsaad, PE; Sarah Elsawah, EI; Patrick Duffy, PE; James Fussell, PE; Travis Honore, EI; Zhiyong Liang, PhD, PE; Jacob Parker, PE; Andy Rodriguez, EI; Hatem Seliem, PhD, PE; Mohsen Shahawy, PhD, PE; Shalin Sheth, EI; Feng Xie, PE.



Firm name	SDR Engineering Consultan	its, Inc.	Past Perfo	rmance Evalua	ation Disc	ipline(s) Bridge		
Project name	Long-Allen Bridge (LA 182	2 over Atchafala	ya River-B	erwick Bay)	Firm res	ponsibil	ity (prime or su	b?)	Prime
Project number	H.011487	Owner's name	LADO	D					
Project location	Lafayette Parish, LA	·	·	Owner's Proj	ect Mana	ger (Chris Guidry, P	E	
Owner's address	s, phone, email 1201 Capite	ol Access Road,	Baton Roug	ge, (225) 379-1	329, <u>Chr</u>	is.Guidr	y@LA.GOV		
Services comme	enced by this firm (mm/yy)	10/18 Tot	al consulta	nt contract cost	t (\$1,000'	s)		\$94	6
Services comple	ted by this firm (mm/yy)	02/21 Cos	st of consul	ant services p	rovided by	y this fir	rm (\$1,000's)	\$94	6
	NCRETE RAILING REPAIR	DECK AND INSTALL JDS ON STRINGERS S	TEEL RAILING REPAIR	REPLACE DECK A SHEAR STUDS ON	ND INSTALL		CONCRETE RAILING REPAIR		
EXPAN	SION JOINTS CLEANING AND SEALING	FIN	ER JOINT REPLACEMEN	т		EXPANSION	JOINTS CLEANING AND SEALING	3	
SLAB SPAN	CONCRETE T-BEAM DECK TRUSS		MAIN TRUSS		DEC	ISS	CONCRETE T-BEAM		SLAB SPAN
	THE		RKKDDDD	A ARRAND					

The bridge was built in 1933 and consists of 47 spans with a total length of 3,746 feet. The approach spans consist of two reinforced concrete slab spans, 40 reinforced concrete T-beam spans, and 2 deck truss spans. The main spans consist of 3 identical through truss spans with span length of 608 feet. The substructure is comprised of concrete pile bents, two-column concrete bents, and concrete piers. The scope of work includes:

- Inspection of superstructure.
- Load rating of main truss, deck truss, and approach spans.
- Evaluation of superstructure and substructure to determine scope of rehabilitation.
- Diagnostic load test of approach spans using strain gauges and calibration trucks.
- Design rehabilitation, and develop construction plans and cost estimate.
- Develop temporary traffic control plans.

Bridge rehabilitation includes, cleaning and painting of all steel members, CFRP strengthening of approach slab spans, replacing concrete deck of deck truss spans, heat-straightening of selected truss members, jacking the deck truss and repair of the rocker bearings, replace finger joints and supporting beams, clean and seal of expansion joints, repair of concrete railing, applying epoxy-urethane overlay system on roadway, and applying methyl methacrylate concrete sealer on sidewalks.





Firm name	SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)Bridge								
Project name	US 71 (LA-1) Market Str	S 71 (LA-1) Market Street over ICG RR Firm responsibility (prime or subf							
Project number	H.012009	.012009 Owner's name LADOTD							
Project location	Caddo Parish, LA				Owner's P	roject Manager	Carl Gaudry		
Owner's address,	phone, email 1201 Capito	l Access F	Road, Ba	ton Roug	ge, 225-379-	1075, Carl.Gaudr	<u>y@la.gov</u>		
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 07/17 Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)						\$160			
Services complete	d by this firm (mm/yy)	08/18	Cost of	f consulta	int services	provided by this f	irm (\$1,000's)	\$160	

This project was to provide Stage 0 Design (Feasibility Study) on the twin two-lane bridge structures on US 71 (LA-1) Market Street viaduct Southbound over ICR railroad through downtown Shreveport. The bridges also cross over the city's Festival Plaza on the north approach and a business storage area on the south approach. The Roadway is classified as a Principal Arterial in an urbanized area. These structures were built in the year 1940 and are constructed with reinforced concrete super and sub structures with a few steel I-beam spans at the approaches.

Based on reviewing existing documents, inspection of the existing bridge, and SDR evaluation, it was recommended that the two twin bridges to be replaced with a single bridge structure within the same right of way. Two alternates were designed to satisfy the railroad minimum clearance requirements of 23.5 ft. vertical and 25 ft. horizontal, Alternates "C" and "E". Several stakeholders were identified and were approached for solicitate of views (SOV) about the two selected alternates.

<u>Team</u>: Adnan Elsaad, PE; Osama Elsaad, PE; Patrick Duffy, PE; James Fussell, PE; Zhiyong Liang, PhD, PE; Hatem Seliem, PhD, PE; Mohsen Shahawy, PhD, PE; Sara Sotoud, PhD, EI; Feng Xie, PE; Mengqiu Ye, PE.









Firm name	SDR Engineering Consultar	nts, Inc. 🏓	R F	Past Perfo	rmance Evalu	ation Discipline	e(s) Bridge	
Project name	US 80 Texas Street over R	ed River B	ridge	Rehab		Firm responsib	ility (prime or su	b?) Prime
Project number	H.011484	Owner's r	name	LADOT	D			
Project location	Shreveport, LA				Owner's Pro	ject Manager	Stephanie Dool	ittle, PE
Owner's address, phone, email 1201 Capitol Access				aton Roug	ge, (225) 379-	1329, Stephanie	.Cavalier@LA.G	OV
Services commen	ced by this firm (mm/yy)	11/15	Total	consultar	nt contract cos	st (\$1,000's)		\$962
Services complete	ed by this firm (mm/yy)	04/18	Cost	of consult	ant services p	provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$962
This project was rating, and rehabili Bridge over Red	to provide hands-on inspec tation design for the US 80 Te River including the truss	tion, load exas Street	Trac	MAM	MARKEN			in Il I I I

Bridge over Red River including the truss pans and approach spans. The bridge consisted of a main truss span 884 feet long, six (6) 102.75 feet steel deck truss spans, one (1) 91 feet steel girder span, and 35 reinforced concrete deck girder approach spans of varying span lengths. The major tasks included:

- In-depth inspection of all components of the superstructure and substructure.
- Ultrasonic testing of pins.
- LRFR Load rating utilizing 3-D FE modeling.
- Evaluation of bridge and determination of proposed scope for rehabilitation.
- Develop final report with rehabilitation recommendations.
- Design of rehabilitation and preparation of construction plans.
- Develop special provisions and construction cost estimate.
- Provide construction support (approving submittals, responding to RFIs, site visits, change orders preparation).

Staff: Amir Botros PhD, PE; Adnan Elsaad; PE, Osama Elsaad, PE; Sam Fallaha, PE; James Fussell, PE; Fares Jnaid,

PE, Zhiyong Liang, PhD, PE; Josh Porter, PE; Hatem Seliem, PhD, PE; Mohsen Shahawy, PhD, PE; Feng Xie, PE.







Firm name	SDR Engineer	ring Consulta	ants, Inc.	DR I	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)			(s) Bridge	
Project name	I-10: WB on-	WB on-Ramp From US-61 Firm responsibility (prime or sub				ıb?) Prime			
Project number	H.012302		Owner's r	name	LADO	ΓD			
Project location	ject location Sorrento, Ascension Parish, LA Owner's Project Manager Kian Yap,				Kian Yap, PE				
Owner's address, phone, email 1201 Capitol Access Ro				Road, Ba	aton Roug	ge, 225-375-13	328, <u>Kian.Yap@</u>	LA.GOV	
Services commen	ced by this firm	n (mm/yy)	6/2016	Total o	consultan	t contract cost	(\$1,000's)		\$298
Services complete	ed by this firm ((mm/yy)	10/2017	Cost o	f consulta	ant services pr	ovided by this fi	rm (\$1,000's)	\$298

Interstate I-10 westbound on-ramp from US-61 is a curved steel plate girder bridge built in 1975. The bridge was struck by an over-height vehicle causing severe damage to the exterior girder. The total length of the bridge is 594 ft. The superstructure consists of two curved steel plate girders



with a floor system acting compositely with concrete deck slab.

SDR tasks included inspection of the bridge, design of the replacement span, develop repair details and construction plans, load testing after completion of the repair works, and provide construction supports. Due to the continuity of the system, removing the exterior damaged girder would induce internal forces and deformation in the system rendering the construction to connect the girders very challenging. Such behavior was captured by the detailed three-dimensional finite element models using for structural analysis of the bridge structure during staged construction. The repair technique developed was to <u>build the entire damaged span off-site and to slide in place using SPMT to provide minimal closure of I-10.</u>

Figure 26. The bridge after removing the damaged girder and the deck

The team members involved in this project included: Amir Botros PhD, PE; Adnan Elsaad; PE, Osama Elsaad, PE; Sam Fallaha, PE; James Fussell, PE; Fares Jnaid, PE, Zhiyong Liang, PhD, PE; Josh Porter, PE; Hatem Seliem, PhD, PE; Mohsen Shahawy, PhD, PE; Feng Xie, PE.



Firm name	SDR Enginee	SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc. Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s) Bridge							
Project name	LA 10 Beave	er Creek Bi	·idge				Firm responsibi	ility (prime or su	ub?) Prime
Project number	H.012699		Owner's	name	LADO	ΓD			
Project location	St. Helena Pa	rish, LA		Owner's Project Manager Stephanie Cavalier					alier, PE
Owner's address,	phone, email	1201 Capi	itol Access	Road, Ba	ton Roug	ge, 225-379-13	329, Stephanie.C	avalier@LA.G	VC
Services comment	ed by this firm	n (mm/yy)	09/16	Total co	onsultant	contract cost ((\$1,000's)		\$209
Services complete	d by this firm ((mm/yy)	11/16	Cost of	consulta	nt services pro	ovided by this fir	m (\$1,000's)	\$209

This project was an emergency design task for the replacement of a three-span prestressed concrete bridge that was damaged in flood, which was completed in less than two months. The bridge has a total length of 200 feet and a clear roadway width of 40 feet.

The superstructure consists of six (6), PPC LG-36 girders, acting in composite action with an 8.5 in. continuous concrete deck. The substructure consists of cast-in-place concrete caps and precast concrete piles. SDR engineering services incluced construction support besides design and final plans preparation. <u>Construction support services inlcuded approval of conctractor submnittal, review of shop drawings, responding to RFIs, and regular site visits.</u>

Staff: Amir Botros, PhD, PE; Adnan Elsaad, PE; James Fussell, PE; Joshua Porter, PE; Hatem Seliem, PhD, PE; Mohsen Shahawy, PhD, PE; Zhiyong Liang, PhD, PE, Feng Xie, PE.







The bridge is historic (built in 1949) carrying LA-66 over Big Bayou Sara. It consists of five (5), 100 feet steel pony truss spans and five (5), 40 feet steel I-beam approach spans. Services provided included:

- In-depth inspection of the superstructure and substructure.
- Development of 3-D Finite Element models to determine internal forces.
- Evaluation of the existing structure and determine deficient elements.
- Design rehabilitation system for the superstructure and substructure.
- Develop preliminary and final plans for construction,
- Design of temporary steel, two-lane detour bridge to be constructed on north side of the existing bridge to maintain traffic during rehabilitation work on existing bridge.
- Develop of cost estimation and schedule.
- Construction support (constractor's submittal, RFIs, site visits, change orders)

The team members involved in this project included: Adnan El Saad, PE; James Fussell, PE; Marc Hoffmann, EI; Brian Kever, PE; Zhiyong Liang, PhD, PE; Hatem Seliem, PhD, PE; Mohsen Shahawy, PhD, PE; Feng Xie, PE.







Firm name	SDR Engineeri	SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.			Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s) Bridge			(s) Bridge	
Project name MacArthur Interchange Completion Phase				Phase II	B	Firm responsibility (prime or sub?) Pr			ıb?) Prime
Project number	H.009933		Owner's	s name	LADO	ΓD			
Project location	Jefferson Paris	sh, LA				Owner's Pro	ject Manager	Chris B. Guidr	y, PE
Owner's address,	phone, email	1201 Capito	l Access	Road, Ba	aton Roug	ge, 225-375-13	328, Chris.Guidi	ry@LA.GOV	
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 06/12			Total co	Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)				\$917	
Services complet	ed by this firm (r	nm/yy)	07/13	Cost of	consultar	nt services pro	ovided by this fir	m (\$1,000's)	\$917

MacArthur Interchange Phase IB (Evaluation and Redesign; Full technical evaluation of completed bridge widening plans was carried out under a task for peer review, where several constructability issues and detailing were discovered. In addition, the capacity of the existing bridge was questioned by LADOTD to the point of requiring posting of the bridge. The redesign task was carried out under an existing Retainer Contract with HUVAL, Inc.

The redesign team consisted of HUVAL, SDR and M&M. SDR was tasked with the design and final plans production of all complex Superstructure elements consisting of the prestressed U-girders and LG girders, deck, inverted-T cap beams, and columns, and all complex columns with unbalanced loads. In addition, due to the structural complexity of the existing inverted-T piers, special complex analysis was required to evaluate and determine the cut lines for

accommodating the widening which was also performed by SDR. In addition, the re-alignment of the new ramps was necessary to avoid major structural issues related to cutting existing piers and creating significant unbalanced loads. The evaluation of existing cut lines and new alignment was developed by SDR staff and later transferred to HUVAL. SDR staff knowledge and experience was essential in untangling the proposed non-constructible details; proposing an essentially new design that is simple and cost effective while maintaining all aesthetic aspects of the original design. SDR, as a part of the redesign team, has completed the alterative design for the MacArthur Bridge that eliminates many of the problems experienced in the previous design.

The team members involved in this project included: Mohsen Shahawy, PhD, PE; Adnan El Saad, PE, Brian Kever, PE, Zhiyong Liang, PhD, PE, Matt Hamby, EI, Tharu George, EI.







Firm name	Volkert, Inc.	Volkert		Past Performance Evaluation	n Category(ies)*	Road
Project name	I-12 to Bush,	LA (LA 435 – LA 40 /	LA 41)	Firm responsibility (prime or	r sub?)	Sub
Project number	H.005154			Owner's name	LADOTD c/o	T. Baker
					Smith	
Project location	Livingston, Ta	ngipahoa, St. Tammany	, Louisiana	Owner's Project Manager	Dennis Hyme	l, PE, T.
	_				Baker Smith	
Owner's address,	phone, email	1100 South Acadia Rd	l., Thibodaux, L	A 70301, 985-446-7970; Den	nis.Hymel@tbsm	ith.com
Services comment	ed by this firm	04/2014	Total consultar	nt contract cost (\$1,000's)		N/A
Services complete	d by this firm	11/2021	Cost of consult	tant services provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$51,392

I-12 to Bush project was a TIMED project connecting LA 3241 from the LA 40/LA 41 intersection in Bush, LA to I-12 at the LA 434 Interchange. As a sub-consultant to T. Baker Smith, Volkert is providing bridge and road design services as necessary to complete the submittal of Stage 3 Design, Part III Preliminary Plans. Volkert is responsible for the review of the environmental study, traffic data, parish maps, aerialphotos, and DOTD roadway classification.

Volkert's scope of work for bridge design includes:

• Conducting field visits to the bridge site(s) and assessing the existing conditions of possible permit issues, roadway alignments alternates, etc., and determining ifany additional bridges are required.



- Developing a list of required bridges and provide estimated types, sizes (lengths and widths) and locations, and preparing construction cost estimates for each bridges and alternatives.
- Preparation of a set of preliminary bridge plans and construction cost estimated for the preferred options.

Volkert's scope of work for road design services includes providing QA/QC services of T. Baker Smith's road design deliverables including design criteria, geometrics, hydraulic design and analysis, and cross-sectional elements. As a part of supplemental agreement No. 2, Volkert is performing a traffic study to obtain existing and projected future traffic variables to improve the safety and efficiency of the roadway.

The team members involved in this project included: Janet Evans, Perry Leblanc



Firm name	Volkert, Inc.	VOLKERT				Past	Perform	nance Eval	uation	Category(ies)*	Road
Project name	Macarthur In	terchang	ge Completion	– Pha	ase II	Firm	respon	sibility (pr	ime or	sub?)		Sub
Project number	H.011309				Owner's	name	LAI	DOTD c/o S	SDR E	ngineering Co	onsi	ultants, Inc.
Project location	Jefferson Paris	efferson Parish, Louisiana Owner's Project Manager Hatem Seliem (S					SDR)					
Owner's address,	phone, email	2820	Continental	Driv	ve #10	0, I	Baton	Rouge,	LA	70808,	22:	5-444-5671;
	-	hseliem	<u>a@sdrengineeri</u>	ng.cor	<u>n</u>			_				
Services comment	ervices commenced by this firm 10/2015 Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)				N/A							
Services complete	d by this firm	0	9/2020	Cost	of consul	tant se	rvices	provided by	y this f	firm (\$1,000's	5)	\$391,845

This project involves the addition of new on and offramps and the demolition of an existing off-ramp to the West Bank Expressway inJefferson Parish. The addition of these new structural elements requires the relocation of the adjacent frontage road from Peters Road to Manhattan Blvd. The total project length is 1.947 miles including the ramps. The project is currently in the Preliminary Plans phase approaching 90% and will proceed into Final Design.

Volkert is responsible for the design of the geometry for the entire project as well as the design of the relocated frontage road and its connection to the new on and off ramps and the existing tunnel and a right turn lane on Peters Road. This design includes new subsurface



drainage, sequence of construction in a congested area, and the development of preliminary and final roadway plans to be included in the overall project set. Ms. Lisa Frugé serves as the Project Manager for Volkert's portion of the work. Ms. Frugé developed the horizontal and vertical geometry of the road and ramps as well as developing the corridor and determining the necessary right of way taking, sequence of construction, cross sections, and cost estimates. Ms. Loniello is designing the drainage system and Ms. Leumas assists in the overall creation of the construction plans submittal.

The team members involved in this project included: Janet Evans, Ashley Beckendorf, Perry Leblanc



Firm name	Volkert, Inc.	Volkert		Past Performance Evaluation	n Category(ies)*	Road
Project name	I-10 Widening	g from Highland Inter	change to LA	Firm responsibility (prime or sub?)		Prime
	73 Interchang	je				
Project number	H.009250		Owner's name LADOTD			
Project location	East Baton Ro	uge and Ascension Pari	sh, LA	Owner's Project Manager	Peggy Jo Paine,	PE
Owner's address,	phone, email	P.O. Box 94245, Bato	n Rouge, LA 70	804, 225-379-1065; <u>Peggy.Pa</u>	ine@la.gov	
Services comment	ed by this firm	09/2012	Total consultat	nt contract cost (\$1,000's)		N/A
Services complete	d by this firm	07/2020	Cost of consul	tant services provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$845,000

This project consists of providing engineering and related services required to widen existing I-10 to the median side from a four-lane freeway to a six-lane freeway from Highland Rd. to LA 73 (7 miles) including alternate pavement design for travel lanes and inside shoulders, and the rehabilitation of existing travel lanes and outside shoulder. There are 4 bridges on this project that will have to be widened or replaced.

Original Contract: Pre-construction services including 30% preliminary roadway and bridge design. Dual existing bridges over LA 42 (Highland Road), Bayou Manchac and LA 73 required comprehensive evaluation reports to determine as to whether each structure will need to be widened or replaced. The LA 928 structure over I-10 was evaluated for the widening of I-10 and all required rehabilitation work identified.

Supplemental Agreement No. 1: pre-construction services to include the completion of 100% preliminary roadway and bridge design plans, as well as the development of 100% final roadway, bridge, and lighting design plans. (currently on hold pending IMR completion)

Work Order No. 1: providing traffic counts at 5 locations to determine temporal volume variation, peak weekdays/weekends, peak periods, peak hours, and turning movement counts. (08/2015)

Supplemental Agreement No. 2: Volkert was contracted to perform and prepare an Interstate Modification Report (IMR) to analyze the existing roadway network surrounding the LA 42 (Highland Road) interchange at Interstate I-10. The project involved a significant amount ofdata collection such as 7-day volume and classification counts, a speed study, travel time study, field observations, and a safety/crash study along 5 corridors and 10 intersections.

The team members involved in this project included: Janet Evans, Ashley Beckendorf, Jonathan Gambino, Perry Leblanc







Firm name	Volkert, Inc.	Volkert		Past Performance Evaluation	n Category(ies)*	Road
Project name	I-10 Widening	0 Widening Design/Williams Blvd. Interchange		Firm responsibility (prime or sub?)		Sub
	to Veterans B	lvd. Interchange				
Project number	H.003074.5			Owner's name LADOTD c/o (SEC, Inc.
Project location	Jefferson Paris	h, LA		Owner's Project Manager	Phillip Meyer, 0	GEC Inc.
Owner's address,	phone, email	8282 Goodwood Blvd	LA 70806, 225-612-3000; pm	neyer@gecinc.com	<u>n</u>	
Services comment	nced by this firm 06/2017 Total consulta			ant contract cost (\$1,000's)		N/A
Services complete	d by this firm	08/2018	Cost of consult	tant services provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$663,770

This project involves the widening of I-10 between the Williams Boulevard and Veterans Boulevard interchanges in Jefferson parish. The totalproject length is 1.85 Miles. The project consists of constructing one 12' additional lane with a 12' inside shoulder along I-10 eastbound and westbound roadways with median barrier. Additionally, an auxiliary lane shall be added to the outside of the eastbound roadway from the entrance at Power Boulevard to the exit at Veterans Boulevard. As a part of this project, the existing bridges over Canal No. 3 and Veterans Boulevard shall be replaced, and sound barriers shall be constructed on the north side of the 1-10 westbound bridges. Volkert is responsible for the development and road design, drainage design and Traffic Management Plans.

The team members involved in this project included: Janet Evans, Ashley Beckendorf, Jonathan Gambino, Perry Leblanc





Firm name	Volkert, Inc.	Volkert		Past Performance Evaluation	n Category(ies)*	Road
Project name	I-12 Widening	• (US 190 to LA 59) R	oute I-12	Firm responsibility (prime or	r sub?)	Sub
Project number	H.005154			Owner's name	LADOTD c/o	T. Baker
					Smith	
Project location	Livingston, Ta	ngipahoa, St. Tammany	y, Louisiana	Owner's Project Manager	Dennis Hyme	I, PE, T.
					Baker Smith	
Owner's address,	phone, email	1100 South Acadia Ro	d., Thibodaux, L	A 70301, 985-446-7970; Den	nis.Hymel@tbsm	ith.com
Services comment	ed by this firm	09/2016	Total consulta	nt contract cost (\$1,000's)		N/A
Services complete	d by this firm	03/2018	Cost of consul	tant services provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$331,000

This project is to widen and rehabilitate I-12 to the median side from a four-lane freeway to a six-lane freeway section in both theEast and Westbound direction. The project begins just west of US190 and ends just east of LA 59 for approximately 4 miles. The US190 and LA 59 interchanges are included in this project.

As a sub-consultant to T. Baker Smith, Volkert is providing bridge design and roadway design quality control services as necessary to complete the submittal of Stage 3 Design, Part III Preliminary Plans and Part IV, Final Plans. Mrs. Lisa Frugé is serving as Volkert's project manager.

The team members involved in this project included: Janet Evans, Ashley Beckendorf, Perry Leblanc





Firm name	Volkert, Inc.	Volkert		Past Performance Evaluation	n Category(ies)*	EA
Project name	LA 1088 Corr	idor Study		Firm responsibility (prime o	r sub?)	Prime
Project number	H.010116			Owner's name	LADOTD	
Project location	St. Tammany I	Parish, LA		Owner's Project Manager	Jeff Brown, PE	
Owner's address,	phone, email	P.O. Box 94245, Batc	on Rouge, LA 70	0804, 225-379-1305		
Services comment	eed by this firm	02/2015	Total consultat	nt contract cost (\$1,000's)		N/A
Services complete	d by this firm	02/2016	Cost of consul	tant services provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$524,000

The scope of services for this project consisted of the preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA) in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and other applicable laws for the project. The project proposed to improve the mobility and safety of vehicle, pedestrian and bicycle traffic along the LA 1088 corridor between LA 59 (Girod St.) and the I-12 westbound ramps in St. Tammany Parish. The total length of the project is approximately 3.5 miles. The study area for this project is considered to be from the intersection of LA 59 and US 190 north along LA 1088 until its intersection with LA 36.

Volkert was responsible for evaluating the social, economic, and environmental consequences of the alternatives (including the no-build) and present this information in the EA document. In addition to the formal EA document and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), Volkert was required to develop separate reports such as Wetland Finding, Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, Phase I Cultural Resources Survey Reports, Noise analysis, possible Section 4(f) statement, Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan, etc.

Volkert performed a line and grade study which includes:

- Establishment of design criteria; Required lane configurations based on level of service.
- Developed typical roadway sections; Developed horizontal geometry.
- Developed vertical geometry and set minimum roadway grade; Identified major drainage structure locations.;
- Established approximate required right of way limits; Developed a list of impacted improvements.
- Identified known existing utilities and any potential conflicts; Cost estimates for right of way, utility relocation, engineering, and construction

The team members involved in this project included: Janet Evans, Ashley Beckendorf, Perry Leblanc





Firm name	Volkert, Inc.	VOLKERT		Past Performance Evaluation	n Category(ies)*	Road
		Volitiku				
Project name	LA 1/I-10 Cor	nnector		Firm responsibility (prime or	r sub?)	Prime
Project number	H.005121			Owner's name	West Baton Re	ouge Parish
					Transportation .	Authority
Project location	West Baton Ro	ouge Parish, Louisiana		Owner's Project Manager	Riley Berthelot	
Owner's address,	phone, email	Governmental Build	ing 880 North	Alexander Ave., Port Allen	, LA 70767, 22	5-366-2403;
		rberthelot@wbrcound	<u>cil.org</u>			
Services comment	ed by this firm	11/2010	Total consulta	nt contract cost (\$1,000's)		N/A
Services complete	d by this firm	06/2013	Cost of consul	tant services provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$405,200

Volkert performed engineering and related services to prepare preliminary plans for a new connector roadway between LA 1 at LA 988 (Beaulieu Lane) and I-10 at the LA 415 interchange in West Baton Rouge Parish. The project included a flyover interchange at LA 1, associated roadway and drainage design, bridge over the inter-coastal waterway and connection to LA 415 south of I-10.

Volkert reviewed the preferred alignment for improved efficiency and operation and made recommendations to the client as part of the plan development process. The preferred alignment is Alternate #4 as indicted in the Final Line and Grade Study dated August 2006 completed under State Project 700-61-0113. Volkert also coordinated traffic control plans and connections to LA 1 and LA 415 with LA DOTD. Volkert provided survey, geotechnical investigations, environmental compliance, and preliminary design.



Preliminary design included bridge design, roadway design, drainage design, utility relocation coordination, and roadway lighting design.

The Owner, West Baton Rouge Parish Transportation Authority, set up this project in three phases. The work performed under this contractwas Phase I and was funded by the Transportation Authority and encompassed 30% design/preliminary design. Phases II and III included detail design and construction of the project and was privately funded by the firm selected (PPP). The tolls were implemented by the PPP to fund the design and construction of the final project.

The team members involved in this project included: Janet Evans


Firm name	Volkert, Inc.	Volkert		Past Performance Evaluation	n Category(ies)*	Road
Project name	I-12 Stage 0 Feasibility Study and E		Environmental	Firm responsibility (prime or sub?)		Prime
	Inventory					
Project number	H.005154			Owner's name	LADOTD	
Project location	Livingston, Ta	ngipahoa, St. Tamman	y, Louisiana	Owner's Project Manager	Mike Aghayan	
Owner's address,	phone, email	1201 Capitol Access	Road, Baton Rou	ıge, LA 70802, 225-379-1808	; mike.aghayan@	<u>la.gov</u>
Services comment	ced by this firm	09/2010	Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)			\$1,317
Services complete	d by this firm	01/2013	Cost of consult	tant services provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$237,222

The project consists of increasing capacity for I-12 from LA 447 in Walker, LA to the I-10/I-59 interchange in Slidell, LA. Preliminary design is being accomplished in sufficient detail to determine costs and impacts. Cost estimates are being accomplished for all alternatives including construction costs for roadway and new ormodified bridges, utility relocations, right-of-way costs, potential noise walls, and environmental mitigation. An environmental inventory is being accomplished todetermine if there were any substantial environmental issues that may stop the addition of capacity or cause the cost to escalate due to mitigation. Feasibility will be determined for each of the alternatives, and recommendations for the preferred alternative will be developed and documented in Stage 0 Reports. Volkert is accomplishing overall project Management, preparation of cost estimates.



As part of this study, Volkert accomplished a field review and is evaluating over 100 Bridges to determine if they can be widened or should be replaced during a future widening on the I-12. Volkert will separate the 72-mile corridor into segments which will be prioritized based on need for additional capacity, cost, safety, and level of service.

The team members involved in this project included: Janet Evans



Firm name	Forte & Tablada	, Inc 📃	ORTE & TA	BLADA	Past Per	formance Eva	luation Disciplin	ne(s)*	Survey	
Project name	Rural Bridge R	eplacemen	t Initiative	Phase I			Firm responsib	ility (prime	or sub?)	Sub
Project number	15 S.P. Numbe	rs	Owner's r	name	LADOT	Ď				
Project location 47 Structures in Districts 04, 05, 08 and 5						Owner's Pro	ject Manager	Valerie To	ourres	
Owner's address	ss, phone, email	1201 Cap	itol Access	Road, B	aton Roug	ge, LA, 225-3	79-1292, Valerie	e.Tourres@l	la.gov	
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 08/20 Total				Total c	Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's) \$6,			5,600		
Services compl	eted by this firm	(mm/yy)	Ongoing	Cost of	f consultar	nt services pro	ovided by this fin	rm (\$1,000'	s) \$5	587

Forte and Tablada, Inc. was a subconsultant to T Baker Smith to provide the topographic survey for 17 bridges for State Project Numbers H.013954, H.013979, H.013985, H.013992, H.013994, and H.013995. While the project is ongoing in the design phase, Forte and Tablada has completed the topographic survey in accordance with LA DOTD's Location and Survey Manual. The projects are currently in design and the anticipated Final Plans completion date is May 2022. The largest challenges to overcome for this project were the bridge locations and the advanced schedule. Forte and Tablada was able to overcome these challenges with its communications software (Teams) and utilizing multiple field crews and Professional Land Surveyors trained in LA DOTD's Location and Survey field procedures and data collection protocols.

Forte and Tablada is also providing property surveys and right of way mapping as the need arises during the design process.

Repair Series Annuel An

This project displays Forte and Tablada's ability to conduct topographic surveys and right of way mapping for bridge sites of a similar size in accordance with LA DOTD standards. The team members involved in this project included: **Russell Coco**, PE, MBA-Principal-in-Charge, **Ross Wilson**, PLS.-Project Surveyor, **Bradley Holleman**-Surveyor-in-Charge, **Jace Ricard**, PLS-Surveyor, **Rachel Waldroup**, LSI-Pre Professional, **Jeremy Cormier**-Survey Technician, **Tommy Lake**-Party Chief



Firm name	Forte & Tablada, Inc	FORTE & T	ABLADA	Past Per	formance Eva	luation Discipli	ne(s)* Su	rvey
Project nameRural Bridge Replacement Initiative Phase IIFirm responsibility (prime or sub?)							sub?) Sub	
Project number 5 S.P. Numbers Owner's name LADOTD								
Project location 20 Structures in Districts 4 and 5 Owner's Project Manager Valerie Tourres							es	
Owner's address	s, phone, email 1201	Capitol Access	Road, Ba	aton Roug	ge, LA, 225-3'	79-1292, Valerie	e.Tourres@la.g	ov
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 06/21 Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)						Unknown		
Services compl	eted by this firm (mm/y	y) Ongoing	Cost of	consulta	nt services pro	ovided by this fir	rm (\$1,000's)	\$895,881

Forte and Tablada, Inc. was a subconsultant to T Baker Smith to provide the topographic survey for 20 bridges for State Project Numbers H.014219, H.014222, H.014228, H.014231, and H.014236. While the project is ongoing in the design phase, Forte and Tablada has completed the topographic survey in accordance with LA DOTD's Location and Survey Manual. The projects are currently in design and the anticipated Final Plans completion date is May 2022.

This project displays Forte and Tablada's ability to conduct topographic surveys and right of way mapping for bridge sites of a similar size in accordance with LA DOTD standards.

The largest challenges to overcome for this project were the bridge locations and the advanced schedule. Forte and Tablada was able to overcome these challenges with its communications software (Teams) and utilizing multiple field crews and Professional

Land Surveyors trained in LA DOTD's Location and Survey field procedures and data collection protocols.

Forte and Tablada is also providing property surveys and right of way mapping as the need arises during the design process.

The team members involved in this project included: Russell Coco, PE, MBA-Principal-in-Charge, Ross Wilson, PLS-Project Surveyor, Bradley Holleman-Surveyor-in-Charge, Jace Ricard, PLS-Surveyor, Rachel Waldroup, LSI-Pre Professional, Jeremy Cormier-Survey Technician



Firm name Forte & Tablada, Inc	DRTE & TABLADA Past Performan	ce Evaluation Discipline(s)*	Survey	
Project name I-10/ Loyola Interchange In	nprovements	Firm responsibility (prime or	r sub?) Sub	
Project number H.011670	Owner's name LADOTD			
Project location Kenner, LA	ger Tim Nickel, PE, LA DO	TD		
Owner's address, phone, email 1201 Capit	ol Access Road, Baton Rouge, LA	70804; 225-379-1292; Timothy.Nicl	kel@LA.GOV	
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy)	07/19 Total consultant contract	Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)		
Services completed by this firm (mm/yy)	01/20 Cost of consultant servi	ices provided by this firm (\$1,000's)	\$552	

Forte and Tablada provided a Property Survey, Right-Of-Way Maps, Topographic Survey, Drainage Survey, and QA/QC of the work. The project stretches from the levee in Kenner to the Williams Blvd. off ramp, as well as Loyola Avenue and portions of Veterans Blvd. Forte and Tablada is responsible for rapidly delivering the survey as part of preconstruction services for this design-build project.

The team members involved in this project included: **Russell Coco**, PE-Principal-In-Charge, **Ross Wilson**, PLS-Project Manager, Will Fontenot, PLS-ROW QC Reviewer, **Jace Ricard**, PLS-Survey Management and CAD Support, **Gerald Middleton**, PLS-ROW QC Reviewer, **Tommy Lake**-Lead Party Chief, **Rachel Waldroup**-CAD Technician, Jeremy Cormier-CAD Technician.

This project displays Forte and Tablada's ability to conduct topographic surveys, title takeoffs, property surveys and right of way mapping in accordance with LA DOTD standards.





Firm name	Forte & Tablada	a, Inc FO	RTE & TA	BLADA	Past Per	formance Eva	aluation Disciplin	ne(s)*	Survey	/
Project name	I-10 (LA 415 to	Essen Lane	on I-10 a	nd I-12)	Survey		Firm responsib	ility (prime or	sub?)	Sub
Project number	S.P. No. H.004	100	Owner'	s name		חי				
	F.A.P. No. H004100									
Project location East and West Baton Rouge Parishes, LA						Owner's Pro	oject Manager	Stanley Ard		
Owner's address	s, phone, email	1201 Capito	l Access	Road, Ba	aton Roug	ge, LA 70802	, 225-379-1292,	Stanley.Ard@	la.gov	
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 01/18 Total co					onsultant	contract cost	(\$1,000's)		\$6,	180.0
Services compl	eted by this firm	(mm/yy)	06/19	Cost of	consulta	nt services pro	ovided by this fir	rm (\$1,000's)	\$1,	400.0

Forte and Tablada, Inc. was responsible for a topographic survey of the I-10 corridor from approximately 500' East of Perkins Rd. to Essen Ln., and the I-12 corridor from the I- 10/I-12 Merge to Essen Ln. Responsibilities on this project were establishing horizontal and vertical control, establishing targets for Mobile LiDar roadway scans to control precision, and performing a topographical survey to LA DOTD Standards. Forte and Tablada, Inc. was responsible for all field and office work within the above limits of survey as part of a team on the project.



The team members involved in this project included: Russell J. Coco, Jr., P.E.-Principal In Charge, Ross Wilson, P.L.S.-Project Manager, **Jace Ricard**, P.L.S.-Survey Technician, **Tommy Lake**, P.L.S.-Party Chief, Will Fontenot, P.L.S.-Surveyor-in-Charge, Steve LeBlanc, P.L.S.-Survey Crew Coordinator

This project displays Forte and Tablada's ability to use advanced technology such as lidar scanning to conduct topographic surveys on bridge projects for LA DOTD.



Firm name	Forte & Tablada	i, Inc FO	RTE & TA	BLADA	Past Perf	formance Eva	luation Disciplin	ne(s)* S	urvey	
Project name I	LA 327 Spur: S	taring Lane I	Extensio	n Route	LA 327-8		Firm responsib	ility (prime or s	ub?)	Prime
Project number	S.P. No. H.011	684.5	Owner'	s name	LADOT	D				
Project location East Baton Rouge Parish, LA Owner's Project Manager Barrett Smith										
Owner's address,	, phone, email	1201 Capito	1 Access	Road, Ba	aton Roug	e, LA 70804,	225-379-1292			
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 11/18 Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)						\$16	5			
Services complet	ed by this firm	(mm/yy)	04/19	Cost of	consultar	t services pro	wided by this fir	m (\$1,000's)	\$16	5

Forte and Tablada completed a topographic survey for this project which is located in East Baton Rouge Parish, between the intersections of La 42 (Burbank Dr.) and Staring Ln. and La 327 (Gardere Ln.) and La 30. A complete Topographic survey including all utilities with depths and all drainage was required, along with finish floor elevations of all buildings that fall within the survey limits.

The team members involved in this project included: **Joey Coco**, P.E.-Principal-In-Charge, Will Fontenot, P.L.S.-Surveyor-in-Charge, **Ross Wilson**, P.L.S.-Project Manager, **Jace Ricard**, P.L.S.-Surveyor

This project displays Forte and Tablada's ability to conduct topographic surveys in accordance with LA DOTD standards contained within the Location and Survey Manual.





Firm name	Forte & Tablada	i, Inc FO	RTE & TA	BLADA	Past Per	formance Eva	luation Discipli	ne(s)*	Survey	/
Project name	Belle Chasse Br	idge and Tu	nnel Rep	lacemen	t		Firm responsib	ility (prime or	sub?)	Prime
Project number	S.P. No. H.004	791.5	Owner's	s name	LADOT	D				
Project location Plaquemines, LA Owner's Project Manager Stanley Ard										
Owner's address	ss, phone, email	1201 Capito	1 Access	Road, Ba	aton Roug	ge, LA 70802,	225-379-1292,	Stanley.Ard@	la.gov	
Services commenced by this firm (mm/yy) 05/17 Total consultant contract cost (\$1,000's)						\$40	01.7			
Services compl	eted by this firm	(mm/yy)	10/18	Cost of	consulta	nt services pro	ovided by this fir	rm (\$1,000's)	\$24	49.6

Forte and Tablada provided comprehensive topographic surveying services for the Belle Chase Bridge and Tunnel Replacement project for LA DOTD. Included in this work was a survey performed utilizing traditional methods, terrestrial laser scanning of roadway surfaces, and multi-beam 3-D hydrographic surveying. The below image depicts a combined point cloud, or 3D model, from a multibeam hydrographic surveying sensor and a LiDAR laser scanner. Features were extracted from the point cloud and incorporated into the final MicroStation InRoads survey deliverables.

The team members involved in this project included: Joey Coco, P.E.-Principal-In-Charge, Will Fontenot, P.L.S.-Surveyor-in-Charge, Jerry Middleton, Jr., P.L.S.-Party Chief/Technician, Steve LeBlanc, P.L.S.-Party Chief/Technician, Ross Wilson, P.L.S.-Project Manager, Brent Campbell-Senior Technician, Tommy Lake-Party Chief

This project displays Forte and Tablada's ability to use advanced technology such as lidar scanning and multibeam hydrographic equipment to conduct topographic surveys on bridge projects for LA DOTD.





Firm name	Forte & Tablada	i, Inc FO	RTE & TA	BLADA	Past Performance Eva	luation Discipline(s)*	Survey
Project name	Almonaster Ave	enue Lift Bri	dge			Firm responsibility (prime or s	ub?) Sub
Project number	S.P. No. H.004	698	Owner'	s name	LA DOTD c/o Volker	rt & Associates	
Project location	Orleans Paris	h, LA	Ow	vner's Pr	oject Manager	Jan Evans, PE, Volkert & As	ssociates
Owner's addres	s, phone, email	7967 Office	Park Bo	ulevard,	Baton Rouge, LA 7080	9, 225-218-9440, jevans@volke	rt.com
Services comm	enced by this firm	n (mm/yy)	02/13	Total c	onsultant contract cost	(\$1,000's)	\$185
Services comple	eted by this firm	(mm/yy)	10/13	Cost of	Consultant services pro	ovided by this firm (\$1,000's)	\$185

Forte and Tablada, Inc. was responsible for performing complete topographic and property surveys, developing a drainage map, and establishing existing right-of-way for North line of I-10, CSX Railroad property and Almonaster, establishing elevations to develop a Digital Terrain Model with the widths matching the limits of the topographic survey, and providing a drainage map. The entire bridge super and sub structures were scanned to locate every pile. Bridge clearances were found, extracting two-dimensional line work for the superstructure. A horizontal plan of two-dimensional site plan for the bridge and a volume calculation for the counterweight was also created. As there was no access to the adjacent rail property, Forte and Tablada, Inc.'s Advanced Measurements was able to use three-dimensional laser scanning to survey the area without permits or trespassing on railroad right of way. This project demonstrates Forte & Tablada's topographic survey, property survey, POW more

demonstrates Forte & Tablada's topographic survey, property survey, ROW maps and tile take off experience for transportation projects.

The team members involved in this project included: Robert J. Badeaux, CFO, Wilfred Fontenot, PLS, Jonathan Coco-Adv. Measurements Dept. Leader, Steve LeBlanc, PLS-Survey Party Chief/Technician, Ross Wilson, PLS-Project Manager



This project displays Forte and Tablada's ability to use advanced technology such as lidar scanning to conduct topographic surveys on bridge projects for LA DOTD.



Firm name	Monroe & Cor	ie, Inc.			Past Performance Evaluation	n Category(ies)*	Bridge
Ducient mente	Quashita Davi		Aore Duidao	Danla ann ant	Finne none on sileiliter (muine o	n and 2)	During a
Project name	Ouachita Par	ish Oli Sys	stem Bridge	Replacement	Firm responsibility (prime of	r sub?)	Prime
Project number	H.013130				Owner's name	LADOTD	
Project location	Ouachita Paris	h			Owner's Project Manager	Barbara Ostuno	
Owner's address,	phone, email	1201 Cap	ital Access F	Road, Baton Rou	ige, LA 70802, 225 379-1047,	, Barbara.Ostuno(aLA.GOV
Services comment	ed by this firm	09	9/18	Total consultat	nt contract cost (\$1,000's)		\$113
Services complete	d by this firm	03	3/21	Cost of consul	tant services provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$109

This OSBR project consisting of two bridges in OUACHITA Parish was surveyed, designed and administered by the four key individuals currently employed by MONROE & CORIE, INC. as follows. Mr. Corie was responsible for the developing the Drainage Map and calculating the design flows for the new bridge. He also is responsible for the QA/QC reviews. Ms. Simpson was responsible for the <u>Solicitation of Views and Categorical Exclusion</u> (SOV) and coordination of <u>Wetland Study</u> and <u>Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination</u> (PJD). Ms. Vicknair is responsible for all of the CADD operations as well as the design detailing and Final Plan Production. Mr. Monroe was responsible for the field surveys, Hydraulic Reports, Preliminary and Final plan design. Pictured below at left is the old timber bridge on Charles Rawls Road, and at right below is the timber pile supported bridge on Red Cut Road and both should be scheduled for construction bidding later this year.





Firm name	Monroe & Cor	ie, Inc.	MONROE & CORIE, INC.		Past Performance Evaluation	n Category(ies)*	Bridge
Project name	Rapides Paris	h Off Sy	vstem Bridge H	Replacement	Firm responsibility (prime o	r sub?)	
Project number	H.011526				Owner's name	LADOTD	
Project location	Rapides Parish	l			Owner's Project Manager	Gary Pentek	
Owner's address,	phone, email	1201 C	apital Access F	Road, Baton Rou	uge, LA 70802, 225 379-1047	, Gary.Pentek@L	A.GOV
Services comment	ed by this firm		06/15	Total consultat	nt contract cost (\$1,000's)		\$159
Services complete	d by this firm		06/19	Cost of consul	tant services provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$149

This OSBR project consisting of three bridges in Rapides Parish was surveyed, designed and administered by the four key individuals currently employed by MONROE & CORIE, INC. as follows. Mr. Corie was responsible for the developing the Drainage Map and calculating the design flows for the new bridge. He also was responsible for the QA/QC reviews. Ms. Simpson was responsible for the <u>Solicitation of Views and Categorical Exclusion</u> (SOV) and coordination of <u>Wetland Study</u> and <u>Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination</u> (PJD). Ms. Vicknair was responsible for all of the CADD operations as well as the design detailing and Final Plan Production. Mr. Monroe was responsible for the field surveys, Hydraulic Reports, Preliminary and Final plan design. Pictured below (from left to right) is the old timber on Castor Plunge Road, the timber pile supported bridge on Palmer Chapel Road and the old timber bridge on H. Strange Road which are all currently scheduled for replacement construction this year.





Firm name	Monroe & Cor	ie, Inc.		INC.		Past Performance Evaluation	n Category(ies)*	Bridge
Project name	Tangipahoa	Parish	Off	System	Bridge	Firm responsibility (prime o	r sub?)	
	Replacement							
Project number	H.011527					Owner's name	LADOTD	
Project location	Tangipahoa Pa	rish				Owner's Project Manager	Gary Pentek	
Owner's address,	phone, email 1201 Capital Access Road, Baton				Baton Rou	ige, LA 70802, 225 379-1047,	, Gary.Pentek@L	A.GOV
Services comment	ed by this firm	0	08/15 Total consult			nt contract cost (\$1,000's)		\$150
Services complete	d by this firm	0	9/17	Cost	t of consult	tant services provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$145

This OSBR project consisting of three bridges in Tangipahoa Parish was surveyed, designed and administered by the four key individuals currently employed by MONROE & CORIE, INC. as follows. Mr. Corie was responsible for the developing the Drainage Map and calculating the design flows for the new bridge. He also was responsible for the QA/QC reviews. Ms. Simpson was responsible for the <u>Solicitation of Views and Categorical Exclusion</u> (SOV) and coordination of <u>Wetland Study</u> and <u>Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination</u> (PJD). Ms. Vicknair was responsible for all of the CADD operations as well as the design detailing and Final Plan Production. Mr. Monroe was responsible for the field surveys, Hydraulic Reports, Preliminary and Final plan design. Pictured below (from left to right) is the old timber on Vitrano Road, the timber pile supported bridge on Brown Road and the old timber bridge Randall Road. Brown Road was deleted from the program, but the other two currently are under construction.





Firm name	Urban Systems	s, Inc.		Past Performance Evaluation	n Category(ies)*	Traffic
Project name	US 90 (I-49 So	outh) Albertson's Parl	kway to	Firm responsibility (prime o	r sub?)	Sub
	Ambassador	Caffery Design / Build				
Project number	SP H.010620			Owner's name	LADOTD	
Project location	Lafayette Paris	sh, LA		Owner's Project Manager	Peggy Jo Paine,	P.E .
Owner's address,	phone, email	1201 Capitol Access I	Road, Baton Rou	ıge, Louisiana, 70802, 225-37	9-1065, peggy.pa	ine@la.gov
Services commenced by this firm 01/14 Total consult				nt contract cost (\$1,000's)		N/A
Services complete	d by this firm	08/19	Cost of consul	tant services provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$232.6

Urban Systems, Inc. was part of the Design/Build team under the engineering task for this project. Th project included upgrading a portion of US90 from a four-lane facility to a six-lane facility with controlled access. The project also included providing a system of frontage roads to provide connectivity. Urban Systems was responsible for a variety of tasks including developing a signage plan, traffic signal plans, temporary traffic control plans (TCDP), traffic analysis and a Level 3 Traffic Management Plan (TMP) based on LADOTD EDSM VI.1.1.8.

Signage and Traffic Signal Plans

As part of the definitive design portion of this project, USI developed signage and traffic signal plans based on LADOTD requirements. The traffic signal plans were also developed in the latest LADOTD TSI format. USI worked closely with the contractor, team members and local entities throughout the construction phase.



Temporary Traffic Control Plans (TCDP)

Temporary traffic control plans were developed for the various phases of construction. These plans also included temporary traffic signals for some of the phases. These plans were developed to meet the current LADOTD standards. Some of these plans involved complicated detours and devices to not affect traffic while completing construction.

Traffic Study and TMP

Traffic analysis was conducted during the project to determine the impact construction and the proposed configuration would have on traffic conditions. Traffic volumes were re-routed for each phase on construction and capacity analysis was conducted for each scenario. A safety analysis was prepared for the study US 90 roadway segment, LA 182 roadway segment, and the US 90 at Albertsons Parkway/St. Nazaire Road intersection based on the guidelines set forth by LADOTD in *Part III: Guidelines for Conducting a Safety Analysis for Transportation Management Plans and Other Work Zone Activities, May 2013.* The purpose of this analysis is to assess the safety impacts of the construction activities within the project area and mitigate the impact on the state highway.



Firm name	Urban Systems	s, Inc.		Past Performance Evaluation	n Category(ies)*	Traffic
Project name	TMP for I-	10 West of LA 10	08 and I-210	Firm responsibility (prime or	r sub?)	Sub
	Interchange					
Project number	H.009620.5-1			Owner's name	LADOTD	
Project location	Calcasieu Paris	sh, LA		Owner's Project Manager	Hadi Shirazi	
Owner's address,	phone, email	1201 Capitol Access I	Road, Baton Rou	ıge, LA 70804, 225-379-1929,	Hadi.Shirazi@la	.gov
Services comment	ed by this firm	05/18	Total consultat	nt contract cost (\$1,000's)		N/A
Services complete	d by this firm	04/19	Cost of consul	tant services provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$70

The team members involved in this project included: A. Michel, N. Stewart

The objective of this project was to assist with conducting a Level 4 Transportation Management Plan (TMP) based on LADOTD EDSM

VI.1.1.8 for rubblize and overlay work on US 90 over I-10 in Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana. The objective of the TMP was to identify the challenges and to address strategies to minimize the traffic delays associated with the lane closures, demand volumes and incidents within the construction limits and primary detour roadways on I-10 and I-210 within the Lake Charles Metropolitan Area. This project also updated a TMP performed for the I-210 Prien Lake Bridge Re-Decking and Safety Improvement Project (H.010916.5) dated January 2016.

dated January 2016. Traffic data was reviewed within the study area and a field visit was conducted to verify information on roadway geometrics and traffic conditions. A traffic data was report was developed and submitted for inclusion in the TMP document.



A safety analysis was conducted based on LADOTD guidelines. Crash rates

were calculated for each location and compared to LADOTD's statewide averages and to LADOTD's High Potential for Safety Improvements (formerly the Abnormally High Crash) List. Charts were developed at each location and compared to statewide averages based on various categories. Crash diagrams were also developed to document the number, location and type of crashes. Each crash report was reviewed for accuracy.

An alternative route analysis was conducted for an assessment of the proposed detour routes. The analysis also included a safety and mobility plan to gather and address concerns for the detour routes.

The team members involved in this project included: N. Stewart



Firm name	Urban Systems	s, Inc.		Past Performance Evaluation	n Category(ies)*	Traffic
Project name	Bridge Preve	entative Maintenance	e Port Allen	Firm responsibility (prime or	r sub?)	Sub
	Bridge					
Project number	H.001234.4			Owner's name	LADOTD	
Project location	Port Allen, LA	L Contraction of the second seco		Owner's Project Manager	Brian Delatte	
Owner's address,	phone, email	1201 Capitol Access I	Road, Baton Roi	uge, LA 70804, (225) 379-182	3, Brian.Delatte	ULA.GOV
Services comment	ed by this firm	11/12	Total consultat	nt contract cost (\$1,000's)		N/A
Services complete	d by this firm	06/16	Cost of consul	tant services provided by this	firm (\$1,000's)	\$62.6

The objective was to conduct a Level 3 Transportation Management Plan (TMP) based on LADOTD EDSM VI.1.1.8 for reconstruction of two (2) bridge structures over the Intracoastal Waterway (ICWW) in Port Allen, Louisiana. A TMP was critical for this location as the LA 1 bridges serves as the major crossing of the ICWW and serves up to 45,000 vehicles per day. An important aspect of this project was how to minimize construction impacts on an already congested roadway section.

Peak intersection turning movements and seven-day hourly volume counts with classification were collected within the study area. Peak intersection capacity analysis was conducted using Synchro software to determine the impact the different phases on construction would have on the subject intersections. A unique part of the capacity analysis was to analyze a non-typical stop-controlled intersection with different gap acceptance values to match field conditions.

A safety analysis was conducted based on the LADOTD's *Guidelines for Crash Data Analysis, June 2014*. Crash rates were calculated for each location and compared to LADOTD's statewide averages and to LADOTD's High Potential for Safety Improvements (formerly the Abnormally High Crash) List. Charts were developed at each location based on collisions by type, injury severity, time and pavement conditions.

An important strategy to minimize work zone impacts was an evacuation plan as LA 1 is a critical artery during a hurricane evacuation.

A list of potential stakeholders was developed for a future stakeholder's meeting. The list was

crucial for this project as many port related and industrial business are located in the project area and should be informed about the project.

The team members involved in this project included: A. Michel, B. Perilloux, N. Stewart





18. Approach and Methodology:

KICKOFF MEETING

Prior to the kickoff meeting, coordinate with DOTD Project Manager on possible date and time and coordinate on required attendees, including utility companies.

- i. Coordinate an on-site meeting with DOTD and parish to determine if there are resources that need to be avoided. During this field visit, the consultant's team shall assess any issues that might impact the design approach and/or construction methods. Based on the determinations from this visit, prepare Pre-Design Checklist addressing all issues.
- ii. Request for review all available and relevant bridge data including:
 - a) Bridge inspection/load rating reports to determine load restrictions or maintenance of traffic requirements), and gain a understanding of the current health and serviceability of the existing structures, .
 - b) Existing alignment study, as-built plans, existing R/W, traffic data, and parish maps,
 - c) Stage 0 (Feasibility Studies),
 - d) Stage 1 (Environmental Clearance) documents to review purpose and need and environmentally sensitive areas, Traffic studies: traffic data, expected detours, or recommendations.
 - e) Topographic survey for the railroad overpass bridges (Recall Nos. 007490 and 007500).
- iii. Determine if the "DOTD Minimum Design Guidelines" or if the "Guidance for Preservation/Rehabilitation/Replacement (PRR) Projects" will dictate the minimum requirements for this project.

The kickoff meeting will be used to:

- 1. Establish clear understanding of the project goals and discuss any DOTD and Parish concerns to be addressed in the design phase.
- 2. Discuss possible bridge replacement options and establish design criteria for bridge and roadway design,
- 3. Determine the frequency for design coordination progress meetings and develop a hierarchy for communication,
- 4. Discuss recommended drainage alternate type and applicable dimensions and incorporate DOTD staff comments to be included the hydraulic design of the drainage structures.
- 5. Discuss <u>Demolition requirements</u> for the existing bridge spans directly US-61, ramp K over I-10 interstate located over the MO PACIFIC RR tracks.
- 6. Review any questions that may have arisen after the review of existing documents. the entire span was constructed off site and moved into place during a 6
- 7. Prepare and discuss preliminary project schedule for the major activities.
- 8. Develop a refined scope of work (SOW) and QC/QA process.

SDR will have a railroad coordination meeting as described in the next section to take into consideration review times by both, DOTD and MO PACIFIC for submittals, demolition procedure, and potential design alternative that could help reduce construction time and disruption to the traveling public.

Based on the results of the kickoff meeting and the communications with MO PACIFIC, a Critical Path Method schedule containing at a minimum, all dates for deliverables in the preliminary and final plans will be prepared.

A refined SOW based on the results from the kickoff meeting will be prepared and submitted along with the recommended project schedule to DOTD PM for approval. Upon approval, SDR will use the finalized scope to develop the required manhours for the project covering Stage 3: Design Process and Stage 5: Construction Support.

RAILROAD COORDINATION

SDR team has done previous projects similar in nature along railroad infrastructure. The team will ensure that coordination between MO PACIFIC and DOTD is at the forefront and starts immediately after the kickoff meeting.

As part of the coordination, the team will review the design criteria established at the kickoff meeting with MO PACIFIC and establish the railroad specific design criteria needed for this project. This includes confirming the horizontal clearance requirements, if MO PACIFIC has future track expansions planned along the corridor, and vertical clearance requirements. Also, discuss proposed demolition plans and any conditions that need to be addressed. The team will work with DOTD and MO PACIFIC to expediate the required permits and development of required exhibits.

Accelerated Bridge Construction (ABC)

The main challenge for the railroad overpass bridge replacement is the selection of the appropriate bridge structure type to meet current vertical and horizontal clearance requirements while limiting daily traffic impact on railroad and existing roads. Limiting impact could be enhanced through the selection of the bridge elements and construction methods. The suitability of <u>accelerated construction</u> techniques and top-down construction should be considered to minimize this impact and shorten construction time, therefore, impact on public. The overall

cost is also important and need to be optimized against structure type and construction method. SDR has performed successfully significant number of projects utilizing ABC (ex. US-61, ramp K over I-10 interstate located in Ascension Parish, where the entire span was constructed off site and moved into place during a 6 hours period). ABC could be achieved



through off site construction and moving the span by SPMT or through utilization of prefabricated bridge elements designed and installed to facilitate top-down construction.

SDR will work with DOTD and MO PACIFIC to determine if any types of accelerated bridge construction (ABC) may be used to help expedite the

construction schedule. Coordination will be necessary for staging SDR PM will submit to DOTD PM, for approval, a list of software(s) to be used locations and right-of-way needs, and railroad restrictions on short-term closures to allows this method of construction.

PART I: PRELIMINARY PLANS

Our team will follow the latest DOTD requirements for development of different milestone submittals for both Preliminary Plans and Final Plans, including the use of the latest approved Greenbook, DOTD EDSMs, Minimum Design Guidelines, Complete Streets Initiative, DOTD and AASHTO Bridge Design Manuals, Bridge Design Technical Memorandums (BDTM), Hydraulics Manual, and DOTD CAD standard submittals.

To facilitate the design process, our team will utilize throughout all submittals Inroads SS4 built on Openroads technology. Openroads technology uses "on the fly" design that updates corridor models instantaneously. The instantaneous update allows the designer to see, in a real 3D CAD environment, how the project model ties into existing topographic conditions while being able to identify any areas where the design may need modifications. The anticipated submittals are shown below.

PRELIMINARY PLANS	FINAL PLANS
30% Preliminary Plans	30% Final Plans
60% Preliminary Plans	60% Final Plans
90% Preliminary Plans	90% Final Plans
100% Preliminary Plans	98% Final Plans
	100% Final Plans
Other forms, checklists a	nd reports as stated in RFP

Figure 1. The SDR design team will follow the DOTD Design Preparation Manual for submittals that are required for both Stage 3: Part I and Part II.

As per the requirements of the RFP, the Consultant shall keep a log of all Agency provided comments and shall provide DOTD with a disposition of comments response following each plan submittal.

30% Preliminary Plans

Before moving into the 30% Preliminary Plan submittal, SDR team will use all available information and decisions from railroad coordination to determine best options for type of bridge structures and construction approach to carry forward into the development of construction plans. SDR team will work with DOTD to determine the most economical structure for each project site location and Geopak drainage module and/or OpenFlows FlowMaster for development of submit a bridge determination report describing the type of structure, vertical and horizontal alignments, and anticipated construction methods, including ABC and top-down construction.

for bridge design. Once the software design approach is approved, the design team will use the existing data, environmental investigation, traffic assessment, and geotechnical site information to determine whether any element of the work could fall outside the "Site Expectations and Assumptions" listed on the RFP.

As part of the 30% Preliminary Design process, SDR will utilize the topographic survey and drainage maps that were provided by DOTD (Recall # 007490 and 007500) and the new survey along a portion of US 190 at the Little Bayou Teche bridge sites. The design team will perform a back check through the data to make sure that all required information is available to begin preparation of design plans. Any questions regarding the accuracy of supplied survey will be brought in and discussed with the DOTD PM to request any additional data through the DOTD Survey and Location Department.

To help with schedule and as part of the previously mentioned existing data request, our team's approach will be to request any, previous Microstation design files that were created during the Stage 0 or Stage 1 studies.

Our design team will request through the DOTD PM the required pavement sections from the DOTD Geotechnical Department to incorporate the different layers of roadway section materials into the typical sections. These sections will be utilized in creating roadway templates for use in the Inroads corridor models to help streamline the design team's efforts to where assumed sections are not being used early in the construction plan development process.

The 30% submittal will include all requirements listed in the RFP and any other requirements identified during the kickoff meeting. Our team will also include the proposed geometric alignments and early preliminary vertical profiles.

60% Preliminary Plans

SDR will finalize the projected and adopted centerlines for all bridge replacement locations. SDR team will export the design data files into the SS2 Inroads format for use by DOTD and verify the drawings with CADConform. Additionally, as part of the 60% Preliminary Plan submittal, SDR will begin the development of general bridge plans showing the horizontal configuration of the bridges along with the vertical profiles featuring required height clearance to the low chord of the proposed bridges.

SDR team will develop proposed drainage maps for the road improvements and any required drainage improvements underneath the bridges along the railroad corridor. It will also develop a comprehensive hydraulic model to determine which type of drainage for all four bridges and roadway will be required to provide the most efficient runoff capture. We will utilize the DOTD hydraulics manual in conjunction with HYDRWin for development of hydraulic recommendations and drainage design report. Our team currently utilizes drainage models, and the software is based off FHWA HEC manuals. Use of these drainage softwares will allow the design team to build a comprehensive design model not only for the road but hydraulics as well. It will be requested

through the DOTD Project Manager to use this approach; however, if not PART II: FINAL PLANS approved, SDR has personnel who are very familiar with the DOTD Hydraulics As part of the Final Plans phase, our team will begin working on completing the software. A preliminary hydraulics design report will be part of the 60% Preliminary Plans delivery.

90% & 100% Preliminary Plans

Both 90% and 100% Preliminary Plans will include the proposed initial sequence of construction and required preliminary ROW taking lines, if necessary. For all bridge replacement projects, the most likely scenario is to replace the bridges along the existing alignment. This would require a possible road closure if an efficient and short detour route is available that could handle the existing ADT. Traffic analysis and determination of the best traffic management plan for both bridge locations will be performed. Normally DOTD prefers detour routes along State Highways, SDR PM will coordinate with the DOTD PM and possibly the districts to determine if using the local road network would be acceptable. Both locations may be candidates for the alternative bridge construction method to reduce overall time a road closure without any unnecessary expenditure of funds for a temporary construction servitude and temporary detour bridge.

As outlined in the RFQ, a Level 2 Traffic Management Plan (TMP) will be required. We will follow EDSM VI.1.1.8 that outlines what is required for a TMP. According to the DOTD Transportation Data Management System, US 190 along the improvement area carries over 10,000 vehicles per day. We will coordinate with DOTD to obtain traffic volume and safety data for traffic study to perform safety analysis and alternative route analysis. If historic data is not available, we will follow the Traffic Study Scope of Services as outlined on the DOTD Traffic Engineering website. We will determine the optimum detour route and will coordinate with the bridge/ road designers on a Work Zone Impact Management Strategy document to minimize risk and delays to the travel public. In addition, we will develop the required striping plans per the DOTD Traffic Control Plan standards and MUTCD manual. We will coordinate with the DOTD PM and the District 03 Traffic Operations Engineer to determine if the District has any preference as how to handle possible bridge closures.

A Plan-In-Hand (PIH) meeting will be held at the district 03 office and in the field to determine if any additional changes to the plans may be necessary before finalizing 100% Preliminary Plans and submitting for DOTD approval. ROW taking lines will be finalized as part of this process. The scope of work per the advertisement indicates that the topographic survey for MO PACIFIC RR bridges has been completed, however, ROW mapping was not mentioned. It is assumed that DOTD would prepare ROW mapping for these two bridges.

100% Preliminary Plans will be approved once the DOTD PM has verified that all comments from the PIH meeting have been updated and addressed. As part of the 100% Final Plans submittal, our team will prepare any required permits that may be required for the railroad or for environmental clearance.

development of construction plans, preparing them for the Stage 4: Bidding Process. SDR will begin with the 100% Preliminary Plans as the basis of carrying the project to its final completion.

30% and 60% Final Plans

The design team will coordinate with the DOTD PM finalizing all typical sections to avoid major adjustments in future submittals and to reduce adjustments to any necessary ROW taking lines. The 60% Final Plans phase will include the detailed bridge construction plans for the bridge superstructure (deck and girders) and bridge substructure (abutments, internal bents, piles/columns, and drilled shafts/spread footings). Our team will coordinate with the DOTD PM to ensure that all material sections are shown and that alternative surfaces, are acceptable to District 03 Construction Engineer in order to finalize the hydraulics design and any final adjustments to drainage structures. A complete Hydraulic Design Report and Summary of Drainage Structures sheets will be a part of the 60% Final submittal along with the initial summary of quantities "Box" sheets.

90%, 98%, and 100% Final Plans

Once 60% Final Plan comments are received, the team will begin working on the Advance Check Prints (ACP - 90% Final Plans). All summary box sheets will be provided along with revisions based on 60% Final Plans comments, finalized bridge plans, bridge load rating report, final QA/QC checklist, and Constructability Review Form. A Final Design meeting will be held to cover any additional comments from this submittal that will be revised in the 95% Final Plans. All final construction cost estimates and any required special provisions will be prepared and submitted. SDR PM will coordinate with the DOTD to ensure that all necessary submittals are made to prepare for 100% Final Plans. Once all comments have been resolved, our design team will stamp and sign all construction plan sheets and submit to the DOTD PM the final pay item list for DOTD to create the Summary of Quantities Sheets to be included in the final set of plans. Once Final Plans have been submitted and approved along with final cost estimates and specifications, the project will be ready to move into Stage 4, the project letting for construction by DOTD.

QA/QC

SDR will provide the DOTD PM with the internal QA/QC manual for the design team. This manual will be the basis of our team quality control and quality assurance for each submittal; however, we will supplement this manual with all required DOTD checklists for each submittal. Our team will also perform independent technical design reviews at all submittal milestones by team members who are not directly associated with the progression of the project. These reviewers will check the construction plans for accuracy and compare

them to bridge design calculations/analyses. Our team will maintain records of all correspondence between the SDR PM and the DOTD PM.

STAGE 5: CONSTRUCTION

Once a contractor is awarded the project and under contract, the SDR construction support lead, Hatem Seliem, PE, along with select members of the design team will assist the DOTD PM in addressing and coordination of receiving and documenting Requests For Information (RFIs) and Shop Drawings from the CE&I Field Engineer. Once RFIs and Shop Drawings are logged, the SDR construction support lead will distribute to appropriate member/s of the design team for review and approval regarding conformance to the construction plans, 2016 DOTD Standard Specifications, and DOTD BDE Manual. SDR will assist in any RFIs if the contractor needs additional

clarification of the intent of the construction plans. Responses to RFIs and Shop Drawings will be done in a timely manner as to not incur any additional delays.

WORK ZONE TRAINING REQUIREMENTS (WZTR) WZTR trained personnel of SDR team are identified on Section 14.

PROJECT SCHEDULE

Prior to starting the work, a critical path schedule will be submitted to DOTD PM for approval.

SDR team is prepared to compress the project schedule by fast-tracking to accelerate the plans development without sacrificing quality. The survey team is prepared to add additional crews as needed to accelerate delivery and all other team members are prepared for accelerated delivery if required. Work on all four bridges will run concurrently and we do recognize the budgeting process and the immediate need to meet a certain delivery date. Our teamwork load will allow us to meet any accelerated delivery date as deemed appropriate by the DOTD PM.

| | | | | | |
 |
 | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | - | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---
--
--
--
--|--|---|---|--
---|---|--
--|---
--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| US 190: UF | PRR | 20 | /EF | RPA | SS | NE
 | AR
 | OP | EL | OU | SAS | 8_P
 | RO | JE | CTE
 | ED S | SCH | IED | UL | E
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Task / Month | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5
 | 6
 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11
 | 12 | 13 | 14
 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19
 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | | | | |
| KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT | 1 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DOCUMENT REVIEW | 2 | 2 N | lon. | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | 1 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RAILROAD COORDINATION | | | | | |
 | 12 M
 | onth | IS | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agreements | 3 | | | | |
 |
 | 1 | | | | 1
 | 1 | | 1
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demolition & Removal Plans | 4 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction Sequence | 6 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Review & Approval | 4 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SURVEY | | | | | |
 |
 | | | 18 M | onth | IS
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Topographic Survey | 3 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property Survey W Title Take-Off | 3 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Base R/W Maps | 2 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Final R/W | 2 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HYDRAULIC & DRAINAGE | | | | | | •
 | 12 M
 | onth | IS | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hydraulic Analysis | 2 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hydruaic Design | 4 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drainage Maps | 3 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PRELIM PLANS | | | | | | •
 | 12 M
 | onth | IS | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Design Critria | 1 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30% Prelim Plans | 4 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60% Prelim Plans | 4 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 90% Prelim Plans | 3 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100% Prelim Plans | 1 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FINAL PLANS | | | | | |
 |
 | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | 1 | 14 M
 | onth | IS | | | | | | | | | |
| 30% Final Plans | 4 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60% Final Plans | 4 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 90% Final Plans | 4 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 98% Final Plans | 2 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100% Final Plans | 2 | | | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | W | OR | (PA | CKA | GE
 |
 | AC | TIVI | TY/D | ELIV | ERA
 | BLE | | DC
 | TD F | REV | EW | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | US 190: UF
Task / Month
KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT
DOCUMENT REVIEW
RAILROAD COORDINATION
Agreements
Demolition & Removal Plans
Construction Sequence
Review & Approval
SURVEY
Topographic Survey
Property Survey W Title Take-Off
Base R/W Maps
Final R/W
HYDRAULIC & DRAINAGE
Hydraulic Analysis
Hydruaic Design
Drainage Maps
PRELIM PLANS
Design Critria
30% Prelim Plans
60% Prelim Plans
60% Prelim Plans
100% Prelim Plans
50% Final Plans
90% Final Plans
90% Final Plans
90% Final Plans
90% Final Plans
90% Final Plans
90% Final Plans | Task / Month Image: Construction of the second of the | US 190: UPRE OV Task / Month 1 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 N RAILROAD COORDINATION 2 2 N Agreements 3 3 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 4 Construction Sequence 6 6 Review & Approval 4 4 SURVEY 3 7 Topographic Survey 3 3 Property Survey W Title Take-Off 3 3 Base R/W Maps 2 1 Final R/W 2 1 Hydraulic Analysis 2 1 Hydraulic Analysis 2 1 Drainage Maps 3 1 Drainage Maps 3 1 Design Critria 1 1 30% Prelim Plans 4 1 90% Prelim Plans 3 1 IONG Final Plans 4 1 30% Final Plans 4 1 90% Final Plans 4 1 90% Final Plan | US 190: UPRR OVER Task / Month 1 2 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Mon. RAILROAD COORDINATION 3 4 5 Agreements 3 4 6 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 6 6 Review & Approval 4 4 5 SURVEY 3 6 7 Topographic Survey 3 6 7 Property Survey W Title Take-Off 3 7 Base R/W Maps 2 7 7 Base R/W Maps 2 7 7 Hydraulic Analysis 2 7 7 Hydraulic Analysis 2 7 7 Design Critria 1 7 7 Dowlin Plans 4 7 7 Dowlin Plans 4 7 7 Mydraulic Analysis 2 7 7 Design Critria 1 7 7 J0% Prelim Plans 4 7 < | US 190: UPRR OVERPATask / Month123KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT111DOCUMENT REVIEW22 Mon.RAILROAD COORDINATION22 Mon.Agreements341Demolition & Removal Plans41Construction Sequence61Review & Approval41SURVEY31Topographic Survey31Property Survey W Title Take-Off31Base R/W Maps21Final R/W21HyDRAULIC & DRAINAGE1Hydraulic Analysis2Hydraulic Design4Onainage Maps3PRELIM PLANS1Design Critria130% Prelim Plans460% Prelim Plans430% Final Plans460% Final Plans490% Final Plans490% Final Plans490% Final Plans490% Final Plans490% Final Plans2100% Final Plans2< | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS Task / Month 1 2 3 4 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Mon. 7 RAILROAD COORDINATION 7 7 7 7 Agreements 3 7 7 7 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 7 7 7 Construction Sequence 6 7 7 7 Burvey 3 8 8 8 8 Property Survey W Title Take-Off 3 7 7 7 Base R/W Maps 2 7 7 7 7 Hydraulic Analysis 2 7 7 7 7 Hydraulic Analysis 2 7 7 7 7 Presign Critria 1 7 7 7 7 Design Critria 1 7 7 7 7 J0% Prelim Plans 4 7 7 7 30% Prelim Plans 4 <t< td=""><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Mon. 7 RaiLROAD COORDINATION 2 2 Mon. 7 Agreements 3 2 4 2 4 5 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 2 4 2 4 5 Survey 3 4 2 4 4 5 6 Survey 3 4 2 4 4 5 6 Survey 3 4 2 4 5 6 Survey 3 4 4 4 6 6 Survey 3 2 4 4 6 6 Survey 3 2 4 4 6 6 Survey 3 2 4 4 6 6 Hydraulic Analysis 2 4 4 4 <th< td=""><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 RAILROAD COORDINATION 2 Month 1 2 Month Month Month 1 2 Month Mon</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OP Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 RAILROAD COORDINATION Z Month 3 Z Month 1 Z Month Agreements 3 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 Image Image</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPEL Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 RaiLROAD COORDINATION 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Agreements 3 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 1</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUS Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Mon. Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2" Image: Col</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 Mont 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Agreements 3 Image: Strey Strey Agreements 3 4 6 7 8<!--</td--><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_P Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 7 8 9 10 11 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 2 Mon. Agreements 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 Agreements 3 4</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PRO Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 Zwort Zuort Zuort</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJEC Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 MORENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Agreements 3 2 Month 12 Months 12 14<!--</td--><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 MORENT REVIEW 2 Month 12 Month 12 Month 12 Month 10<</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED S Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 12 Months 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mattern Agreements 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 13 14 15 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 8 9 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10<!--</td--><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCH Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 RAILROAD COORDINATION Image: Months Agreements 3 3 2 12 Months 4 14 15 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 1 10 1 14 15 16 1 1</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHED Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 Month 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 Agreements 3 4 2 Months 12 Months 1</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDUL Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 COMMINTION Image: Main Structure Struc</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Months 12 Months 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 COCUMENT REVIEW 2 Month 12 Month 1 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Agreements 3 4</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Name Agreements 3 2 Month 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Agreements 3 3 2 10 1 12 10 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 15</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 12 Month 1 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Agreements 3 2 2 Months 12 Months 10 10 10 10 14 16 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERVASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 ROUMENT REVIEW 2 2 20 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 20 20 21 20 20 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ROLUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 12 10 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ROLUMENT REVIEW 2 2 2 Month 1 12 10 1 10</td><td>US 190: UPR UPREVENDENT 0 Second 1 1 2 <th 2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"<="" colspan="4" td=""></th></td></td></td></td></th<></td></t<> | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Mon. 7 RaiLROAD COORDINATION 2 2 Mon. 7 Agreements 3 2 4 2 4 5 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 2 4 2 4 5 Survey 3 4 2 4 4 5 6 Survey 3 4 2 4 4 5 6 Survey 3 4 2 4 5 6 Survey 3 4 4 4 6 6 Survey 3 2 4 4 6 6 Survey 3 2 4 4 6 6 Survey 3 2 4 4 6 6 Hydraulic Analysis 2 4 4 4 <th< td=""><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 RAILROAD COORDINATION 2 Month 1 2 Month Month Month 1 2 Month Mon</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OP Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 RAILROAD COORDINATION Z Month 3 Z Month 1 Z Month Agreements 3 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 Image Image</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPEL Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 RaiLROAD COORDINATION 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Agreements 3 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 1</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUS Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Mon. Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2" Image: Col</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 Mont 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Agreements 3 Image: Strey Strey Agreements 3 4 6 7 8<!--</td--><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_P Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 7 8 9 10 11 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 2 Mon. Agreements 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 Agreements 3 4</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PRO Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 Zwort Zuort Zuort</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJEC Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 MORENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Agreements 3 2 Month 12 Months 12 14<!--</td--><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 MORENT REVIEW 2 Month 12 Month 12 Month 12 Month 10<</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED S Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 12 Months 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mattern Agreements 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 13 14 15 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 8 9 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10<!--</td--><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCH Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 RAILROAD COORDINATION Image: Months Agreements 3 3 2 12 Months 4 14 15 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 1 10 1 14 15 16 1 1</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHED Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 Month 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 Agreements 3 4 2 Months 12 Months 1</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDUL Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 COMMINTION Image: Main Structure Struc</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Months 12 Months 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 COCUMENT REVIEW 2 Month 12 Month 1 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Agreements 3 4</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Name Agreements 3 2 Month 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Agreements 3 3 2 10 1 12 10 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 15</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 12 Month 1 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Agreements 3 2 2 Months 12 Months 10 10 10 10 14 16 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERVASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 ROUMENT REVIEW 2 2 20 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 20 20 21 20 20 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ROLUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 12 10 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ROLUMENT REVIEW 2 2 2 Month 1 12 10 1 10</td><td>US 190: UPR UPREVENDENT 0 Second 1 1 2 <th 2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"<="" colspan="4" td=""></th></td></td></td></td></th<> | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 RAILROAD COORDINATION 2 Month 1 2 Month Month Month 1 2 Month Mon | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OP Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 RAILROAD COORDINATION Z Month 3 Z Month 1 Z Month Agreements 3 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 Image | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPEL Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 RaiLROAD COORDINATION 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Agreements 3 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 1 | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUS Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Mon. Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2" Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2" Image: Col | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 Mont 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Agreements 3 Image: Strey Strey Agreements 3 4 6 7 8 </td <td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_P Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 7 8 9 10 11 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 2 Mon. Agreements 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 Agreements 3 4</td> <td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PRO Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 Zwort Zuort Zuort</td> <td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJEC Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 MORENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Agreements 3 2 Month 12 Months 12 14<!--</td--><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 MORENT REVIEW 2 Month 12 Month 12 Month 12 Month 10<</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED S Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 12 Months 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mattern Agreements 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 13 14 15 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 8 9 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10<!--</td--><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCH Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 RAILROAD COORDINATION Image: Months Agreements 3 3 2 12 Months 4 14 15 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 1 10 1 14 15 16 1 1</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHED Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 Month 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 Agreements 3 4 2 Months 12 Months 1</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDUL Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 COMMINTION Image: Main Structure Struc</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Months 12 Months 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 COCUMENT REVIEW 2 Month 12 Month 1 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Agreements 3 4</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Name Agreements 3 2 Month 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Agreements 3 3 2 10 1 12 10 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 15</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 12 Month 1 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Agreements 3 2 2 Months 12 Months 10 10 10 10 14 16 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERVASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 ROUMENT REVIEW 2 2 20 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 20 20 21 20 20 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ROLUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 12 10 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ROLUMENT REVIEW 2 2 2 Month 1 12 10 1 10</td><td>US 190: UPR UPREVENDENT 0 Second 1 1 2 <th 2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"<="" colspan="4" td=""></th></td></td></td> | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_P Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 7 8 9 10 11 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 2 Mon. 2 Mon. Agreements 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 Agreements 3 4 | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PRO Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 Zwort Zuort | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJEC Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 MORENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Agreements 3 2 Month 12 Months 12 14 </td <td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 MORENT REVIEW 2 Month 12 Month 12 Month 12 Month 10<</td> <td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED S Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 12 Months 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mattern Agreements 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 13 14 15 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 8 9 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10<!--</td--><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCH Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 RAILROAD COORDINATION Image: Months Agreements 3 3 2 12 Months 4 14 15 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 1 10 1 14 15 16 1 1</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHED Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 Month 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 Agreements 3 4 2 Months 12 Months 1</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDUL Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 COMMINTION Image: Main Structure Struc</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Months 12 Months 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 COCUMENT REVIEW 2 Month 12 Month 1 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Agreements 3 4</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Name Agreements 3 2 Month 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Agreements 3 3 2 10 1 12 10 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 15</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 12 Month 1 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Agreements 3 2 2 Months 12 Months 10 10 10 10 14 16 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERVASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 ROUMENT REVIEW 2 2 20 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 20 20 21 20 20 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</td><td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ROLUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 12 10 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ROLUMENT REVIEW 2 2 2 Month 1 12 10 1 10</td><td>US 190: UPR UPREVENDENT 0 Second 1 1 2 <th 2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"<="" colspan="4" td=""></th></td></td> | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 MORENT REVIEW 2 Month 12 Month 12 Month 12 Month 10< | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED S Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 12 Months 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Mattern Agreements 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 13 14 15 Demolition & Removal Plans 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 8 9 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 </td <td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCH Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 RAILROAD COORDINATION Image: Months Agreements 3 3 2 12 Months 4 14 15 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 1 10 1 14 15 16 1 1</td> <td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHED Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 Month 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 Agreements 3 4 2 Months 12 Months 1</td> <td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDUL Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 COMMINTION Image: Main Structure Struc</td> <td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Months 12 Months 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10</td> <td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 COCUMENT REVIEW 2 Month 12 Month 1 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Agreements 3 4</td> <td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Name Agreements 3 2 Month 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Agreements 3 3 2 10 1 12 10 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 15</td> <td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 12 Month 1 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Agreements 3 2 2 Months 12 Months 10 10 10 10 14 16 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</td> <td>US 190: UPRR OVERVASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 ROUMENT REVIEW 2 2 20 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 20 20 21 20 20 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</td> <td>US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ROLUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 12 10 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ROLUMENT REVIEW 2 2 2 Month 1 12 10 1 10</td> <td>US 190: UPR UPREVENDENT 0 Second 1 1 2 <th 2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"<="" colspan="4" td=""></th></td> | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCH Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 RAILROAD COORDINATION Image: Months Agreements 3 3 2 12 Months 4 14 15 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 1 10 1 14 15 16 1 1 | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHED Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 Month 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 Agreements 3 4 2 Months 12 Months 1 | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDUL Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 COMMINTION Image: Main Structure Struc | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 NICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 Months 12 Months 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 COCUMENT REVIEW 2 Month 12 Month 1 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Agreements 3 4 | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Name Agreements 3 2 Month 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Agreements 3 3 2 10 1 12 10 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 15 | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 DOCUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 12 Month 1 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Agreements 3 2 2 Months 12 Months 10 10 10 10 14 16 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | US 190: UPRR OVERVASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 ROUMENT REVIEW 2 2 20 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 20 20 21 20 20 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS_PROJECTED SCHEDULE Task / Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT 1 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ROLUMENT REVIEW 2 2 Month 1 12 10 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ROLUMENT REVIEW 2 2 2 Month 1 12 10 1 10 | US 190: UPR UPREVENDENT 0 Second 1 1 2 <th 2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"2"<="" colspan="4" td=""></th> | | | | |

Page 88 of 107

Prime Consultant Name: SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.



<mark>19</mark> . Workload:				
Firm(s)	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s)	State project number	Project name	Remaining unpaid balance**
SDR		H.009859.5	TO # 12 Rehabilitation of LA 3094 Bridge	\$800
Engineering		H.014288.2	TO # 13 LA 82 Mermentau Bridge Rehab	\$18,672
Consultants, Inc.		4400021595	TO # 2-LG Girders Design Charts	\$78,000
	Bridge	H.009730.5	H.009730.5 / TO # 3	\$38,900
SDR		H.009859.5	H.009859.5 / TO # 2	\$36,400
Volkert, Inc.		H.002151.6	Retainer Contract Retainer 44-19950 For Construction	\$148,280
V OLUDDA	CE&I/OV		Engineering Management and Staff Augmentation Services	
VOLKERT			For District 03 – TO 2, Acadia, Evangeline, Iberia, Lafayette,	
			St. Landry, St. Martin, St. Mary & Vermillion Parishes, LA	
	Bridge	H.011152.5	I-12 Widening (US 190 to LA 59) Route I-12, St. Tammany	\$22,815
	8-		Parish, LA	
	Road	H.001309.5	MacArthur Interchange Completion Phase II, Route US 90-Z,	\$77,678
		H 00/112	Jellerson Parisn, LA $I_1 I_2$ to Duch $I_1 A_2 I_2 I_1 (I_1 A_2 I_2 I_2 I_2 I_1 A_2 I_1 A_2 I_1)$ St	\$51.202
	Bridge	п.004113	$\begin{array}{c} 1-12 \text{ to Busil LA 5241 (LA 455 to LA 407 LA 41), St.} \\ \text{Tammany Parish I A} \end{array}$	\$31,392
		H 003370	I-220 / I-20 Interchange Improvement & Barksdale AFB	\$762.211
	CE&I/OV	11.005570	Access, Bossier Parish, LA	\$702,211
	T CC	H.009250	IMR I-10 Highland Road to LA 73, East Baton Rouge and	\$1,490,59
	Iraffic		Ascension Parishes, LA	7
Urban Systems,	CE&I / OV	H.004791	Belle Chasse Bridge & Tunnel	\$116,574
Inc.	Traffic	H.011309.5	Mac Arthur Final Design	\$30,687
		H.012812	US 190: Northshore and Camp Villere	\$22,028
		H.004891	Reserve to I-10 Connector	\$54,482
		H.010571	Williams Traffic Signal Design	\$22,750
		H.011965.5	IWGO Bridge Rehabilitation TMP	\$6,826

Firm(s)	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s) *	State project number	Project name	Remaining Unpaid Balance**
Forte and Tablada, Inc.	Bridge	H.012485.1	IDIQ Contract 4400010099, Task Order No. 4 Off System Bridge Load Rating, Statewide	\$ 190,738
FORTE &		H.012485.1	IDIQ Contract 4400010099, Task Order No. 5 Bridge and Culvert Load testing	\$276,656
TABLADA	Survey	H.014628.5	IDIQ Contract 4400010587, Task Order No. 17 Turn Lanes at Rice Mill	\$71,418
		H.014219, H.014222, H.014228, H.014231, H.014236, H.013954, H.013979, H.013985, H.013992, H.013994, H.013995, H.013990	Rural Bridge Replacement Initiative	\$54,676
		H.003931.3	Replacement	\$2,067,730
		H.004273.5	DOTD 1-49 Connector (Lafayette Regional Airport to 1-10/US 167 Interchange)	\$119,318
		H.012485.1	IDIQ Contract 4400010099, Task Order No. 3 Metal Culverts Inspection, Statewide	\$103,399
		H.011684	LA 327 Spur: Staring Lane Extension Route LA 327-S	\$50,279
		H012072	LA 60 Drain Bridge	\$1,428

Firm(s)	Past Performance Evaluation Discipline(s) *	State project number	Project name	Remaining Unpaid Balance**
Monroe & Corie, Inc.	Bridge	H.013143	Avoyelles Parish – Carbon Plant Road Bridge	975
MONROE & CORIE, INC.	_	H.014320	East Baton Rouge Parish – Van Buren Street	1,646
11325 Pennywood Avenue		H.014322	East Baton Rouge Parish – Centurion Ave.	16,462
		H.014255	Rapides Parish – Beeson Road	4,938
		H.014218	North Rural Bridge Replacement Initiative – Phase II	156,841
		H.014219	Hydraulic Analysis & Design	
		H.014222		
		H.014225		
		H.014238		
		H.014239		
		H.014264		
		H.01395,	South Rural Bridge Replacement Initiative – Phase I	68,022
		H.013957	Hydraulic Analysis & Design	
		H.013963		
		H.013966		
		H.013968		
		H.013976		
		H.012061	Rural Bridge Replacement Initiative – Phase II	41,940
		H.012891	Hydraulic Analysis & Design	
		H.014213		
		H.014215		
		H.014216		
		H.014241		
		H.014251		
		H.014253		
		H.014257		
		H.014276		
		H.014278		

20. Certifications/Licenses:

If the advertisement requires submission of licenses and/or certificates, include them here. Otherwise, leave this section blank.

Hatem Seliem PhD, PE, PMP







Bradley Holleman, PLS FORTE & TABLADA

LOUISIANA ASSOCIATED GENERAL CONTRACTORS, INC. 666 North Street - Baton Rouge, LA 70802 Phone: 225/344-0432 * Fax: 225/344-0458 www.lagc.org

March 16, 2021

To Whom It May Concern,

This is to verify that the below listed employee of Forte & Tablada has successfully completed LADOTD required ATSSA Traffic Control Training.

ATSSA Traffic Control Supervisor Refresher Training - January 27, 2021 - Brad Holleman

This letter will serve as temporary proof of training until above listed employees receive their official certificates from American Traffic Safety Services Association (ATSSA).

If there are any questions regarding this issue, please contact Mr. Brett Morgan of LADOTD at Headquarters in Baton Rouge, LA (225-379-1584) or Michael Demouy at the above captioned address.

Best Regards,

Michael Demouy - LAGC Manager



SDR

FORTE & TABLADA

Ross Wilson, PLS

















Certificate of	Completion
Brandon I	Perilloux
for complet	ing the
Traffic Engineering Anal Modul	ysis Process & Report e 3
Date: February 26, 2019 Location: Bridge City, Louisiana	Professional Development Hinars (PDDG) Awarded 3
Autorited Instructor Roberts	Anthonized instructor



Certificate of C	ompletion
Nicole Stev	vart
for completing	the
Traffic Engineering Analysis Module 3	s Process & Report
Date Jamury 15, 2019 Zucation: Baton Rouge, Louisiana	Professional Development Henre (HDH4) Avardad: 3
John Hillore And Strice And	structor Activation Instructor
DOT	
Datawa Colariani I	2







Jonathan Htt. Gambino has met all of the requirements established by the Certification Board to use the title of Road Safety Professional unless withdrawn by the Certification Board and subject to the provisions for renewal. Certificate number 587 issued in Washington, DG, USA 15/2021 Helso, ar F. Strycher Debugar System Char

SDR

Page 101 of 107 Prime Consultant Name: **SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.**



I	onathan Go	атвіпо
	for completing	g the
Traffic Engin	eering Analys Module	sis Process & Report 3
Date: February 26,	, 2019	Professional Development
Location: Bridge City,	Louisiana	Hours (PDHs) Awarded: 3
Joly & Colone	Q. Htt	al your

Page 102 of 107 Prime Consultant Name: **SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.**

Certificate of Completion	Certificate of Completion presented to
Ashley Beckendorf	Ashley Beckendorf
for completing the	for completing the
Traffic Engineering Analysis Process & Report Module 1	Traffic Engineering Analysis Process & Report Module 2
Date: July 30, 2018 Professional Development Location: Baton Rouge, Louisiana Hours (POD(i))Awarded: 2,5	Date: August 6, 2018 Professional Development Location: Baton Rouge, Louisiana Hours (POH6) Awarded
Autoried instructor Ruthorized Instructor Ruthorized instructor	Authorited instructor Authorized Instructor Authorized instructor
DOTD	DOTD
CONTRACA OFFICIAL OF	LOU JINNA DEPARTMENT OF BALACENIAGE I DYLEDWAR

Ashley Becke	ndorf
for completing t	the
Traffic Engineering Analysis Module 3	Process & Report
	Professional Development
Date: October 29, 2018 Location: Baton Rouge, Louisiana	Hours (3417Hs) Awarded:
Date: October 29, 2018 Location: Baton Rouge, Louistana	Hours (3477He) Awanded

Page 103 of 107Prime Consultant Name: SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.

AMERICAN TRAFFIC SAFETY SERVICES ASSOCIATION This is to affirm that	National Highway Institute Certificate of Training ASHLEY BECKENDORF In participation FHWA-NHI-142005 NEPA and the Transportation Decisionmaking Process
ASHLEY BECKENDORF has satisfied the requirements to be designated as a CERTIFIED FLAGGER	LA DOTD/LTRC Date: December 3-5, 2018 Hours of Instruction: 18 Location: Baton Rouge, LA
Venification available by calling 1, 877-642-4637 or at http://www.flagger.com	Allen Schelling Instructor Jack Schelling Instructor Natoria Bigs, Director Valence Bigs, Director Natoria Bigs, Director

<u>21. QA/QC Plan and/or Work Plan:</u>

If the advertisement requires submission of a QA/QC plan or Work plan, include them here. Otherwise, leave this section blank. See QC/QA Plan after Section 23

<u>22.</u> Sub-consultant information: If one or more sub-consultants will be used, provide the name, address, point of contact and phone number for each. Otherwise, leave this section blank.

Firm Name (as registered with Louis State)	siana's Secretary of	Address	Point of Contact and email address	Phone Number
Volkert, Inc.	VOLKERT	7967 Office Park Blvd. Baton Rouge, LA 70809	Janet Evans, PE Jan.evans@volkert.com	(225) 218- 9440
Fort & Tablada, Inc.	FORTE & TABLADA	9107 Interline Avenue Baton Rouge, LA 70809	Bradley Holleman, PLS <u>bholleman@forteandtablada.c</u> <u>om</u>	(225) 927- 9321
Monroe & Corie, Inc.	MONROE & CORIE, INC.	11325 Pennywood Ave. Baton Rouge, LA 70809	William C. Monroe, PE, PLS wcm@monroecorie.com	(225) 293- 1905
Urban Systems, Inc.		2000 Tulane Av., Suite 200 New Orleans, LA 70112	Alison C. Michel acmichel@urbansystems.com	(504) 569-3958
23. Location:

If location is an evaluation criterion for this advertisement and the prime consultant intends to establish a local presence, describe the plan for doing so. Otherwise, leave this section blank.

SDR



CONTRACT NO. 4400023434

US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS

SP NO. H.000445 ROUTE US 190 ST. LANDRY PARISH

QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

<u>REV. 00</u>

Submitted to:

Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development

Submitted by:

Haten selim

Date: 2/9/2022

Hatem Seliem, PhD, PE, PMP (Project Manager) SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.

Approved by:

Date: 2/9/2022

Mohsen Shahawy, PhD, PE (Quality Assurance Manager) SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.

February 2022



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sect.	Secti	ion Title	Page
1.0	Introd	luction	5
1.1	Pro	ject Description	5
1.2	Pro	ject Governing Standards and Criteria	5
1.3	Pro	ject Schedule	6
1.4	Def	inition of Terms	8
2.0	Proje	ct Organization	8
2.1	Pro	ject Team	8
2.2	Tea	m Member QC/QA Responsibilities	10
3.0	Quali	ty Control and Quality Assurance Reviews	11
3.1	Ger	neral	11
3.2	Des	sign Checks and Reviews	13
3	.2.1	Design Review Requirements	13
3	.2.2	Structure Design Quality Process	13
3	.2.3	Situation & Layout (S&L) Check	16
3	.2.4	60% Design Review	16
3	.2.5	CADD Standards Check	16
3	.2.6	Final Design Check	16
3	.2.7	LADOTD Oversight Reviews	17
3	.2.8	Design Approvals	17
3	.2.9	Final Design QA Review	18
4.0	QC C	hecking Procedures	19
4.1	Che	ecking of Documents	19
4.2	Che	ecking of Drawings	23
4.3	Che	ecking of Calculations	27
4.4	60%	6 Design Review	29
4.5	CA	DD Standards Drawing Check	31
4.6	Che	ecking Structure Drawings	32
4	.6.1	Completing the Drawing	32
4	.6.2	Checking	32
4	.6.3	Back Checking	33
4	.6.4	Correcting the Drawing Original	33
4	.6.5	Verifying the Corrected Check Print	33



4.	.6.6	Disposition of the Checked Drawing	.34
4.	.6.7	Additional Changes or Corrections	.34
4.	.6.8	Preparing Technical Special Provision	.34
4.	.6.9	Engineer's Estimate	.34
4.	.6.10	Measurement and Payment Specification	.35
4.7	QC	for Electronic Delivery	.35
4.8	Res	olution of Technical Differences	.35
5.0	Docur	mentation of Comments/Responses and Quality	.35
5.1	Doc	umentation of Comments and Responses	.35
5.2	Qua	ality Assurance Records	.36
6.0	CONT	ROL OF SUBCONSULTANT QC PROCESS	.36
7.0	Qualit	ty Records and Audits	.37
7.1	Qua	ality Records	.37
7.2	Inte	rnal Quality Audits	.37



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Quality Control Plan

List of Appendices

- Appendix A STRUCTURES SITUATION & LAYOUT DESIGN APPROVAL
- Appendix B 60% DESIGN REVIEW CHECKLIST
- Appendix C CADD STANDARDS CHECKLIST
- Appendix D FINAL DESIGN QA REVIEW CHECKLIST
- Appendix E DESIGN CALCULATIONS CHECKLIST
- Appendix F STRUCTURE DESIGN CERTIFICATION FOR DESIGN CALCULATIONS
- Appendix G STRUCTURES COMMENTS AND RESOLUTION SHEET
- Appendix H SAMPLE CERTIFICATIONS
 - STRUCTURES DESIGN CERTIFICATION FOR DESIGN PLANS
 - STRUCTURES FINAL DESIGN APPROVAL
 - CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Appendix I QC/QA FORMS FROM LADOTD BDEM

- DESIGN CRITERIA CHECKLIST
- FINAL CALCULATION BOOK CHECKLIST
- QC/QA CERTIFICATION
- CONSULTANT SUBMITTAL QC/QA CERTIFICATION



1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Quality Control Plan (QCP) for the Replacement of two (2) bridges crossing the MO Pacific Railroad (MPRR) and two (2) bridges crossing Little Teche Bayou near Opelousas. The QCP has been prepared in accordance with LADOTD Bridge Design and Evaluation Manual (BDEM); Part I – Policies and Procedures; Chapter 3 – Policy for Quality Control and Quality Assurance (formerly Bridge Design Technical Memorandum No. 37 (BDTM.37)). SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc. (SDR) is committed to delivering services of the highest quality that conforms to the most current quality control standards.

This QCP details the proposed methods of controlling and assuring quality on all work products. It also includes project team organization, methods for documentation of comments and responses and record keeping of the project. This QCP clearly defines the role and responsibility of each person involved with the project.

The QCP will be updated throughout the duration of the project as and when it becomes necessary due to change in staffs or scope of the work.

1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

SDR Team shall provide all necessary engineering and related services required for design and developing plans for the replacement of MO Pacific Railroad (MPRR) and two bridges crossing Little Teche Bayou near Opelousas in St. Landry Parish, District 03. The project number, recall numbers, and details of the four (4) bridges are as follows:

SN	Project No.	Recall No.	Route	Crossing	Parish		
1		007490		MP RR			
2	H 000445	007500	115 190		St Landry		
3	11.000440	007530	00100	Little Teche Bayou	St. Landry		
4		007540					

The services to be provided for replacing the four (4) bridges are as follows:

- Topographic Survey
- Property Survey
- Right of Way Maps
- Hydraulic Design and Drainage
- Roadway Design
- Bridge Design
- Construction Support Services (if required, supplemental agreement)

1.2 PROJECT GOVERNING STANDARDS AND CRITERIA

The Scope of Services requires that this contract shall be in compliance with the following standards, manual, specifications as applicable to the required services:



AASHTO

- LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, 9th Edition (2020)
- Manual for Bridge Evaluation, 3rd Edition (2018)
- Manual for Bridge Element Inspection, 2nd Edition (2019)
- A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 7th Edition (2018)
- Roadside Design Guide, 4th Edition (2015)
- Roadway Lighting design Guide, 7th Edition (2018)
- LRFD Specifications for Structural Supports for Highway Signs, Luminaires, and Traffic Signal, 1st Edition (2020 interim revisions)

Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development

- Project Delivery Manual (2013)
- Bridge Design and Evaluation Manual, Revision No. 9 (2019)
- Bridge design Technical Memorandum, as applicable
- Engineering Directives and Standards Manual, as applicable
- Hydraulics Manual (2011)
- A Guide to Construction, Operating, and Maintaining Highway Lighting Systems (2017)
- Louisiana Standard Specifications for Roads and Bridges (2016)
- Real Estate Operations Manual (2018)

FHWA

- Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 18, Evaluating Scour at Bridges, 5th Edition (2012)
- Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, 2009 Edition

1.3 PROJECT SCHEDULE

Services will commence upon receipt of the Notice-to-Proceed (NTP) and as directed by the Department's Project Manager. A detailed schedule will be prepared and submitted to LADOTD PM upon award of the project. The schedule will reflect the dates for each submittal and will include all the tasks for coordination as well as DOTD review.

Our team is prepared to compress this schedule by fast-tracking to accelerate the plans development process without sacrificing quality. Our survey team is prepared to add additional crews as needed to accelerate delivery and all other members are prepared for accelerated delivery if required. Work on all four bridges will run concurrently and we do recognize the budgeting process and the immediate need to meet a certain delivery date. Our teamwork load will allow us to meet any accelerated delivery date as deemed appropriate by the DOTD PM. A proposed time schedule is shown below.

Design, calculation book, and load rating calculation will be submitted in PDF format along with the 100% Final Plans in accordance with "*Consultant Submittal Review Checklist*" (Appendix K of LADOTD BDEM).



	US 190: UF	PRR	0\	/E	RP/	ASS	NE	EAR	OP	EL	OU;	SAS	S_P	RO	JE	CTE	ED S	SCH	HED	UL	Е							
	Task / Month		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
1.0	KICKOFF MEETING & SITE VISIT	1																		1								
2.0	DOCUMENT REVIEW	2	2 N	lon			R			-		å																
3.0	RAILROAD COORDINATION							12 M	onth	IS																		
3.1	Agreements	3																										
3.2	Demolition & Removal Plans	4																										
3.3	Construction Sequence	6																										
3.4	Review & Approval	4																										
4 .0	SURVEY									1	18 M	onth	າຣ						-									
4.1	Topographic Survey	3																										
4.2	Property Survey W Title Take-Off	3																										
4.3	Base R/W Maps	2																										
4.4	Final R/W	2																										
5.0	HYDRAULIC & DRAINAGE							12 M	onth	IS																		
5.1	Hydraulic Analysis	2																										
5.2	Hydruaic Design	4																										
5.4	Drainage Maps	3																										
6.0	PRELIM PLANS							12 M	onth	S																		
6.1	Design Critria	1																										
6.2	30% Prelim Plans	4																										
6.3	60% Prelim Plans	4																										
6.4	90% Prelim Plans	3																										
6.5	100% Prelim Plans	1																										
7.0	FINAL PLANS																			1	4 M	onth	S					
7.1	30% Final Plans	4																										
7.1	60% Final Plans	4																										
7.2	90% Final Plans	4																							_			
7.3	98% Final Plans	2																										
7.4	100% Final Plans	2								1												1						
			W	OR	K P/	CKA	GE		AC	TIVIT	Y/DI	ELIV	ERA	BLE		DO	TD F	REVI	EW									



1.4 DEFINITION OF TERMS

The use of the terms *quality control (QC)* and *quality assurance (QA)* within the QCP have the following meanings:

- <u>Quality Control</u> refers to actions, procedures, and methods that are routinely employed at the production and administrative levels, and under the jurisdiction of the Project Manager (PM), to produce the desired result of quality professional services.
- <u>Quality Assurance</u> refers to actions, procedures, and methods employed at the management and senior technical levels to verify that prudent quality control procedures are in place, are being followed, and that the desired result of quality professional services is being achieved.

2.0 PROJECT ORGANIZATION

2.1 PROJECT TEAM

SDR Team members and the area of service to be provided by each member is as follows:

Consultant	Area of Service
SDR: SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Bridge Design and Project Management
Volkert, Inc. VOLKERT	Roadway
Monroe & Corie, Inc.	Hydraulic and Drainage
Fort & Tablada, Inc.	Topographic and Property Survey
Urban Systems, Inc.	Traffic

Figure 1 shows the organizational structure of the team. Each member of the team shares the responsibility of ensuring that quality professional services are being achieved.





Figure 1: Organizational Chart



2.2 TEAM MEMBER QC/QA RESPONSIBILITIES

The main functions of key staff classifications in relation to quality control and quality assurance are described below.

- <u>Engineering/Planning Technical Staff</u> are responsible for planning and executing assignments so that the work is produced in accordance with the Scope of Services and in the format that LADOTD requests and expects. The most important place to assure quality is at the technical staff production level where the work is planned and executed. This is accomplished by selecting the most experienced and skilled professionals to perform each specific task.
- <u>Project Engineers/Planners/Scientists</u> are highly experienced professionals who are responsible for directing a team of technical staff in performing a specific task of the project. They also establish quality control procedures for their responsible areas and assign quality control functions for their staff. The procedures must conform to QCP.
- Hatem Seliem, PhD, PE, as <u>Project Manager</u>, is responsible for allocating resources to various elements of the work, preparing and implementing the QCP, scheduling the various activities and adjusting the plans as the work progresses to resolve identified potential problem areas in a timely manner. The PM, working together with the respective Project Engineer/Planner/Scientist, identifies the suitable persons/teams to perform QC reviews on each project element/deliverable. The PM is also responsible for maintaining records of all QC and QA reviews in the project files. The PM is also personally responsible for performing a final quality check of all work before it is submitted to LADOTD and ensuring that the procedures outlined in this document have been followed without exception. The PM will maintain communication with LADOTD to assure satisfaction with the project's progress and performance.
- <u>Quality Control Reviewers</u> are persons or teams responsible for performing independent technical reviews on specific project tasks, verifying the quality and technical adequacy of the project deliverables, and assuring their compliance with applicable standards and requirements. The QC Reviewers are not directly involved in the preparation of the documents/plans.
- Mohsen Shahawy, PhD, PE, as <u>Principal-in-Charge</u> (PIC), is responsible for allocating the required resources to perform the project and for monitoring the project to ensure adherence to the contractual terms and the QCP. The PIC provides periodic audits of technical performance of SDR staff. The PIC is also responsible for client interface and obtaining client feedback and input regarding the project and SDR's performance.
- Mohsen Shahawy, PhD, PE, as <u>Quality Assurance Manager</u> (QAM), is responsible for ensuring that all deliverables have entered the QC review process and that adequate time has been allowed to perform a complete QC review. The QAM has the authority to delay the submittal of a deliverable should he/she deem that this deliverable has



not received a satisfactory QC review prior to its submittal. The QAM will not participate in the production of any elements of the project.

3.0 QUALITY CONTROL AND QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEWS

3.1 GENERAL

Prior to submittal, each deliverable will undergo QC and QA reviews consistent with this QCP and LADOTD's quality control requirements. Where applicable, LADOTD's quality control checklists will be used to verify that each deliverable conforms to the current requirements and expectations. Appendix I from the LADOTD BDEM Chapter 3 will be included in every submittal, and Appendix D from the same chapter will be included in the final submittal (see Appendix I in this document for the forms).

The QC reviews of studies, reports, drawings, specifications, calculations, cost estimates, and/or other project- related deliverables will require a minimum of two individuals:

- The deliverable *Author* (for documents) or *Originator* (for plans and calculations). During the QC process, this individual will also function as the *Corrector* and *Back-checker*.
- The QC Reviewer/Checker who will also function as the Verifier.

All QC Reviewers/Checkers/Verifiers will be qualified Engineers/Planners/Scientists who are experienced in the discipline being checked and not actively involved in the preparation of the deliverable. No Author or Originator will perform a formal QC check on his/her own work.

QA reviews will be performed by the QAM.

A checkprint is a copy of a document (report/memorandum), drawing, or calculation in its presubmission form used for the purpose of checking and marking comments, additions, deletions, and corrections. The checkprint is identified as such by being accompanied by a QC form (for documents) or bearing the specific QC stamp (for drawings, calculations).

The checking procedures that will occur during the QC and QA reviews are discussed in the next section.



I				<u> </u>	Γ	<u> </u>	 Γ	<u> </u>	-	 	 	
	Anticipated Submittal Date		xx/xx/xx									
ć	Anticipated QA Review Start Date		xx/xx/xx									
ction Schedule	Anticipated QC Review Start Date		xx/xx/xx									
rables and Produ	Responsible QC Reviewer											
Summary of Project Delive	Responsible Engineer/Planner/Scientist Author/Originator	oles							d Deliverables			
	Deliverable	3DR Produced Deliverat							Subconsultant Produced			



3.2 DESIGN CHECKS AND REVIEWS

3.2.1 Design Review Requirements

Structure designs and drawings are subject to design and detailing reviews in accordance with the following table:

	DESI	GN REV	VIEW RE	QUIREM	1ENTS			
			95 V	REVIEW	w T ype			
	Situat Layout	TION & CHECK	60% Review	CADD Stds Check	Final	Design	Снеск	Final QA Review
Structure Type	DESIGN	Drwg	DESIGN	Drwg	DESIGN	Drwg	Specs & Est	All Docs
Bridge	X	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	X	X
Drainage Structures	Х	X		X	Х	X	X	X
Retaining Wall	Х	X		X	Х	X	X	X
Overhead Signs			X	X	X	X	X	X
Bridge Widening	X	Х	X	Х	X	X	X	X
Structure Repair			X	X	Х	Х	X	X
Sound Wall *		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Structural Barriers *			X	X	X	X	X	X

* Applies only to non-standard sound walls and structural barriers not covered by LADOTD standard drawings.

3.2.2 Structure Design Quality Process

The following chart illustrates the structure design quality process proposed for this project.



Figure 2: Preliminary and Final Design Quality Process





CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Q	COLOR CODE
REM	EMBER TO USE SIGN-OFF STAMP!
ORIGINATOR (DESIGNER)	Typed Text, Blueline Prints, Calculations, Black/White Copy NOT IN: <u>RED</u> , <u>YELLOW</u> , OR <u>GREEN</u>
CHECKER (OTHER THAN DESIGNER)	Yellow for Correct Red for "Corrections" "Additions or Deletions" changes Use blue for notes to Originator
BACKCHECKER (DESIGNER)	Green Check Mark for Agreement "Corections" Green Stet and Crossout when it is agreed "No Changes" Ok "Additions or Deletions" changes
UPDATER (ORIGINATOR/ DRAFTER)	Green Encirclement when Updated
RECHECKER (OTHER THAN DESIGNER)	Yellow over Red and Green to indicate updated correctly
REVIEWERS (NOT INVOLVED IN ACTIVIITES LISTED ABOVE)	Insures QC process was followed. Comments in Blue identified by initials and dates.



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Quality Control Plan

3.2.3 Situation & Layout (S&L) Check

The Situation & Layout Sheet(s) define(s) the general concept and geometry of the structure. The Situation & Layout Sheet(s) must be completed, checked, corrected and approved before the Designer begins the final design of the structure. The conceptual design of the structure is checked including the geometric layout, structure type, span length, support locations, girder type and spacing, horizontal and vertical clearances, expansion joints locations, aesthetic requirements, potential utility conflicts, context sensitivity, preliminary seismic strategy, and other items appropriate for the bridge under consideration.

The drawings are checked to verify compliance with the *Situation and Layout Detailing Checklist*. The checklist is completed by the Checker and becomes part of the QC documentation for the structure. The drawings are checked for agreement with the most current roadway drawings. Issues and discrepancies with the roadway information are identified and resolved prior to completing the S&L Check. The S&L drawings must detail any aesthetic and environmental requirements related to the structure as outlined in the appropriate documents.

After the Situation & Layout drawings are checked and issued, the roadway designer will immediately communicate any roadway changes to the bridge designer to prevent unnecessary re-design.

See Appendix A for "STRUCTURES SITUATION & LAYOUT DESIGN APPROVAL" Form.

3.2.4 60% Design Review

At or near 60% completion, structure plan sets are reviewed to verify concept and scope. This is not a detailed design or drawing check, but a review to validate the design direction and ensure that the design scope and intent on all project design criteria and requirements are being met. This review provides an opportunity to make changes in the design before it progresses to the point where design changes become prohibitive.

See Appendix B for a sample of the **60% DESIGN REVIEW CHECKLIST**.

3.2.5 CADD Standards Check

All drawings will be checked to verify compliance with correct drafting practices and CADD Standards. The CADD Standards Check occurs prior to the drawing QC check. If the drawing does not meet CADD Standards, it will be corrected before proceeding with the drawing QC check. The CADD Standards Check will be completed by a Senior Design Technician.

See Appendix C for a sample of the CADD STANDARDS CHECKLIST

3.2.6 Final Design Check

During the Final Design Review, all drawings are thoroughly checked as a complete package. Although previously checked, the latest S&L is once again checked during the Final Design Review to ensure compliance with the latest roadway plans and to verify that information and details not available at the S&L phase are included.



The entire set of design documents (plans, calculations, specifications, engineer's estimate, etc.) will be checked. All checking will be completed before the PS&E package is submitted. Changes to the design package after the QC process is complete will be checked by the same procedures.

See Appendix D for FINAL DESIGN QA REVIEW CHECKLIST.

3.2.7 LADOTD Oversight Reviews

LADOTD oversight reviews occur at the following design stages:

- 1. Preliminary Design Review
- 2. 60% Design Review
- 3. 95% Design Review
- 4. 98% Design Review
- 5. Review of Final Design (may occur in conjunction with the PS&E Review).

The LADOTD Structures Oversight Engineer assigned to the project may request additional oversight reviews if deemed necessary.

3.2.8 Design Approvals

Design approvals are required at specific design milestones.

DES	IGN APPROVAL REQUIREME.	NTS
	APPROVA	l Type
Structure Type	SITUATION & LAYOUT	FINAL DESIGN
Bridge	Х	X
Drainage Structures	Х	Х
Retaining Wall	Х	Х
Overhead Sign Structure		X
Bridge Widening	Х	X
Structure Repair		X
Sound Wall*		X
Structural Barrier*		X

*Applies only to non-standard sound walls and structural barriers not covered by LADOTD standard drawings.



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Quality Control Plan

3.2.9 Final Design QA Review

The Final Design QA Review is completed by SDR QCM or his/her designee. The Final QA Review takes place after the PS&E Review and just prior to the Final Design Approval. The submittal includes all design documents as indicated on the Final Design QA Review Checklist. The following components are the subject of the final QC/QA checks:

- 1. Completed structure plan set (signed and sealed)
- 2. Specifications (Special Provisions)
- 3. Engineer's estimate
- 4. Design Certification Forms (Complete for Final Design)
- 5. Design Criteria Summary
- 6. Approved Design Exceptions
- 7. Computer Design Software List
- 8. Bridge Load Rating Report
- 9. Previous review comments with responses and final dispositions
- 10. Design Calculations
- 11. Independent Review Checklist, Letter Report and Calculations (when required)
- 12. Any other final design documents and reports, as appropriate

See Appendix D for the FINAL DESIGN QA REVIEW CHECKLIST.



4.0 QC CHECKING PROCEDURES

4.1 CHECKING OF DOCUMENTS

Each document developed for the project will undergo two QC reviews. Figure 3 provides a flow diagram of the QC and QA review processes for documents. The first QC review will be a technical review to check the technical accuracy of the document's content and its compliance with applicable guidelines, procedures, regulations, and standards. All review revisions will be completed prior to proceeding with the second QC review. The second QC review will occur on a clean copy of the revised document after the technical QC review is completed and is intended to check for spelling, grammar, formatting, readability, and consistency.

Upon completion of the document, the responsible Project Engineer/Planner/Scientist (Author) will initiate the technical and editorial QC reviews by filling out the top two sections and the "name" column of the third section of the QC form shown in Figure 4.

For both reviews, comments/corrections will be marked by the QC Reviewers on the checkprints in red. The QC Reviewers will initial the bottom right corner of each page of the checkprint. Upon completion of the reviews, the QC Reviewers will sign and date the QC form and return it along with the marked-up checkprint to the Author. The Author will confirm the corrections/comments, consult with the appropriate person(s) to resolve conflicts, and revise the document accordingly. Acting as the Corrector and/or the Back-checker, the Author will revise the document in accordance with the comments. Comments that are addressed, as suggested by the QC Reviewer, will be highlighted by the Author on the checkprint in yellow. Comments that, after discussion with the QC Reviewer, are deemed no longer valid, will be crossed by the Author in green on the marked-up document along with a brief note explaining the reason.

The Author will initial and date the QC form and return it along with the checkprint and a revised document to the QC Reviewer who, acting as the Verifier, will confirm with green check marks on the checkprint that each comment is addressed satisfactorily. Should any comments be improperly addressed, the QC Reviewer will return both documents to the Author for additional changes. When the QC Reviewer is satisfied with all corrections, he/she will initial and date the QC form and return it to the Author with the documents. This action completes the document's QC review process. At the end of this process, all comments on the checkprint must be either highlighted in yellow or crossed in green; each comment must bear the QC Reviewer's green check mark.

At the closing of the QC review process, the Author will deliver to the PM a clean copy of the submittal document, the technical review and editorial review checkprints, and the QC form. The PM will inspect the checkprints and submittal document to verify that the process has been adequately followed, all comments were properly addressed, and the deliverable meets LADOTD's expectations. After completing this inspection, the PM will initial and date the QC form and prepare and sign the Certificate of Compliance (see Appendix H). The PM will



forward the checkprints, the submittal document, the completed QC form, and the signed Certificate of Compliance to the QAM.

As a final check, the QAM will verify that the QC process has been followed by reviewing the checkprints, the QC form, and the submittal document. If the QAM finds that the process was not adequately followed, he/she will return the documents to the PM with instructions for completing the missing elements. If the QAM is satisfied that the process has been followed, he/she will sign the Certificate of Compliance and return it along with the submittal document to the PM for delivery to LADOTD.

After completion of the QC and QA review process, the PM will archive the technical and editorial QC review checkprints, the QC form, a record copy of the submitted document, and a copy of the Certificate of Compliance in the project's QC files for record keeping. An electronic file of these items will also be created and saved in the QC folder of the project's directory.





Figure 3: Document Quality Control and Quality Assurance Process



Figure 4: Quality Control Form for Documents

DOCUMENT QUALITY CONTROL FORM

Labor Charge Number	Project #	Task #	
Budget/Hours		Due Date	

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name	
Document Title	
Document Date	
Electronic File Name	
File Number	
Document Author(s)	
Project Manager	

TECHNICAL/EDITORIAL REVIEW

			NAME	INITIALS	DATE
AL	Primary Review (red=correction)	TR			
EV	Revised (yellow over red)	Α			
REVI	Revisions Reviewed (green check=OK green circle = additional correction)	TR			

EDITORIAL REVIEW	Primary Review (red=correction)	ER		
	Revised (yellow over red)	Α		
	Revisions Reviewed (green check on revision=OK green circle = additional correction)	ER		
PM Approval				
QAM Approval				

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWER (IF ANY)

A = Author, Responsible for following document through process TR = Technical Reviewer ER = Editorial Reviewer

PM = Project Manager QAM= Quality Assurance Manager



4.2 CHECKING OF DRAWINGS

Drawings are prepared by teams of staff, under the direction of Project Engineers/ Planners/Scientists assigned by the PM. The drawings are developed progressively by an iterative process using provided sources of information, such as reports, record data, preliminary sketches, samples, and workups, in conformance with the requirements, design criteria, and standards and guidelines provided by LADOTD. Before a drawing is considered as a completed deliverable, it will be independently checked by a qualified QC Reviewer for:

- Conformance with the design criteria and project requirements.
- Completeness and clarity.
- Coordination with other aspects of the project, i.e., structural, civil, traffic, right-of-way, etc., and with other associated project documents.
- Compatibility of notes and references.
- CADD standards, graphic standards, and proper plans preparation practice.
- Coordination with adjacent projects.

The checking process used for drawings is described below and shown in Figure 5. The first formal issue of a drawing is the checkprint and is routed by its Originator (the responsible Project Engineer/Planner/Scientist) to the assigned QC Reviewer(s)/Checker(s). Multiple copies of checkprints may be routed to several QC Reviewers/Checkers of different departments with interfacing project responsibilities. The Originator will place the QC stamp, shown in Figure 6, on the first page/sheet of the checkprint and fill in the first line. The QC Reviewers/Checkers will inspect the project drawings to determine if they meet the objectives of the task and are complete, accurate, and suitable for their intended use.



Figure 5: Drawing and Calculations Quality Control and Quality Assurance Process





(Ready for Checking)	Signature	Date				
Originator						
-		29				
No	NoDate					
CHECKPRINT						
Dwg. Chec calc. chec	Dwg. Checked against calcs. and calc. check confirmed.					
Ву	Date					
Checked	Date					
Backchecke	dDate					
Corrected	Date					
Verified	Date					
QC Process Approved By:						

Figure 6: Drawing and Calculations QC Stamp

All items on the drawing(s) must be marked by the QC Reviewer/Checker to indicate either agreement or disagreement. The following colors will be used:

- Yellow highlight: QC Reviewer/Checker agrees with the drawing or element.
- **Red marking**: area requiring correction.
- Blue/Black marking: relative comments noted by the QC Reviewer/Checker.

As the QC Reviewers/Checkers inspect and mark each drawing, they will initial in the bottom right corner of every page/sheet of the checkprint and will fill in the second line of the QC stamp. Following their review, the QC Reviewers/Checkers will return the checkprints to the Originator.

The Originator, acting as the Back-checker, will inspect and confirm the suggested corrections/comments, consolidate and coordinate comments from different QC



Reviewers/Checkers, and (if needed) consult with the Checkers and other appropriate person(s) to resolve any conflicts. A green check mark will be placed on the checkprint next to the comments that need to be addressed. Comments that are no longer valid, based on discussions between the Originator and the QC Reviewer(s)/Checker(s), will be crossed out with a green "X." A brief explanation will be written in green next to the comment. The QC Reviewer/Checker who made the comment will indicate his/her concurrence by placing a red check mark next to the comment. As the Originator/Back-checker reviews and addresses the comments on each drawing, he/she will also fill-in the third line of the QC stamp.

The Originator, acting as the Corrector, will decide on the proper follow-up actions for each comment and direct the CADD staff to perform the required changes on the CADD files. Once the CADD staff makes the corrections to the original CADD file(s), they will plot a clean set of the revised drawing(s). The Originator/Corrector will verify the corrections and, if satisfied, will mark with a blue circle the QC Reviewer's comment on the checkprint. After this task is completed, the Originator/Corrector will fill in the fourth line of the QC stamp and return both the checkprint and the clean drawing(s) to the QC Reviewer.

The QC Reviewer, acting as the Verifier, will back-check the revised drawing(s) against the checkprint. If the comment has been properly addressed, the QC Reviewer/Verifier will circle the comment in green. If a comment is not satisfactorily addressed and/or has new comments, the QC Reviewer/Verifier will mark the clean drawing and return both sets (revised drawings and original checkprints) to the Originator and the process will be repeated. The newly marked checkprints will be attached to the original checkprint set for record keeping. At the end of this process, all comments on the checkprints will be either circled in blue and green or crossed out in green. After all corrections are acceptably verified by the QC Reviewer/Verifier, he/she will fill in the fifth line of the QC stamp.

At the closing of the QC review process, the Originator will deliver to the PM the checkprint(s) and clean copies of the submittal drawing(s). The PM will inspect the checkprint(s) and submittal drawing(s) to verify that the process has been adequately followed, all comments were properly addressed, and the drawing(s) meet LADOTD's expectations. After completing this inspection, the PM will fill in the sixth line of the QC stamp and prepare and sign the Certificate of Compliance. The PM will forward the checkprint(s), the submittal drawing(s), and the signed Certificate of Compliance to the QAM.

As a final check, the QAM will verify that the QC review process has been followed by inspecting the checkprint, the QC stamp, and the submittal drawing(s). If he/she is satisfied that the process has been followed, the QAM will also sign the Certificate of Compliance, which will be submitted by the PM to LADOTD with the submittal drawing(s). If the QAM finds that the process was not adequately followed, he/she will return the drawing(s) to the PM with instructions for completing the missing elements.

After completion of the QC and QA processes, the PM will archive the checkprint(s), a record copy of the submitted drawings, and a copy of the Certificate of Compliance in the project's



QC files for record keeping. An electronic file of these items will also be created and saved in the QC folder of the project's directory.

4.3 CHECKING OF CALCULATIONS

Calculations can be either manual or computer generated printouts. Manual calculations will be prepared in pencil on the standard SDR computation sheets, shown in Figure 7. A calculation may also include supporting information – such as forms, charts, graphs, data sheets, and others, which must be attached to the computation sheets. Assumptions upon which calculations are based will be stated in the calculations. Assumptions with limited application should immediately precede the calculations to which they apply.



Figure 7: SDR Manual Computation Sheet

The Designer is responsible for creating and maintaining the design calculations for the assigned structure in a neat and logical manner which is conducive to checking and in accordance with this policy.

- 1. Title page with Structure Drawing Number, Structure Name, Design Calculations title, and Designers names.
- 2. Table of Contents.
- 3. Design Criteria Summary (include a list of applicable AASHTO and LADOTD design specifications used in the design).
- 4. Design Criteria Exceptions (a list of any deviations from the project design criteria, along with the approval documentation).
- 5. List of computer programs and spreadsheets used in the design.
- 6. Completed Design & Detailing Progress Form.
- 7. Use standard letter-sized paper for computer generated calculations.



- 8. Number all pages with a numbering scheme that covers the entire set of calculations.
- 9. Identify the appropriate code references in the right hand column of the calculations.
- 10. Reference computer programs and indicate appropriate code section. Computer documentation includes: name of program, vendor, version, and release date.
- 11. Include Bridge Type Selection Report and Seismic Strategy Report.
- 12. Bridge Load Rating Report and Calculations.

After completion of his/her task, the Originator (the designer/analyst responsible for the calculations) will create a checkprint consisting of copies of all computation sheets, computer printouts and any other related support attachments. He/she will place the QC stamp, shown in Figure 6, on the first page of the checkprint, or, if necessary, on the back of the first page to avoid clutter, and will fill in the first line of the QC stamp.

The Originator will review the data and the Scope of Services with the assigned QC Reviewer/Checker. The Originator will provide the QC Reviewer/Checker with design criteria, copies of pertinent information, and related documents and calculations.

The QC review will include verification of the introductory material on the calculation sheet, the assumptions, and the calculations. The QC Reviewer/Checker will verify that all information is appropriate, correct, complete, consistent, legible, and reproducible. The QC Reviewer/Checker will mark all items on the calculation sheets and all printouts to indicate his/her agreement or disagreement and initial the bottom right corner of each inspected page/sheet. The following is the color code to be used for marking calculations:

- Yellow highlight: QC Reviewer/Checker agrees with the calculation, assumption, etc.
- **Red marking**: calculation, assumption, etc., requiring correction.
- **Black marking**: relative comments noted by the QC Reviewer/Checker.

Following his/her review, the QC Reviewer/Checker will fill in the second line of the QC stamp and return the checkprint to the calculations Originator. The Originator, acting as the Backchecker, will inspect and confirm the suggested corrections/comments, consolidate and coordinate comments from different QC Reviewers/Checkers, and (if needed) consult with the QC Reviewers/Checkers and other appropriate person(s) to resolve any conflicts. Green check marks will be placed on the checkprint next to the comments that need to be addressed. Comments that are no longer valid, based on discussions between the Originator and the QC Reviewer(s)/Checker(s), will be crossed out with a green "X" and a brief explanation will be written in green next to the comment. After completion of this task, the Originator/Backchecker will fill in the third line of the QC stamp.

The Originator, acting as the Corrector, will make the necessary revisions to the calculation sheets and/or printouts to address the comments. As each comment is addressed, the Originator/Corrector circles the comment in blue on the checkprint. After completion of this task, the Originator/Corrector will fill in the fourth line of the QC stamp and print a revised,



clean calculations set. Both the checkprint and the clean calculations set will be then returned to the QC Reviewer(s).

The QC Reviewer(s), acting as the Verifier(s), will compare the revised calculations set against the original checkprint. If he/she finds that the comment has been properly addressed, the QC Reviewer/Verifier will circle the comment in green on the original checkprint. If he/she finds that a comment is not satisfactorily addressed and/or has new comments, the QC Reviewer/Verifier will mark the revised calculations set, return both sets to the Originator, and the process will be repeated. The newly marked checkprints will be attached to the original checkprint set for record keeping. At the end of this process, all comments on the checkprints will be either circled in green and blue or crossed out in green. After all corrections are acceptably verified by the QC Reviewer/Verifier, he/she will fill in the fifth line of the QC stamp.

At the closing of the QC review process, the Originator will deliver to the PM the checkprint(s) and a clean copy of the submittal calculations. The PM will inspect the checkprint(s) and submittal calculations to verify that the QC process has been adequately followed, all comments were properly addressed, and the calculations meet LADOTD's expectations. After completing this inspection, the PM will fill in the sixth line of the QC stamp and prepare and sign the Certificate of Compliance. The PM will forward the checkprint(s), the submittal calculations, and the signed Certificate of Compliance to the QAM.

As a final check, the QAM will verify that the QC review process has been followed by inspecting the checkprint, the QC stamp, and the submittal calculations set. If he/she is satisfied that the process has been followed, the QAM will also sign the Certificate of Compliance, which will be submitted by the PM to LADOTD with the submittal calculations. If the QAM finds that the process was not adequately followed, he/she will return the checkprint and final calculations set to the PM with instructions for completing the missing elements.

After completion of the QC and QA processes, the PM will archive the checkprint(s), a record copy of the submitted calculations, and a copy of the Certificate of Compliance in the project's QC files for record keeping. An electronic file of these items will also be created and saved in the QC folder of the project's directory.

See Appendix E for the **DESIGN CALCULATIONS CHECKLIST** and also Appendix F for **STRUCTURE DESIGN CERTIFICATION FOR DESIGN CALCULATIONS.**

4.4 60% DESIGN REVIEW

When the structure drawings have progressed to the point where the design of major structure elements are adequately illustrated (at or near 60% completion), the Senior Design Engineer will conduct a 60% Design Review. The purpose of this review is to identify any design flaws that will significantly affect the design before significant work effort is performed to the point where it becomes prohibitive to modify the design. The 60% Design Review is not a detailed check of the design or the drawings, but a check of the general concept and functionality of the structure. This review occurs prior to the final drawing check. Scheduling the 60% Design Review as early in the design process as possible will improve the opportunity to incorporate



quality, efficiency, and economics into the design without significant redesign. This review may also identify structural elements that require special design procedures. For unusual or complicated structures, the Senior Design Engineer may organize a review team to participate in this review. The review team may include representatives from the LADOTD bridge group, inspection group, construction, maintenance, and other design disciplines.

The Reviewer will check the design for constructability, maintainability, inspectability, and that the standard details are correctly applied. The Reviewer will verify that the design matches the project criteria and scope, and that all aesthetic and environmental requirements are being incorporated into the structure. The final seismic strategy report will also be reviewed.

60% REVIEW Plan Submittal Content						
Bridge	OVERHEAD SIGN STRUCTURE	Other Structure Types				
Situation & Layout Soil Data Sheets Pile Details Foundation Plan Abutment Details Bent Details Framing Plan Girder Details Camber Diagrams Diaphragms / Cross-frames Bearings Post-Tensioning and/or Prestressing Details Deck Details Screed Elevations Parapet Details Other Major Element Details	Sign Location Sign Panel Geometry Sign Support Geometry Roadway Typical Sections Foundations	All Details (unchecked)				

The 60% Design Review submittal will typically include the following unchecked drawings:

The 60% Design Review includes the following elements:

- 1. Standard Details. Verify that standard details are used appropriately. Ensure that any nonstandard details are appropriate.
- 2. **Constructability.** Check the plans for problems that would impact construction. Identify details that would require the Contractor to do the impossible or near impossible. Identify details or construction sequencing that can be modified to improve constructability without impacting the quality or design life of the completed structure.
- 3. **Inspectability.** Verify that all major components of the completed structure are accessible for inspection.



- 4. **Maintainability.** Check the major elements of the bridge for built-in problems that would impact bridge maintenance. For instance, verify that the deck drains will not soak the girders or substructure. Identify potential problems that can be avoided.
- 5. **Compatibility** with the surrounding environment and adjacent project elements, compliance with project aesthetics and environmental requirements, etc.
- 6. **Significant Design Flaws.** Identify any design flaws that will significantly affect the design and construction.

Each Reviewer fills out and signs the 60% Design Review Checklist and gives it to the Designer who places a copy with the QC documentation and a copy in the design calculations.

See Appendix B for 60% DESIGN REVIEW CHECKLIST.

4.5 CADD STANDARDS DRAWING CHECK

The Originator of the work has the primary responsibility for compliance with LADOTD Structures CADD Standards. The Originator should not rely upon the checking process to find and correct his/her mistakes.

All completed drawings will be checked to verify compliance with correct drafting practices and LADOTD CADD Standards. This will provide consistency of structures plan drawings and ensure that proper CADD procedures are followed. The CADD Standards Check occurs prior to the drawing QC check. If the drawing does not meet CADD Standards, it will be corrected before proceeding with the drawing QC check.

The CADD Standards Drawing Check is completed by a Senior Design Technician, as assigned by the Senior Design Engineer, to check MicroStation data for compliance to Standards and Procedures. This check is performed on all files that will be released for construction.

The CADD Standards Check will check for the following:

- 1. All CADD contract drawings are produced in the current MicroStation format according to LADOTD CADD Standards.
- 2. Proper file naming conventions are followed. File names reflect the nature of their contents.
- 3. Correct line styles are used and are on the correct levels. Correct text size and type are used.
- 4. All details are drawn to scale.
- 5. Reference files (stored as read only) are used, as opposed to the copying of existing data (as appropriate).
- 6. Correct seed files or libraries of standard features/details (stored as read only) are used. No changes to an existing approved standard may be made without the approval of the Senior Design Engineer.



- 7. All CADD-produced prints will automatically produce the date, time and filename printed outside the left border.
- 8. When the CADD Standards Check is complete, fill out and sign the CADD Standards Drawing Review Checklist. The checklist will be kept with the QC documentation for the structure. Also, place a copy in the Design Calculations.

See Appendix C for CADD STANDARDS CHECKLIST.

4.6 CHECKING STRUCTURE DRAWINGS

The checking of structure drawings requires a minimum of two individuals: a checker/ verifier, and an originator/back checker. In most cases, the Originators of the drawing are the Designer and the Detailer. The Checker/Verifier is a designer not involved in the original design. Do not begin the final drawing check until the design calculations are complete and checked and the CADD Standards Check is complete.

4.6.1 Completing the Drawing

A drawing is considered complete and ready for checking when the Originator certifies that the content is complete and accurate and that the detailing and CADD work follow all applicable standards. The Originator is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the drawing, and should not rely on the checking process to correct errors in content and format.

As each drawing is completed in final format and deemed ready for checking, the Originator initials the title block of the drawing, makes a Check Print copy, affixes numbers, and dates the Check Print stamp on the print of each drawing. When all drawings for the structure are complete and stamped, the Designer gives them as a complete package to the Checker.

4.6.2 Checking

The Checker checks the Check Prints of the drawings for completeness, consistency throughout the plan set, technical adequacy and conformance to any applicable standards and format, and performs specific accuracy checks required for that type of drawing. In cases where individual drawings are checked (not as a complete plan set), the Checker must check the completed plan set for completeness and consistency between drawings before the checking is considered to be complete.

The Checker checks each drawing to ensure that it accurately represents the design as described in the corresponding design calculations, and verifies that those calculations have been properly checked. This includes the checking of quantities. The Checker should not proceed with the drawing check unless the calculations have been checked. The Checker documents the checking process by highlighting in **yellow** on the Check Print each part checked that is found to be correct, and marking in **red** on the Check Print any required corrections, additions, or deletions.

NOTE: Red or yellow should not be used to note comments or instructions. These colors are reserved for the checking process. Write comments or instructions in blue.



The Checker will check all details on the drawing for adequacy, completeness, correctness, clarity, appropriate proportions, and proper dimensioning. All text and notes will be checked for correctness and applicability. The quantity subtotals and totals will be checked. The completed check print will show that all drawing elements (details, text, notes, etc.), without exception, have been checked by being marked either in yellow or red. The Checker will also compare the details and information on each sheet with the same or similar information on other sheets to ensure that there are no conflicts and that all elements fit together properly.

When the checking is complete, the Checker signs and dates the Check Print stamp and returns it to the Originator.

NOTE: In the case where no corrections, additions or deletions are found, there is no need for back checking or further signatures on the Check Print stamp. The Check Print and original drawing, signed in the appropriate checked block, is returned to the Originator for placement in the project file.

4.6.3 Back Checking

The Originator (acting as Back Checker) reviews the Checker's marks on the Check Print and personally makes or supervises the update of the Drawing Original. To document the back checking process, the Originator:

- a. Check marks in **green** each of the Checker's red-marked changes if in agreement that the Original should be changed, and adds in **green**, with the concurrence of the Checker, any additional changes not picked up by the Checker.
- b. Crosses out and marks OK in **green** each of the Checker's red-marked changes that both the Originator and the Checker agree should not be changed. The Back Checker should not obliterate the Checker's marks.

NOTE: The Back Checker and Checker should resolve differences encountered during the checking process so they are not repeated over and over again. If resolution cannot be achieved by the two individuals, the Senior Design Engineer should be requested to resolve the differences.

c. Signs and dates the Check Print stamp.

4.6.4 Correcting the Drawing Original

The Drawing Original is corrected by the Detailer under the supervision of the Designer (Originator). As Check Print corrections are made to the Drawing Original, the person making the changes circles in **green** each correction as incorporated. When all corrections are complete, the person correcting the drawing signs and dates the Check Print stamp.

4.6.5 Verifying the Corrected Check Print

The Verifier (usually the Checker) verifies the corrected drawing against the Check Print to assure that the agreed-upon corrections have been incorporated without error.



If the corrections are not made or are made incorrectly, the Check Print with penciled instructions is returned to the corrector. The Verifier marks in **yellow** each green-circled item after reviewing its incorporation on the Original Drawing. At the conclusion of the checking process, everything on the drawing should be marked in yellow.

The Verifier signs and dates the Check Print stamp, as applicable.

After the corrections have been verified, the Checker initials the "Checked by" block on the title block of the Drawing Original.

At the completion of the QC Review, all check boxes in the drawing title block should be initialed. The Design and Detailing Progress form will also be completely filled out (hand initialed) at this time.

To complete the checking process, the Designer of Record fills out the project information on the Design Certification for Design Plans form and seals and signs the Design Certification section. The Checker seals and signs the Design QC Certification section.

4.6.6 Disposition of the Checked Drawing

The completed original (or CADD file) is placed under the control of the Senior Design Engineer to prevent further changes to the drawing that could invalidate the checking which has been done.

4.6.7 Additional Changes or Corrections

When a change is made to a checked drawing, a new Check Print must be made to check the area that has been changed. The Check Print is stamped and labeled Check Print 2, 3, 4, etc., as applicable, and attached to the previous check print(s). The checking follows the same procedure as that of the original Check Print, except that only the portions that changed are marked up as having been checked.

4.6.8 Preparing Technical Special Provision

Specifications define work items that are not and/or cannot be defined completely in the plans. For the purpose of this document, the term *specification* refers to a *Special Provision*.

A qualified engineer/designer composes and drafts the specification under the direction of the Senior Design Engineer. The Originator verifies that the new special provision will not duplicate or inadvertently supersede other specifications.

Complete all specifications and special provisions prior to the PS&E review to allow other design and construction disciplines to review the changes or additions prior to release for construction.

4.6.9 Engineer's Estimate

Ensure that the Engineer's Estimate is complete and accurate by doing the following:

1. List each bid item and quantity exactly as shown in the plans.



- 2. Match the bid item numbers and names with the applicable specifications and plan quantities.
- 3. When a specification defines a new bid item, add it to the project estimate and to the Measurement and Payment specification.
- 4. Provide the quantity and unit price for each item.
- 5. Verify that the unit costs are reasonable for the bridge type and location.

4.6.10 Measurement and Payment Specification

Check the Measurement and Payment document for correctness and completeness of structure items. Add additional items and information as necessary.

4.7 QC FOR ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

For the required electronic delivery process to be implemented, SDR will produce electronic deliverables in conformance with the LADOTD Software and Deliverable Standards for Electronic Plans document. The SDR team will follow LADOTD procedures and requirements in the Professionals Electronic Delivery System for complete electronic delivery (ED) of the project. SDR will upload (or check in) electronic deliverables directly into the LADOTD ProjectWise repository at each plan delivery milestone.

4.8 **RESOLUTION OF TECHNICAL DIFFERENCES**

During the QC review process; there may be differences in opinions between the QC Reviewer and the Originator on whether a comment is valid or how it should be addressed. If the QC Reviewer does not agree with the way his/her comment was addressed, he/she will first discuss the matter with the Originator. If the difference in opinion cannot be resolved through the discussion, the QC Reviewer will inform the PM on the issue, who will then seek the assistance of a senior technical expert to resolve the difference. If necessary, the issue will be taken to the Department Manager and/or Principal-in-Charge for resolution.

See Appendix G for STRUCTURES COMMENTS AND RESOLUTION SHEET.

5.0 DOCUMENTATION OF COMMENTS/RESPONSES AND QUALITY

5.1 DOCUMENTATION OF COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

All comments made by external reviewers will be recorded either by memos, letters or marked plans received from the reviewers. In the event that comments are received through meetings with reviewers, minutes summarizing the comments received will be prepared. Comments received by a project team member other than the PM will be forwarded to the PM. Where it is necessary to discuss and clarify the comments with the reviewer(s) prior to responding, the PM will arrange for the meeting.


The Project Engineers/Planners/Scientists, who are responsible for the deliverable on which comments were received, will prepare responses to the comments. The responses will be written in a memorandum format and, at minimum, will include the deliverable's review date, the reviewer's name, the responder's name, the reviewer's comments and the responses to the comments. The PM will review all comments and responses before submitting them to the LADOTD. The comments/responses memorandum is considered a project deliverable and will undergo the document QC and QA review processes. The PM will be responsible for the submittal of the comments/responses memorandum to the appropriate reviewing entity of the LADOTD.

Electronic Review Comments (ERC) will be responded to via the internet using the format integrated into the ERC system and responded to in a manner similar to that described above. The ERC review comments and responses will be posted on the LADOTD internet address and will be checked monthly following each phase submittal.

After submittal of the comments/responses memorandum to the LADOTD and verification by the PM that the responses are acceptable, the Project Engineers/Planners/Scientists responsible for the deliverable will make necessary revisions in accordance with the responses. Each comment/response on the memorandum will be initialed by the appropriate Project Engineer/Planner/Scientist, indicating that they have verified that the comment response has been implemented.

5.2 QUALITY ASSURANCE RECORDS

The PM will be responsible for maintaining copies of the submitted comments/responses memorandums, the QC review checkprints and forms of the memorandums, and the initialed memorandums in the project files.

6.0 CONTROL OF SUBCONSULTANT QC PROCESS

Coordination will be maintained with all subconsultants throughout the project. Attention will be placed on critical path activities involving subconsultants. The subconsultants will be provided with the information they need in a timely manner to help them accomplish their tasks. Regular meetings will take place to facilitate this coordination and give them direction on the expected deliverables.

As part of their QC plans, the subconsultants will conduct quality reviews of their submittals to SDR. The subconsultants will provide evidence of their QC reviews to SDR, which the PM will archive within the project's QC files. Prior to the use of each subconsultant's deliverable or its incorporation into other project work, and/or its submission to LADOTD, the PM will check this work for technical adequacy, consistency with the Scope of Services, and for meeting the project's quality requirements. This review, however, will not substitute for the QC and QA review process that each subconsultant must implement in accordance with their QC plans.



7.0 QUALITY RECORDS AND AUDITS

7.1 QUALITY RECORDS

The PM is responsible for maintaining QC and QA records for all project deliverables. At a minimum, the following items will be archived in the project's files for each submitted deliverable:

- The QC review checkprint(s); the checkprints can be in various formats:
 - Paper format with hand written markups.
 - Electronic .pdf files produced from scanning paper copies and saved in the project's directory (drawings or documents).
 - Electronic Microsoft Word file using the track changes feature and saved in the project's directory (documents).
- A record hard copy of the submitted deliverable; record copies will be stamped as such.
- The QC form (for documents only).
- The Certificate of Compliance.

7.2 INTERNAL QUALITY AUDITS

In accordance with our QC/QA policy, SDR conducts annual quality audits for a sampling of projects at each office location. The project quality audits are conducted by certified internal auditors who are independent of the projects.



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Appendix A

STRUCTURES SITUATION & LAYOUT DESIGN APPROVAL



SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.							
STRUCTURES SITUATION & LAYOUT DESIGN APPROVAL							
Project Number: Project Name:							
PIN: Structure No.: Structure Type:							
Structure Description:							
The following items are completed and attached:							
 Situation & Layout sheet (s) Design Plan Design Quality Certification (completed for S& L plan sheets) Bridge Type Selection Report Preliminary Seismic Strategy Report List of Computer Software to be used in Final Design Design Criteria Design Exceptions Approval Form (if applicable) 							
LEAD STRUCTURAL DESIGNER							
I certify that the attached Situation & Layout plans for the specified structure are complete, meet all applicable design requirements, and are ready for approval.							
Signature: Date:							
Design Firm:							
APPROVAL							
I approve the submitted Situation & Layout plans for the specified bridge.							
Signature:							
Note: Approval of Situation & Layout plans is required prior to beginning the final design. Approval requires the submittal of all items listed in the Attached Items box.							



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Appendix B

60% DESIGN REVIEW CHECKLIST



SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc. 60% DESIGN REVIEW CHECKLIST						
Project Number: Project Name:						
Structure No.: Structure Type:						
Structure Description:						
Inc. N/A	TASK Design Criteria SummaryConsultant Submittal QC/QA CertificationDesign CalculationsFlexural DesignShear DesignBearing DesignDevelopment of Special DetailsGeneral NotesGirder Properties and Strand Pattern TempGirder DetailsGirder End DetailsBuild-Up and Deflection DiagramsMiscellaneous DetailsBearing DetailsTransportation and Handling GuidelinesGirder Span DetailsData TablesGirder Data TableBuild-Up and Deflection Data Sheet	<u>PHASE</u> blate				
Reviewer's	Reviewer's Signature: Date:					



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Appendix C

CADD STANDARDS CHECKLIST



SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc. CADD STANDARDS CHECKLIST						
Project Number: Project Name:						
PIN: Structure No.: Structure Type:						
Structure Description:						
Plan Sheet Number: Plan Sheet Name:						
Filename: Directory:						
AT A MINIMUM, CHECK THE FOLLOWING:						
Drawing Organization:						
 All CADD contract drawing are produced in MicroStation format according to LADOTD CADD Standards File directory structure matches CADD Standards requirements File names comply with CADD Standards requirements. File names reflect the nature of their contents Reference files (stored as read only) are used instead of copying existing data into file Drawings/Details are referenced properly Correct seed files or libraries of standard details (stored as read only) are used Any changes to seed files are approved by the Senior Design Engineer All unnecessary (temporary) files are deleted from all directories 						
Drawing Content:						
 Drawing content. Drawing is flattened (Drawn 2D) All details are drawn to scale Correct line styles are used and are on the correct levels Text is correct size and type All CADD-produced prints automatically produce the date, time, and filename printed Drawing compiles with LADOTD Structures Division Drafting Standards All dimensions are auto-dimensioned & auto-annotation is used as appropriate Use of tags and file referencing is used appropriately 						
REVIEWER						
Signature: Date:						
Note: Record any comments on the Structures Review Comment Form.						



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Appendix D

FINAL DESIGN QA REVIEW CHECKLIST



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Quality Control Plan

SDR ENGINEERING						
FINAL DESIGN QA REVIEW CHECKLIST						
Project Number: Project Name:						
PIN: Structure No.: Structure Type:						
Structure Description:						
ATTACHED ITEMS: The following items are completed and attached: 100% Structure Plan Set Design Criteria Summary Special Provisions Approved Design Exceptions Engineer's Estimate Computer Design Software List Electronic Design Files (MicroStation) Final Seismic Strategy Report Completed Design Certifications Forms Bridge Load Rating Report Final Bridge Design Calculations Geotechnical Report Independent Review Documentation (when required) Previous Review Comments With Response & Dispositions 60% Design Review Checklist States						
AT A MINIMUM, CHECK THE FOLLOWING:						
Completeness: Plans are complete, sealed and signed by Louisiana PE Special Provisions included for all work/bid items Engineer's Estimate complete Bid costs are reasonable Calculations are complete and organized Previous comments addressed Design Approvals Load Rating complete and summarized properly Design Checklists complete & included with calculations	Constructability: Design accommodates constructability Horizontal and Vertical clearances accommodates constructability Constructible details are used Construction sequencing is adequately addressed Shoring/Temporary Supports are adequately addressed Assess need for Contractor to submit Erection Plan					
General: Design Meets Design Intent Seismic Strategy implemented appropriately Design software acceptable Detailing matches standards Standard Details are used appropriately Plan Quantities match Engineer's Estimate Compiles with Aesthetic requirements Significant design issues noted (Use comment form)	Maintainability: Appropriate materials used Maintenance-friendly details used Maintenance access provided as necessary Inspectability: Inspection access is provided to all necessary components (bearings, expansion joints, closed sections, abutment backwalls, etc.) Inspection access meets safety requirements Security: Public access to sensitive areas is prevented					
REVIEWER The specified bridge design documents are complete and recommended for approval. Signature: Date:						

Note: Record any comments on the Structures Review Comment Form.



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Appendix E

DESIGN CALCULATIONS CHECKLIST



SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc. DESIGN CALCULATIONS CHECKLIST							
Project Number: Project Name:							
PIN: Structure No.: Structure Type:							
Structure Description:							
INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:							
Organization:							
 Title page with Structure Drawing Number, Structure Name, Design Calculations title, Designer's name Table of Contents Uses standard Structures Division letter-sized calculation sheets for handwritten calculations and sketches Uses standard letter-sized paper with standard Structures heading for computer generated calculations All pages numbered with numbering scheme that covers entire set of calculations Identifies appropriate code references in right hand column Computer documentation includes: name of program, vendor, version number, and release date Calculations cross-reference computer output as appropriate Stored in three-ring binder Check calculations stored in separate three-ring binder 							
Content:							
 Complete Final Calculations All Design Certifications (place at beginning of calculations) All Design Approvals Design Criteria Summary Design Criteria Exceptions List of Computer Programs and Spreadsheets Bridge Type Selection Report Seismic Strategy Report (includes calculations) Load Rating Report Alternate Design Quality Plan Approval Completed Design Checklists Review Comments with Responses and Dispositions 							
Signature: Date:							
Note: Record any comments on the Structures Review Comment Form.							



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Appendix F

STRUCTURE DESIGN CERTIFICATION FOR DESIGN CALCULATIONS



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

SDP STP	UCTURE DESIGN C	ERTIFICATION	F0101.5
F	OR DESIGN CALCU	LATIONS	
Project Number:	Project Name:		
PIN: Structure Number		Structure Type:	
Structure Description:			
Type: 🗆 Design Calculations 🛛 🗆 I	Design / Field Change	e	
Certification For: 🛛 Complete Design	Partial Desig	n. Specify:	÷
Structures Quality Plan:	tructures QP ved Alternate	□ Modified SDR	Structures QP
DESIGN CERTIFICATION (Designer of Re	cord)		
By stamping and signing this section, I cer comply with the requirements of the Projec AASHTO design specifications, and the Str	tify that the design do t Design Criteria, in tuctures Design Qual	ocuments specified cluding applicable lity Plan specified.	
Print Name:	Date:	<u> </u>	
Design Firm:			DE Stamm (signed & dated)
DESIGN QC CERTIFICATION			PD State (Signed of Gales)
By stamping and signing this section, I cer- been checked in accordance with the requi Plan specified.	tify that the design co rements of the Struct	alculations have tures Design Quality	
Print Name:	Date:		
Design Firm:			
DESIGN QA CERTIFICATION			PE Stamp (signed & dated)
By stamping and signing this section, I cert the design calculations specified above has requirements of the Structures Design Qua	tify that I have verifie s been completed in a dity Plan specified.	ed that the QC for accordance with the	
Print Name:	Date:		
Design Firm:	4		DE Course (simul & dated)
Notes: 1. Design Certification is requi Box Culvert, Multi-Plate Ar Signal, and Camera poles. 2. Attach signed approval form Structures Design Quality P 3. Maintain all OC/OA records	ired for permanent ch, Retaining Wal s for any approved Plan. 5 for a minimum of	t structures of all typ ls, Overhead Sign Si l modifications to th ^c 3 vears after proiec	es, including Bridge, tructures, and Traffic, e



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Appendix G

STRUCTURES COMMENTS AND RESOLUTION SHEET



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Document Control Number: Review Type: Description: Designer: Trem No. Dwig. No. ⁽¹⁾ 1 Comments			
Description: Designer: ITEM No. DwG. No. ⁽¹⁾ Comments 1 1 Comments		REVIEWER(s):	DATE:
ITEM No. Dwg. No. ⁽¹⁾ Comments		DISCIPLINE: STRUCTURES	CRM:
	C ODE ⁽²⁾	RESPONSE ⁽²⁾	
 Indicate drawing no./page no. or use "G" for general comment. To be filled out by Designer. To be determined in subsequent comment resolution meeting/discussion (list date). 	Note: The i com mus befo	ntended use of this form is to provide a means for th ment on submitted structural design plans and calcu the satisfactorily resolved and incorporated into the te the design can be approved.	he Department to ulations. All comments contract documents



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

Appendix H

SAMPLE CERTIFICATIONS



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

STRUCTURES DESIGN CERTIFICATION FOR DESIGN PLANS



	SDR STRUCT	URE DESIGN CERTIFICATIO	N
]	FOR DESIGN PLANS	
Project Number:		Project Name:	
PIN: :	Structure Number:	Structure Typ	pe:
Structure Description:			
Submittal Type: 🛛 S&I	. 🗆 Final Desig	n 🛛 Design / Field Change	As-Builts
Certification For: 🗆 Co	nplete Design 🛛	Partial Design. Specify:	
Structures Quality Plan:	SDR Structures QP Approved Alterna	te Modified SD	OR Structures QP
DESIGN CERTIFICATION	(Designer of Record)		2
By stamping and signing t comply with the requirem	his section, I certify the mts of the LADOTD	at the design plans specified abov Structures Design & Detailing Cr	ve riteria.
Print Name:		Date:	
Design Firm:			DT fame faire d to day D
DESIGN QC CERTIFICAT	ION		PE Stamp (signed & dated)
by stamping and signing t been checked in accordan Plan specified.	e with the requirement	its of the Structures Design Quali	i nave ity
		Date.	
Design Firm:			PE Stamp (signed & dated)
DESIGN QA CERTIFICAT By stamping and signing t design documents specifie requirements of the Struct Print Name:	ION his section, I certify th d above has been comp ures Design Quality Pi	at I have verified that the QC for pleted in accordance with the lan specified. Date:	the
Design Firm:		<u></u>	DE Stamp (signed & dated)
CADD STANDARDS CER	TIFICATION		a de contrato (regares es desicu)
By signing this section, I c Standards.	ertify that the structur	e plans specified above comply w	ith the UDOT Structures CADD
Signature:		Design Firm:	Date:
Notes: (1) Design Certifi Multi-Plate Arch, Retains signed approval forms for (3) Maintain all OC/04 (cation is required for p ing Walls, Overhead S any approved modifi- ecords for a minimum	permanent structures of all types ign Structures, and Iraffic, Sign cations to the Structures Design	s, including Bridge, Box Culvert, val, and Camera poles. (2) Attach Quality Plan. ion



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

STRUCTURES FINAL DESIGN APPROVAL



6/30/10 FORM SA-
SDR STRUCTURES
FINAL DESIGN APPROVAL
Project Number: Project Name:
PIN: Structure Number: Structure Type:
Structure Description:
ATTACHED ITEMS
The following items are completed and attached:
Completed Structure Plans (Signed & Sealed) Specifications (Special Provisions) Engineer's Estimate Final QA Review Checklist All Design Certification Forms (for Final Design) Design Criteria Summary Approved Design Exceptions Computer Design Software List Final Seismic Strategy Report Geotechnical Report Bridge Load Rating Report Structure Design Calculations* Independent Review Checklist, Letter Report and Calculations (when required) Previous Review Comments With Responses & Final Dispositions Any other final design documents and reports, as appropriate
LEAD STRUCTURAL DESIGNER I certify that the attached Final Design Plans, Specifications and Estimate for the specified structure are complete, meet all applicable design requirements, and are ready for approval.
Signature: Date
Design Firm:
Approval
The submitted Final Design Plans, Specifications and Estimate for the specified structure are Approved for Construction.
Signature: Date:
Note: Approval of Final Design plans is required prior to advertising the project. Approval requires the submittal of all items listed in the Attached Items box. *Design calculations are required for all structure designs.



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

TO: LADOTD Project Manager Project Manager LADOTD

DATE: Month XX, Year XXXX

RE: QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW

PROJECT IDENTIFIER #:	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
PROJECT NAME:	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
COUNTY:	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
SUBMITTED DOCUMENT(S):	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
CONSULTANT:	SDR Engineering Consultants, Inc.
SUBCONSULTANTS :	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

This is to certify that I have monitored the Quality Control (QC) process and I have completed and documented the required Quality Assurance (QA) review during production of the above noted submittal. Draft writings, associated production and review check prints, and quality control documents for the referenced elements (including those of the sub-consultants) have been evaluated, initialed, and are available in our project files for review upon request.

This certificate is issued to document our reviews and to confirm that "due or ordinary care" processes were followed in producing the submittal documents. In our professional opinions, these documents meet the standards and requirements and are ready for your review. These requirements include those stipulated in the project Scope of Services performance criteria and the LADOTD policies, standards and preferences.

SIGNED:

Date: _____

SIGNED:

Date: _____

Name, P.E. Quality Assurance Manager

Name, P.E.

SDR Project Manager



CONTRACT No. 4400023434 US 190: UPRR OVERPASS NEAR OPELOUSAS SP NO. H.000445 ST. LANDRY PARISH

APPENDIX I

QC/QA FORMS FROM LADOTD BDEM

(To be included in submittals)



(LADOTD BDEM Chapter 3 - Appendix A) Design Criteria Checklist

Design criteria for each project shall include, but not limited to, the following sections:

• Cover sheet

The following information must be included on the cover sheet:

- LADOTD project number
- Project name
- Revision date
- The Supervisor or Team Leader's signature and date
- Governing Design and Construction Specifications and Other References
- A list of governing design and construction specifications and other references used for the project shall be included in this section. The edition number, interim revisions, and/or publication date must be specified for each reference.

• Design Assumptions and Design Exceptions

 All design assumptions and design exceptions received must be included in this section along with supporting documents.

• General Information

The general information as listed below should be included in this section:

- Bridge information (no. of bridges, bridge clear width, length, no. of lanes, lane width, shoulder width, etc.)
- Road information (roadway classifications, design speed, traffic data, etc.)
- Vertical datum
- Vertical and horizontal clearances
- Other relevant information
- Hydraulic Design Criteria
- All hydraulic design criteria (design year, design water elevations, scour depth and scour elevation, etc.) shall be included in this section and the information shall be provided by the Hydraulic Engineer.

• Design Factors

- The ductility factor η_D , redundancy factor η_R , and operational importance factor η_I shall be listed in this section.
- Design Loads
- All design loads (dead load, live load, wind load, thermal loads, vessel collision loads, seismic load, wave loads, etc.) used for the project shall be included in this section.
- Limit States



— All applicable limit states for this project shall be listed in this section.

• Bridge Barrier Railing

- The design criteria, types, and test levels for bridge barrier railings shall be listed in this section. Standard Plans should be listed if they are utilized.
- Guardrail
- The design criteria, types, and test levels for guardrails shall be listed in this section. Standard Plans should be listed if they are utilized.

• Approach Slab

 Design criteria for approach slab shall be included in this section. Standard Plans should be listed if they are utilized.

• Deck and Deck Drainage

 All design criteria for deck and deck drainage design shall be included in this section. Standard Plans should be listed if they are utilized.

• Bearing

 All bearing types and design criteria for each bearing type shall be included in this section. Standard Plans should be listed if they are utilized.

• Joint

 All joint types and design criteria for each type shall be included in this section. Standard Plans should be listed if they are utilized.

• Superstructure

— All superstructure types and design criteria for each type shall be included in this section. Standard Plans should be listed if they are utilized.

• Substructure

 All substructure types and design criteria for each type shall be included in this section. Standard Plans should be listed if they are utilized.

• Piles and Drilled Shafts

 All pile types, sizes, and structural design criteria shall be included in this section. Standard Plans should be listed if they are utilized.

• Geotechnical Design

 All geotechnical design criteria shall be included in this section and the information shall be provided by the Geotechnical Engineer. Standard Plans should be listed if they are utilized.

• Mechanical Design

— All mechanical design criteria shall be included in this section if applicable. Standard Plans should be listed if they are utilized.

• Electrical/Lighting Design

 All electrical design criteria shall be included in this section if applicable. Standard Plans should be listed if they are utilized.

• As-Designed Bridge Rating Criteria

— All as-designed bridge rating criteria shall be included in this section.

• Software

— All software used for design and check shall be included in this section.

(LADOTD BDEM Chapter 3 - Appendix B) Final Calculation Book Checklist



The final calculation book for each project shall include, but not limited to, the following sections:

Cover Sheet

- The following information must be included on the cover sheet:
- LADOTD project number
- Project name
- The title of "Final Calculation Book"
- The EOR's seal with signature and date
 - Final Calculation Book Check List
 - QC/QA Certifications
 - Peer Review Resolution Agreement (if peer review is performed)
 - Design Criteria
 - Final Hydraulic Analysis Report from Hydraulic Engineer
 - Final Geotechnical Analysis Report from Geotechnical Engineer
 - Superstructure Design Calculations
 - Substructure Design Calculations
 - Quantity Calculations
 - Special Provisions/NS-Items
 - Construction Cost Estimate
 - As-Designed Rating Report
 - List of All Final Electronic Design Files and File Locations (ProjectWise directory name)

Consultants shall submit the final calculation book to LADOTD bridge task managers; the submittal shall be on a CD or Flash Drive or placed to a designated ProjectWise folder including the following information:

- A PDF File of the Calculation Book (Including the As-Designed Rating Report)
- All Electronic Design Files
- A PDF File of the As-Designed Rating Report Only

The final calculation book for in-house projects shall include the same files listed above for consultant projects. The final calculation book and other final design documents for all projects including in-house and consultant projects shall be uploaded to the archiving location designated in the record retention policy within 30 calendar days after the stamped final plans are delivered.

(LADOTD BDEM Chapter 3 - Appendix D) QC/QA Certification

Project No.:

Project Name:



We, the undersigned designers, detailers, checkers and reviewers for this project, have reviewed and accepted the calculations, plans, quantities, special provisions, and cost estimate prepared for the project. We certify that the work for which we are responsible has been completed in accordance with the LADOTD Bridge Design Section policy on QC/QA.

Team Members	Name	PE Registration No.	Responsible Plan Sheets	Responsible Special Provisions	Construction Cost Estimate	Signature
Designers						
Design						
Checkers						
Detailers						
Detail						
Checkers						
Reviewers						
Peer						
Reviewer						
Geotechnical						
Engineer						
Hydraulic						
Engineer						
EOR						



(LADOTD BDEM Chapter 3 Appendix I) Consultant Submittal QC/QA Certification

Project No.: Project Name:

I, the undersigned Supervisor or Team Leader for this project, certify that the information included in this submittal has been prepared in accordance with the QC/QA plan documents and LADOTD Bridge Design Section policy on QC/QA and the information presented is accurate and meets the requirements of this submittal. All CAD drawings meet LADOTD CAD standards.

Submittal Description

Supervisor or Team Leader Name

Signature

Date